IBI Group and NRCan

CanFlood 0.1.3

User Manual

Seth Bryant
3-30-2020

Table of Contents

Notes and Acknowledgements

CanFlood is an Open Source experimental flood risk modelling platform. Natural Resources Canada and IBI Group assume no liability for any errors or inaccuracies. The tools provided in CanFlood are for convenience only, and the user is responsible for developing their own tests and confidence in any model results.

For the latest manual and software version, please visit the project page:

https://github.com/IBIGroupCanWest/CanFlood

Development Acknowledgements

The CanFlood plugin and this users manual were developed by IBI Group under contract with Natural Resources Canada (NRCan). Copyright is held by NRCan and the software is distributed under the MIT License.

Terms and Conditions of Use

Use of the software described by this document is controlled by certain terms and conditions. The user must acknowledge and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of usage before the software can be installed or used.

NRCan grants to the user the rights to install CanFlood "the Software" and to use, copy and/or distribute copies of the Software to other users, subject to the following Terms and Conditions for Use:

All copies of the Software received or reproduced by or for user pursuant to the authority of this Terms and Conditions for Use will be and remain the property of NRCan.

Users may reproduce and distribute the Software provided that the recipient agrees to the Terms and Conditions for Use noted herein.

NRCan is solely responsible for the content of the Software. The user is solely responsible for the content, interactions, and effects of any and all amendments, if present, whether they be extension modules, language resource bundles, scripts or any other amendment.

The name "CanFlood" must not be used to endorse or promote products derived from the Software. Products derived from the Software may not be called "CanFlood " nor may any part of the "CanFlood " name appear within the name of derived products.

No part of this Terms and Conditions for Use may be modified, deleted or obliterated from the Software.

Assent

By using this program you voluntarily accept these terms and conditions. If you do not agree to these terms and conditions, uninstall the program and delete all copies, and cease using the program.

CanFlood 0.1.3

1.   Introduction

CanFlood is an object-based, transparent, open-source flood risk modelling Qgis plugin built for Canada. CanFlood facilitates flood risk calculations with three basic steps or 'toolsets':

1) Building the model 🛠
2) Running the model 🏠
3) Visualizing/Analyzing the results 🔵

Each of these steps has a suite of tools designed to assist the flood risk modeller in a wide range of flood risk modelling tasks.

CanFlood is NOT a flood risk model, instead it is a modelling platform with a suite of tools to aide users in building, executing, and analyzing their own models. CanFlood requires users to pre-collect and assemble the data sets that describe flood risk in their study area (see Section XXX). Once analysis in CanFlood is complete, users must apply their own professional judgement and experience to add the context and advice necessary in any reporting to decision makers.

1.1. Intended Users

The CanFlood plugin is for users intending to perform an object-based flood risk assessment (FRA) in Canada. CanFlood is meant for flood risk practitioners with the following expertise:

- Object-based flood risk analysis
- Qgis (novice)

See Section XXX for guidelines and procedures related to FRAs in Canada.

CanFlood_UsersManual_013.docx   5

1.2.   Control Files

CanFlood models are designed to write and read from small 'Control Files'. These make it easy to build and share a specific model or scenario, and to keep a record of how the results set were generated. These also facilitate making a small change to a common input file (e.g. inventory), and having this change replicated across all scenario runs. Control Files don't contain any (large) data, only parameter values and pointers to the datasets required by a CanFlood model. All CanFlood filepaths are absolute, so moving or renaming files/folders will break a control file. Diligent and consistent file storage and naming conventions are essential for a pleasant modelling experience. Most Control File parameters and Data Files can be configured in the 'Build' toolset; however, some advanced parameters must be configured manually (see Section XXX for a full description of the Control File Parameters).

1.3.   Analysis Levels

Flood risk analysis applications are as diverse as the communities they serve. To accommodate a wide a range of flood risk modelling applications, CanFlood contains 3 separate modelling tools or modes as summarized in Table XXX.

Analysis Level:	T1: Overview	T2: Initial	T3: Full-Scale
Guidance[1]	Rapid FRA. desktop type appraisals: first approximations to identify areas where more detailed work is required	More detailed appraisals where further assessment of loss potential is warranted	Detailed study of potential losses/benefits and robust uncertainty quantification
Data requirements	low	medium	high
Level of modelling effort (per asset)	low	medium	high
model complexity	low	medium	high
Vulnerability Functions	none (inundation only)	site-specific	site-specific, un-compiled
Uncertainty quantification	none	none	stochastic modelling
Property Level Mitigation Measures (PLPMs)	no	no	yes
Risk Dynamics	no	no	vulnerability
Asset geometry	point, line, polygon	point, line, polygon	point
Inputs	asset inventory, hazard raster set, DTM (optional), conditional exposure polygons (optional)	asset inventory, hazard raster set, DTM (optional), vulnerability functions, conditional exposure polygons (optional)	asset inventory, hazard raster set, vulnerability functions (un-compiled), dynamic parameters, other (FHRs, etc.)
Outputs	impacts table, annualized impacts (summary and per asset), summary plot,	impacts table, annualized impacts (summary and per asset) summary plot,	impacts table, annualized impacts (summary and per asset) summary plot, many others,
CanFlood tool names	Risk (L1)	Impacts (L2) and Risk (L2)	Risk (L3)
Model build support	Most	Most	Some
1. Adapted from Penning-Rowsell et al. (2019)			

1.4. Background

The devastation of the 2013 Flood precipitated a transition in Alberta from the traditional standards-based approach, where flood protection is designed for a single level-of-safety, towards a risk-based approach. This new risk-based approach recognizes that robust planning must consider vulnerability and the full range of floods that may harm a community rather than focus on a single, subjective, design event. Further, a risk-based view allows decision makers to quantitatively optimize mitigations for their community, helping jurisdictions with shrinking budgets spread protections further. The foundation of decisions under a risk-based flood management is a risk assessment, which is:

> *A methodology to determine the risk by analyzing potential hazards and evaluating existing conditions of vulnerability that together could potentially harm exposed people, property, services, livelihoods and the environment on which they depend. (UNISDR 2009).*

To quantify risk, modern risk assessments integrate data on the natural and built environment with predictive models. Applied in flood risk management, a risk analysis is highly sensitive to the spatial components of risk: vulnerability (what has been built where?) and hazard (where and how intense can flooding be?). Evaluating these components is typically accomplished with a chain of activities (e.g. data collection, processing, modelling, and post-processing) to arrive at the desired risk metrics. The core components of a typical flood risk assessment are the hazard assessment (synthesize spatial exposure-likelihood data sets) and a damage assessment (estimate damage to assets from the hazard assessment results).

Figure 1: Sample GIS view of a flood risk assessment work space.   Yellow geometry shows the measured building footprints, red/blue shading shows a WSL raster shaded by depth above the DEM.

