

mentioned in 'Rationale'.
 123
 124 - ICL Examples:
 125 - "'SPX_Close_Slope' > 0 && 'VIX_Close_Slope'
 126 ' < 0 We have Market Confidence"
 127 - "'GDP_QoQ' Falling & 'PMI' < 50 We have
 128 an Economic Slowdown."
 129
 130 5. Options Analysis:
 131 - Compare 'OTM_Skew', 'ATM_Skew', and 'ITM_Skew' IV Skews: Assess differences to gauge market sentiment and directional bias using their '20Day_Moving_Averages'.
 132 - Leverage IV spikes to capitalize on speculative directional trades.
 133 - Example: "Rising ATM_Skew_MA > 0, market pricing_up move, with_stable_HV supports a LONG position, as_it indicates growing upside_expectations without_excessive_fear ."
 134
 135 6. News Analysis:
 136 - Use 'News_Sentiment' and 'News_Impact_Score' (1-3).
 137 - Only strong directional news (score = 3) should override other signals.
 138 - Medium news (score = 2) supports but does not lead.
 139 - Always check if news contradicts macro or technical trend.
 140
 141 7. Performance Reflection and Strategic Adaptation:
 142 - If 'Last_Strategy_Used_Data' is available:
 143 - Assess the outcome of the previous strategy by examining 'last_returns' and the chosen 'last_action'.
 144 - Determine if the result aligns with the expectations outlined in the previous 'Rationale'.
 145 - Identify if the direction (LONG or SHORT) led to desirable or undesirable outcomes.
 146 - You must NOT reuse or copy the previous 'Rationale'. It is only context for reflection.
 147 - Summarize in 1-2 sentences whether the previous strategy performed as expected.
 148 - Example: "The previous LONG strategy yielded positive returns, confirming the bullish setup based on RSI and moving averages."
 149 - Do NOT include language or phrasing from the previous rationale.
 150 - Confidence assignment:
 151 - Assign a Likert score (1 to 3) to your 'action_confidence':
 152 - 1: Low confidence; contradictory or weak alignment across features.
 153 - 2: Moderate confidence; partial alignment with moderate evidence.
 154 - 3: High confidence; strong convergence across key features.
 155 - Feature Attribution:
 156 - Rank the importance of each major feature used in your current rationale using a Likert scale (1 to 3):
 157 - 1: Minimal contribution; not required for the decision.
 158 - 2: Moderate contribution; relevant but not critical.
 159 - 3: High contribution; pivotal to the trading decision.
 160
 161 Output:
 162 action: Str. LONG or SHORT.
 163 action_confidence: int. Likert scale (1-3)
 164 confidence in the proposed 'action', adjusted
 165 based on prior strategy outcome if 'Last_Strategy_Used_Data' is available.
 166
 167 explanation: >

A concise rationale (max 350 words) justifying the proposed 'action'.
 168
 169 Include:
 170 - The top 5 weighted features used in the decision, each labeled with its Likert importance (1-3).
 171 (e.g., "Stock_Data.Price.Close,_Weight_3,_Technical_Analysis.RSI.Value,_Weight_1,_Options_Data.ATM_Skew,_Weight_2")
 172 - A reflective assessment of 'Last_Strategy_Used_Data', including whether the past 'action' was successful and was it maintained given prior 'Rationale'.
 173
 174 features_used:
 175 - feature: the features used from the prompt's context.
 176 direction: LONG, SHORT, or NEUTRAL
 177 weight: A Likert score (1 to 3) described in Feature Attribution.

APPENDIX B ANALYST PROMPT

The Analyst prompt used in Experiment 1 is presented in Listing 2, adapted from [10]. News corpora were anonymized prior to prompting.

