



BTECH3618 • Software Testing and Reliability

CHAPTER 5

Data Flow Testing

Partition Testing

- • Comparison with path testing techniques
 - Path testing techniques are based on control flow, not content sensitive.
 - SC/BC – weak criteria
 - Path coverage – too many paths, (approximation)
- Cause data computation to be used.
- Look for data flow anomalies

Anomalie – an illogical or useless sequence of data object state change;

Definitions

P: Function or procedure under test

Control Flow Graph (CFG) = (N, E, nb, ne)

Path, Subpath, initial path

subpath – A sequence of arcs through the CFG.

(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) where $(n_i, n_{i+1}) \in E$ for

$1 \leq i \leq k$

Data Flow Terms

X : a variable in P

- Definition (def): gives a value to X ;
- Undefined(kill): X 's value is no longer known with certainty;
- Use(use): value of X is accessed.
 - Computation-use(c-use): X is used in a computation
 - Predicate-use(p-use): X is used in a predicate

Def-clear Subpath

- Def-clear subpath with respect to X is a path
- $(i, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, j)$ where there are no defs or
- undefs of X in any of the nodes n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k .

Example

Def-clear Path:

1-2-4-5

3-4-5

Not:

1-3-4-5

Data Flow Terms

- Global c-use: no definition of X preceding the use in the same block;
- • Local c-use: A def of X precedes the use in the same block
- $A = B + C$; C is a global c-uses
- $E = A * A$; A is a local uses
- • Global-def: def of X in a basic block is used in a predicate, or there is a global c-use in another basic block;

Data Flow Terms

- V – set of variables
- N – Set of nodes(Basic blocks)
- E – set of edges
- $\text{def}(i) = \{ x \in V \mid X \text{ has a global def in block } i \}$
- $\text{c-use}(i) = \{ x \in V \mid X \text{ has a global c-use in block } i \}$
- $\text{p-use}(i, j) = \{ x \in V \mid X \text{ has a p-use on edge } (i, j) \}$

Data Flow Terms

- $dcu(x,i) = \{ j \in N \mid X \in c\text{-use}(j) \text{ and a def-clear path with respect to } x \text{ from } i \text{ to } j \}$
- $dpu(x,i) = \{ (j,k) \in N \mid X \in p\text{-use}(j,k) \text{ and a def-clear path with respect to } x \text{ from } i \text{ to } (j,k) \}$

Frankl and Weyuker's Data Flow Criteria

- All-defs requires that for each definition of a variable X in P , the set of paths Π executed by the test set T contains a definition-clear subpath from the definition to at least one c-use or one p-use of X .
- All-c-uses requires that for each definition of a variable X in P , and each c-use of X reachable from the definition, Π contains a definitionclear subpath from the definition to the c-use.
- All-p-uses requires that for each definition of a variable X in P , and each p-use of X reachable from the definition, Π contains a definitionclear subpath from the definition to the p-use.

Frankl and Weyuker's Seven Data Flow Criteria

- All-c-uses/some-p-uses that for each definition of X in p , if there exists
at least one c-use of X reachable from the definition, Π contains a
definition-clear subpath from the definition to at all reachable c-uses of
 X , otherwise, Π contains a definition-clear subpath from the definition
to a reachable p-use of X .
- All-p-uses/some-c-uses that for each definition of X in p , if there exists
at least one p-use of X reachable from the definition, Π contains a
definition-clear subpath from the definition to at all reachable p-uses of
 X , otherwise, Π contains a definition-clear subpath from the definition
to a reachable c-use of X .

Frankl and Weyuker's Seven Data Flow Criteria

- All-uses requires that for each definition of X in P , Π contains a definition-clear subpath from the definition to all reachable c-uses and p-uses of X .
- All-du-paths requires that for each definition of X in P , Π contains all definition-clear subpaths from the definition to all reachable c-uses and p-uses of X , such that each subpath contains no loops, or contains one complete loop.
- All-paths requires that all paths through the program be executed.

Data Flow Anomalies

- dd – A def followed by a def
Missing use or incorrect def
- dk – def than killed
Missing use or incorrect def or undefinition
- du / kd – normal
- kk – killed then killed
- ku – kill then use (fault)
- ud,uk,uu - normal

Data Flow Anomalies

- means nothing happens with X before or after current reference
- k: nothing to kill
- d: Normal
- u: fault (unless X is global)
- k-: Normal
- d-: definition not used (unless X is global)
- u-: normal (unless X should be killed)

Example

```
A=0;  
While (A>0) {  
  if (A>10)  
    A = A – B;  
  else  
    B = B + 1;  
}  
print A,B
```

Data Flow Anomalies

- $\text{mindef}(i)$ = set of variables that will have definitions at node n ;
 $\text{mindef}(i) = \cap [\text{mindef}(p(i)) \cup \text{def}(p(i))]$; $p(i)$ – parents of i
 initial value of mindef of all nodes = {all variables}
- $\text{Defclear}(i)$ = all variables that have def-clear paths,
 $(t, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, i)$;
 $\text{defclear}(i) = \cup [\text{defclear}(p(i)) \cup \text{def}(p(i))] - \text{use}(i)$
 initial value of defclear of all nodes = $\{\}$

Example

```
A=0;  
While (A>0)  
{  
  if (A>10)  
    A = A - B;  
  else  
    B = B + 1;  
}  
print A,B
```