1.4.1. Motivation

Considering the limitation of existing tools, and the growing need to minimize flood harm in Canada through a better understanding of flood risk, we see a great benefit in NRCan developing and maintaining a flood damage tool. Such a standardized tool may:

- Reduce the cost of individual flood risk assessments (FRA) by offloading software development and maintenance (from consultants onto NRCan);
- Increase the transparency and standardization of FRAs for improved cross study-area comparisons of risk;
- Encourage communities to perform additional FRAs by reducing opacity and cost and increasing awareness;
- Facilitate and motivate the standardization and collection of flood risk related datasets;
- Facilitate more sophisticated and stream-lined modelling in the future

In recognition of the significance of this project in progressing flood risk management and reducing future harm from flooding, IBI is pleased to provide the below proposal.

1.4.2. Guidelines

Users should be familiar with the following additional references and guidelines before using CanFlood.

Federal Flood Mapping Guidelines Series

"The Federal Flood Mapping Guidelines Series was developed under the leadership of the Flood Mapping Committee, a partnership between Public Safety Canada, Natural Resources Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada, National Research Council of Canada, Defence Research and Development Canada, Canadian Armed Forces, Infrastructure Canada, and Crown Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada." These guidelines "are a series of evergreen guidelines that will help advance flood mapping activities across Canada" (Public Safety Canada 2018). Published documents can be found with a web search for "Federal Flood Mapping Guidelines Series". The following are particularly relevant to CanFlood:

- Federal Flood Damage Estimation Guidelines (in development)
- Federal Flood Risk Assessment Procedures (in development)

2.   Getting Started

2.1. Installation

All installation instructions can be found on GitHub:

https://github.com/IBIGroupCanWest/CanFlood

Once installed, you should see three CanFlood buttons on the toolbar:

2.2. Tutorial 1a: Risk (L1)

This tutorial guides the user through the simplest application of a risk analysis in CanFlood. This application is for a preliminary risk assessment where only binary impacts are considered for each asset (inundated or not inundated). Options for scaling per-asset and conditional probabilities for the Risk (L1) tool are presented in Section XXX.

2.2.1. Load data to the project

Load all the data for Tutorial 1 from the project's tutorial data page into a Qgis project:

CanFlood\tutorials\1a\data\

- haz_1000yr_cT2.tif
- haz_1000yr_fail_cT2.tif (not used in Tutorial 1a)
- haz_100yr_cT2.tif
- haz_200yr_cT2.tif
- haz_50yr_cT2.tif
- exlikes_1000yr_cT2.gpkg (not used in Tutorial 1a)
- finv_cT2b.gpkg: inventory spatial layer.

It should look something like this:

Explore the 'finv' inventory attributes. You should see something like this:

The 4 fields are:

- *fid*: built-in feature identifier (not used);

- *xid*: Index FieldName, unique identifier for the asset;

- *f0_scale*: value to scale the results of the 'f0' calculation for this asset by;

- *f0_elv*: height (above the project datum) at which the asset is vulnerable to flooding;

2.2.2. Build the Model

Press the 'Build' button ⚒ to begin building a CanFlood model.

Setup

On the 'Setup' tab, fill out the information as shown (selecting your own directories):

Click 'Generate'. There should be a message on the Qgis Toolbar indicating the process ran successfully.

If you close the CanFlood dialog and view the 'CanFlood' Log Messages Tab (View > Panels > Log Messages), you can see the detailed log messages for the process you just completed. It should look something like this:

Then click 'Open' to open the specified working directory, you should see the following files have been created in your working directory:

The .txt file is the Control File with default parameters, and the .csv is the inventory in tabular format.

Open the Control File. Notice the '#' comment letting you know how and when this control file was created (#comment lines are ignored by the program). Also notice that 'curves' has been populated with the directory you provided for 'Vulnerability Curve Set', while 'finv' has been populated with a csv version of the inventory layer you specified.

Hazard Sampler

Move to the 'Hazard Sampler' tab. Add all the hazard rasters to the display box as shown:

Click 'Sample' to generate the exposure dataset. You should see a new file in the working directory called 'expos_tutorial1_4_32.cs'v, and it's filepath added to the control file under 'expos'.

Event Variables

Move to the 'Event Variables' tab. Specify the correct values for each event's likelihood (from the event names) as shown:

Press 'Store'. The file 'evals_4_tutorial1.csv' should have been created and it's filepath written to the Control File under 'evals'.

Validation

Move to the 'Validation' tab, check 'Risk (L1)', then push 'Validate'. This will check all the inputs in the control file and set the 'risk1' validation flag to True.

The model control file should now be constructed. Navigate to the control file (shown on 'Setup' tab), open and inspect the file. It should look similar to:

```
[[parameters]
name = tut1a
cid = xid
prec = 2                #float precision for calculations
ground_water = True     #whether to allow wsl < gel
felv = datum
event_probs = ari
ltail = extrapolate     #EAD extrapolation: left tail treatment code (low prob high damage)
rtail = 0.5             #EAD extrapolation: right trail treatment (high prob low damage)
drop_tails = True       #whether to remove the extrapolated values from the results
integrate = trapz       #integration method to apply: trapz, simps

[dmg_fps]
curves =
finv = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\1a\finv_tut1a_finv_cT2b.csv
expos = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\1a\expos_tut1a_4_32.csv
gels = #ground elevation data filepath

[risk_fps]
dmgs = #damage data results filepath
exlikes = #secondary exposure likelihood data filepath
evals = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\1a\evals_4_tut1a.csv

[validation]
risk1 = True
dmg2 = False
risk2 = False
risk3 = False
```

2.2.3. Run the Model

Select the 'Model' button

Setup

On the 'setup' tab, select a working directory (does not have to match that from the previous step) where all your results will be stored. Also select the control file created in the previous section. Finally, pick the inventory vector layer again to attach the results to.

Your dialog should look similar to this:

Execute

Navigate to the 'Risk (L1)' tab. Check the first two boxes as shown below and press 'Run Risk1'.

2.2.4. View Results

Navigate to the selected working directory. You should see 3 files created:

- *risk1_run1_tutorial1_passet.csv:* Expected value of inundation per asset;

- *risk1_run1_tutorial1_ttl.csv*: Total results, expected value of total inundation per event (and for all events)

- *tutorial1_smry_plot.svg*: a plot of the total results

These are the non-spatial results which are directly generated by CanFlood's model routines. To facilitate more detailed analysis and visualization, CanFlood comes with the third and final 'Results' toolset.

Open this toolset by clicking the 'Results' button.

Attach Geometry

The CanFlood models are designed to run independent of QGIS's spatial API. Therefore, if you'd like to view the results spatially, a final step is required to re-attach the tabular model results to the finv vector geometry. Move to the 'Join Geo' tab, select the finv layer and Index FieldName. Then select the per asset results filepath generated in the previous step. Finally, select a Results Layer Style as shown:

Click 'Join'. A new layer 'run1_risk1_Tut1_tut1a_passet_djoin' should have been loaded onto the map canvas similar to this:

Congratulations on your first CanFlood run!

