# Project Development Phase Model Performance Test

Date	10 November 2022	
Team ID	PNT2022TMID22055	
Project Name	Project – Early Detection of Chronic Kidney	
	Disease using Machine Learning	
Maximum Marks	10 Marks	

## **Model Performance Testing:**

Project team shall fill the following information in model performance testing template.

S.No.	Parameter	Values	Screenshot
1.	Metrics	Regression Model: MAE - , MSE - , RMSE - , R2 score -	See Below
		Classification Model: Confusion Matrix - , Accuracy Score- & Classification Report -	
2.	Tune the Model	Hyperparameter Tuning - Validation Method -	See Below

### 1. Metrics

## **Model: Random Forest Classifier**

```
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
 model = RandomForestClassifier()
 model.fit(x\_train , y\_train)
prediction = model.predict(x_test)
print(prediction)
 from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
 print('RandomForest\n')
 print('confusion_matrix')
 print(confusion_matrix(prediction,y_test))
print('\n')
 print('accuracy_score')
print(accuracy_score(prediction,y_test))
print('\n')
  [ \tt 0 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 1 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 1 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 1 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 1 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 1 \ \tt 0 \ \tt 
    0010000010110000100011001100001011001
     000010]
  RandomForest
   confusion_matrix
 [[52 1]
[ 2 25]]
   accuracy_score
  0.9625
```

#### 2. Tune the Model

# **Hyperparameter Tuning:**

- The number of features is important and should be tuned in random forest classification.
- Initially all parameters in the dataset are taken as independent values to arrive at the dependent decision of Chronic Kidney Disease or No Chronic Kidney Disease.
- But the result was not accurate so used only 8 more correlated values as independent values to arrive at the dependent decision of Chronic Kidney Disease or not.

### Validation Method:

It involves partitioning the training data set into subsets, where one subset is held out to test the performance of the model. This data set is called the validation data set.

Cross validation is to use different models and identify the best:

## **Logistic Regression Model performance values:**

```
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
model=LogisticRegression(solver ='lbfgs',max_iter=500)
print('LogisticRegression\n')
model.fit(x_train.values,y_train.values.ravel())
prediction = model.predict(x_test)
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
print('confusion_matrix')
print(confusion_matrix(prediction,y_test))
print('\n')
print('accuracy_score')
print(accuracy_score(prediction,y_test))
print('\n')
LogisticRegression
confusion_matrix
[[49 0]
[ 5 26]]
accuracy_score
0.9375
```

Hence we tested with Logistic regression and Random Forest Classification wherein the accuracy of Random Forest classification is 95% compared with Logistic Regression.

Metric	Logistic Regression	Random Forest Classification
Accurac	0.9375	0.9625
у		
Other		
metrics	from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression model=LogisticRegression(solver ='lbfgs',max_iter=500) print('LogisticRegression\n') model.fit(x_train.values,y_train.values.ravel()) prediction = model.predict(x_test) from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix print('confusion_matrix') print(confusion_matrix(prediction,y_test)) print('\n') print('accuracy_score') print(accuracy_score(prediction,y_test)) print('\n')  LogisticRegression  confusion_matrix [[49 0] [ 5 26]]  accuracy_score 0.9375	from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier model = RandomForestClassifier() model.fit(x_train , y_train) prediction = model.predict(x_test) print(prediction) from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix print('RandomForest\n') print('confusion_matrix') print('confusion_matrix') print('accuracy_score') print('\n') print('accuracy_score') print('\n') [0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0

The above table shows that Random Forest Classification gives better results over Logistic Regression.