

# Build Fast and Accurate Lemmatization for Arabic

**Hamdy Mubarak**

QCRI, Hamad Bin Khalifa University (HBKU), Doha, Qatar

hmubarak@hbku.edu.qa

## Abstract

In this paper we describe the complexity of building a lemmatizer for Arabic which has a rich and complex derivational morphology, and we discuss the need for a fast and accurate lemmatization to enhance Arabic Information Retrieval (IR) results. We also introduce a new data set that can be used to test lemmatization accuracy, and an efficient lemmatization algorithm that outperforms state-of-the-art Arabic lemmatization in terms of accuracy and speed. We share the data set and the code for public.

**Keywords:** Arabic NLP, Lemmatization, Information Retrieval, Text Mining, Diactitization

## 1. Introduction

Lemmatization is the process of finding the base form (or lemma) of a word by considering its inflected forms. Lemma is also called dictionary form, or citation form, and it refers to all words having the same meaning.

Lemmatization is an important preprocessing step for many applications of text mining and question-answering systems, and researches in Arabic Information Retrieval (IR) systems show the need for representing Arabic words at lemma level for many applications, including keyphrase extraction (El-Shishtawy and Al-Sammak, 2009) and machine translation (Dichy and Fargaly, 2003). In addition, lemmatization provides a productive way to generate generic keywords for search engines (SE) or labels for concept maps (Plisson et al., 2004).

Word stem is that core part of the word that never changes even with morphological inflections; the part that remains after prefix and suffix removal. Sometimes the stem of the word is different than its lemma, for example the words: believe, believed, believing, and unbelievable share the stem (believ-), and have the normalized word form (believe) standing for the infinitive of the verb (believe).

While stemming tries to remove prefixes and suffixes from words that appear with inflections in free text, lemmatization tries to replace word suffixes with (typically) different suffix to get its lemma.

This extended abstract is organized as follows: Section 2. shows some complexities in building Arabic lemmatization, and surveys prior work on Arabic stemming and lemmatization; Section 3. introduces the dataset that we created to test lemmatization accuracy; Section 4. describes the algorithm of the system that we built and report results and error analysis in section 5.; and Section 6. discusses the results and concludes the abstract.

## 2. Background

Arabic is the largest Semitic language spoken by more than 400 million people. It's one of the six official languages in the United Nations, and the fifth most widely spoken language after Chinese, Spanish, English, and Hindi.

Arabic has a very rich morphology, both derivational and inflectional. Generally, Arabic words are derived from a root that uses three or more consonants to define a broad meaning or concept, and they follow some templatic morphological patterns. By adding vowels, prefixes and suffixes to the root, word inflections are generated. For instance, the word وسیفتحون (wsyftHwn)<sup>1</sup> “and they will open” has the trilateral root فتح (ftH), which has the basic meaning of opening, has prefixes وس (ws) “and will”, suffixes ون (wn) “they”, stem يفتح (yftH) “open”, and lemma فتح (ftH) “the concept of opening”.

IR systems typically cluster words together into groups according to three main levels: root, stem, or lemma. The root level is considered by many researchers in the IR field which leads to high recall but low precision due to language complexity, for example words كتب، مكتبة، كتاب (ktb, mktbp, ktAb) “wrote, library, book” have the same root كتب (ktb) with the basic meaning of writing, so searching for any of these words by root, yields getting the other words which may not be desirable for many users.

Other researchers show the importance of using stem level for improving retrieval precision and recall as they capture semantic similarity between inflected words. However, in Arabic, stem patterns may not capture similar words having the same semantic meaning. For example, stem patterns for broken plurals are different from their singular patterns, e.g. the plural أقلام (AqlAm) “pens” will not match the stem of its singular form قلم (qlm) “pen”. The same applies to many imperfect verbs that have different stem patterns than their perfect verbs, e.g. the verbs استطاع، يستطيع (AstTAE, ystTyE) “he could, he can” will not match because they have different stems. Indexing using lemmatization can enhance the performance of Arabic IR systems.

A lot of work has been done in word stemming and lemmatization in different languages, for example the famous Porter stemmer for English, but for Arabic, there

---

<sup>1</sup>Words are written in Arabic, transliterated using Buckwalter transliteration, and translated.

are few work has been done especially in lemmatization, and there is no open-source code and new testing data that can be used by other researchers for word lemmatization. Xerox Arabic Morphological Analysis and Generation (Beesley, 1996) is one of the early Arabic stemmers, and it uses morphological rules to obtain stems for nouns and verbs by looking into a table of thousands of roots.

Khoja’s stemmer (Khoja, 1999) and Buckwalter morphological analyzer (Buckwalter, 2002) are other root-based analyzers and stemmers which use tables of valid combinations between prefixes and suffixes, prefixes and stems, and stems and suffixes. Recently, MADAMIRA (Pasha et al., 2014) system has been evaluated using a blind testset (25K words for Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) selected from Penn Arabic Tree bank (PATB)), and the reported accuracy was 96.2% as the percentage of words where the chosen analysis (provided by SAMA morphological analyzer (Graff et al., 2009)) has the correct lemma.

