



NORDUGRID

NORDUGRID-TECH-16
6/8/08

SECURITY FRAMEWORK OF ARC1

W.Qiang, A.Konstantinov

Abstract

This document is about security design concerns and ideas, as well as security framework implementation in ARC1 middleware.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NORDUGRID	1
1. Introduction	4
2. Security architecture in HED. SecHandler and PDP	4
2.1. Structure of SecHandler and PDP	4
2.2. Interface of SecHandler	6
2.3. Interface of PDP	7
3. Policy Evaluation Engine	8
3.1. Design of policy evaluation engine	8
3.2. Schemas for policy evaluation engine	9
3.3. Interface for using the policy evaluation engine	9
4. Policy Decision Service	10
5. Security Attributes	10
5.1. Infrastructure	10
5.2. Available collectors	10
5.2.1. TCP	11
5.2.2. TLS	11
5.2.3. HTTP	11
5.2.4. SOAP	11
6. Delegation Restrictions	12
6.1. Delegation Architecture	12
6.2. Delegation Collector	12
6.3. Delegation PDP	12
6.4. Delegation interface	12
7. Schemas, descriptions and examples	13
7.1. Authorization Policy	13
7.2. Authorization Request	13
7.3. Authorization Response	13
7.4. Interface of policy decision service	13
7.5. Configuration of PDP service	13
7.6. SimpleListPDP configuration and Policy Example	13
7.7. ArcPDP configuration and Policy Example	14
7.8. PDPServiceInvoker configuration	15
7.9. DelegationPDP configuration	16
7.10. Delegation SecHandler Configuration	16
7.11. UsernameToken SecHandler configuration	16
8. User Manual	17
8.1. Authorization SecHandler and PDPs	17
8.2. Delegation SecHandler, DelegationPDP and Proxy Certificate Generation	17

8.3.	UsernameToken SecHandler	18
------	--------------------------------	----

1. INTRODUCTION

The security framework of ARC1 includes two parts of capabilities: security capability embedded in hosting environment, and security capability implemented as plug-ins with well-defined interfaces which can be accessed by hosting environment and applications. The following design concerns were employed when designing:

- Interoperability and standardization

In consistent with the main design concern of ARC1, interoperability and standardization is considered in security framework. For example, in terms of authentication, PKI infrastructure and proxy certificate (RFC3820 14) is used as most of the other grid middle-wares do. Since supporting of standardization is a way for implementing interoperability, some standard specifications have been implemented as prototype and tested, such as SAML specification.

- Modularity and extensibility

Besides the security functionality which is embedded in hosting environment, the other security functionality is implemented as plug-ins which has well-defined interfaces, and is configurable and dynamically loadable. Since the interoperation interface between security plug-in and hosting environment or applications is predefined, it is easy to extend the security functionality in order to support some other security capability by implementing the interface.

- Backward compatibility

2. SECURITY ARCHITECTURE IN HED. SEC_HANDLER AND PDP

2.1. STRUCTURE OF SEC_HANDLER AND PDP

In the implementation of ARC1, there is a service Container – the Hosting Environment Daemon (HED) (D1.2-2) which provides a hosting place for various services in application level, as well as a flexible and efficient communication mechanism for services which reside in the hosting environment.

HED contains a framework for implementing and enforcing authentication and authorization. Each message chain component (MCC) or service has a common interface which is responsible for calling various authentication and authorization functionality. This interface is functional in some plug-ins called SecHandler. Each component (MCC or service) could have two queues of SecHandlers independently for incoming message and outgoing message, both of which will be sequentially executed and return the result.

Besides the pluggable characteristic of message chain components of HED or service, the SecHandler is pluggable and can be configured by using configuration file as well. Under the SecHandler there could be some pluggable and configurable sub-modules which specifically handle various security functionalities, such as authorization, authentication, etc. The current implemented sub-modules under SecHandler are mostly for authorization, such as arcpdp which is a policy decision point for ARC specific request and policy schema; but there is a sub-module coming with message level authentication based on WS-Security Username-Token profile. Figure 1 gives the structure of a MCC/Service, and the message sequence inside it.

