

PROJECT

EFFICIENT WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS AND PREDICTION USING MACHINE LEARNING

DONE BY

TEAM ID: PNT2022TMID2344

BATCHU VANDANA

(113219041019)

M.SNEHA

(113219041110)

D.SASHI

(113219041101)

S. KEERTHANA

(113219041050)

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

- a. Project Overview
- b. Purpose

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

- a. Existing problem
- b. References
- c. Problem Statement Definition

3. IDEATION & PROPOSED SOLUTION

- a. Empathy Map Canvas
- b. Ideation & Brainstorming
- c. Proposed Solution
- d. Problem Solution fit

4. REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

- a. Functional requirement
- b. Non-Functional requirements

5. PROJECT DESIGN

- a. Data Flow Diagrams
- b. Solution & Technical Architecture
- c. User Stories

6. PROJECT PLANNING & SCHEDULING

- a. Sprint Planning & Estimation
- b. Sprint Delivery Schedule
- c. Reports from JIRA

7. CODING & SOLUTIONING

- a. Feature 1
- b. Feature 2
- c. Database Schema (if Applicable)

8. TESTING

- a. Test Cases
- b. User Acceptance Testing

9. RESULTS

- a. Performance Metrics

10. ADVANTAGES & DISADVANTAGES

11. CONCLUSION

12. FUTURE SCOPE

13. APPENDIX

Source Code

GitHub & Project Demo Link

Efficient Water Quality Analysis & Prediction Using Machine Learning

1. INTRODUCTION

Water quality has a direct impact on public health and the environment. Water is used for various practices, such as drinking, agriculture, and industry. Recently, development of water sports and entertainment has greatly helped to attract tourists (Jennings 2007). Among various sources of water supply, due to easy access, rivers have been used more frequently for the development of human societies. Using other water resources such as groundwater and seawater sometimes assisted with problems. For example, using groundwater without suitable recharge will lead to land subsidence

1.1 Project Overview

With the rapid increase in the volume of data on the aquatic environment, machine learning has become an important tool for data analysis, classification, and prediction. Unlike traditional models used in water-related research, data-driven models based on machine learning can efficiently solve more complex nonlinear problems. In water environment research, models and conclusions derived from machine learning have been applied to the construction, monitoring, simulation, evaluation, and optimization of various water treatment and management systems. Additionally, machine learning can provide solutions for water pollution control, water quality improvement, and watershed ecosystem security management. In this review, we describe the cases in which machine learning algorithms have been applied to evaluate the water quality in different water environments, such as surface water, groundwater, drinking water, sewage, and seawater. Furthermore, we propose possible future applications of machine learning approaches to water environments

1.2 purpose

Hence, rapid industrial development has prompted the decay of water quality at a disturbing rate. Furthermore, infrastructures, with the absence of public awareness, and less hygienic qualities, significantly affect the quality of drinking water. In fact, the consequences of polluted drinking water are so dangerous and can badly affect health, the environment, and infrastructures. As per the United Nations (UN) report, about 1.5 million people die each year because of contaminated water-driven diseases. In developing countries, it is announced that 80% of health problems are caused by contaminated water. Five million deaths and 2.5 billion illnesses are reported annually. Such a mortality rate is higher than deaths resulting from accidents, crimes, and terrorist attacks. Therefore, it is very important to suggest new approaches to analyze and, if possible, to predict the water quality (WQ). It is recommended to consider the temporal dimension for forecasting the WQ patterns to ensure the monitoring of the seasonal change of the WQ. However, using a special variation of models together to predict the WQ grants better results than using a single model. There are several methodologies proposed for the prediction and modeling of the WQ. These methodologies include statistical approaches, visual modeling, analyzing algorithms, and predictive algorithms. For the sake of the determination of the correlation and relationship among different water quality parameters, multivariate statistical techniques have been employed. The geostatistical approaches were used for transitional probability, multivariate interpolation, and regression analysis. Massive increases in population, the industrial revolution, and the use of fertilizers and pesticides have led to serious effects on the WQ environments. Thus, having models for the prediction of the WQ is of great help for monitoring water contamination.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