In this paper, we present an open-source Java code to extract Arabic word lemmas, and a new publicly available testset for lemmatization allowing researches to evaluate using the same dataset that we used, and reproduce same experiments.

### 3. Data Description

To make the annotated data publicly available, we selected 70 news articles from Arabic WikiNews site <https://ar.wikinews.org/wiki>. These articles cover recent news from year 2013 to year 2015 in multiple genres (politics, economics, health, science and technology, sports, arts, and culture.) Articles contain 18,300 words, and they are evenly distributed among these 7 genres with 10 articles per each.

Word are white-space and punctuation separated, and some spelling errors are corrected (1.33% of the total words) to have very clean test cases. Lemmatization is done by an expert Arabic linguist where spelling corrections are marked, and lemmas are provided with full diacritization as shown in Figure 1.

As MSA is usually written without diacritics and IR systems normally remove all diacritics from search queries and indexed data as a basic preprocessing step, so another column for undiacritized lemma is added and it’s used for evaluating our lemmatizer and comparing with state-of-the-art system for lemmatization; MADAMIRA.

### 4. system Description

We were inspired by the work done by (Darwish and Mubarak, 2016) for segmenting Arabic words out of context. They achieved an accuracy of almost 99%; slightly better than state-of-the-art system for segmentation (MADAMIRA) which considers surrounding context and many linguistic features. This system shows enhancements in both Machine Translation, and Information Retrieval tasks (Abdelali et al., 2016). This work can be considered

refLemmaUndiac	refLemma	spell	corrWord	orgWord
اعلام	إِعْلَام		للإِعْلَام	لِلْإِعْلَام
بديل	بَيْدِيل		البَيْدِيل	البَيْدِيل
حر	حَر		وَالْحَر	وَالْحَر
,	,		,	,
دعا	ذَعَا	1	وَذَعَا	وَدَعَى
حضور	حُضُور		حُضُور	حُضُور
مؤتمر	مُؤَتَّمِر		المُؤَتَّمِر	المُؤَتَّمِر
من	مِنْ		مِنْ	مِنْ
مهتم	مَهْتَمٌ		المَهْتَمِين	الْمَهْتَمِين

Figure 1: Lemmatization of WikiNews corpus

as an extension to word segmentation.

From a large diacritized corpus, we constructed a dictionary of words and their possible diacritizations ordered by number of occurrences of each diacritized form. This diacritized corpus was created by a commercial vendor and contains 9.7 million words with almost 200K unique surface words. About 73% of the corpus is in MSA and covers variety of genres like politics, economy, sports, society, etc. and the remaining part is mostly religious texts written in classical Arabic (CA). The effectiveness of using this corpus in building state-of-the-art diacritizer was proven in (Darwish et al., 2017). For example, the word (wbnwd) “and items” is found 4 times in this corpus with two full diacritization forms (wabunudi, wabunudK) “items, with different grammatical case endings” which appeared 3 times and once respectively. All unique undiacritized words in this corpus were analyzed using Buckwalter morphological analyzer which gives all possible word diacritizations, and their segmentation, POS tag and lemma as shown in Figure 2.

```

INPUT STRING: وبنود
LOOK-UP WORD: wbnwd
SOLUTION 1: (wabunuwd) [banod_1] wa/CONJ+bunuwd/NOUN
(GLOSS): and + articles/clauses +
SOLUTION 2: (wabinawod) [nawod_1] wa/CONJ+bi/PREP+nawod/NOUN
(GLOSS): and + by/with + swaying/swinging +

```

Figure 2: Buckwalter analysis (diacritization forms and lemmas are highlighted)

The idea is to take the most frequent diacritized form for words appear in this corpus, and find the morphological analysis with highest matching score between its diacritized form and the corpus word. This means that we search for the most common diacritization of the word regardless of its surrounding context. In the above example, the first solution is preferred and hence its lemma بـنـود (banod, bnd after diacritics removal) “item”.

While comparing two diacritized forms from the corpus and Buckwalter analysis, special cases were applied

to solve inconsistencies between the two diacritization schemas, for example while words are fully diacritized in the corpus, Buckwalter analysis gives diacritics without case ending (i.e. without context), and removes short vowels in some cases, for example before long vowels, and after the definite article ال (Al) “the”, etc.

It worths mentioning that there are many cases in Buckwalter analysis where for the input word, there are two or more identical diacritizations with different lemmas, and the analyses of such words are provided without any meaningful order. For example the word سيارة (syArp) “car” has two morphological analyses with different lemmas, namely سيار (syAr) “walker”, and سيارة (syArp) “car” in this order while the second lemma is the most common one. To solve this problem, all these words are reported and the top frequent words are revised and order of lemmas were changed according to actual usage in modern language.

