

Intelligent Autonomous Robotics Report

Task 1

Babak Esmaeili, David Fullerton

October 8, 2015

Abstract

We have written a program for a Khepera robot that allows the robot to following along a wall while avoiding colliding with obstacles. When the robot is first released into the arena it will drive until it detects a surface, if the obstacle is detected with the front two IR sensors the robot will turn counter-clockwise on the spot until its right side is facing the wall. However if the robot first encounters an object on its left side, it will curve away to the right. Once the robot detects an object using sensor 6, the sensor on its right side, the robot follows the surface of the object attempting to keep within a set distance away from it, we use PID controls for this. If the robot is placed in an empty arena this will result in the robot driving until it reaches the wall and then following the wall in a counter-clockwise direction. Some limitations with our design are that the robot only uses one sensor to measure the distance from the wall when using PID control also if the robot meets a small object before a wall it will follow the edge of that object.

1 Introduction

The task was to design a controller for a Khepera robot that would navigate an arena while avoiding collisions with obstacles. If possible the robot would tend to follow long walls and avoid following the edge of smaller obstacles. We approached this by deciding the robot would favour keeping an object a set distance away from its right hand side. As for how the robot would decide if what it sensed was a wall or small object we were unsure how to approach this. One idea was that when a sensor received a reading above a threshold we would also check the adjacent sensors as to what their values were. If they were also above a threshold then it would suggest a wall, if not then a small object. We did not use this as it would only work for very small objects and for the front middle four sensors. We were late to start this project and so referred to a previous course, IVR, and course work we had done in the past to help our approach to this task.

2 Methods

First, we had to decide the value for the distance threshold, which would determine the robot's closeness to the wall. The most important IR sensor in our case is IR sensor number 6, which is the sensor that is used to measure its closeness to the wall. The robot's distance threshold is set to 120. If it detects a wall (or possibly an obstacle) close to it, PID control takes over to maintain the distance threshold to that wall (see algorithm 1). As we are only using IR sensor number 6 to follow the wall, our robot is only able to follow the wall existing on its right side, resulting in a counter clockwise path. For detecting corners, we are using IR sensors 3, 4, and 5. If these three sensors pick up a value above the distance threshold, the robot turns left on the spot. For detecting obstacles on its left side, we are using IR sensors 1 and 2. If these two IR

sensors pick up a value above 150, the robot's path starts curving to the right. A general view of how our robot moves around can be observed below:

Main Controller Algorithm
<p>If have not detected a wall yet => Keep going straight</p> <p>If reach a corner => Turn left on the spot</p> <p>If detecting an obstacle on the left side => Curve to the right</p> <p>Else Have found a wall, but no corner or obstacle detected => Go to PID control and follow the wall while maintaining the desired distance to the wall</p>

As explained in the previous paragraph, we have decided to use 'turning on the spot' for the corners and 'curving paths' for obstacle avoidance. Turning on the spot in the corners is the more sensible thing to do, since following a curved path might get the robot stuck at the edge of the front wall. However, for obstacle avoidance, following a curved path makes more sense, since it looks more realistic and also it faster than turning on the spot.

Algorithm 1 PID Controller

```

Kp = 9/default_dist % Parameter for P control
Ki = 2/default_dist % Parameter for PI control
Kd = -4/default_dist % Parameter for PD control

error = default_dist - dist % For P Control

previous_errors = [error, previous_errors] % For PI Control
int = trapz([1 : 100], previous_errors)/100

dev = diff(previous_errors) % For PD Control
dev = mean(dev)

v = floor(Kp * err + Ki * int + Kd * dev) % Do final calculation
set v between [-7, 7]
set_speeds(current_speed + v, current_speed - v)

```

One challenge we faced during the experiments was the buffering problem. Due to occasional power problems and possibly sending too many commands, our robot crashes from time to time. The reason for that is unwanted commands being sent to the robot without printing them, or in other words, keeping the buffer clear. First we made sure that whenever we are about to set the speed same as the previous loop, we avoid sending that command again and simply go to the next loop. We are also pausing the program for 0.1s at the end of every loop to make sure there is enough time spaces between commands.

3 Results

Our robot is able to follow the wall properly and avoid any obstacles both on its left side and right side. In 10 trials, each trial including one full path around the arena with some obstacle in the way, it got stuck 2 times next to a grey obstacle. We observed that it detects the darker objects, much later than the lighter objects. In other words, it must be very close to the dark object in order to detect it, which is the moment that there is sudden huge change in the sensor values. The two main problems it faced during problems was the occasional crashing, and wiggling too often around grey or darker objects due to the delay in detecting them.

We managed to reduce the crashing by the method described in the previous section. The reason for the struggle in following dark walls was the PID control. In the first approach only PD control was used, as we observed the robot doing a reasonable job even without the 'I' component. In order to reduce the wiggling with the grey objects, we introduced PID control and increased the constant multipliers for D and I parameter. We have experimented obstacle avoidance and wall following using both Turning on the spot method and Curved path method. These experiments proved our hypothesis about turning on the spot in the corners and following a curved path for obstacle avoidance. We did 5 trials for testing both scenarios. A summary of the results can be observed below:

Number of times it got stuck	Turning on the spot	Curving
Corners	0	2
Obstacle Avoidance	1	1

4 Discussion

The end result of the controller was that the robot would drive until it found an object, then turn left and following the edge of that object, keeping the object on its right side. While following the robot would still avoid obstacles that blocked its path. Our robot was successful in keeping a set distance away from the object it had found and was competent and avoiding collisions. However due to the reliance on IR sensor the robot occasionally got too close to grey and dark coloured object. Also reflective objects may have been an issue but this was not tested fully.

