Classification of Melanoma Lesions Using Sparse Coded Features and Random Forests

Mojdeh Rastgoo[a,b] and Guillaume Lemaître[a,b] and Olivier Morel[a] and Johan Massich[a] and Frank Marzani[a] and Rafael Garcia[b] and Désiré Sidibé[a]

[a]LE2I UMR6306, CNRS, Arts et Métiers, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 12 rue de la Fonderie, 71200 Le Creusot, France;
[b]ViCOROB, Universitat de Girona, Campus Montilivi, Edifici P4, 17071 Girona, Spain

ABSTRACT

Malignant melanoma is the most dangerous type of skin cancer, yet it is the most treatable kind of cancer, conditioned by its early diagnosis which is a challenging task for clinicians and dermatologists. In this regard, CAD systems based on machine learning and image processing techniques are developed to differentiate melanoma lesions from benign and dysplastic nevi using dermoscopic images. Generally, these frameworks are composed of sequential processes: pre-processing, segmentation, and classification. This architecture faces mainly two challenges: (i) each process is complex with the need to tune a set of parameters, and is specific to a given dataset; (ii) the performance of each process depends on the previous one, and the errors are accumulated throughout the framework. In this paper, we propose a framework for melanoma classification based on sparse coding which does not rely on any pre-processing or lesion segmentation. Our framework uses Random Forests classifier and sparse representation of three features: SIFT, Hue and Opponent angle histograms, and RGB intensities. The experiments are carried out on the public PH^2 dataset using a 10-fold cross-validation. The results show that SIFT sparse-coded feature achieves the highest performance with sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 90.3% respectively, with a dictionary size of 800 atoms and a sparsity level of 2. Furthermore, the descriptor based on RGB intensities achieves similar results with sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 71.3%, respectively for a smaller dictionary size of 100 atoms. In conclusion, dictionary learning techniques encode strong structures of dermoscopic images and provide discriminant descriptors.

Keywords: Melanoma, Classification, Sparse coding, Random forests, Dermoscopy

1. DESCRIPTION

Malignant melanoma is a type of skin cancer and although it accounts for almost 2% of all skin cancer cases, it is the deadliest type causing the vast majority of deaths. The incidence of melanoma has increased in the past decades to currently reach 132,000 melanoma cases, according to the *World Health Organisation*. At the same time, however, the patient survival rate significantly has increased, thanks to early diagnosis and treatment of melanoma.

The clinical prognosis of early stage of melanoma is based on the "ABCDE" rule, standing for: Asymmetry, irregular Borders, variegated Colors, Diameter greater than 6 mm and Evolving stages of the lesion over time. At each clinical routine, these criterion are used to visually inspect skin lesions which are acquired through different imaging techniques such as dermoscopy. The similarity between the lesions and the necessity to perform patients follow-up over years makes visual inspection difficult and more prone to errors. Thus, Computer-Aided Diagnosis systems based on machine learning and image processing techniques have been proposed to assist the dermatologists and clinicians. The proposed algorithms generally attend to mimic the characteristics of the "ABCDE" rule and consist of common steps of pre-processing, segmentation and classification of extracted features. This sequential architecture is complex and each process of the framework is data-driven.

Further author information: (Send correspondence to M.R. or G.L)
M.R.: E-mail: mojdeh.rastgodast@udg.edu
G.L.: E-mail: guillaume.lemaitre@udg.edu

In this paper, we propose a more general framework which does not need pre-processing and segmentation of the lesions and is based on sparse coded features and Random Forests (RF) classifier to detect melanoma in dermoscopic images.

2. METHODOLOGY

As mentioned in the Sect. 1, the proposed framework do not rely on pre-processing an segmentation and focus only on feature detection, extraction and classification.

Feature detection Low-level feature are used to encode the texture and color aspects of dermoscopic images. In this regard, Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) (named *SIFT*) and two color descriptors: the first color descriptor consists of Hue and opponent color space angle histogram (named *C1*), and the second color descriptor is based on the concatenation of the R, G and B intensities (named *C2*). All these features are extracted from local patches in the dermoscopic images.

Feature extraction High-level descriptor is computed using sparse coding techniques. Sparse signal representation has become very popular in the past decades and lead to state-of-the-art results in various applications such as face recognition, image denoising, image inpainting, and image classification. The main goal of sparse modeling is to efficiently represent the images as linear combination of a few typical patterns, called atoms, selected from the dictionary. Here, we intend to use sparse representation of the low-level extracted features for melanoma classification. Sparse coding consists of three main steps: (i) dictionary learning, (ii) low-level features projection, and (iii) feature pooling.

The dictionary is learned using K-SVD which is a generalized version of K-means clustering and uses Singular Value Decomposition. The dictionary is built such that:

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Dx}\|_2 \qquad \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq \lambda \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{y}$ is a low-level descriptor, $\mathbf{x}$ is the sparse coded descriptor (i.e., high-level descriptor) with a sparsity level λ, and $\mathbf{D}$ is the dictionary with K atoms.

Once the dictionary is learned, each low-level extracted feature from a patch can be projected using $\mathbf{D}$ to form a set of sparse codes. This set is further max-pooled to built a final global descriptor to characterize the whole image.

Feature classification The descriptor obtained after max-pooling is used to train and test a RF classifier.