2.3.   Tutorial 1b: Risk (L1) With Conditional Probabilities

We recommend users first complete Tutorial 1a. Tutorial 1b uses the same input data but expands the analysis to demonstrate conditional probabilities of levee failure.

In the same project as was used for Tutorial 1a, ensure the 'exlikes_1000yr_cT2.gpkg' polygons and 'haz_1000yr_fail_cT2' raster are added to the project. Stylize these polygons by adding 30% transparency, a red fill, a single label for 'p_fail', and moving them just beneath the finv points. Your project should now look like this:

Notice that two '1000yr' rasters are provided: one for the exposure that would occur if the levees perform (haz_1000yr_cT2), and the other for levee failure (haz_1000yr_fail_cT2). Explore the 'exlikes_1000yr_cT2' layer. This is a layer with 5 overlapping polygon features. Each feature corresponds to a levee segment during the 1000yr event and quantifies: 1) influence area of a breach at the corresponding segment; and 2) the conditional probability of that segment breaching. This layer will be used to tell CanFlood when and how to sample the levee failure raster (haz_1000yr_fail_cT2).

2.3.1. Build the Model

In a new working directory, follow the same 'Setup' steps described in Section 3.2.1.

Hazard Sampler

Move to the 'Hazard Sampler' tab, add all 5 rasters to the list, then generate the 'expos' dataset by pressing 'Sample'.

Event Variables

Move to the 'Event Variables' tab. Specify the correct values for each event's likelihood (from the event names) as shown, then press 'Store' to generate the 'evals' dataset.

Conditional Probabilities

Select the Conditional Probabilities tab. Clear all rasters from the first column expect the 1000yr failure raster, then select the conditional probability polygon layer as shown:

Ensure 'p_fail' has been selected then click 'Sample Polygons' to generate the 'exlikes' dataset.

Validation

Move to the 'Validation' tab, check 'Risk (L1)', then push 'Validate'. The Control File should look like this:

```
CanFlood_tutorial2.txt - Notepad

File  Edit  Format  View  Help

[parameters]
name = tutorial2
cid = xid
prec = 2                #float precision for calculations
ground_water = True     #whether to allow wsl < gel
felv = datum
event_probs = ari       #format of event probabilities (in 'aeps' data file): 'ari' or 'aep'
ltail = extrapolate     #EAD extrapolation: left tail treatment code (low prob high damage)
rtail = 0.5             #EAD extrapolation: right trail treatment (high prob low damage)
drop_tails = True       #whether to remove the extrapolated values from the results
integrate = trapz       #integration method to apply: trapz, simps

[dmg_fps]
curves =
finv = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\2\finv_tutorial2_finv_cT2b.csv
expos = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\2\expos_tutorial2_5_32.csv
gels = #ground elevation data filepath

[risk_fps]
dmgs = #damage data results filepath
exlikes = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\2\exlikes_tutorial2.csv
evals = #event probability data filepath

[validation]
risk1 = True
dmg2 = False
risk2 = False
risk3 = False
```

And your working directory should look like this:

Name	Date modified	Type	Size
CanFlood_tutorial2.txt	2020-03-20 4:48 PM	TXT File	2 KB
exlikes_tutorial2.csv	2020-03-20 4:48 PM	Microsoft Excel C...	1 KB
expos_tutorial2_5_32.csv	2020-03-20 4:45 PM	Microsoft Excel C...	4 KB
finv_tutorial2_finv_cT2b.csv	2020-03-20 4:45 PM	Microsoft Excel C...	1 KB

2.3.2. Run the Model

Select the 'Model' button .

Setup the Risk (L1) model by pointing to the new control file, then execute the model as described in Tutorial 1a.

2.3.3. View Results

Navigate to the selected working directory. You should see the same 3 results files as tutorial 1a. Open the annualized results per asset file 'risk1_run1_tutorial1_passet.csv', The first few rows should look like this:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	xid	0.001	0.005	0.01	0.02	ead
2	14879	0.7712	0	0	0	0
3	14880	0.7712	0	0	0	0
4	14925	0.7712	0	0	0	0
5	14926	0	0	0	0	0
6	14927	1	1	1	1	0.26
7	14928	1	1	1	1	0.26

Notice that there are now impact values for the 1000yr event for the first 3 assets (these were all zeros in the tutorial 1a results). These are the expected value of impacts at these assets for the 1000yr event considering the conditional probabilities of levee failures captured in the 'exlikes' datafile.

2.4. Tutorial 2a: Risk (L2) with Simple Events

Tutorial 2 demonstrates the use of the Risk (L2) tool in CanFlood. This emulates a more detailed risk assessment where the vulnerability of each assets is described as a function of flood depth (rather than flood presence as in tutorial 1). This tutorial also demonstrates an inventory with 'relative' heights and nested vulnerability functions.

2.4.1. Load data to project

Download the tutorial 2 data from the 'tutorials\2\data' folder. You should see the following datafiles:

- *finv_cT2.gpkg:* This is an example asset inventory in CanFlood format ('finv').
- *CanFlood_curves_rfda_20200218.xls:* This is an example vulnerability curve set. Each tab corresponds to one depth-damage curve and a 'tag' value in the finv.
- *dtm_cT1.tif*: This is an example DTM raster showing ground elevations in the study area.
- Four water surface level (WSL) hazard rasters corresponding to 4 different flood events (haz_1000yr_cT2.tif, haz_100yr_cT2.tif, haz_200yr_cT2.tif, haz_50yr_cT2.tif)
- One failure WSL (ignore for tutorial 2a)
- One conditional failure probability polygon set (exlikes_1000yr_cT2.gpkg) (ignore for tutorial 2a)

Load these into a Qgis project, it should look something like this:

2.4.2. Build the Model

Open the 'Build' 🔨 toolset.

Setup your scenario

On the 'setup' tab, configure the session as shown, then click 'Generate':

Hazard Sampler

Move to the 'Hazard Sampler' tab, add the 4 hazard rasters to the window, and click 'Sample'.

Event Variables

Move to the 'Event Variables' tab, you should now see the 4 hazard events populating the table. Fill in the 'Likelihood' values as shown, then hit 'store' to generate the 'evals' dataset.

DTM Sampler

Move to the 'DTM Sampler' tab. Select the 'dtm_cT1' raster then click 'Sample DTM' to generate the ground elevation ('gels') dataset.