Listing 2. Analyst Prompt

```

1 User_Context:  

2   Monthly_News_Articles_List: |  

3   "(articles_list)"|  

4  

5 System_Context:  

6   Persona: Financial Market Analyst  

7   Instructions: |  

8     Extract the 'Top 3' news factors influencing  

      stock price movements from the '  

      Monthly_News_Articles_List'. Follow these  

      steps:  

9  

10 1. Rank the news by relevance to stock price  

      movements:  

11   - Prioritize news related to significant  

      financial or market impacts (e.g.,  

      acquisitions, partnerships, guidance  

      revisions).  

12   - Weigh industry trends, macroeconomic  

      influences, and analyst ratings based on  

      their expected effect on the company  

      valuation.  

13   - News with broad or long-term implications  

      ranks higher.  

14  

15 2. Summarize content into key factors and  

      corporate events affecting stock prices,  

      using concise language and causal  

      relationships.  

16  

17 3. For each factor, assign:  

18   - 'Sentiment': +1 for positive, -1 for  

      negative, 0 for neutral or mixed  

19   - 'Market_Impact_Score': Likert scale from 1  

      to 3, where:  

20     - 1 = minimal relevance  

21     - 2 = moderate influence  

22     - 3 = high impact driver  

23  

24 Examples of factors influencing stock prices  

      include:  

25   - Strategic partnerships or competitor  

      activity.  

26   - Industry trends or macroeconomic influences.  

27   - Product launches or market expansions.  

28   - Analyst ratings, significant stock price  

      moves, or expectations.  

29   - Corporate events: guidance revisions,  

      acquisitions, contracts, splits,  

      repurchases, dividends.  

30  

31 Example:
  
```

Algorithm 1: Expert Trade Heuristic

Data: Time-indexed price series
Result: Trade action: LONG (1) or SHORT (0)

```

1 foreach date t in dataset do
2   Pt ← Close(t);
3   r(10) ←  $\frac{P_{t+10}}{P_t} - 1$ , r(20) ←  $\frac{P_{t+20}}{P_t} - 1$ ;
4   rweighted ← 0.4 · r(10) + 0.6 · r(20);
5   if rweighted >= 0 then
6     Action ← LONG (Trade_Action = 1);
7   else
8     Action ← SHORT (Trade_Action = 0);

```

```

32   'A major tech company partners with a leading
33   automotive firm for EV battery innovation.
34   Analysts predict this could boost
35   revenues significantly.'
36
37   Ranked Factors:
38   1. factor: Strategic partnership in EV
      battery technology expected to increase
      revenue.
39     sentiment: +1
40     market_impact: 3
41   2. factor: Positive sentiment driven by
      projected long-term gains.
42     sentiment: +1
43     market_impact: 2
44   3. factor: Growing demand for EV technology
      anticipated to support future earnings.
45     sentiment: +1
46     market_impact: 2
47
48   Output:
49   factors:
      - factor: str. Summary of the news item. Max 70
        words.
      - sentiment: int. One of Positive +1, Negative
        -1, or Neutral 0
      - market_impact: int. Likert scale 1 to 3

```

APPENDIX C ALGORITHMS

The labeling algorithm emulates expert trading behavior by deliberately leveraging future return information to assign proxy trade actions in hindsight. This approach offers a cost-effective and scalable addition to manual annotation, capturing the general direction an informed trader might take. These synthetic labels are then provided to the LLM, along with a smaller set of HITL annotated examples.

APPENDIX D DATASET

Market Data

This market data (S_{mk}) included OHLCV price series as well as macro-level indicators and forward-looking sentiment signals. Specifically, it comprised:

- Daily returns of the S&P 500 Index (SPX) and NASDAQ-100 Index (NDX). These are market and sector indices,
- Implied Volatility (IV) and Historical Volatility (HV) metrics, derived from the stock's derivatives,
- The CBOE Volatility Index (VIX) as a proxy for market fear and option market expectations,
- *Weekly Past Returns*, which record the percentage change over the past four weekly intervals. The four-week

span was selected empirically to align with the model's monthly strategy generation frequency.

These features help in modeling short-term market dynamics.

Fundamental Data

Fundamental data (S_{fund}) has firm-level fundamentals and macroeconomic indicators. Macroeconomic variables provided contextual narrative for interpreting observed signals, and supporting regime identification [8], [9]. This set covered:

- **Liquidity ratios:** Current Ratio, Quick Ratio;
- **Leverage and coverage:** Debt-to-Equity, Interest Coverage;
- **Profitability metrics:** Gross Margin, Operating Margin, Return on Equity (ROE), Return on Assets (ROA);
- **Valuation:** Price-to-Earnings (P/E), Price-to-Book (P/B), Enterprise Value (EV), and Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization (EBITDA).
- **Growth:** Revenue and Earnings Growth;
- **Macroeconomic indicators:** Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI), Producer Price Index (PPI), Consumer Confidence Index (CCI), U.S. 10-Year Treasury Yield, and the 10Y–2Y yield curve slope.

To enhance temporal abstraction, all variables were computed as quarter-over-quarter (QoQ) or year-over-year (YoY) percentage changes. It is critical to take first-order dynamics as LLMs can recall absolute numbers for economic details, allowing look-ahead bias in the backtests [20].