One improvement that can be done is giving the robot a side to follow. At the moment, it always follows the wall clockwise, even if the initial situation is one where following an anti clockwise is more sensible. We can reverse this by using IR sensor 1 instead of IR sensor 6. We can make side an argument, or even better, we can compare the sensor values from both sides and check which side the wall is and then follow the way which would be easier.

If the robot is positioned in the center of the arena, ideally, if it detects an obstacle, it should go around it and then go straight until it reaches the wall and then follow the wall. However, what occurs at the moments is the robot circling that obstacle and treating it as the wall. In other words, the robot cannot differentiate between an obstacle and the wall. One possible solution to overcome this problem is odometry. By tracking its position, if the robot is reaching the same position in a short amount of time, it can conclude that the object next to it is an obstacle and not the wall. Thus, it can keep going straight after one turn until it finds the wall.

5 Appendix

% Code for webots that instructs the bot to move forward to a surface

```

% then follow the edge of that surface avoid objects

TIMESTEP = 64;
direction = 'None';           % Direction for the current frame
speed = 4;                    % General speed of the robot.
default_dist = 120;           % Closer to wall <=> Bigger number
Kp = 9/default_dist;          % Parameter for P control
Ki = 2/default_dist;          % Parameter for PI control
Kd = -4/default_dist;         % Parameter for PD control
prev_errors = zeros(1,100); % Used for PI control
steps = 1:length(prev_errors);
found = 0;                    %If the robot has reached a surface or not
n = 0;                        %Used to count instructions
current_motion = [0,0];       %Current motion of bot

delete(instrfindall)
s = openConnection            %Open connection to khepra bot

% Calling MATLAB desktop versionwb_differential_wheels_set_speed(1, -1);
desktop;

% Main loop:
% Perform simulation steps of TIMESTEP milliseconds
while 1
    sensor_values = readIR(s)

    % Get distance to wall on the right
    dist = sensor_values(6);

    %Before an object is found, move forwards
    if ~found
        direction = 'Straight';
    end

    % The next conditions handle these situations:
    % To avoid head-on collisions
    if (max(sensor_values(3),sensor_values(4))>default_dist ...
        || sensor_values(5) > 150)
        direction = 'Left Turn';
        found = 1;
    % To avoid obstacles on the left
    elseif (max(sensor_values(1),sensor_values(2))>150)
        direction = 'Right Curve';

        found = 1;
    % If there are no obstacles – go to PID control
    elseif found
        direction = 'PID Control';
    end

    if strcmp(direction, 'Straight')

```

```

    if ~(current_motion(1) == speed && current_motion(2) == speed)
        go(s, speed);
        disp('Straight!')
        current_motion = [speed, speed];
    end
elseif strcmp(direction, 'Left Turn')
    if ~(current_motion(1) == -speed && current_motion(2) == speed)
        setSpeeds(s, -speed, speed)
        disp('Left Turn!')
        current_motion = [-speed, speed];
    end
elseif strcmp(direction, 'Right Turn')
    if ~(current_motion(1) == speed && current_motion(2) == -speed)
        setSpeeds(s, speed, -speed)
        disp('Right Turn!')
        current_motion = [speed, -speed];
    end
elseif strcmp(direction, 'Left Curve')
    if ~(current_motion(1) == speed/2 && current_motion(2) == speed)
        setSpeeds(s, speed/2, speed)
        disp('Left Curve!')
        current_motion = [speed/2, speed];
    end
elseif strcmp(direction, 'Right Curve')
    if ~(current_motion(1) == speed && current_motion(2) == speed/2)
        setSpeeds(s, speed, speed/2)
        disp('Right Curve!')
        current_motion = [speed, speed/2];
    end
elseif strcmp(direction, 'Stop')
    if ~(current_motion(1) == 0 && current_motion(2) == 0)
        go(s,0)
        disp('Stop!')
        current_motion = [0,0];
    end
elseif strcmp(direction, 'PID Control')
    % For P Control
    err = default_dist - dist;
    % For PI Control
    prev_errors = [err prev_errors(1:(length(prev_errors)-1))];
    int = trapz(steps, prev_errors)/length(steps);
    % For PD Control
    dev = diff(prev_errors);
    dev = mean(dev);
    % Do final calculations
    v = floor(Kp*err + Ki*0*int + Kd*dev);

    if v > 7
        v = 7;
    end
    if v < -7
        v = -7
    end
end

```

```

end

if ~(current_motion(1) == speed+v && current_motion(2) == speed+v)
    setSpeeds(s, speed+v, speed-v);
    current_motion = [speed+v, speed-v];
end
disp('PID Control!')
else
    disp(['Something wrong! Recieved command: ' direction])
end

n = n + 1;
pause(0.1);
end
go(s, 0);
disp('Stop!')

```