Validation

Move to the 'Validation' tab, check the boxes for both L2 models, then click 'Validate'. Your

Control File look like the below:

```
CanFlood_tut2a.txt - Notepad

File  Edit  Format  View  Help

[parameters]
name = tut2a
cid = xid
prec = 2                 #float precision for calculations
ground_water = True      #whether to allow wsl < gel
felv = ground
event_probs = ari
ltail = extrapolate      #EAD extrapolation: left tail treatment code (low prob high damage)
rtail = 0.5              #EAD extrapolation: right trail treatment (high prob low damage)
drop_tails = True        #whether to remove the extrapolated values from the results
integrate = trapz        #integration method to apply: trapz, simps

[dmg_fps]
curves = C:\LS\03_TOOLS\_git\CanFlood\tutorials\2\data\CanFlood_curves_rfda_20200218.xls
finv = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\2a\finv_tut2a_finv_cT2.csv
expos = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\2a\expos_tut2a_4_32.csv
gels = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\2a\gels_tut2a_1_32.csv

[risk_fps]
dmgs = #damage data results filepath
exlikes = #secondary exposure likelihood data filepath
evals = C:\Users\cefect\CanFlood\build\2a\evals_4_tut2a.csv

[validation]
risk1 = False
dmg2 = True
risk2 = True
risk3 = False
```

You're now ready to run Impacts and Risk (L2) models.

2.4.3. Run the Model

Open the 'Model' 🏠 dialog. Configure the 'setup' tab as shown below (but with your filepaths):

Impact (L2)

Move to the Impacts (L2) tab. Uncheck the 'Run Risk Model' box (we'll do that in the next step). Click 'Run dmg2'. The CanFlood log tab should look something like this:

On the Control File, a filepath for 'dmgs' should now be shown. Navigate to this csv. It should look something like this:

xid	haz_1000yr_cT2_dmg	haz_100yr_cT2_dmg	haz_200yr_cT2_dmg	haz_50yr_cT2_dmg
14879	111300	63604.3844	111300	58087.9586
14880	153700.672	130647.8949	139021.5227	68807.11733
14925	110745.5954	59475.3944	107058.1727	55783.85
14926	91900.0064	50567.6288	53695.5392	48753.92
14927	141275.3947	72822.34	135728.402	67626.7
14928	149370.6208	74894.3717	80078.6648	71826.32
14933	119664.2042	62736.58707	101380.3579	59380.33667
14934	131785.024	131785.024	131785.024	113100.9109
14935	115081.952	110665.386	115081.952	77851.347
14936	133000	125667.7389	133000	73117.429
14937	113579.056	112393.577	113579.056	97279.4576
14938	136000	136000	136000	126784.0816
14939	126134.896	125238.5367	126134.896	107835.0321
14940	126039.776	121561.996	126039.776	86860.7568
14941	66600	66600	66600	66600
14942	125687.832	121224.9137	125687.832	106535.2458
14943	104837.528	104837.528	104837.528	95164.8725
14944	120000	120000	120000	120000
14945	0	0	0	0

These are the damages per event per asset calculated with each damage function and the sampled WSL. Now you're ready to calculate flood risk!

Risk (L2)

Move to the 'Risk (L2)' tab. Check all the boxes, and click 'Run Risk2':

Your working directory should now contain these files:

- *dmgs_tutorial2_run1.csv*: impact results per asset (from Impact (L2) run)

- *risk2_run1_tutorial2_passet.csv*: expected value per asset ('passet')

- *risk2_run1_tutorial2_ttl.csv*: total expected value for all events.

- *tutorial2_smry_plot.svg*: summary of total, see below.

CanFlood 'tutorial2.run1' Annualized-ARI plot on 6 events

For a complete description of the Risk (L2) module, see Section 8.1.

2.4.4. View Results

Open the 'Results' toolset

Move to the 'Join Geo' tab and configure as shown selecting your 'passet' results data file from the previous step, then click 'Join':

A vectorized results memory layer named 'run1_risk2_run1_tut2a_passet_djoin' should have been added to your canvas. Try re-classifying the layer styling[1]. Now hide all other layers[2]. The result should be a points layer where the size of each point is relative to the magnitude of the expected value of annualized flood damage (i.e. flood risk) similar to this:

2.5.   Tutorial 2b: Risk (L2) with Conditional Probabilities

We recommend users first complete Tutorials 1a, 1b, and 2a. Tutorial 2b uses the same input data as 2a, but expands the analysis to demonstrate conditional probabilities of levee failure.

In the same project as was used for Tutorial 2a, ensure the 'exlikes_1000yr_cT2.gpkg' polygons and 'haz_1000yr_fail_cT2' raster are added to the project.

2.5.1. Build the Model

Follow the steps in Tutorials 2a 'Build the Model', but including the failure raster layer 'haz_1000yr_fail' in the Hazard Sampler and Event Variables setps.

---

[1] Right click the layer > Properties…, click 'Control Feature Symbology' on the left, click 'Classify' at the bottom.
[2] Select the layer in the 'Layers pane', click the 'Manage Map Themes' eyeball at the top of the Layers Pane, select 'Hide Deselected Layers'

Conditional Probabilities

Navigate to the 'Conditional Probabilities' tab. Pair the failure polygons with the failure raster, and select the Probability FieldName as shown, then click 'Sample Polygons':

An 'exlikes' data file should have been created in your working directory with entries like this:

xid	haz_1000yr_fail_cT2
14879	0.7712
14880	0.7712
14925	0.7712
14926	0.7712
14927	0.7712
14928	0.5263
14933	0.7712
14934	0.7712
14935	0.7712
14936	0.7712
14937	0.7712

These are the conditional probabilities of each asset realizing the haz_1000yr_fail WSLs (all other hazard rasters have a conditional probability of 1.0). See Section 5.3 for a complete description of this tool.

Re-run the Validation tool for the L2 models.

2.5.2. Run the Model

Follow the steps in Tutorial 2a to setup your model runs.

Impacts and Risk

Navigate to the 'Impacts (L2)' tab, check the 'Run Risk' box to execute the impact and risk models in sequence from your Control File. Navigate to the 'Risk (L2)' tab to setup your risk model. Check all the boxes. Now navigate back to the 'Impacts (L2)' tab and click 'Run dmg2'.

The following data files should have been created in your working directory (see Tutorial 2a for descriptions):

- dmgs_tut2b_run1.csv
- risk2_run1_tut2b_passet.csv
- risk2_run1_tut2b_ttl.csv
- tut2b_smry_plot.svg

And a vectorized results data memory layer should have been loaded to your canvas (with a default style).

3. Data Requirements

CanFlood models are only as robust as the data sets they are built with. Below is a summary of the main datasets the user must collect and compile prior to building a CanFlood model.

3.1. Asset Inventory (finv)

The asset inventory is a comprehensive list of the objects or assets who's impacts will be evaluated by the CanFlood model. The asset inventory is a spatial data set with these attributes:

- fX_tag: value telling the model which vulnerability function to assign to this asset
- fX_scale: value to scale the vulnerability function by (e.g. floor area)
- fX_cap: value to cap vulnerability prediction by (e.g. improvement value)
- fX_elv: elevation to anchor the vulnerability function (e.g. first floor height + DTM)
- geometry: geospatial data telling the model where to sample the hazard rasters..
- inventory indexer: unique integer used by the model to link together datasets.