The lemmatization algorithm can be summarized in Figure 3, and the online system can be tested through the site <http://alt.qcri.org/farasa/segmenter.html>

## 5. Evaluation

Data was formatted in a plain text format where sentences are written in separate lines and words are separated by spaces, and the outputs of MADAMIRA and our system are compared against the undiacritized lemma for each word. For accurate results, all differences were revised manually to accept cases that should not be counted as errors (different writings of foreign names entities for example as in هونج كونج، هونج كونج (hwng kwng, hwnj kwnj) “Hong Kong”, or more than one accepted lemma for some function words, e.g the lemmas في، فيما (fy, fymA) are both valid for the function word فيما (fymA) “while”).

Table 1 shows results of testing our system and MADAMIRA on the WikiNews testset (for undiacritized lemmas). Our approach gives +7% relative gain above MADAMIRA in lemmatization task.

System	Accuracy
Our System	<b>97.32%</b>
MADAMIRA	96.61%

Table 1: Lemmatization accuracy using WikiNews testset

In terms of speed, our system was able to lemmatize 7.4 million words on a personal laptop in almost 2 minutes compared to 2.5 hours for MADAMIRA, i.e. 75 times faster. The code is written entirely in Java without any external dependency which makes its integration in other systems quite simple.

### 5.1. Error Analysis

Most of the lemmatization errors in our system are due to fact that we use the most common diacritization of words

without considering their contexts which cannot solve the ambiguity in cases like nouns and adjectives that share the same diacritization forms, for example the word أكاديمية (AkAdymyp) can be either a noun and its lemma is (AkAdymyp) “academy”, or an adjective and its lemma is أكاديمي (AkAdymy) “academic”. Also for MADAMIRA, errors in selecting the correct Part-of-Speech (POS) for ambiguous words, and foreign named entities.

In the full paper, we will quantify error cases in our lemmatizer and MADAMIRA and give examples for each case which can help in enhancing both systems.

## 6. Discussion

In this paper, we introduce a new dataset for Arabic lemmatization and a very fast and accurate lemmatization algorithm that performs better than state-of-the art system; MADAMIRA. Both the dataset and the code will be publicly available. We show that to build an effective IR system for complex derivational languages like Arabic, there is a big need for very fast and accurate lemmatization algorithms, and we show that this can be achieved by considering only the most frequent diacritized form for words and matching this form with the morphological analysis with highest similarity score. We plan to study the performance if the algorithm was modified to provide diacritized lemmas which can be useful for other applications.

## 7. Bibliographical References

- Abdelali, A., Darwish, K., Durrani, N., and Mubarak, H. (2016). Farasa: A fast and furious segmenter for arabic. In *HLT-NAACL Demos*, pages 11–16.
- Beesley, K. (1996). Arabic finite-state morphological analysis and generation. In *In COLING-96: Proceedings of the 16th international*, pages 89–94.
- Buckwalter, T. (2002). Arabic finite-state morphological analysis and generation. In <http://members.aol.com/ArabicLexicons/>.
- Darwish, K. and Mubarak, H. (2016). Farasa: A new fast and accurate arabic word segmenter. In *LREC*.
- Darwish, K., Mubarak, H., and Abdelali, A. (2017). Arabic diacritization: Stats, rules, and hacks. In *Proceedings of the Third Arabic Natural Language Processing Workshop*, pages 9–17.
- Dichy, J. and Fargaly, A. (2003). Roots and patterns vs. stems plus grammar-lexis specifications: on what basis should a multilingual lexical database centred on arabic be built? In *Proceedings of the MTSummit, New Orleans*.
- El-Shishtawy, T. and Al-Sammak, A. (2009). Arabic keyphrase extraction using linguistic knowledge and machine learning techniques. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Arabic Language Resources and Tools, The MEDAR Consortium*.
- Graff, D., Maamouri, M., Bouziri, B., Krouna, S., Kulick, S., and Buckwalter, T. (2009). Standard arabic morphological analyzer (sama) version 3.1. In *Linguistic Data Consortium LDC2009E73*.

```

Remove diacritics from input buffer
For each word in the input buffer
    Search for the word in dictionary that contains words, diacritizations, and lemmas
    If found
        Take the first lemma
    else
        Segment word into clitics (using Farasa segmentation)
        Skip prefixes from the segmented word and get the first clitic (mostly stem) after prefixes
        Handle special cases for some suffixes, e.g. taa marboutha and Hamza on yaa and waw
        Search for the stem in the dictionary
        if found
            Take the first lemma
        else
            Lemma = stem

```

Figure 3: summary of lemmatization algorithm

Khoja, S. (1999). Stemming arabic text. In *Computing Department, Lancaster University*.

Pasha, A., Al-Badrashiny, M., Diab, M., El Kholy, A., Es-kander, R., Habash, N., Pooleery, M., Rambow, O., and Roth, R. M. (2014). Madamira: A fast, comprehensive tool for morphological analysis and disambiguation of Arabic. *Proc. LREC*.

Plisson, J., Lavrac, N., and Mladenic, M. (2004). A rule based approach to word lemmatization. In *researchgate.net*.