

- Fan Huang, Haewoon Kwak, and Jisun An. 2023. Is chatgpt better than human annotators? potential and limitations of chatgpt in explaining implicit hate speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.07736*.
- Myeongjun Jang and Thomas Lukasiewicz. 2023. Consistency analysis of chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.06273*.
- Wenxiang Jiao, Wenxuan Wang, Jen-tse Huang, Xing Wang, and Zhaopeng Tu. 2023. Is chatgpt a good translator? a preliminary study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.08745*.
- Dhruv Khattar, Jaipal Singh Goud, Manish Gupta, and Vasudeva Varma. 2019. Mvae: Multimodal variational autoencoder for fake news detection. In *The world wide web conference*, pages 2915–2921.
- Ling Min Serena Khoo, Hai Leong Chieu, Zhong Qian, and Jing Jiang. 2020. Interpretable rumor detection in microblogs by attending to user interactions. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 8783–8790.
- Haoran Li, Dadi Guo, Wei Fan, Mingshi Xu, and Yangqiu Song. 2023a. Multi-step jailbreaking privacy attacks on chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05197*.
- Jiazheng Li, Runcong Zhao, Yulan He, and Lin Gui. 2023b. Overprompt: Enhancing chatgpt capabilities through an efficient in-context learning approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14973*.
- Miaoran Li, Baolin Peng, and Zhu Zhang. 2023c. Self-checker: Plug-and-play modules for fact-checking with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14623*.
- Xiaomo Liu, Armineh Nourbakhsh, Quanzhi Li, Rui Fang, and Sameena Shah. 2015. Real-time rumor debunking on twitter. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 1867–1870.
- Jing Ma, Wei Gao, Prasenjit Mitra, Sejeong Kwon, Bernard J. Jansen, Kam-Fai Wong, and Cha Meeyoung. 2016. Detecting rumors from microblogs with recurrent neural networks. In *The 25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. AAAI*.
- Jing Ma, Wei Gao, and Kam-Fai Wong. 2017. Detect rumors in microblog posts using propagation structure via kernel learning. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, volume 1, pages 708–717.
- Potsawee Manakul, Adian Liusie, and Mark JF Gales. 2023. Selfcheckgpt: Zero-resource black-box hallucination detection for generative large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08896*.
- Todor Markov, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Tyna Eloundou, Teddy Lee, Steven Adler, Angela Jiang, and Lilian Weng. 2022. A holistic approach to undesired content detection in the real world. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.03274*.
- Nikhil Mehta, María Leonor Pacheco, and Dan Goldwasser. 2022. Tackling fake news detection by continually improving social context representations using graph neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1363–1380.
- Qiong Nan, Juan Cao, Yongchun Zhu, Yanyan Wang, and Jintao Li. 2021. Mdfend: Multi-domain fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, pages 3343–3347.
- Parth Patwa, Shivam Sharma, Srinivas Pykl, Vineeth Guptha, Gitanjali Kumari, Md Shad Akhtar, Asif Ekbal, Amitava Das, and Tanmoy Chakraborty. 2021. Fighting an infodemic: Covid-19 fake news dataset. In *Combating Online Hostile Posts in Regional Languages during Emergency Situation: First International Workshop, CONSTRAINT 2021, Collocated with AAAI 2021, Virtual Event, February 8, 2021, Revised Selected Papers 1*, pages 21–29. Springer.
- Verónica Pérez-Rosas, Bennett Kleinberg, Alexandra Lefevre, and Rada Mihalcea. 2017. Automatic detection of fake news. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07104*.
- Kashyap Papat, Subhabrata Mukherjee, Andrew Yates, and Gerhard Weikum. 2018. Declare: Debunking fake news and false claims using evidence-aware deep learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.06416*.
- Piotr Przybyla. 2020. Capturing the style of fake news. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 490–497.
- Chengwei Qin, Aston Zhang, Zhuosheng Zhang, Jiaao Chen, Michihiro Yasunaga, and Diyi Yang. 2023. Is chatgpt a general-purpose natural language processing task solver? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.06476*.
- Anita Rao. 2022. Deceptive claims using fake news advertising: The impact on consumers. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 59(3):534–554.
- Haocong Rao, Cyril Leung, and Chunyan Miao. 2023. Can chatgpt assess human personalities? a general evaluation framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01248*.
- Yuxiang Ren, Bo Wang, Jiawei Zhang, and Yi Chang. 2020. Adversarial active learning based heterogeneous graph neural network for fake news detection. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, pages 452–461. IEEE.
- Omar Shaikh, Hongxin Zhang, William Held, Michael Bernstein, and Diyi Yang. 2022. On second thought, let’s not think step by step! bias and toxicity in zero-shot reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.08061*.