To facilitate complex assets (e.g. a house vulnerable to structural and contents damages), CanFlood asset inventories support nesting of the 4 key attributes (tag, scale, cap, elv) (using the X in fX). In this way, a single asset can sample up to 10 different vulnerability functions. An example entry for a single family dwelling may look like:

xid	f0_tag	f0_scale	f0_cap	f0_elv	f1_cap	f1_elv	f1_scale	f1_tag
14879	BA_S	117.99	91300	11.11	20000	11.11	117.99	BA_C

Where BA_S corresponds to a vulnerability function for estimating structural damages, and BA_C estimates contents damages. Additional fX columns could be added to nest vulnerability functions for basements, garages, and so on.

4.   Build Toolset

The build toolset contains a suite of tools intended to aide the flood risk modeller in their construction of CanFlood L1 and L2 models.

- *Scenario Setup*: This is a mandatory tab for starting the control file (and converting the inventory to csv format)
- *Hazard Sampler*: This tab facilitates sampling the different flood event WSL rasters with the inventory geometry. This results in an exposure table of WSL per event per asset.
- *Event Variables*: This tab is used to assign variables (e.g. event likelihoods) to the different events sampled by the Hazard Sampler.
- *Conditional Probabilities:* This tab is used to assign secondary or conditional event polygons to each event. This is useful for modelling flood protection failures during a flood event.
- *DTM Sampler:* Like the Hazard Sampler, this is used for sampling a DTM raster with the inventory geometry. This tool is only required if the elevations provided in the inventory are relative to ground.
- *Validation:* This should always be the last Build tool executed. Validated should also be executed before any Control File is loaded into a model (and after any subsequent changes). This tab ensures all of the inputs are in the proper format for the Level 1 and Level 2 models.
- *Other:* This tab provides some tools to aide in conversion from common legacy data formats to CanFlood data formats.

4.1. Setup

This tab facilitates the creation of a Control File from user specified parameters and inventory, as well as providing general file control variables for the other tools in the toolset.

4.2. Hazard Sampler

Generate the exposure dataset ('expos') from a set of hazard event rasters.

4.3. Event Variables

store user specified event variables into the 'evals' dataset

4.4. Conditional Probabilities

This tool generates the conditional probability data set ('exlikes') for each asset. This dataset tells the CanFlood L1 and L2 models when/where to sample a secondary failure raster, and what the likelihood is of realizing that failure raster. This is useful for incorporating failure influence polygons from flood protection infrastructure into your FRA (e.g. the likelihood of a levee failing during a given flood event) (see Section 5.3.2).   The user can specify up to eight event-raster/conditional-probability-polygon pairings with the GUI.

Overlapping Conditional Probability Polygons

In some FRAs, assets are vulnerable to exposure from the failure of multiple flood protection failures during the same flood (e.g. levee rings). In these cases, the combined probability of exposure from each failure must be calculated.   Where conditional probability polygons overlap, the union_probabilities() method is used to calculate the union probability of multiple events using the exclusion principle[3]. The formula for 3 overlapping polygons is:

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) + \mathbb{P}(A_2) + \mathbb{P}(A_3) - \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) - \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_3) - \mathbb{P}(A_2 \cap A_3) + \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3)$$

Where 'A' is the liklihood attribute value in each polygon feature.

4.5. Converting from Other Platforms

On the 'other' tab some tools are provided to aide in conversion from common data formats to that of CanFlood.

4.5.1. RFDA conversion

RFDA was developed by the Province of Alberta in 2014 as a Qgis 2 plugin. RFDA did not include any spatial analysis or annualization functions. RFDA inventories are in spreadsheet format (.xls) indexed by column location (not labels). Curves are tagged to assets using a concatenation of columns 11 and 12. Many columns in the inventory are ignored in rfda. These are the functional columns:

- 0:'id1',

- 10:'class',

- 11:'struct_type',

- 13:'area',

- 18:'bsmt_f',

- 19:'ff_height',

- 20:'lon',*

- 21:'lat', *

- 25:'gel'

*not used by rfda, but necessary for spatial analysis.

RFDA uses a legacy format for reading damage functions based on alternating column locations.

