

Element count: 20

Order	Quicksort (ms)	Heap sort (ms)	Bubble sort (ms)	Insertion sort (ms)
random	0.916887	0.989334	0.943554	0.86222
ascending	0.589776	0.591556	0.540888	0.529777
descending	0.611554	0.572445	0.575998	0.561777

Element count: 100000

Order	Quicksort (ms)	Heap sort (ms)	Bubble sort (ms)	Insertion sort (ms)
random	34.449701	32.866696	25799.162669	9374.500501
ascending	StackOverflowError	26.216912	1715.519743	1953.898769
descending	StackOverflowError	23.212909	4714.834411	4714.111746

Discussion

- Quicksort is faster but got `StackOverflowError` for 100K elements except in random order. This error occurred because of the recursive implementation.

It occurred because `arr[left]` was selected as the pivot, so time complexity was increased to $O(n^2)$ in ascending and descending orders.

- Bubble sort and Insertion sort have worst time complexity but managed to sort even for 100K elements. In best case time complexity, $O(n)$ (ascending order), both of these algorithms took less amount of time than other orders. It's clearly visible in 100K elements.
- Heap sort performed well for 100K elements in all orders, because time complexity is $O(n \log n)$ for all orders.
- All algorithms are suitable for smaller arrays. For larger arrays, Heap sort or Quick sort (with random pivot) can be used.