Figure 2 shows the configuration about SecHandler for an example service called “Echo” service.




Figure 1. There are two chains of security handler inside the MCC or service. Each security handler will parse the security attributes which are generated by the upstream MCC/services or probably upstream security handlers in the same MCC/Service, and or authenticate or authorize the incoming/outgoing target based on the security attributes. The security handler could also change the payload itself, for example, the username token handler will insert the username token into header part of SOAP which is the payload of SOAP MCC. Policy decision point (PDP) is called by security handler and is supposed to make authorization decision.

```

<Service name="echo" id="echo">
  <SecHandler name="identity.map" id="map" event="incoming">
    <PDP name="allow.pdp"><LocalName>test</LocalName></PDP>
  </SecHandler>
  <SecHandler name="arc.authz" id="authz" event="incoming">
    <PDP name="arc.pdp">
      <PolicyStore>
        <Location type="file">policy.xml</Location>
        <!-- other policy location-->
      </PolicyStore>
    </PDP>
    <PDP name="simplelist.pdp" location="pemittedlist.txt"/>
  </SecHandler>
</Service>

```

Figure 2. Echo service includes two SecHandlers, both of which are responsible for authorization. One SecHandler includes simple gridmapfile-like policy decision point (PDP) which will map the identity in the incoming message into local identity like local linux user name. The other includes two PDPs: one will compose ARC specific request based on the security attribute parsed from “incoming” message and evaluate against the ARC specific authorization policy which is specified as “policy.xml”; the other will compare the identity in the incoming message against an identity list which includes the permitted identities.

2.2. INTERFACE OF SECHANDLER

When one component (MCC or service) is loaded according to the configuration information, the SecHandler under the component and the plug-ins like PDP which are attached to the SecHandler will be loaded as well.

There is one simple interface (see Figure 3) defined in class SecHandler, which will be called by the

```

class SecHandler {
public:
    SecHandler(Arc::Config*) {};
    virtual ~SecHandler() {};
    virtual bool Handle(Arc::Message *msg) = 0;
};

```

containing MCC/Service once there is message (incoming or outgoing) need to be processed.

Figure 3. class SecHandler is an abstract class which includes a general interface called Handle which uses Message object as argument. Any security handler implementation should inherit class SenHandler and implement the interface according to the actual functionality. The interface only return simple Boolean value, and any useful information generated during the calling of this interface should be put into the security attribute of the message, or put into the payload itself.

Currently, ARC1 comes with the following four security handler implemented:

ArcAuthzSH

ArcAuthzSH is responsible for calling the interface of policy decision point and getting back the authorization result. There is one simple interface (see Figure 4) defined in PDP, which will be called by ArcAuthzSH if configured inside once there is message (incoming or outgoing) need to be processed.

Identitymap

Identitymap is a specific authorization oriented security handler. It will map the global identity in the message into local identity like system username based on the map-file configured locally.

DelegationSH

DelegationSH is responsible for collecting the delegation policy information from the remote proxy credential (proxy certificate is compatible to RFC3820) inside the message, and putting this policy into message's security attribute for the usage of other components, such as DelegationPDP.

UsernameTokenSH

UsernameTokenSH is supposed to generate the WS-Security Username-Token and add it into header of SOAP message which is the payload of outgoing message, and extract the WS-Security Username-Token from the header of SOAP message which is the payload of incoming message.

2.3. INTERFACE OF PDP

Figure 4 shows the definition of abstract class PDP. The implementation could be some function which implements the interface by composing the policy evaluation request, evaluating this request against some policy, and returning the evaluation result, or just by composing the policy evaluation request,

```
class PDP {
public:
    PDP(Arc::Config* cfg) { };
    virtual ~PDP() {};
    virtual bool isPermitted(Arc::Message *msg) = 0;
};
```

invoking some remote policy decision web service and getting back the evaluation result.