70																							
AA1	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	372.8	0.3	624.5	0.6	757.8	0.9	808.7	1.2	815.8	1.5	815.8	1.8	838.9	2.1	838.9	2.4	838.9	2.7	838.9	MC
AA2	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	588.2	0.3	594.2	0.6	674.1	0.9	847.9	1.2	847.9	1.5	847.9	1.8	847.9	2.1	847.9	2.4	847.9	2.7	847.9	MS
AA3	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	400.3	0.3	553.6	0.6	715.5	0.9	777.7	1.2	784.2	1.5	786.4	1.8	788.0	2.1	810.5	2.4	835.9	2.7	835.9	BC
AA4	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	231.5	0.3	271.4	0.6	299.4	0.9	299.4	1.2	305.4	1.5	335.4	1.8	335.4	2.1	356.2	2.4	357.2	2.7	365.2	BS
AD1	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	343.1	0.3	545.3	0.6	662.9	0.9	748.4	1.2	765.8	1.5	767.0	1.8	767.0	2.1	767.0	2.4	767.0	2.7	767.0	MC
AD2	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	664.5	0.3	675.8	0.6	825.8	0.9	1050.9	1.2	1050.9	1.5	1050.9	1.8	1050.9	2.1	1050.9	2.4	1050.9	2.7	1050.9	MS
AD3	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	226.0	0.3	354.1	0.6	395.5	0.9	436.6	1.2	440.0	1.5	441.9	1.8	444.0	2.1	474.7	2.4	522.9	2.7	522.9	BC
AD4	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	241.3	0.3	353.9	0.6	406.4	0.9	406.4	1.2	428.9	1.5	466.4	1.8	466.4	2.1	505.5	2.4	507.4	2.7	522.4	BS
BA1	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	220.9	0.3	384.1	0.6	430.7	0.9	492.0	1.2	494.1	1.5	494.1	1.8	495.1	2.1	495.2	2.4	495.2	2.7	495.2	MC
BA2	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	400.3	0.3	407.0	0.6	457.2	0.9	577.7	1.2	577.7	1.5	577.7	1.8	577.7	2.1	577.7	2.4	577.7	2.7	577.7	MS
BA3	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	226.4	0.3	338.9	0.6	375.4	0.9	400.7	1.2	410.3	1.5	411.0	1.8	411.7	2.1	426.0	2.4	503.7	2.7	503.7	BC
BA4	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	231.6	0.3	281.8	0.6	312.0	0.9	312.0	1.2	322.0	1.5	334.1	1.8	334.1	2.1	361.8	2.4	363.5	2.7	373.5	BS
BC1	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	107.7	0.3	194.1	0.6	216.5	0.9	251.6	1.2	252.6	1.3	360.4	1.5	441.3	1.8	462.9	2.1	493.6	2.4	494.7	MC
BC2	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	210.4	0.3	216.9	0.6	242.0	0.9	302.2	1.2	302.2	1.3	502.4	1.5	502.4	1.8	527.5	2.1	587.7	2.4	587.7	MS
BC3	11	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.3	113.2	1.5	169.4	1.8	187.7	2.1	200.3	2.4	218.8	2.7	296.1	3.0	296.4	3.3	296.8	3.6	297.1	BC
BC4	11	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.3	115.8	1.5	140.9	1.8	156.0	2.1	156.0	2.4	161.0	2.7	184.7	3.0	184.7	3.3	189.7	3.6	190.6	BS
BD1	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	235.3	0.3	342.3	0.6	421.5	0.9	481.3	1.2	506.6	1.5	508.3	1.8	510.9	2.1	511.0	2.4	512.0	2.7	512.0	MC
BD2	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	523.7	0.3	535.6	0.6	624.9	0.9	791.5	1.2	791.5	1.5	791.5	1.8	791.5	2.1	791.5	2.4	791.5	2.7	791.5	MS
BD3	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	163.4	0.3	254.9	0.6	293.9	0.9	324.0	1.2	332.2	1.5	335.9	1.8	336.1	2.1	363.6	2.4	426.9	2.7	426.9	BC
BD4	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	241.7	0.3	331.0	0.6	384.6	0.9	384.6	1.2	402.4	1.5	420.3	1.8	420.3	2.1	469.7	2.4	472.6	2.7	490.5	BS
CA1	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	239.8	0.3	360.2	0.6	420.2	0.9	468.4	1.2	478.5	1.5	478.8	1.8	479.1	2.1	479.1	2.4	479.1	2.7	479.1	MC
CA2	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	466.8	0.3	478.8	0.6	556.7	0.9	671.6	1.2	671.6	1.5	671.6	1.8	671.6	2.1	671.6	2.4	671.6	2.7	671.6	MS
CA3	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	293.6	0.3	350.4	0.6	384.9	0.9	418.0	1.2	422.0	1.5	422.2	1.8	422.5	2.1	438.9	2.4	511.1	2.7	511.1	BC
CA4	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	236.7	0.3	308.6	0.6	356.5	0.9	356.5	1.2	374.5	1.5	383.4	1.8	383.4	2.1	424.1	2.4	427.1	2.7	439.1	BS
CC1	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	117.2	0.3	182.8	0.6	211.9	0.9	240.4	1.2	245.5	1.3	362.7	1.5	423.0	1.8	450.9	2.1	475.0	2.4	480.1	MC
CC2	11	0.0	0.0	0.1	245.4	0.3	257.4	0.6	296.3	0.9	353.8	1.2	353.8	1.3	587.2	1.5	587.2	1.8	626.1	2.1	683.6	2.4	683.6	MS

RFDA was developed in tandem with a set of 1D damage functions from building surveys of structures in Edmonton and Calgary, AB in 2014. Curves for building replacement/repair and contents damage were developed separately. Residential curves for main floor and basement were developed separately.

During a model run, RFDA applies a contents and structural curve to each asset, and the corresponding basement pair to those with 'bsmt_f'=True.

To facilitate converting from rfda inventories to CanFlood format, two tools are provided:

1) Inventory converter; and

2) Damage Curve converter.

Inventory Conversion

The RFDA Inventory Conversion requires a point vectorlayer as an input (this can easily be built from .xls by exporting to csv then creating a csv layer in Qgis from the lat/long values). Based on the concatenated 'class' and 'struct_type' values in the inventory, each asset is assigned a f0_tag with a '_M' suffix to denote this as a main floor curve (e.g. BD_M). Based on the 'bsmt_f' value, the f1_tag is also assigned with a '_B' suffix. These suffixes correspond to the curve naming of the DamageCurves tool (described below). The f1_elv is assigned from: f0_elv – bsmt_ht. Once converted, the user can start the CanFlood model building process.

DamageCurves Converter

This tool converts the tabular location based RFDA curves into a CanFlood curve set (one curve per tab). The following combinations of RFDA curves are constructed:

- Individual (e.g. main floor contents)
- Floor combined (e.g. main floor structural and contents)
- Type combined (e.g. structural basement and mainfloor)
- All combined

This allows the user to customize which curves are applied how using CanFlood's nested curve capabilities. By default, the Inventory Conversion tool assins the 'Floor combined' curves using the 'bmst_f'.

5. Model Toolset

The 'Model' toolset provides a GUI to facilitate access to CanFlood's 3 flood risk models. CanFlood's L2 models are split between impacts and risk to facilitate custom applications (however these can be linked using the 'Run Risk Model (L2)' checkbox). The following tabs are implemented in CanFlood's Model toolset:

- *Setup*: Filepaths, run descriptions, and optional parameters used by all Model tools;
- *Risk (L1)*: Inundation likelihood analysis;
- *Impacts (L2)*: Part one of the L2 models, impacts per event calculated with vulnerability functions;
- *Risk (L2)*: Part two of the L2 models, expected value of all event impacts;
- *Risk (L3)*: SOFDA research model

Batch Runs

To facilitate batch simulations for advanced users, all CanFlood modelling modules have reduced dependency requirements (e.g. the Qgis API is not required).

Parameter Summary

The following table summarizes the relevant parameters for CanFlood's model toolset that can be specified in the Control File:

```
1.  [parameters]
2.
3.  event_probs -- format of event probabilities (in 'aeps' data file)
4.                  (default 'ari')
5.
6.      'aeps'          event probabilities in aeps file expressed as
7.                      annual exceedance probabilities
8.      'aris'          expressed as annual recurrance intervals
9.
10.
11. ltail -- zero probability event extrapolation handle
12.         (default 'extrapolate')
13.     'flat'          set the zero probability event equal to the most
14.                     extreme impacts in the passed series
15.     'extrapolate'   set the zero probability event by extrapolating from
16.                     the most extreme impact
17.     'none'          do not extrapolate (not recommended)
18.     float           use the passed value as the zero probability impact value
19.
20.
21. rtail -- zreo impacts event extrapolation handle    (default 0.5)
22.     'extrapolate'   set the zero impact event by extrapolating from the
```

```
23.                    least extreme impact
24.     'none'           do not extrapolate (not recommended)
25.     float            use the passed value as the zero impacts aep value
26.
27. drop_tails -- flag to drop the extrapolated values from the results
28.                    (default True)
29.
30. integrate -- numpy integration method to apply (default 'trapz')
31.
32. res_per_asset -- flag to generate results per asset
33.
34. ground_water -- flag to include negative depths in the analysis
35.
36. [dmg_fps]
37.
38.
39. [risk_fps]
40. dmgs -- damage data results file path (default N/A)
41.
42. exlikes -- secondary exposure likelihood data file path (default N/A)
43.
44. evals -- event probability data file path (default N/A)
45.
46. [validation]
47. risk2 -- Risk2 validation flag (default False)
```

Some of these can be configured with CanFlood's 'Build' toolset UI, while others must be specified manually in the Control File.

