## Normalization of T2W-MRI Prostate Images using Rician a priori

Guillaume Lemaître<sup>a,b</sup> and Mojdeh Rastgoo<sup>a,b</sup> and Johan Massich<sup>a</sup> and Joan C. Villanova<sup>b</sup> and Paul M. Walker<sup>a</sup> and Jordi Freixenet<sup>b</sup> Fabrice Mériaudeau<sup>a</sup> and Robert Martí<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>LE2I UMR6306, CNRS, Arts et Métiers, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 12 rue de la Fonderie, 71200 Le Creusot, France;

 $^b\mathrm{ViCOROB},$  Universitat de Girona, Campus Montilivi, Edifici P4, 17071 Girona, Spain

## ABSTRACT

Prostate cancer is reported to be the second most frequently diagnosed cancer of men in the world. In practise, diagnosis can be affected by multiple factors which reduces the chance to detect the potential lesions. In the last decades, new imaging techniques mainly based on MRI are developed in conjunction with Computer-Aided Diagnosis (CAD) systems to help radiologists for such diagnosis. CAD systems are usually designed as a sequential process consisting of four stages: pre-processing, segmentation, registration and classification. As a pre-processing, image normalization remains an important process to later design robust classifier and overcome the intensity variations inter-patients. As a pre-processing, image normalization is a critical and important step of the chain in order to design a robust classifier and overcome the inter-patients intensity variations. However, little attention has been dedicated to the normalization of T2W-MRI prostate images. In this paper, we propose a method based on a Rician a priori in order to normalize T2W-MRI prostate images. A comparison with the state-of-the-art methods is also provided. The normalization of the data is assessed by comparing the alignment of the patient Probability Density Function (PDF)s in both qualitative and quantitative manners. In both evaluation, the normalization using Rician a priori outperforms the other state-of-the-art methods.

Keywords: Prostate cancer, T2W MRI, Normalisation, pre-processing, computer-aided diagnosis

## 1. DESCRIPTION

Prostate cancer (Cap) has been reported the second most frequently diagnosed cancer of men accounting for 13.6%. <sup>1</sup> In United States, aside from skin cancer, Cap was considered to be the most commonly diagnosed cancer among men, implying that approximately one in 6 men will be diagnosed with Cap during their lifetime. American cancer society also reported an estimated 233,000 new cases of prostate cancer in 2014. <sup>2</sup> how Cap diagnosis is performed In the past decades, several Computer-Aided Diagnosis (CAD) systems have been proposed in order to assist the radiologists with their diagnosis. These systems are usually designed as a sequential process consisting of four stages: pre-processing, segmentation, registration and classification. As a pre-processing steps, image normalization is an important step of the chain. This step is highly crucial in order to achieve a robust classification of segmentation and overcome the inter-patients intensity variations. Patient variability is a common and occurrence fact, even using the same scanner, protocol or sequence parameter, hence the main aim of the normalization of the Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data is to remove the variability between patients and enforce the repeatability of the MRI examinations. Few approaches have been proposed in the past to address this issue. Artan et.al.<sup>3,4</sup> and Ozer et.al. proposed to normalize the T2-W images by computing the standard score (i.e. z-score) of the pixels of the Peripheral Zone (PZ) as:

$$I_s(x) = \frac{I_r(x) - \mu_{pz}}{\sigma_{pz}}, \forall x \in PZ$$
 (1)

Where,  $I_s(x)$  and  $I_r(x)$  are the standardized and the raw signal intensity, respectively and  $\mu_{PZ}$  and  $\sigma_{PZ}$  are the mean and standard deviation of the PZ signal intensity. This transformation enforces the image Probability

Further author information: (Send correspondence to G.L) G.L.: E-mail: guillaume.lemaitre@udg.edu

Density Function (PDF) to have the zero mean and a unit standard deviation. Lv et.al.<sup>5</sup> proposed to transform and match the PDF of T2-W image using some statistical landmarks such as median and different quantiles. His method is based on the assumption that MRI images from the same sequence should share the same PDF appearance. Viswanath et.al.<sup>6</sup> proposed a variant of the previous method for normalization of the T2-W MRI images. In their proposed method instead of computing the PDF of an entire image, the PDF of the largest region in the foreground are computed and aligned in the same manner as.<sup>5</sup>

In this paper, we propose a method based on a Rician *a priori* in order to normalize T2W-MRI prostate images. abit more information maybe

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. Ferlay, H.-R. Shin, F. Bray, D. Forman, C. Mathers, and D. M. Parkin, "Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: Globocan 2008," *International journal of cancer* 127(12), pp. 2893–2917, 2010.
- 2. A. C. Society, "Cancer facts & figures 2014," 2014.
- Y. Artan, M. Haider, D. L. Langer, T. H. van der Kwast, A. J. Evans, Y. Yang, M. N. Wernick, J. Trachtenberg, I. S. Yetik, et al., "Prostate cancer localization with multispectral mri using cost-sensitive support vector machines and conditional random fields," *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on* 19(9), pp. 2444–2455, 2010.
- 4. Y. Artan, D. L. Langer, M. A. Haider, T. H. van der Kwast, A. J. Evans, M. N. Wernick, and I. S. Yetik, "Prostate cancer segmentation with multispectral mri using cost-sensitive conditional random fields," in *Biomedical Imaging: From Nano to Macro, 2009. ISBI'09. IEEE International Symposium on*, pp. 278–281, IEEE, 2009.
- D. Lv, X. Guo, X. Wang, J. Zhang, and J. Fang, "Computerized characterization of prostate cancer by fractal analysis in mr images," *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging* 30(1), pp. 161–168, 2009.
- 6. S. E. Viswanath, N. B. Bloch, J. C. Chappelow, R. Toth, N. M. Rofsky, E. M. Genega, R. E. Lenkinski, and A. Madabhushi, "Central gland and peripheral zone prostate tumors have significantly different quantitative imaging signatures on 3 tesla endorectal, in vivo t2-weighted mr imagery," *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 36(1), pp. 213–224, 2012.