- Kai Shu, Limeng Cui, Suhang Wang, Dongwon Lee, and Huan Liu. 2019a. defend: Explainable fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, pages 395–405.
- Kai Shu, Yichuan Li, Kaize Ding, and Huan Liu. 2021. Fact-enhanced synthetic news generation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 13825–13833.
- Kai Shu, Deepak Mahudeswaran, Suhang Wang, Dongwon Lee, and Huan Liu. 2018. Fakenewsnet: A data repository with news content, social context and dynamic information for studying fake news on social media. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.01286*.
- Kai Shu, Amy Sliva, Suhang Wang, Jiliang Tang, and Huan Liu. 2017a. Fake news detection on social media: A data mining perspective. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 19(1):22–36.
- Kai Shu, Suhang Wang, and Huan Liu. 2017b. Exploiting tri-relationship for fake news detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.07709*.
- Kai Shu, Xinyi Zhou, Suhang Wang, Reza Zafarani, and Huan Liu. 2019b. The role of user profiles for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE/ACM international conference on advances in social networks analysis and mining*, pages 436–439.
- Shivangi Singhal, Anubha Kabra, Mohit Sharma, Rajiv Ratn Shah, Tanmoy Chakraborty, and Ponnurangam Kumaraguru. 2020. Spotfake+: A multi-modal framework for fake news detection via transfer learning (student abstract). In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 13915–13916.
- Shivangi Singhal, Rajiv Ratn Shah, Tanmoy Chakraborty, Ponnurangam Kumaraguru, and Shin'ichi Satoh. 2019. Spotfake: A multi-modal framework for fake news detection. In *2019 IEEE fifth international conference on multimedia big data (BigMM)*, pages 39–47. IEEE.
- Changhe Song, Cunchao Tu, Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2018. Ced: Credible early detection of social media rumors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.04175*.
- Mengzhu Sun, Xi Zhang, Jianqiang Ma, and Yazheng Liu. 2021. Inconsistency matters: A knowledge-guided dual-inconsistency network for multi-modal rumor detection. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 1412–1423.
- William Yang Wang. 2017. "liar, liar pants on fire": A new benchmark dataset for fake news detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.00648*.
- Yaqing Wang, Fenglong Ma, Zhiwei Jin, Ye Yuan, Guangxu Xun, Kishlay Jha, Lu Su, and Jing Gao. 2018. Eann: Event adversarial neural networks for multi-modal fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 24th acm sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, pages 849–857.
- Youze Wang, Shengsheng Qian, Jun Hu, Quan Fang, and Changsheng Xu. 2020. Fake news detection via knowledge-driven multimodal graph convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the 2020 international conference on multimedia retrieval*, pages 540–547.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Ed Chi, Quoc Le, and Denny Zhou. 2022. Chain of thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11903*.
- Chunqiu Steven Xia and Lingming Zhang. 2023. Keep the conversation going: Fixing 162 out of 337 bugs for \$0.42 each using chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00385*.
- Weizhi Xu, Junfei Wu, Qiang Liu, Shu Wu, and Liang Wang. 2022. Evidence-aware fake news detection with graph neural networks. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, pages 2501–2510.
- Junxiao Xue, Yabo Wang, Yichen Tian, Yafei Li, Lei Shi, and Lin Wei. 2021. Detecting fake news by exploring the consistency of multimodal data. *Information Processing & Management*, 58(5):102610.
- Fan Yang, Shiva K Pentiyala, Sina Mohseni, Mengnan Du, Hao Yuan, Rhema Linder, Eric D Ragan, Shuiwang Ji, and Xia Hu. 2019. Xfake: Explainable fake news detector with visualizations. In *The World Wide Web Conference*, pages 3600–3604.
- Xiaoyu Yang, Yuefei Lyu, Tian Tian, Yifei Liu, Yudong Liu, and Xi Zhang. 2021. Rumor detection on social media with graph structured adversarial learning. In *Proceedings of the twenty-ninth international conference on international joint conferences on artificial intelligence*, pages 1417–1423.
- Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Hannah Rashkin, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, Franziska Roesner, and Yejin Choi. 2019. Defending against neural fake news. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32.
- Xueyao Zhang, Juan Cao, Xirong Li, Qiang Sheng, Lei Zhong, and Kai Shu. 2021. Mining dual emotion for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the web conference 2021*, pages 3465–3476.
- Ce Zhou, Qian Li, Chen Li, Jun Yu, Yixin Liu, Guangjing Wang, Kai Zhang, Cheng Ji, Qiben Yan, Lifang He, et al. 2023. A comprehensive survey on pretrained foundation models: A history from bert to chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09419*.
- Xinyi Zhou and Reza Zafarani. 2020. A survey of fake news: Fundamental theories, detection methods, and opportunities. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 53(5):1–40.