5.1. Risk (L1)

CanFloods L1 Risk tool provides a preliminary assessment of flood risk with binary vulnerability: exposed or not-exposed. Because this level of analysis doesn't require object specific vulnerability functions (like the L2 and L3 modules), this type of model can be useful for performing large scale preliminary FRAs to determine which areas should receive priority for more detailed FRAs.

5.2. Impacts (L2)

CanFlood's L2 impacts tool is designed to perform a 'classic' object-based deterministic flood damage assessment using depth-damage curves, asset heights, and WSL values to estimate flood impacts from multiple events.

To improve performance, Impacts (L2) only evaluates assets with positive depths (when ground_water=False) and real depths. By specifying ground_water=True, negative depths (within the minimum depth found in all loaded damage functions) can be included.

5.3. Risk (L2)

CanFlood's Level 2 Risk tool is designed to perform a 'classic' object-based deterministic flood risk assessment using damage estimates and likelihoods to estimate annualized risk. Beyond this classical risk model, Risk (L2) also facilitates risk estimates that incorporate conditional hazard events, like levee failure during a 100-yr flood. This can be conceptualized with Sayers (2012)'s 'source-pathway-receptor' framework as shown in XXX, where:

Figure 2: Sayers (2012)'s Source-Path-Receptor framework.

- *Source*: WSL prediction (in raster format) for levels behind the defense (e.g. levee) of an event with a quantified likelihood.
- *Pathway*: The infrastructure element separating receptors (i.e. assets) from the raw WSL prediction. Typically, this is a levee, but could be any element where 'failure' likelihood and WSL can be quantified (e.g. stormwater outfall gates, stormwater pumps).
- *Receptor*: Assets vulnerable to flooding where location and relevant variables are catalogued in the inventory and vulnerability is quantified with a depth-damage function.

5.3.1. Simple Event

A simple application of the Risk (L1) model would be for a study area with no significant flood protection infrastructure (e.g. a floodplain with no levees).

Event (e_i)

Figure 3: Risk calculation definition diagram where the dashed line is the WSL value of event ej

Using the definitions in XXX the impact of an event e_i to a single asset a_j with height h_j is calculated as:

$$d_i = F\big(WSL_{\;bl,ei} - h_j, tag\big) \tag{1}$$

Where tag is the variable linking the asset to the corresponding vulnerability curve in the vulnerability curve set ('curves'), and $WSL_{bl,ej}$ is the WSL sampled at the asset location and contained in the exposure dataset ('expos')[4]. The total impact of an event x_i is the sum of impacts from all assets. The expected value of flood impacts *E[X]* (also called *Expected Annual Damages* (EAD), or *Average Annual Damages* (AAD), or *Annualized Loss*) is defined for discrete events as:

$$\mathrm{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i\, p_i.$$

---

[4] Risk (L2) will transfer any exposure variable to the damage function (adjusted by asset height). Typically, this is WSL (adjusted to water depth), however; the Hazard Sampler tool also facilitates calculating the hazard variable as percent inundated for polygon type inventories.

Where x_i is the total impact of the event i and p_i is the probability of that event occurring. While flood models discretize events out of necessity (e.g. 100yr, 200yr), real floods generate continuous hazard variables (e.g. 100- 200yr). Therefore, the continuous form of the previous equation is required:

$$E[X] = \int_R x f(x)\, dx.$$

Where *f(x)* is a function describing the probability of any event x (i.e. the probability density function) (USACE 1996). To align with typical discharge-likelihood expressions common in Water Resources, the previous equation is manipulated further to:

$$E(X) = \int_0^\infty (1 - F_X(x))\, dx$$

(4)

Where *Fx(x)* is the cumulative probability of any event x (e.g. cumulative distribution function). Recognizing that the complement of *Fx(x)* is the *annual exceedance probability* (AEP) (the probability of realizing an event of magnitude x or larger), this equation yields the classic 'Risk Curve' common in flood risk assessments shown in XXX.

Figure 4: Damage-probability Curve from Messner(2007).

The following algorithm is implemented in CanFlood's Risk (L1 and L2) to execute the expected value formula:

1. Assemble a series of AEPs and total impacts for each event;
2. Extrapolate this series with the user provided extrapolation handles ('rtail', and 'ltail')
3. Use the numpy integration method specified by the user to calculate the area under the series;

The same algorithm is used for calculating the total expected value across all assets, and for the expected value of individual assets (if 'res_per_asset'=True).

5.3.2. With Flood Protection Infrastructure

Developed areas often rely on some form of flood protection infrastructure to reduce the exposure of built assets (e.g. levees or drainage pumps). Any such infrastructure has the potential to fail during a flood event. Ignoring this failure potential (p_{fail}=0) will underestimate the real flood risk in an area (negative model bias). Assuming such infrastructure will always fail (p_{fail}=1) can drastically overestimate flood risk (positive model bias). Either scenario will reduce confidence in the model and the quality of any flood management decisions made from it. In many areas in Canada, flood protection plays such a significant role in exposure mechanics that a binary treatment of failure probability ($p_{fail} = 0$ or 1) would render the model risk metric useless. Recognizing the importance of flood protection infrastructure in Canadian flood risk, CanFlood facilitates the specification of conditional exposure probabilities for each asset, and companion hazard rasters.

A common application of this capability is the incorporation of levee fragility into a risk model. Often such study areas will have groups of levee-protected assets, where each asset is vulnerable to breaching along much of the levee ring. This situation can be analyzed by discretizing the levee into segments, estimating the influence area of a breach along each segment (for event j), estimating the conditional probability of that breach occurring (during event j), and developing a hazard raster for the breach condition. This process should yield one polygon for each breach segment (possibly overlapping), a failure probability value as an attribute in each polygon, and a raster layer with the corresponding failure WSL. Qualified hydrotechnical and geotechnical professionals should be engaged to develop these inputs. An example is provided below:

Figure 5: Example conditional exposure polygons showing breach locations and associated failure probabilities..

Conditional Probability Polygons

CanFlood facilitates the specification of conditional exposure probabilities with conditional exposure polygons that quantify: 1) the conditional probability of realizing the paired event raster; and 2) the area of influence of the specified conditional probability. Once these conditional exposure polygons are loaded into the project, the conditional exposure dataset ('exlikes') can be generated using the 'Conditional Probabilities' tool described in XXX. Any unpaired hazard rasters will be assigned a conditional probability of 1.0. Assets in areas with any overlapping polygons (i.e. areas exposed to failure form multiple breach points) will have a single conditional probability value pre-calculated by the 'Conditional Probabilities' tool using the exclusion principle described in XXX.