Analytics

Technical indicators (S_{an}) were computed over rolling 20-day windows using the open-source TA-Lib⁷ library. These features include:

- Simple Moving Averages (SMA) over 20, 50, 100, 200 trading-day horizons,
- Relative Strength Index (RSI),
- Average True Range (ATR) for volatility,
- Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD) with its signal line and derived strength,
- Volume-Weighted Average Price (VWAP) as a reference anchor for intraday valuations.

Each indicator was extended with slope and z-score to assist the LLM in capturing directional shifts and the statistical significance of deviations. These technical indicators are widely used in trading practice and academic research [18].

Alternative Data

Structured representations of financial news headlines (S_{alt}) were extracted using a large language model (LLM), which anonymized and synthesized the content into latent factors. Following the LLMFactor methodology [10], each news item was distilled into 2–5 interpretable factors, capturing macroeconomic and firm-specific signals.

⁷<https://ta-lib.org/>

Instrument	Paper SR	SR ($\pm\sigma$) [p -value]	MDD ($\pm\sigma$)
AB InBev	0.187	1.21 (0.30) [0.00]	0.18 (0.08)
Alibaba	0.021	0.06 (0.02) [0.00]	0.09 (0.01)
Amazon	0.419	0.39 (0.45) [0.85]	0.30 (0.09)
Apple	1.424	1.19 (0.55) [0.22]	0.29 (0.09)
Baidu	0.080	0.20 (0.17) [0.00]	0.36 (0.09)
CCB	0.202	0.33 (0.25) [0.04]	0.24 (0.14)
Coca Cola	1.068	1.07 (0.53) [0.50]	0.25 (0.04)
Dow Jones	0.684	0.70 (0.30) [0.91]	0.25 (0.05)
ExxonMobil	0.098	0.10 (0.35) [0.91]	0.34 (0.08)
FTSE 100	0.103	0.50 (0.23) [0.00]	0.31 (0.08)
Google	0.227	-0.54 (0.59) [0.00]	0.43 (0.13)
HSBC	0.011	0.38 (0.17) [0.00]	0.29 (0.05)
JPMorgan Chase	0.722	0.72 (0.31) [0.98]	0.26 (0.06)
Kirin	0.852	0.85 (0.42) [0.99]	0.39 (0.07)
Meta	0.151	0.63 (0.61) [0.01]	0.45 (0.27)
Microsoft	0.987	0.70 (1.00) [0.38]	0.28 (0.16)
NASDAQ 100	0.845	0.85 (0.35) [1.00]	0.16 (0.05)
Nikkei 225	0.019	0.26 (0.29) [0.02]	0.29 (0.07)
Nokia	-0.094	0.07 (0.24) [0.00]	0.57 (0.15)
PetroChina	0.156	0.22 (0.29) [0.29]	0.67 (0.00)
Philips	0.675	1.40 (0.50) [0.00]	0.25 (0.03)
S&P 500	0.834	0.83 (0.25) [1.00]	0.14 (0.04)
Shell	0.425	0.42 (0.37) [0.95]	0.51 (0.05)
Siemens	0.426	0.39 (0.23) [0.43]	0.26 (0.12)
Sony	0.424	0.42 (0.36) [0.97]	0.16 (0.04)
Tesla	0.621	0.48 (0.41) [0.29]	0.52 (0.09)
Tencent	-0.198	-0.19 (0.33) [0.98]	0.10 (0.09)
Toyota	0.304	0.36 (0.27) [0.37]	0.45 (0.10)
Volkswagen	0.216	0.45 (0.18) [0.00]	0.48 (0.09)

TABLE XI
REPLICATION METRICS FOR [3]

To mitigate memorization and data leakage risks, named entities and dates were anonymized (e.g., “Tesla” becomes “the Company”).

APPENDIX E REPLICATED BENCHMARK METRICS

We report the replicated benchmark metrics in Appendix E for the assets used in [3]. We include the mean SR and MDD, each averaged across 25 runs with standard deviation σ .

For the SR, we conduct a two-sided one-sample t -test to assess whether the metric is significantly different from the published value. The null hypothesis H_0 assumes equivalence, i.e. $H_0 : \mu_{SR} = SR_{paper}$.

Since this is a replication test, failing to reject H_0 indicates successful replication. p -values are computed only for SR; other metrics are reported without significance testing.

All assets have been successfully replicated within acceptable bounds, with exceptions highlighted in bold. Notably, GOOGL, one of the stocks included in our test environment, exhibited a statistically significant deviation from the original benchmark, with a p -value below 0.05.