



(Although the last solution – an octahedron with features from different correlated sets arranged in antipodal pairs – seems to be a strong attractor, the learning trajectory visualized above appears to be one of a few different learning trajectories that attract the model. The different trajectories vary at step **C**: sometimes the model gets pulled directly into the antiprism configuration from the start or organizes features into antipodal pairs. Presumably this depends on which feature geometry the model is closest to when step **B** ends.)

The learning dynamics we observe here seem directly related to previous findings on simple models. [30] found that two-layer neural networks, in early stages of training, tend to learn a linear approximation to a problem. Although the technicalities of our data generation process do not precisely match the hypotheses of their theorem, it seems likely that the same basic mechanism is at work. In our case, we see the toy network learns a linear PCA solution before moving to a better nonlinear solution. A second related finding comes from [31], who looked at hierarchical sets of features, with a data generation process similar to the one we consider. They find empirically that certain networks (nonlinear and deep linear) “split” embedding vectors in a manner very much like what we observed. They also provide a theoretical analysis in terms of the underlying dynamical system. A key difference is that they focus on the topology—the branching structure of the emerging feature representations—rather than the geometry. Despite this difference, it seems likely that their analysis could be generalized to our case.