

# Историческая справка: особенности языка С и его экосистемы

Луцив Дмитрий Вадимович

Кафедра системного программирования СПбГУ



- 1 Мотивация
- 2 Early History
- 3 With Unix
- 4 Recent History

<https://www.bell-labs.com/usr/dmr/www/chist.html>

- Сеттинг
- Корни в других языках
- Использование сейчас

Мы периодически будем обращаться к этому тексту

# Мотивация

- ❶ 1940е–1950е. Электромагнитные реле и радиолампы:  $10^5$  вт, большие машинные залы; доступны военным и работающим на них физикам
- ❷ 1950е–1960е. Полупроводники (транзисторы, диоды):  $10^4$  вт, несколько стоек; доступны крупным учреждениям, банкам
- ❸ 1960е–1970е. Интегральные схемы:  $10^2 - 10^3$  вт, одна или несколько стоек; доступны небольшим учреждениям и лабораториям
- ❹ 1970е–1980е–н.в. Микропроцессоры в одной интегральной схеме:  $10 - 10^2$  вт, небольшой корпус, доступны мелким организациям, позже — физическим лицам

## Common approach of 1960s

- Mainframes like IBM/360 or GE-645
- Programming languages like PL/I
- Operating systemc like OS/360 or Multics
- Batch control approach like JCL
- No powerful interactive shell

Everything is complicated and heavy-weight

## Common approach of 1960s

- Mainframes like IBM/360 or GE-645
- Programming languages like PL/I
- Operating systemc like OS/360 or Multics
- Batch control approach like JCL
- No powerful interactive shell

Everything is complicated and heavy-weight

## New approach of 1970s

- Simpler *and cheaper* mini-computers like DEC PDP-7
- More universal use of them
- Many computer families

## Killer-features of Unix:

- Hierarchical file system with single tree of file names
- Agnostic approach to file data: before, data was usually stored in formatted files, which offered good throughput but were complicated for software developers
- Interactive powerful shell running in user space



## Killer-features of Unix:

- Hierarchical file system with single tree of file names
- Agnostic approach to file data: before, data was usually stored in formatted files, which offered good throughput but were complicated for software developers
- Interactive powerful shell running in user space
- And one more feature that we will describe later...

Note:

- Multics already offered many of above features, but still was too complicated; minimalist design was desired
- Above approaches were very good finding and they are still actual after 50 years: we see elements of such a design in such OSs as DOS and then Windows

See a pretty nice AT&T documentary on this:

- <https://youtu.be/tc4R0CJYbm0>

# Early History

## Popular languages of 1960s and before

- Fortran: one of the first, high-level, computational
- COBOL: business-oriented language
- PL/I: general purpose complicated language, suited better for systems programming than above two
- Assembly languages for many computer architectures, not portable

## Popular languages of 1960s and before

- Fortran: one of the first, high-level, computational
- COBOL: business-oriented language
- PL/I: general purpose complicated language, suited better for systems programming than above two
- Assembly languages for many computer architectures, not portable
- *All above – not only assembly – were not very portable*
- They were not structural languages, which lead to poor quality code which was difficult to maintain due to spaghetti-code

- Compiled language
- Structural language
- Good for systems programming
- Simple enough and portable

# What is structural programming?

Program consists of:

- Sequential blocks of operators
- Loops
- Branching (`if` — `else` — ...)
- All above can be used withing each other

# What is structural programming?

Program consists of:

- Sequential blocks of operators
- Loops
- Branching (**if** – **else** – ...)
- All above can be used withing each other

*Böhm-Jecopini theorem*: above are enough to express any algorithm in sense of Turing-completeness



# What is structural programming?

Program consists of:

- Sequential blocks of operators
- Loops
- Branching (`if` – `else` – ...)
- All above can be used withing each other

*Böhm-Jecopini theorem*: above are enough to express any algorithm in sense of Turing-completeness

Additionally:

- `goto` is available but not welcome
- Procedures!
- Clean variable scopes (not as in Basic or Python!)

- Notes on Structured Programming. By Prof. Dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra — T. H. Report 70-WSK-03 Second Edition April 1970
- Dijkstra: EWD 215: A Case against the GO TO Statement (PDF).

- 1960: [Algol-60](#) ↗
- 1963: [CPL](#) ↗
- 1967: [BCPL](#) ↗
- 1969: [B](#) ↗
- 1972: [C](#) ↗

**With Unix**

# C and Unix evolved together

- C was portable (not referring any particular architecture properties)
- C was simple enough to create new compiler targets quickly
- C suited well for systems programming

# C and Unix evolved together

- C was portable (not referring any particular architecture properties)
- C was simple enough to create new compiler targets quickly
- C suited well for systems programming

In beginning of 1970s the majority of Unix code was re-implemented in C, which was one of the reasons of its popularity till now. Now we have it in servers, networking hardware, PCs, mobiles etc.

## Recent History

- Cheap PCs
- Internet



- Many mobile and embedded architectures
- Parallel architectures

# Вопросы



[EDU.DLUCIV.NAME](#) 