Companion Rasters

In conjunction with facilitating the spatial specification of the conditional probability of realizing hazard variables, CanFlood also allows the user to specify companion hazard rasters for a single 'event'. A common application for this is a 'failure' and 'non-failure' hazard raster for a single hydraulic event (e.g. 100yr with levee failure and 100yr without levee failure)[5]. To specify companion rasters for the failure scenario, simply include the raster in the exposure data set[6] and ensure the event likelihood is specified[7] with the same value as the non-failure event. CanFlood selects the maximum expected value of impacts per asset from the duplicated events as shown in the following formula (see XXX for variable reference):

$$d_i = \max \left(F\left(WSL_{bl,ei} - h_j, tag\right) * P_{bl,.ei}, \; F\left(WSL_{bf,ei} - h_j, tag\right) * P_{bf,.ei} \right) \quad (5)$$

Where $WSL_{bf,ej}$ is the WSL sampled at the asset location from the companion raster ('failure' raster), $P_{bf,ei}$ is the conditional likelihood of realizing the companion raster (specified in the 'exlikes' datafile)[8], and the remaining variables are as specified in XXX. Once a single impact value is obtained for each asset, the expected value algorithm proceeds as described in XXX. XXX summarizes CanFlood's full expected value algorithm.

---

[5] Some flood risk studies refer to 'failure' and 'non-failure' events as 'scenarios', reporting a separate risk metric for each to decision makers. While this communicates model results transparently, it shifts the burden of estimating flood protection failure from the flood risk team onto decision makers. This implies decision makers are better suited at estimating flood protection failure (a f unction of hydraulic loading, geotechnical stability, and breach mechanics for levees) than the flood risk team. While it is possible to take this fail/no-fail scenario approach with CanFlood using separate scenarios/control-files for each, best-practice suggests flood analysts quantify protection failure in the model -- rather than push that uncertainty onto decision makers.
[6] 'expos' dataset generated using the 'Hazard Sampler' tool
[7] 'aeps' dataset generated using the 'Event Likelihoods' tool
[8] If no P value is specified, the default value of 1.0 is used.

```
                                              ┌──────────────┐
                          Yes                 │ Conditional  │
                 ┌────────────────────────────│Probabilities │
                 │                             │ Specified?   │
                 ▼                             └──────────────┘
          ┌──────────────┐                          │
          │ Overlapping  │                          │
          │  Polygons?   │                          │ No
          └──────────────┘                          │
     Yes       │                                     │
  ┌────────────┘                                     │
  ▼            │ No                                   ▼
┌──────────┐   │                             ┌──────────────┐
│Calculate │   │                             │              │
│ Union    │   │                             │ exlikes = 1  │
│Probability│  │                             │              │
└──────────┘   │                             └──────────────┘
   │           ▼                                     │
   │    ┌──────────────┐                             │
   │    │   exlikes    │                             ▼
   └───▶│   dataset    │──────────────┐     ┌──────────────┐
        └──────────────┘              └────▶│ Companion    │
                                            │  Rasters     │
                                            │ Specified?   │
                                            └──────────────┘
                       Yes                        │
               ┌───────────────────────────────── │
               ▼                                   │ No
        ┌──────────────┐                           │
        │   Select     │                           │
        │  Maximum     │                           ▼
        │  EV (eq.5)   │                    ┌──────────────┐
        └──────────────┘                    │  Calculate   │
               │────────────────────────────│  Expected    │
                                            │ Value (eq.4) │
                                            └──────────────┘
```

Figure 6: CanFlood's Risk (L1 and L2) tool expected value calculation algorithm

5.4. Risk (L3)

In response to the limitations of RFDA, and the desire to examine more complex elements of flood risk (e.g.; dynamics), Bryant (2019) developed the Stochastic Object-based Flood damage Dynamic Assessment model framework (SOFDA) to simulate flood risk over time using the Alberta Curves and a residential re-development forecast. Framework development was motivated by a desire to quantify the benefits of Flood Hazard Regulations (FHRs) and to help incorporate the dynamics of risk into decision-making. Like the precursor model RFDA, SOFDA quantifies flood risk of an asset through the use of direct-damage and depth-likelihood functions. In this way, flood risk can be quantified (e.g. monetized) at fine spatial resolutions for robust decision support.

SOFDA has the following additional capabilities:

- Estimate the vulnerability reduction of Flood Hazard Regulations;
- Estimate the vulnerability reduction of Property Level Protection Measures;
- Estimate the influence of elevating damage-features (e.g. raising water heaters);
- Simulate changes in relevant building typology brought about by re-development (e.g. larger homes with deeper basements);
- Dynamic and flexible modeling of many model components (e.g. more expensive water heaters)
- Provide some quantification of uncertainty (i.e. stochastic modeling);
- Provide detailed outputs to facilitate the analysis of underlying mechanisms.

SOFDA 3.0 is written in python 3 and implemented as a frozen windows executable. SOFDA shares no source code with RFDA.

6. Results Toolset

The 'Results' toolset is a collection of tools to assist the user in performing secondary data analysis and visualization on CanFlood models. The following tools have been implemented in CanFlood's Results toolset:

- *Risk Plot*: Generate a risk summary plot from total results data
- *Join Geo*: Map tabular results back to inventory geometry

For more efficient applications, both of these tools can be linked to execute upon model completion on the Risk tabs in the 'Model' toolset.

7.   Validation

References

Bryant, Seth. 2019. "Accumulating Flood Risk." University of Alberta. https://era.library.ualberta.ca/items/1e033c0d-6c4c-4749-9195-e46ce9eb3e2b.

Messner, Frank. 2007. "FLOODSite: Evaluating Flood Damages: Guidance and Recommendations on Principles and Methods." T09-06–01. Helmholz Unweltforschungszentrum (UFZ). http://repository.tudelft.nl/view/hydro/uuid:5602db10-274c-40da-953f-34475ded1755/.

Penning-Rowsell, Edmund, Sally Priest, Dennis Parker, and others. 2019. *Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management - Handbook*. 1st ed. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203066393.

Public Safety Canada. 2018. "Federal Flood Mapping Guidelines Series." December 21, 2018. https://www.publicsafety.gc.ca/cnt/mrgnc-mngmnt/dsstr-prvntn-mtgtn/ndmp/fldpln-mppng-en.aspx.

Sayers, Paul B., ed. 2012. *Flood Risk: Planning, Design and Management of Flood Defence Infrastructure*. London: ICE Publishing.

USACE. 1996. "RISK-BASED ANALYSIS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION STUDIES." EM 1110-2-1619.