# Project Development Phase Model Performance Test

Date	10 NOvember 2022
Team ID	PNT2022TMID37589
Project Name	Project – Early Detection of Chronic Kidney
	Disease using Machine Learning
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

## **Model Performance Testing:**

Project team shall fill the following information in model performance testing template.

S.No.	Parameter	Values	Screenshot		
1.	Metrics	Regression Model:	See Below		
		MAE - , MSE - , RMSE - , R2 score -			
		Classification Model:			
		Confusion Matrix - , Accuray Score-			
		& Classification Report -			
2.	Tune the Model	Hyperparameter Tuning -	See Below		
		Validation Method -			

### 1. Metrics

**Model: Random Forest Classification** 

```
check model performance Random forest gives accurate predictions than
        logistic regression
In [51]: accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred)
Out[51]: 0.95
In [52]: conf_mat=confusion_matrix(y_test,y_pred)
        conf_mat
In [53]: print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred))
                   precision recall f1-score support
                 0 0.96 0.96 0.96
1 0.92 0.92 0.92
                                                    54
                                                    26
        accuracy 0.95
macro avg 0.94 0.94 0.94
weighted avg 0.95 0.95 0.95
                                                    80
                                                    80
                                                    80
In [54]: pickle.dump(lgr,open('CKD.pkl','wb'))
```

### 2. Tune the Model

### **Hyperparameter Tuning:**

- The number of features is important and should be tuned in random forest classification.
- Initially all parameters in the dataset are taken as independent values to arrive at the dependent decision of Chronic Kidney Disease or No Chronic Kidney Disease.
- But the result was not accurate so used only 8 more correlated values as independent values to arrive at the dependent decision of Chronic Kidney Disease or not.

#### Validation Method:

It involves partitioning the training data set into subsets, where one subset is held out to test the performance of the model. This data set is called the validation data set.

Cross validation is to use different models and identify the best:

## **Logistic Regression Model performance values:**

```
check model performance Random forest gives accurate predictions than
         logistic regression
In [59]: accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred)
Out[59]: 0.925
In [60]: conf_mat=confusion_matrix(y_test,y_pred)
        conf_mat
Out[60]: array([[48, 6],
              [ 0, 26]], dtype=int64)
In [61]: print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred))
                     precision recall f1-score
                                                  support
                        1.00 0.89 0.94
0.81 1.00 0.90
                  0
                                                        54
                                                        26
                                             0.93
                                                        80
            accuracy
        macro avg 0.91 0.94 0.92
weighted avg 0.94 0.93 0.93
                                                        80
                                                        80
In [54]: pickle.dump(lgr,open('CKD.pkl','wb'))
```

Hence we tested with Logistic regression and Random Forest Classification wherein the accuracy of Random Forest classification is 95% compared with Logistic Regression.

Metric	Logistic Regression				Random Forest Classification						
Accuracy	0.925				0.95						
Other	accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred)					accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred)					
metrics	0.925				0.95						
	<pre>conf_mat=confusion_matrix(y_test,y_pred) conf_mat</pre>				<pre>conf_mat=confusion_matrix(y_test,y_pred) conf_mat</pre>						
	array([[48, 6], [ 0, 26]], dtype=int64)				array([[52, 2],						
	<pre>print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred))</pre>				<pre>print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred))</pre>						
	pr	recision	recall	f1-score	support		precision	recall	f1-score	support	
	0	1.00	0.89	0.94	54	9	0.96	0.96	0.96	54	
	1	0.81	1.00	0.90	26	1	0.92	0.92	0.92	26	
	accuracy			0.93	80	accuracy macro avg	0.94	0.94	0.95 0.94	80 80	
	macro avg weighted avg	0.91 0.94	0.94 0.93	0.92 0.93	80 80	weighted avg	0.95	0.95	0.95	80	

The above table shows that Random Forest Classification gives better results over Logistic Regression.