Figure 4. class PDP is an abstract class which includes a general interface called isPermitted which uses Message object as argument. Any policy decision point implementation should inherit class PDP and implement the interface according to the actual functionality. The interface only return simple Boolean value, and any useful information generated during the calling of this interface should be put into the security attribute of the message, or put into the payload itself.

Currently, ARC1 comes with the following four policy decision point implementation:

ArcPDP

ArcPDP will organize the security attributes into the ARC specific authorization request, call the policy evaluator to evaluate the request against the policy (which is in ARC specific format) repository, and get back the evaluation result. See paragraph 3 for detail information about request schema and policy schema.

DelegationPDP

DelegationPDP is basically similar to ArcPDP, except it uses the delegation policy parsed from remote proxy credential by DelegationSH, and evaluate the request against delegation policy. See paragraph 7.1 for the design idea and use case about delegation policy in fine-grained identity delegation.

SimplelistPDP

SimplelistPDP is a simple implementation of policy decision point. It will match the identity extracted from the remote credential (or proxy credential) with local permitted identity list.

PolicyServiceInvoker

PolicyServiceInvoker is a client which can be used to invoke the pdp-service which implements the same functionality as ArcPDP, except that the evaluation request and response are carried by SOAP message. The benefit of implementing Policy Service and PolicyServiceInvoker is that the policy evaluation engine can be accessed remotely.

3. POLICY EVALUATION ENGINE

3.1. DESIGN OF POLICY EVALUATION ENGINE

ARC1 defines specific evaluation request and policy schema. Based on the schema definition, one policy evaluation engine is implemented. The design principal of policy evaluation engine is generality by which the implementation of the policy evaluation engine can be easily extended to adopt some other policy schema, such as XACML policy schema.

Figure 5 shows the UML class diagram about the policy evaluation engine. It shows the all classes and relations simultaneously for getting the overall picture.

The classes Evaluator is the key class for policy evaluation, which will accept request, evaluate against local policy repository, and return evaluation response.

Three abstract factories (FnFactory, AlgFactory, AttributeFactory) are responsible for creating the Function, CombiningAlg and AttributeValue object. Class “CombiningAlg” is for processing different combining algorithm which constrains relation between <Rule/>. Class “AttributeValue” is for processing different types of <Attribute/>. Class “Function” is for processing different comparison between <Attribute/>.

Class Policy is responsible for parsing <Policy> or <Rule>, including creating CombiningAlg object according to the <RuleCombiningAlg/> attribute of <Policy/>, creating Function object according to the <Function/> attribute of <Attribute/>, and creating AttributeValue object according to the <Type/> attribute of <Attribute/>. Those objects will be used when evaluating the request.

Class Request is responsible for parsing <Request>, including creating AttributeValue object according to the <Type/> attribute of <Attribute/>. When evaluating, each <Attribute/> in request will be evaluated against corresponding <Attribute/> in the policy side by using relevant <Function/>.




Figure 5. The UML class diagram of the classes inside policy evaluation engine.

3.2. SCHEMAS FOR POLICY EVALUATION ENGINE

Policy Schema:

<http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/arcpdp/Policy.xsd>

Request Schema:

<http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/arcpdp/Request.xsd>

Response Schema

<http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/arcpdp/Response.xsd>

3.3. INTERFACE FOR USING THE POLICY EVALUATION ENGINE

There is some interface which is implemented for conveniently using the policy evaluation engine.

- a) Create the policy evaluation object:

```
ArcSec::EvaluatorLoader eval_loader;
//Load the Evaluator
ArcSec::Evaluator* eval = NULL;
std::string evaluator = "arc.evaluator";
eval = eval_loader.getEvaluator(evaluator);
```

- b) Create the policy object:

```
ArcSec::Policy* policy = NULL;
std::string policyclassname = "arc.policy";
ArcSec::SourceFile policy_source("Policy_Example.xml");
```

```
policy = eval_loader.getPolicy(policyclassname, policy_source);
```

c) Create the rule object:

```
ArcSec::Request* request = NULL;
std::string requestclassname = "arc.request";
ArcSec::SourceFile request_source("Request.xml");
request = eval_loader.getRequest(requestclassname, request_source);
```

d) Add the policy into evaluator object:

```
eval->addPolicy(policy);
```

e) Evaluate the request object:

```
ArcSec::Response *resp = NULL;
resp = eval->evaluate(request);
```

The steps d) and e) can also be replaced by:

```
resp = eval->evaluate(request, policy);
```

The “evaluate” method can also be feed up with file path, string, or XMLNode directly. See example code at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/testinterface.cpp> for more details about usage of the interface.

4. POLICY DECISION SERVICE

Policy decision service is a service implementation which contains the functionality of ArcPDP. It will accept the soap request containing policy decision request and return soap response containing policy decision response.

The WSDL description for policy decision service is presented in Section 7.5.

5. SECURITY ATTRIBUTES

5.1. INFRASTRUCTURE

Security Attributes represent security related information inside HED framework and store information representing various aspects needed to perform authorization decision - identity of client, requested action, targeted resource, constraint policies.

Each kind of Security Attribute is represented by own class inherited from parent SecAttr class <arc/message/SecAttr.h>. Each Security Attribute stores it's information in internal format and is capable to export it to one of predefined formats using Export() method. Currently only supported format is Arc Policy/Request XML document described in Section 8.

Collectors of Security Attributes instantiate corresponding classes and link them to Security Attributes containers - MessageAuth <arc/message/MessageAuth.h> and MessageAuthContext <arc/message/Message.h> storing collected attributes per request and per session correspondingly. Each attribute is assigned a name. Current implementations of Security Attributes Collectors are either integrated into existing MCCs or implemented as separate SecHandler plugins. See Section 10 for available Collectors and corresponding Security Attributes.

Note for service developers: Services may implement own authorization algorithms. But they may use Security Attributes as well by providing instances of classes inherited from SecAttr and running them through either configured or hardcoded processors/PDPs.

Processors of Security Attributes are implemented as Policy Decision Point components. Currently there are 2 PDP components available:

- ArcPDP makes use of Security Attributes containing identities of client, resource and requested action. It evaluates either all or selected set of attributes against specified Policy documents thus making it possible to enforce policies defined/selected by service providers.
- DelegationPDP is described below in Section 12.

5.2. AVAILABLE COLLECTORS

Here Security Attribute collectors distributed as part of ARC1 are described except those used for Delegation Restrictions. Those are described in Section 12.

5.2.1. TCP

Information is collected inside TCP MCC. Security Attribute is stored under name 'TCP' and exports ARC Request with following attributes:

<i>Element</i>	<i>AttributeId</i>	<i>Content</i>
Resource	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/localendpoint	service_ip[:service_port]
SubjectAttribute	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/remoteendpoint	client_ip[:client_port]

Table 1. Security Attributes collected at TCP MCC

5.2.2. TLS

Information is collected inside TLS MCC. Generated Security Attribute class exports ARC Request with following attributes:

<i>Element</i>	<i>AttributeId</i>	<i>Content</i>
SubjectAttribute	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/tls/ca	signer of first certificate in client's chain
SubjectAttribute	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/tls/chain	Subject of certificate in client's chain - multiple items
SubjectAttribute	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/tls/subject	Subject of last certificate in client's chain
SubjectAttribute	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/tls/identity	Subject of last non-proxy certificate in client's chain

Table 2. Security Attributes collected at TLS MCC

5.2.3. HTTP

Information is collected inside HTTP MCC. Security Attribute is stored under name 'HTTP' and exports ARC Request with following attributes:

<i>Element</i>	<i>AttributeId</i>	<i>Content</i>
Resource	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/http/path	HTTP path without host and port part
Action	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/http/method	HTTP method

Table 3. Security Attributes collected at HTTP MCC

5.2.4. SOAP

Information is collected inside SOAP MCC. Security Attribute is stored under name 'HTTP' and exports ARC Request with following attributes:

<i>Element</i>	<i>AttributeId</i>	<i>Content</i>
Resource	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/soap/endpoint	To element of WS-Addressing structure
Action	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/soap/operation	SOAP top level element name without namespace prefix
Context	http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-	Namespace of SOAP top level

<i>Element</i>	<i>AttributeId</i>	<i>Content</i>
	arc/types/soap/namespace	element

Table 4. Security Attributes collected at SOAP MCC

6. DELEGATION RESTRICTIONS

6.1. DELEGATION ARCHITECTURE

In current implementation delegation is achieved through Identity Delegation implemented using X509 Proxy Certificates as defined in RFC 3820. Client wishing to allow service to act on it's behalf provides Proxy Certificate to the service using Web Service based Delegation interface described in Section 12.

For limitinng the scope of delegated credentials along with usually used time constraints it is possible to attach Policy document to Proxy Certificate. According to RFC 3820 Policy is stored in ProxyPolicy extension. In order not to introduce new type of object Policy is assigned id-ppl-anyLanguage identifier. RFC 3820 allows any octet string associated with such object. We are using textual representation of ARC Policy XML document.

Each deployment implementing Delegation Restrictions must use dedicated Security Handler plugin (see section 10) to collect all Policy documents from Proxy Certificates used for establishing secure connection. Then those documents must be processed by dedicated Policy Decision Point plugin (see section 7) to make a final decision based on collected Policies and various information about client's identity and requested operation. Service or MCC chain supporting Delegation Restrictions must accept negative decision of this PDP as final and do not override it with any other decision based on other policies.

6.2. DELEGATION COLLECTOR

This Security Attribute is collected by dedicated Security Handler plugin named "delegation.pdp" avaiable in arcpdc plugins' library. It extracts policy document stored inside X509 certificate proxy extension as defined in RFC3820 and described in Section 12. All proxy certificates in a chain provided by client are examined and all available policies are extracted.

Extracted content is converted into XML document. Then document is checked to be of Arc Policy kind. If policy is not recognized as Arc Policy procedure fails and that causes failure of comunication.

Proxy certificates with id-ppl-inheritAll property are passed through and no policy document is generated for them. Proxies with other type of policies including id-ppl-independent are not accepted and generate immediate failure.

6.3. DELEGATION PDP

DelegationPDP is similar to ArcPDP described above except that it takes it's Policy documents directly from Security Attributes. Differently from Arc PDP it is meant to be used for enforcing policies defined by client.

6.4. DELEGATION INTERFACE

Delegation interface in ARC1 is implemented using Web Service approach. Each ARC1 service wishing to accept delegated credentials implements this interface. Here is how delegation procedure works:

- Step 1
 - Client contacts service requesting operation DelegateCredentialsInit. This operation has no arguments.
 - Service responds with DelegateCredentialsInitResponse message with element TokenRequest. That element contains credentials request generated by service in Value. Type of request is defined by attribute Format. Currently only supported format is x509. Along with Value service provides identifier Id which is used in second step.
- Step 2

- Client requests UpdateCredentials operation with DelegatedToken argument. This element contains Value with serialized delegated credentials and Id which links it to first step. Delegated token element may also contain multiple Reference elements. Reference refers to the object which these credentials should be applied to in a way specific to the service. The DelegatedToken element must also be used for delegating credentials when Step 2 is combined with other operations on service.
- Service responds with empty UpdateCredentialsResponse message.

7. SCHEMAS, DESCRIPTIONS AND EXAMPLES

7.1. AUTHORIZATION POLICY

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/arcpdp/Policy.xsd> .

7.2. AUTHORIZATION REQUEST

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/arcpdp/Request.xsd> .

7.3. AUTHORIZATION RESPONSE

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/arcpdp/Response.xsd> .

7.4. INTERFACE OF POLICY DECISION SERVICE

WSDL with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/services/pdp/pdp.wsdl> .

7.5. CONFIGURATION OF PDP SERVICE

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/services/pdp/pdp.xsd> .

Below is an example configuration of PDP service which can evaluate ARC Request against ARC Policy stored in local file.

```
<Service name="pdp.service" id="pdp_service">
<!--The element <Evaluator/>, <Policy/> and <Request/> configuration
are supposed to be used to load object; element <PolicyStore/> is
supposed to be used to get the location of policy-->
<pdp:PDConfig>
  <pdp:PolicyStore>
    <Location Type="file">Policy_Example.xml</Location>
    <!-- other policy location-->
  </pdp:PolicyStore>
  <pdp:Evaluator name="arc.evaluator" />
  <pdp:Policy name="arc.policy" />
  <pdp:Request name="arc.request" />
</pdp:PDConfig>
</Service>
```

See Section 7.7 for the explanation about policy.

7.6. SIMPLELISTPDP CONFIGURATION AND POLICY EXAMPLE

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/simplelistpdp/SimpleListPDP.xsd> .

Below is an example configuration of SimpleListPDP inside “echo” service.

```
<Service name="echo" id="echo">
  <SecHandler name="arc.authz" id="authz" event="incoming">
```

```

    <PDP name="simplelist.pdp" location="simplelist"/>
</SecHandler>
<next id="echo"/>
<echo:prefix>[ </echo:prefix>
<echo:suffix> ]</echo:suffix>
</Service>

```

The attribute “name” of <PDP/> is critical for loading the object. Specifically, the name “simplelist.pdp” is for loading the SimpleListPDP object.

The policy file “simplelist” should be a local file which contains the identity list of authorized entities. If the peer certificate is proxy certificate, the identity in this list should not include the random number part of the proxy certificate’ DN, only the original identity.

simplelist should be like this:

```
/C=NO/O=UiO/CN=test1
```

```
/C=NO/O=UiO/CN=test2
```

7.7. ARCPDP CONFIGURATION AND POLICY EXAMPLE

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/arcpdp/ArcPDP.xsd>.

Below is an example of configuration of ArcPDP inside “echo” service.

```

<Service name="echo" id="echo">
  <SecHandler name="arc.authz" id="authz" event="incoming">
    <PDP name="arc.pdp">
      <PolicyStore>
        <Location type="file">Policy_Example.xml</Location>
        <!--other policy location-->
      </PolicyStore>
    </PDP>
  </SecHandler>
<next id="echo"/>
<echo:prefix>[ </echo:prefix>
<echo:suffix> ]</echo:suffix>
</Service>

```

The name “arc.pdp” is for loading the ArcPDP object.

There could be a few policy files under <PolicyStore/>. The request will be checked against all of the policies.

The following is an example policy for echo service. See Section 7.1 for the policy schema. The explanation about the example policy is as follows:

1. The http path to “/Echo” is protected, which is as one kind of “Resource”
2. The “Action” to this resource is “POST”, “GET” and “echo”. Of course, the “echo” action enforced on “/Echo” resource sounds not reasonable. In this case, you can define a separated <Rule/> for containing the “echo” action enforced on some other reasonable resource.
3. The requester should process two <Attribute/>: has specific identity, and is signed by specific CA.
4. No <Conditions/> defined.
5. If and only if all of the above constraints have been satisfied by requester, the <Rule/> can be passed.

About the attribute collecting, for arc.pdp, all of the container-specific attributes about the requester are collected by different MCCs. It is possible for service to collect some application-specific attribute by implementing the SecAttr interface, and it should be the task of application developer.

User can configure SecHandler for each MCC and Service, and define reasonable and meaningful

policy. On point when defining policy is user must be sure that the attributes defined in the policy should have already been collected by components. For instance, policy with AttributeId “http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc/types/http/path” should not be configured inside SecHandler under MCCTLS.

Policy.xml

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<Policy xmlns="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc" PolicyId="sm-
example:arcpdpolicy" CombiningAlg="Deny-Overrides">
  <Rule Effect="Permit">
    <Description>
      Example policy for echo service
    </Description>
    <Subjects>
      <Subject>
        <Attribute AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/tls/ca" Type="string">/C=NO/ST=Oslo/O=UiO/CN=CA</Attribute>
        <Attribute AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/tls/identity" Type="string">/C=NO/ST=Oslo/O=UiO/CN=test</Attribute>
      </Subject>
    </Subjects>
    <Resources>
      <Resource AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/http/path" Type="string">/Echo</Resource>
    </Resources>
    <Actions>
      <Action AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/http/method" Type="string">POST</Action>
      <Action AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/http/method" Type="string">GET</Action>
      <Action AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/soap/operation" Type="string">echo</Action>
    </Actions>
    <Conditions/>
  </Rule>
</Policy>
```

7.8. PDPSERVICEINVOKER CONFIGURATION

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/pdp-serviceinvoker/ArcPDPSer-viceInvoker.xsd>.

Below is an example of configuration of PDPServiceInvoker inside “echo” service.

```
<Service name="echo" id="echo">
  <SecHandler name="arc.authz" id="authz" event="incoming">
    <!--Remote pdp service invoking-->
    <PDP name="pdp-service.invoker">
      <ServiceEndpoint>https://127.0.0.1:60001/pdp.service</ServiceEndpoint>
      <KeyPath>./key.pem</KeyPath>
      <CertificatePath>./cert.pem</CertificatePath>
      <CACertificatePath>./ca.pem</CACertificatePath>
    </PDP>
  </SecHandler>
  <next id="echo"/>
```

```

    <echo:prefix>[ </echo:prefix>
    <echo:suffix> ]</echo:suffix>
</Service>

```

The name “pdp.service.invoker” is for loading the PDPServiceInvoker object.

The pdp.service.invoker works as a client to pdp.service. The credential configuration for pdp.service.invoker is independent with the credential configuration for the main chain.

7.9. DELEGATIONPDP CONFIGURATION

XML schema with comments available at <http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/delegationsh/DelegationPDP.xsd>.

Below is an example of configuration of DelegationPDP inside “echo” service.

```

<Service name="echo" id="echo">
  <SecHandler name="arc.authz" id="authz" event="incoming">
    <PDP name="delegation.pdp"/>
  </SecHandler>
  <next id="echo"/>
  <echo:prefix>[ </echo:prefix>
  <echo:suffix> ]</echo:suffix>
</Service>

```

For DelegationPDP, no specific configuration is needed. We only need to switch on it by add <PDP name="delegation.pdp"/> under <SecHandler/>

7.10. DELEGATION SEC_HANDLER CONFIGURATION

Below is an example of configuration of Delegation SecHandler inside MCCTLS component.

```

<Component name="tls.service" id="tls"> <next id="http"/>
  <tls:KeyPath>./key.pem</tls:KeyPath>
  <tls:CertificatePath>./cert.pem</tls:CertificatePath>
  <tls:CACertificatePath>./ca.pem</tls:CACertificatePath>
  <!--delegation.collector must be inside tls MCC-->
  <SecHandler name="delegation.collector"
    id="delegation" event="incoming"></SecHandler>
</Component>

```

Delegation SecHandler must be configured under MCCTLS.

7.11. USERNAME_TOKEN SEC_HANDLER CONFIGURATION

XML schema with comments available at http://svn.nordugrid.org/trac/nordugrid/browser/arc1/trunk/src/hed/pdc/username_tokensh/UsernameTokenSH.xsd.

Below is an example of configuration of UsernameToken SecHandler inside MCCSOAP component.

```

<Component name="soap.service" id="soap">
  <next id="echo"/>
  <SecHandler name="username_token.handler" id="username_token" event="incoming">
    <Process>extract</Process>
    <PasswordSource>password.txt</PasswordSource>
  </SecHandler>
</Component>

```

UsernameToken SecHandler must be configured under MCCSOAP.

8. USER MANUAL

The following documentation is about how to configure and use different SecHandlers and PDPs, as well as some examples about the Arc policy. The target readers are those users who will use the ARC1 middleware.

8.1. AUTHORIZATION SECHANDLER AND PDPs

There is a specific authorization SecHandler which is implemented for containing and calling the policy decision points (PDP).

The authorization SecHandler and PDPs are supposed to be used on the service side by default, even it is also possible to be used them on the client side. From the user point of view, all he/she needs to do is changing the configuration file (service.xml) and defining the policy into some policy file.

The “echo” test service is used for explaining the usage, but the explanation applies to other services.

The configuration about service.xml is as following:

1, Add the authorization SecHandler as child element of <Service/>.

The “name” and “event” attribute of <SecHandler/> are both important. “name” attribute is for distinguishing when loading the SecHandler object. “event” attribute is for which message would this service wants to enforce authorization on, and normally and reasonably, service enforces authorization on the incoming message.

2, Add the policy decision point configuration under <SecHandler/>. Currently there are four types of PDPs that can be configured:

- * simplelist.pdp – it compares DN of user to those stored in a file.

- * arc.pdp – it compares request information parsed from message and policy information specified in this pdp.

- * pdpservice.invoker - it composes the request, puts request into soap message, and invokes the remote pdp service to get the response soap which includes authorization decision. the pdp service has similar functionality with arc.pdp.

- * delegation.pdp – it compares request information parsed from message and policy information specified in proxy certificate from remote side.

If more than one PDPs are configured in this <SecHandler/>, all of them will be executed and the request will be passed only if all of them return positive result.

The configuration about the PDPs and policy example has been explained in Section 7.

8.2. DELEGATION SECHANDLER, DELEGATIONPDP AND PROXY CERTIFICATE GENERATION

DelegationPDP should be inside Authorization SecHandler (arc.authz). However, the precondition for using DelegationPDP is that there must be DelegationSecHandler configured.

DelegationSecHandler is in charge of parsing the delegation policy from proxy certificate. See section 7.10 for configuration.

On the client side, “aproxy” utility can be used to generate proxy certificate with delegation policy embedded.

aproxy appears in ARC_LOCATION/bin. The usage of aproxy is like:

```
ARC_LOCATION/bin/aproxy -P proxy.pem -C cert.pem -K key.pem
```

```
-c validityStart=2008-05-29T10:20:30Z
```

```
-c validityEnd=2008-06-29T10:20:30Z
```

```
-c proxyPolicyFile=delegation_policy.xml
```

By using argument “-c”, some constraints can be specified for proxy certificate. Currently, the life time can be specified by using “-c validityStart=...” and “-c validityEnd=...”, or “-c validityStart=...” and “-c validityPeriod=...”.

The delegation policy can be specified by using “-c proxyPolicyFile=...” or “-c proxyPolicy=...”.

The delegation policy is the same as the policy which is explained section 7.7. An example is as follows. The explanation about the example policy is:

The service which consumes the proxy certificate should process two <Attribute/>: has specific hostidentity, and is signed by specific CA. The policy can constrain the service about using the delegation identity or the resources which is attached or belongs to the delegation identity, if the middleware of the service side is trusted.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<Policy xmlns="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-arc" PolicyId="sm-
example:delegationpolicy" CombiningAlg="Deny-Overrides">
  <Rule Effect="Permit">
    <Subjects>
      <Subject>
        <Attribute AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/tls/ca" Type="string">/C=NO/ST=Oslo/O=UiO/CN=CA</Attribute>
        <Attribute AttributeId="http://www.nordugrid.org/schemas/policy-
arc/types/tls/hostidentity" Type="string">/C=NO/ST=Oslo/O=UiO/CN=test</Attribute>
      </Subject>
    </Subjects>
  </Rule>
</Policy>
```

8.3. USERNAMETOKEN SECHANDLER

UsernameToken SecHandler is a security handler for generating and extracting WS-Security UsernameToken in SOAP header.

On the service side, the UsernameToken SecHandler should be configured like section 7.11.

On the client side, the username and password for UsernameToken SecHandler should be configured by the code. See test_clientinterface.cpp for details in the src/tests/echo directory.

REFERENCES

1. RFC3820, <http://rfc.net/rfc3820.html>