Yongchun Zhu, Qiang Sheng, Juan Cao, Qiong Nan, Kai Shu, Minghui Wu, Jindong Wang, and Fuzhen Zhuang. 2022. Memory-guided multi-view multi-domain fake news detection. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*.

## Appendix

### A Details of Fake News Generation

#### A.1 Generation Prompt

Altering Text Meaning:

**User:** Please change the main meaning of the following text: [Text].

Inventing Stories:

**User:** Please make a story about [Target Story].

Creating Imaginary Text:

**User:** Please make the following text virtual: [Text].

Multiple Prompt: We show the the template in Box 6. Also, Table 8, 9, and 10 show an example of multiple prompt (translated from Chinese) including three parts: topic prompt, deep prompt and news augmentation prompt.

#### A.2 Comparison of Prompt Methods

From Table 6, it is evident that the techniques "Altering text meaning" and "Creating imaginary text" necessitate the input of the source text, and the output is unpredictable, rendering it impossible to control the primary content produced. However, the methods "Inventing stories" and "Multiple prompt" can steer ChatGPT to generate target news by providing a specific text. Furthermore, "Multiple Prompt" has the added capability of producing extreme content.

Table 6: Comparison of 4 prompt methods

Method	Input	Target <sup>1</sup>	Extreme <sup>2</sup>
Altering Text Meaning	Original Text	✗	✗
Inventing Stories	Target Text	✓	✗
Creating Imaginary Text	Original Text	✗	✗
Multiple Prompt	Target Text	✓	✓

<sup>1</sup> Target News Generation

<sup>2</sup> Extreme Content Generation

### A.3 Human Evaluation Details

Specifically, we randomly select fake news generated by ChatGPT and real news from social media and mix them up, which is used for questionnaire questions. Our goal is to ask respondents to judge whether the news is real or fake and analyze the result. This mixture of real and fake news helps simulate the distribution of news in the real world.

To ensure accurate results, we require participants to select reasons if they believe the news is fake. To prevent fraudulent submissions, we establish an identity verification question to exclude malicious respondents. Incentives in the form of prizes are also offered to participants to ensure their authenticity and motivation. In our questionnaire, we simplified the number of options to five, unlike nine options in Table 1, in order to facilitate participants to better fill in the answers that comply with the truth and to avoid excessive hesitation in the selection of reasons which may lead to biased results. The template of our questionnaire is shown in Figure 10.

### B Distribution of Multiple Reasons

As shown in Figure 7, certain reasons correlate to varying extents. For example, the combination of reason B and reason D has the highest rank among the multi-cause options, indicating that much fake news employs informal language without offering substantial evidence. Furthermore, the pairings of reasons A and B, as well as reasons A and D, rank second and third, respectively, emphasizing the importance of the combination of emotional information and other factors. Notably, reason A constitutes nearly half of all combined causes, signifying its prominence as a defining feature of fake news, both as a single option and in combination with other factors. The distribution of multiple options also implies that multi-view (Zhu et al., 2022) and multi-modal (Singhal et al., 2020; Khattar et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2021; Singhal et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018) approaches can be utilized to detect fake news.

### C Details of Consistency Metric

Here, we introduce the metric for measuring consistency. Let  $X$  be a dataset consisting of  $m$  samples, where  $x_i$  is the  $i$ -th sample. We conduct  $n$  tests on each sample  $x_i$ , and  $t_{i,j}$  represents the result of the  $j$ -th test on the  $i$ -th sample, where  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .