# Ceren Damla Yılmaz

## Ali Mortaza Draft



SCHOOL OF COMPUTING AND TECHNOLOGY 2

Eastern Mediterranean University

#### **Document Details**

Submission ID

trn:oid:::1:3130667541

**Submission Date** 

Jan 16, 2025, 1:08 PM GMT+3

Download Date

Jan 16, 2025, 1:13 PM GMT+3

File Name

The\_papaer\_4\_.docx

File Size

28.1 KB

11 Pages

3,147 Words

19,495 Characters



### 36% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

#### Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

#### **Detection Groups**



1 AI-generated only 36%

Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.



2 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%

Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

#### Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

#### **Frequently Asked Questions**

#### How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (\*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.



#### What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



# Abstract WRITE THIS WHEN THE WORK IS DONE

### DO NOT MODIFY ANY TEXT WITH THIS COLOR

Purples are the previous texts, Grey are the paraphrased texts

#### DO NOT MODIFY THE GREY TEXTS

### 1. Introduction

Ordinary differential equations (ODEs) serve as fundamental instruments in the mathematical modeling and analytical study of numerous scientific and engineering systems. They naturally emerge in diverse applications, including but not limited to fluid dynamics, chemical reaction kinetics, population dynamics, and structural analysis [1-5, 13]. The inherent complexity of ODEs presents significant challenges in their solution, as numerous cases do not yield closed-form solutions, necessitating the utilization of numerical or approximation techniques. Established numerical methodologies, including the Runge-Kutta technique, finite difference approach, and shooting method, have historically been employed to tackle these challenges. However, their drawbacks—such as considerable computational demands and the inability to produce closed-form solutions—have motivated the investigation of alternative strategies for ODE resolution [1-3, 5, 12, 13].

The majority of the engineering and science systems can be modeled and analytically studied using ordinary differential equations that naturally arise in many applications in science and engineering such as fluid mechanics, population dynamics, chemical kinetics and structures [1-5, 13]. However, since Ordinary differential equations (ODEs) can be complex, they present great difficulty in their solution as these equations often do not have analytical solutions, thus requiring the use of numerical or approximation methods. Over the years, the finite difference method, shooting method and the runge-kutta method have been the





methods of choice to try to overcome these challenges. Their Still, however, does exist a limitation, chisels and holes cannot provide closed solutions and have scrupulous computing power requirements that have resulted in the investigation of alternative methods for solving ODEs [1-3, 5, 12, 13].

The introduction of Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) has facilitated novel methodologies for the numerical resolution of ODEs by recontextualizing the problem as an optimization framework. Preliminary investigations have demonstrated the efficacy of multilayer perceptrons (MLPs) in approximating solutions to both initial value problems (IVPs) and boundary value problems (BVPs).

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) however introduced new constructs for the global solution to such ODEs by recontextualizing the problem as an optimization framework. Initial studies indicate that multilayer perceptrons can be efficient in approximation solutions to Initial Value Problems (IVPs) Models and also Boundary Value Problems (BVPs) Models.

These neural network-based approaches present significant advantages over traditional numerical methods. Specifically, ANNs can produce analytic solutions that eliminate the necessity for interpolation across discretized computational intervals, thus providing enhanced adaptability in addressing both IVPs and BVPs [1, 3, 6, 7, 11, 13 -17]. However, early iterations of ANN models encountered impediments, including a pronounced vulnerability to convergence at local minima and suboptimal rates of convergence [1].

In order to address the limitations of traditional ANNs, advanced architectures have been introduced, including Radial Basis Function Neural Networks (RBFNNs) [2, 3, 12] and Wavelet Neural Networks (WNNs) [1] These specialized architectures exhibit accelerated convergence rates and improved precision in the approximation of solutions for intricate differential equations. Notably, WNNs have attracted considerable interest due to their localized activation functions, which facilitate compact network designs and expedite the learning process while





maintaining the universal approximation capability characteristic of neural networks[1]. Furthermore, the implementation of sophisticated training methodologies, such as Extreme Learning Machines (ELM) and metaheuristic optimization techniques, including Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), has substantially enhanced both the efficiency and accuracy of these neural network models [1, 2, 4, 5, 14].

Building upon this foundational principle, the Kolmogorov-Arnold Network (KAN) architecture presents a novel and robust framework specifically engineered for function approximation, demonstrating considerable potential for addressing ODEs. The KAN model is fundamentally grounded in the Kolmogorov-Arnold representation theorem, which asserts that any continuous multivariate function can be expressed as a finite sum of univariate functions [6-8, 13, 15-18]. This intrinsic universality renders KAN particularly adept at approximating intricate mathematical models, including those characterized by ODEs [6, 7, 13, 16-18]. By capitalizing on KAN's systematic approach to function decomposition, this paper seeks to transcend the limitations inherent in existing neural network architectures when tackling higher-order differential equations.

These methods based on neural networks are much more efficient than the classical numerical techniques. In particular, ANNs are capable of formulating analytic solutions which eliminate the necessity for performing interpolation over discretized computational intervals, hence more flexibility in solving IVPs and BVPs [1, 3, 6, 7, 11, 13 -17]. On the other hand, the first generation of models based on ANNs had several challenges among which were the pronounced vulnerability to convergence at local minima and suboptimal rates of convergence [1].

As a solution to the shortcomings of the ANNs mentioned, new generation advanced architectures such as Radial Basis Function Neural Networks(RBFNNs) [2, 3, 12] and Wavelet Neural Networks (WNNs) [1] have emerged. These approaches have been recorded to have shorter convergence times and a higher accuracy compared to traditional techniques when applied to complex expressions of differential equations. Furthermore, WNNs have attracted considerable interest because their activation functions are concentrated so that





the size of the network can be kept small which allows faster training while preserving the ability of any approximation that is said to be achieved by neural networks[1]. Furthermore, the implementation of sophisticated training methodologies, such as Extreme Learning Machines (ELM) and metaheuristic optimization techniques, including Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), has substantially enhanced both the efficiency and accuracy of these neural network models [1, 2, 4, 5, 14].

In response to this assertion, the Kolmogorov-Arnold Network (KAN) architecture evolves a novel architecture which is robust and function approximation, which shows potential for solving ODEs. ODEs are appreciably accounted for in this architecture. The KAN model is based on the Kolmogorov-Arnold representation theorem which states that every multivariate continuous function can be expressed as a finite sum of univariate functions [6-8, 13, 15-18]. This inbuilt KAN Thus, the KAN proves to be especially useful in terms of complex mathematical models that are developed using ODEs [6, 7, 13, 16-18]. This paper attempts to use KAN's systematic functioning on KAN as a function decomposition architecture on neural networks to overcome the shortcomings that are posed by the current neural network architectures on higher order differential equations.

The principal objective of this research is to employ the KAN architecture for the approximation of solutions to first- and second-order ODEs. This examination signifies a substantial advancement in the integration of sophisticated machine learning methodologies within computational mathematics. In contrast to conventional ANN-based approaches, the KAN framework intrinsically facilitates dimensionality reduction of the problem space, thereby enhancing the efficiency of the approximation process [17, 18]. Additionally, its distinctive structure allows the network to attain elevated accuracy with a reduced number of parameters, thereby decreasing computational overhead while upholding precision [6, 7,13,15,17,18].

The rationale for implementing KAN in this framework arises from its capabilities to effectively tackle critical challenges associated with the resolution of ODEs. Specifically, higher-order ODEs frequently present intricate boundary conditions and exhibit nonlinear dynamics that pose difficulties for conventional numerical techniques [7, 8, 13, 17, 18]. The intrinsic adaptability of KAN, coupled with its





competence in representing these complexities, positions it as a viable candidate for addressing such challenges. Furthermore, KAN's modular architecture promotes the incorporation of sophisticated optimization algorithms [18], thereby augmenting its efficacy in the resolution of ODEs.

Recent investigations emphasize the efficacy of neural network architectures in the resolution of differential equations. Specifically, wavelet neural networks, when enhanced through sophisticated optimization techniques such as the butterfly optimization algorithm, exhibit superior capabilities in approximating solutions to ODEs. [1]. Additionally, RBFNNs trained via extreme learning methodologies demonstrate rapid convergence rates and high accuracy regarding fractional differential equations [2, 3, 12]. These advancements signify the increasing significance of neural network frameworks in the progression of computational mathematics.

The primary aim of the study is to make use of the KAN architecture for the approximation of the solutions of first- and second-order ODEs (If we didn't have second order, erase second order). This investigation is a major breakthrough in the fusion of sophisticated machine learning techniques with computational mathematics. The ANN-based approach is contrasted to the KAN structure, which is able to build a process-specific problem space and in this way improve the approximation of the results [17, 18]. It is also different from any other design in that the network can become more precise with a decrease in the number of parameters, which in turn makes it relatively faster while preserving the accuracy [6, 7, 13, 15, 17, 18].

The rationale behind the implementation of KAN in this framework is the existed capabilities to handle the critical ODEs solution. Mostly, first order ODEs are noted to be not serious with the many complicated boundary conditions. However, they may now and then show some anomalously typical of nonlinear dynamics which affects the conventional numeric technique [7, 8, 13, 17, 18]. The unique modification of KAN, characteristic of the agility of the system to the situation, along with an expressive mode of representation of the mentioned challenges, definitely leads to very good results in their solution. Furthermore, KAN can be easily upgraded by including advanced optimization algorithms [18],





hence it is enhanced in solving ODEs with its robustness.

Recent investigations emphasize the efficacy of neural network architectures in the resolution of differential equations. Specifically, WNNs, when enhanced through sophisticated optimization techniques such as the butterfly optimization algorithm, exhibit superior capabilities in approximating solutions to ODEs. [1]. Moreover, RBFNNs trained via extreme learning methodologies demonstrate the high rates of convergence and high accuracy regarding fractional differential equations [2, 3, 12]. These discoveries demonstrate the resurgence and importance of neural network models in computational mathematics.

Notwithstanding the advancements made in this field, significant deficiencies persist in the literature concerning the application of KAN to ODEs. Although the Kolmogorov-Arnold theorem (KAT) offers a theoretical framework for function approximation [15 - 18] (double check 16 for this citation), its practical deployment for the resolution of ODEs remains insufficiently investigated. This research endeavors to fill this lacuna by executing a thorough assessment of KAN's effectiveness in solving both first- and second-order ODEs. Through methodical experimentation, this study aims to validate KAN as a robust and efficient methodology for function approximation specifically within the context of differential equations.

The implications of this research transcend the direct utilization of KAN in the context of ODEs. By establishing its efficacy as a versatile function approximator, this investigation enriches the field of computational mathematics and neural network-based modeling. The findings derived from this study are anticipated to guide the advancement of next-generation computational methodologies adept at solving intricate scientific and engineering challenges, consequently, the KAN constitutes a significant progression in the application of machine learning techniques for the resolution of differential equations. Its distinctive architectural framework and theoretical foundations establish it as a formidable alternative to prevailing ANN methodologies for function approximation. This research aims to enhance the current capabilities of neural network-based approaches in addressing first- and second-order ODEs by leveraging KAN, thereby facilitating advancements in computational mathematics and related fields.





# Write the organization of the paper here when the content structure is clear.

# 2. KAN Model (General info of the architecture) We can use the initial paper here, or use the existing references for citing

The KAN model is optimally configured for function approximation tasks [15 - 18] (double check 16 for this citation), including the resolution of ODEs, owing to its basis in the KAT. This theorem asserts that any continuous multivariate function can be expressed as a finite summation of univariate functions subjected to linear operations, thereby facilitating the ability of a KAN to approximate intricate functions with reduced network depth [6, 7, 13, 15 - 18]. By utilizing this property, KANs inherently diminish the computational complexity associated with multivariate functions while preserving accuracy, [6 - 8, 13, 17, 18] a critical factor for accurately modeling the complex dynamics of ODEs. KANs are architected to optimize the advantages of the KAT by structuring layers such that univariate basis functions are hierarchically composed [15, 17, 18], resulting in outputs that effectively approximate multivariate functions. In contrast to conventional MLPs, which depend on universal approximation via dense layers and nonlinear activation functions, KANs leverage the structural organization offered by KAT to attain efficient and precise function representations [6 - 8, 13, 15 - 18]. The hidden layers of the network typically utilize Gaussian radial basis functions (RBFs) as activation functions, selected for their smoothness properties and capacity for spatial localization of approximations [13, 17, 18]. These RBFs facilitate a concentration of response from each hidden layer neuron to distinct regions of the input space, which is essential for the accurate resolution of ODEs where localized dynamics predominantly influence system behavior. In contrast to WNNs, which employ wavelet transformations to achieve a compact topology and





facilitate efficient training, KANs present an alternative framework founded on the theoretical assurances provided by the KAT [17, 18]. While both WNNs and RBF networks demonstrate proficiency in distinct application domains [1, 2, 3, 12], the hierarchical univariate decomposition characteristic of KANs is inherently more compatible with the requirements associated with ODE approximation [13]. This congruence enables KANs to deliver accurate gradient evaluations, a feature that is particularly beneficial for integration with differentiable ODE solvers. These solvers exploit the structured outputs of KANs to simulate dynamical systems and extract latent physical phenomena while incurring minimal computational overhead.

A notable advantage of utilizing KANs is their ability to process high-dimensional input data effectively. The application of the superposition principle within KAT mitigates the complexity associated with high dimensionality by decomposing intricate functions into simpler, constituent components. This decomposition enhances model interpretability and streamlines the training process, as the optimization burden is reduced due to a smaller number of parameters relative to fully interconnected neural networks [6 - 8, 13, 15 - 18]. Additionally, the modular architecture of KANs supports their integration into hybrid systems [18], including Neural ODEs, where KANs function as gradient evaluators to iteratively optimize solutions to ODEs.

KANs utilize univariate function composition, which results in high convergence efficiency [6, 7, 8, 13, 17, 18]. The univariate basis functions are designed to capture distinct characteristics of the input, facilitating expedited learning and mitigating overfitting [17, 18]. This attribute is especially critical in addressing ODEs, where the solution landscape may present abrupt gradients or localized features. By integrating domain-specific insights into the selection of basis functions, such as Gaussian RBFs or B-splines, KANs demonstrate enhanced efficacy in approximating solutions to complex differential equations relative to alternative neural network frameworks, therefore, the KAN model serves as a powerful tool for tasks such as solving ODEs. Its theoretical foundation, coupled with its efficient architectural design and adaptability to high-dimensional parameter spaces, positions it as a superior alternative to conventional neural network paradigms. By decomposing multivariate functions into their univariate components, KANs enhance computational efficiency and exhibit strong





approximation properties, thereby aligning optimally with the requirements of contemporary ODE-solving techniques.

# 3. KAN Model for differential equations (Later we can explain more in case we choose any specific subtype of KAN – FROM REFERENCES: 7,8, AND 9)

We can skip this part and immediately proceed with the numerical examples.

## 4. Numerical Examples

A first-order initial value problem could be formulated in the following manner:

Place the relevant latex formula here

#### 4.1.1. Example 1

Place the relevant latex formula here

#### 4.1.2. Example 2

Place the relevant latex formula here

### 4.1.3. Example 3

Place the relevant latex formula here

Examples above are all from papers 1, 2, 3, and any new reference we may add

After each examples we must also place the related tables, then the graphs





# 5. Conclusion WRITE THIS AND ABSTRACT IN THE END

# Acknowledgements (if there are any)

# References (APA for now unless we decide to change it.)

- 1. Tan, L. S., Zainuddin, Z., Ong, P., & Abdullah, F. A. (2024). An effective wavelet neural network approach for solving first and second order ordinary differential equations. *Applied Soft Computing*, *154*, 111328.
- 2. Liu, M., Peng, W., Hou, M., & Tian, Z. (2023). Radial basis function neural network with extreme learning machine algorithm for solving ordinary differential equations. *Soft Computing*, *27*(7), 3955-3964.
- 3. Rizaner, F. B., & Rizaner, A. (2018). Approximate solutions of initial value problems for ordinary differential equations using radial basis function networks. *Neural Processing Letters*, *48*, 1063-1071.
- 4. Dwivedi, V., & Srinivasan, B. (2020). Physics informed extreme learning machine (pielm)—a rapid method for the numerical solution of partial differential equations. *Neurocomputing*, 391, 96-118.
- 5. Li, S., & Wang, X. (2021). Solving ordinary differential equations using an optimization technique based on training improved artificial neural networks. *Soft Computing*, *25*(5), 3713-3723.
- Kich, V. A., Bottega, J. A., Steinmetz, R., Grando, R. B., Yorozu, A., & Ohya, A. (2024, October). Kolmogorov-Arnold Networks for Online Reinforcement Learning. In 2024 24th International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS) (pp. 958-963). IEEE.
- 7. Yu, T., Qiu, J., Yang, J., & Oseledets, I. (2024). Sinc kolmogorov-arnold network and its applications on physics-informed neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.04096*.
- 8. Jahin, M. A., Masud, M. A., Mridha, M. F., Aung, Z., & Dey, N. (2024). Kacq-dcnn: Uncertainty-aware interpretable kolmogorov-arnold classical-quantum dual-channel neural network for heart disease detection. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2410.07446.
- 9. Liu, F., Viano, L., & Cevher, V. (2022). Understanding deep neural function approximation in reinforcement learning via \$\epsilon \$-greedy exploration. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, *35*, 5093-5108.
- 10. Heinlein, A., Klawonn, A., Lanser, M., & Weber, J. (2021). Combining machine learning and domain decomposition methods for the solution of partial differential equations—A review. *GAMM-Mitteilungen*, *44*(1), e202100001.





- 11. Goswami, S., Kontolati, K., Shields, M. D., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2022). Deep transfer operator learning for partial differential equations under conditional shift. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, *4*(12), 1155-1164.
- 12. Soleymani, F., & Zhu, S. (2021). RBF-FD solution for a financial partial-integro differential equation utilizing the generalized multiquadric function. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 82, 161-178.
- 13. Koenig, B. C., Kim, S., & Deng, S. (2024). KAN-ODEs: Kolmogorov–Arnold network ordinary differential equations for learning dynamical systems and hidden physics. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 432, 117397.
- 14. Chen, Y., Yu, H., Meng, X., Xie, X., Hou, M., & Chevallier, J. (2021). Numerical solving of the generalized Black-Scholes differential equation using Laguerre neural network. *Digital Signal Processing*, *112*, 103003.
- 15. Selitskiy, S. (2022). Kolmogorov's Gate Non-linearity as a Step toward Much Smaller Artificial Neural Networks. In *ICEIS* (1) (pp. 492-499).
- 16. van Deventer, H., van Rensburg, P. J., & Bosman, A. (2022). KASAM: Spline Additive Models for Function Approximation. *arXiv* preprint *arXiv*:2205.06376.
- 17. Shukla, K., Toscano, J. D., Wang, Z., Zou, Z., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2024). A comprehensive and FAIR comparison between MLP and KAN representations for differential equations and operator networks. *arXiv* preprint *arXiv*:2406.02917.
- 18. Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Vaidya, S., Ruehle, F., Halverson, J., Soljačić, M., ... & Tegmark, M. (2024). Kan: Kolmogorov-arnold networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.19756*.