3. CONTRIBUTION

We propose a classification framework which do not rely on pre-processing and lesion segmentation and is based on sparse coded features. It is also presented that low-level features such as intensity values can be used directly for classification of the lesions within such framework.

4. EXPERIMENTS

The experiments are conducted on the public PH^2 dataset which is acquired at *Dermatology Service of Hospital Pedro Hispano, Matosinhos, Portugal*. The dataset contains 200 dermoscopic images divided into two classes: (i) 160 benign and dysplastic, and (ii) 40 melanoma lesions. Seven images have been discarded due to artefacts such as hair occlusions; thus, our experiments are conducted on a subset of the dataset consisting of 39 melanoma, 78 benign, and 76 dysplastic lesions. The patch size used to extract the feature is $10 \, \text{px} \times 10 \, \text{px}$. Similarly to [], the imbalanced issue of the dataset is tackled by over-sampling the samples of the minority class. New samples are generated to get a balance, set by randomly repeating original samples of the minority class with an additional Gaussian noise $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.0001)$. The three low-level features are sparsely encoded considering three sparsity levels $\lambda = \{2, 4, 8\}$ and different number of atoms $K = \{100, 200, \cdots, 1000\}$. The classification is performed using a RF classifier with 1000 unpruned trees using gini criterion, in a 10-fold cross-validation model in which 80% of the data is used for training and 20% for testing.

Table 1: The obtained results with different number of atoms and sparsity levels. The first, second, and third highest results for each sparsity level are highlighted in different shades of gray from dark to light color, respectively.

| Features	Color1						Color2						SIFT					
Sparsity level	2		4		8		2		4		8		2		4		8	
Dictionary size	SE	SP	SE	SP	SE	SP	SE	SP	SE	SP	SE	SP	SE	SP	SE	SP	SE	SP
100	65.6	49.6	51.5	49.4	41.4	59.7	100	71.7	48.5	71.3	59.9	60	1.4	99.4	0	100	1.4	99.7
200	50.1	59.3	52.7	53.5	51.5	50	57.2	64	95.8	86.6	71.4	72	65.6	47.5	34.3	78	20	95
300	59.8	65.4	52.8	71	57.1	62.3	30	80	65.7	75.4	85.7	85.6	58.6	47.7	64.3	51.1	8.6	88.7
400	67	78.6	62.6	81.3	69.9	76	38.5	66	85.8	77.3	78.6	91.4	62.8	74.6	59.9	64.7	71.3	58.2
500	78.7	79	71.4	78.3	51.3	84	54.2	59.7	61.4	69.6	82.9	83.4	58.5	92	61.2	72.9	54	56.7
600	98.6	82.5	68.7	89.6	64	89.9	48.7	78.4	50	64.6	91.4	89.3	85.8	86.6	61.4	73.3	51.3	53.4
700	92.8	89.9	72.8	91.9	54.4	95.9	37.1	75.4	72.8	72	80	82.6	98.6	84.6	73	94.8	47	62.4
800	92.9	81.4	100	88.4	78.5	89.7	40	70.9	58.6	80.1	97.2	83.9	100	90.3	97.1	93	48.5	72.7
900	90	88	80	92	79.9	95.4	25.7	81.3	19.9	91.1	95.7	73.1	95.7	81.8	80	94.5	54.2	78.5
1000	100	86.8	80	89.6	94.3	91.7	34.3	70.7	42.7	76.5	100	73.8	90	83.5	71.4	89.8	51.3	90.3

Figure 1: The 12 highest result achieved by RF classifier and sparse representation of SIFT, *color1*, and *color2* features with different **sparsity levels** are illustrated in blue and black as sensitivity and specificity, respectively, while their dictionary size is represented in red. A comparison of the dictionary sizes is also presented in middle of the graph, which contains five levels with maximum dictionary sizes of 1000, 800, 600, 400, 200 for each level respectively.

5. RESULTS

The results of the experiment are shown in terms of Sensitivity (SE) and Specificity (SP) in Table 1. The highest classification rate in respect of each feature type and each sparsity level are highlighted in different shades of gray from dark to light. The results show that *C1* and SIFT sparse coded features perform better with sparsity levels of 2 and 4, respectively, while *C2* performs better with sparsity level of 8. In general, larger dictionary sizes lead to better classification performance, independently to the feature type and the sparsity level. More precisely, dictionaries with more than 600 atoms are preferable. Figure 1 illustrate the 12 best results. Although,

SIFT and *C1* sparse coded features achieve the best classification performance in comparison with *C2*, it can be noted that *C2* features represent the dermoscopic images in their simplest form and create comparable results.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, we proposed a novel classification framework of melanoma lesions, based on sparse representation of the low-level features. Our framework does not need the primary steps of pre-processing and segmentation of the lesions and provide more general algorithm to solve this problem. We proposed to use a well-known color descriptor based on Hue and opponent color space histograms, and SIFT as a texture descriptor. We also consider to represent the images in their simplest form and consider the second color descriptor as R,G and B intensity values from the image. An extensive comparison based on different dictionary sizes and several sparsity levels were carried out on the PH^2 dataset. The results highlighted the advantage of the proposed method where an RF classifier and a sparse representation of SIFT features with a dictionary size of 800 and sparsity level of 2 achieved the highest performance in terms of SE and SP of 100% and 90.3%, respectively. As avenues for future research, a comparison of sparse learned dictionary with Bag of Word models can be performed.

Note. This work has not been submitted for publication or presentation elsewhere