Example – Step (8)

- Step 0: Derive all the def, use set
 - Step 1: Initialization, make all $\text{mindef}(i) = \{\text{ALL}\}$, $\text{defclear}(i) = \{\}$.
 - Step 2: $\text{mindef}(\text{start}) = \cap \{\} = \{\}$
 $\text{defclear}(\text{start}) = \cup \{\} - \text{use}(\text{start}) = \{\}$
 - Step 3: $\text{mindef}(1) = [\text{mindef}(\text{start}) \cup \text{def}(\text{start})] = \{\}$
 $\text{defclear}(1) = [\text{defclear}(\text{start}) \cup \text{def}(\text{start})] - \text{use}(1) = \{\}$
 - Step 4: $\text{mindef}(2) = [\text{mindef}(1) \cup \text{def}(1)] \cap [\text{mindef}(4) \cup \text{def}(4)] \cap [\text{mindef}(5) \cup \text{def}(5)]$
 $= \{\text{A}\} \cap \{\text{ALL}\} \cap \{\text{ALL}\} = \{\text{A}\}$
 $\text{defclear}(2) = [\text{defclear}(1) \cup \text{def}(1)] \cup [\text{defclear}(4) \cup \text{def}(4)] \cup [\text{defclear}(5) \cup \text{def}(5)] - \text{use}(2)$
 $= \{\text{A}\} \cup \{\text{A}\} \cup \{\text{B}\} - \{\text{A}\} = \{\text{B}\}$
 - Step 5: $\text{mindef}(3) = [\text{mindef}(2) \cup \text{def}(2)] = \{\text{A}\}$
 $\text{defclear}(3) = [\text{defclear}(2) \cup \text{def}(2)] - \text{use}(3) = \{\text{B}\} - \{\text{A}\} = \{\text{B}\}$
 - Step 6: $\text{mindef}(4) = [\text{mindef}(3) \cup \text{def}(3)] = \{\text{A}\}$
 $\text{defclear}(4) = [\text{defclear}(3) \cup \text{def}(3)] - \text{use}(4) = \{\text{B}\} - \{\text{A}, \text{B}\} = \{\}$
 - Step 7: $\text{mindef}(5) = [\text{mindef}(3) \cup \text{def}(3)] = \{\text{A}\}$
 $\text{defclear}(5) = [\text{defclear}(3) \cup \text{def}(3)] - \text{use}(5) = \{\text{B}\} - \{\text{B}\} = \{\}$
 - Step 8: $\text{mindef}(6) = [\text{mindef}(2) \cup \text{def}(2)] = \{\text{A}\}$
 $\text{defclear}(6) = [\text{defclear}(2) \cup \text{def}(2)] - \text{use}(6) = \{\text{B}\} - \{\text{A}, \text{B}\} = \{\}$
 $\text{mindef}(\text{end}) = [\text{mindef}(6) \cup \text{def}(6)] = \{\text{A}\}$
 $\text{defclear}(\text{end}) = [\text{defclear}(6) \cup \text{def}(6)] - \text{use}(\text{end}) = \{\} - \{\} = \{\}$
- When trying to calculate for the second time, all sets remain the same, stop.

Identify Faults and Data Flow Anomalies

d- : defclear(exitnode) $\neq \{\}$

dd: defclear(i) \cap def(i) $\neq \{\}$

-u: use(i) - mindef(i) $\neq \{\}$

Identify Faults and data Flow Anomalies

- NODE 1 dd: $\text{defclear}(1) \cap \text{def}(1) = \{\} \cap \{A\} = \{\} \checkmark$
 -u: $\text{use}(i) - \text{mindef}(i) = \{\} - \{\} = \{\} \checkmark$
- NODE 2 dd: $\text{defclear}(2) \cap \text{def}(2) = \{A\} \cap \{\} = \{\} \checkmark$
 -u: $\text{use}(i) - \text{mindef}(i) = \{A\} - \{A\} = \{\} \checkmark$
- NODE 3 dd: $\text{defclear}(3) \cap \text{def}(3) = \{\} \cap \{\} = \{\} \checkmark$
 -u: $\text{use}(i) - \text{mindef}(i) = \{A\} - \{A\} = \{\} \checkmark$
- NODE 4 dd: $\text{defclear}(4) \cap \text{def}(4) = \{\} \cap \{A\} = \{\} \checkmark$
 -u: $\text{use}(i) - \text{mindef}(i) = \{A,B\} - \{A\} = \{B\} \times$ -u type Fault
- NODE 5 dd: $\text{defclear}(5) \cap \text{def}(5) = \{A\} \cap \{B\} = \{\} \checkmark$
 -u: $\text{use}(i) - \text{mindef}(i) = \{B\} - \{A\} = \{B\} \times$ -u type Fault
- NODE 6 dd: $\text{defclear}(6) \cap \text{def}(6) = \{\} \cap \{\} = \{\} \checkmark$
 -u: $\text{use}(i) - \text{mindef}(i) = \{A,B\} - \{A\} = \{B\} \times$ -u type Fault
- EndNode d-: $\text{delclear}(\text{end}) = \{\} \checkmark$

Discussion

- Unachievable d-u pair
- Array, pointer
- Inter – procedure data-flow analysis