Many works had been conducted to predict water quality using Machine Learning (ML) approaches. Some researchers used the traditional Machine Learning models, such as Decision Tree , Artificial Neural Network , Support Vector Machine, K-Nearest Neighbors and Naïve Bayes . However, in recent years, some researchers are moving towards more advanced ML ensemble models, such as Gradient Boosting and Random Forest Traditional Machine Learning models, such as the Decision Tree model, are frequently found in the literature and performed well on water quality data. However, decision-tree-based ensemble models, including Random Forest (RF) and Gradient Boosting (GB), always outperform the single decision tree. Among the reasons for this are its ability to manage both regular attributes and data, not being sensitive to missing values and being highly efficient. Compared to other ML models, decision-tree-based models are more favorable to short-term prediction and may have a quicker calculation speed [6] . Gakii and Jepkoech compared five different decision tree classifiers, which are Logistic Model Tree (LMT), Hoeffding tree, Random Forest and Decision Stump. They found that J48 showed the highest accuracy of 94%, while Decision Stump showed the lowest accuracy. Another study by Jeihouni et al. also compared five decision-tree-based models, which are Random Tree, Random Forest, Ordinary Decision Tree (ODT), Chisquare Automatic Interaction Detector and Iterative Dichotomiser (ID3), to determine high water quality zones. They found that ODT and Random Forest produce higher accuracy compared to the other algorithms and the methods are more suitable for continuous dataset.

Another popular Machine Learning model to predict water quality is Artificial Neural Network (ANN). ANN is a remarkable data-driven model that can cater both linear and non-linear associations among output and input data. It is used to treat the non-linearity of water quality data and the uncertainty of contaminant source. However, the performance of ANN can be obstructed if the training data are imbalanced and when all initial weights of the parameter have the same value. In India, Aradhana and Singh used ANN algorithms to predict water quality. They found that Lavenberg Marquardt (LM) algorithm has a better performance than the Gradient Descent Adaptive (GDA) algorithm. Abyaneh [5] used ANN and multivariate linear regression models in his research and found that the ANN model outperforms the MLR model. However, the research only assessed the performance of the ANN model using root-mean-square error (RMSE), coefficient of correlation (r) and bias values. Although ANN models are the most broadly used, they have a drawback as the prediction power becomes weak if they are used with a small dataset and the testing data are outside the range of the training data.

The ensemble method is a Machine Learning technique that combines several base learners' decisions to produce a more precise prediction than what can be achieved with having each base learner's decision. This method has also gained wide attention among researchers recently. The diversity and accuracy of each base learner are two important features to make the ensemble learners work properly . The ensemble method ensures the two features in several ways based on its working principle. There are two commonly used ensemble families in Machine Learning, which are bagging and boosting. Both the bagging and boosting methods provide a higher stability to the classifiers and are good in reducing variance. Boosting can reduce the bias, while bagging can solve the overfitting problem.

. A famous ensemble model that uses the bagging algorithm is Random Forest. It is a classification model that uses multiple base models, typically decision trees, on a given subset of data independently and makes decisions based on all models

. It uses feature randomness and bagging when building each individual decision tree to produce an independent forest of trees.

Existing problem

the main problem lies here. For testing the water quality we have to conduct lab tests on the water which is costly and time-consuming as well. So, in this paper, we propose an alternative approach using artificial intelligence to predict water quality. This method uses a significant and easily available water quality index which is set by the WHO(World Health Organisation). The data taken in this paper is taken from the PCPB India which includes 3277 examples of the distinct wellspring. In this paper, WQI(Water Quality Index) is calculated using AI techniques. So in future work, we can integrate this with IoT based framework to study large datasets and to expand our study to a larger scale. By using that it can predict the water quality fast and more accurately than any other IoT framework. That IoT framework system uses some limits for the sensor to check the parameters like ph, Temperature, Turbidity, and so on. And further after reading this parameter pass these readings to the Arduino microcontroller and ZigBee handset for further prediction

2.2 References

Srivastava, G.; Kumar, P. Water quality index with missing parameters. Int. J. Res. Eng. Technol. 2013,2,609–614.

PCRWR. Water Quality of Filtration Plants, Monitoring Report; PCRWR: Islamabad, Pakistan, 2010. Availableonline:<http://www.pcrwr.gov.pk/Publications/Water%20Quality%20Reports/FILTRTAION%20PLANTS%20REPOT-CDA.pdf> (accessed on 23 August 2019).

Sakizadeh, M. Artificial intelligence for the prediction of water quality index in groundwater systems. Model. Earth Syst. Environ. 2016, 2, 8. [CrossRef]

2.3 Problem Statement Definition

Access to safe drinking-water is essential to health, a basic human right and a component of effective policy for health protection. This is important as a health and development issue at a national, regional and local level. In some regions, it has been shown that investments in water supply and sanitation can yield a net economic benefit, since the reductions in adverse health effects and health care costs outweigh the costs of undertaking the interventions.

3. IDEATION & PROPOSED SOLUTION

3.1 Empathy Map Canvas


An empathy map canvas serves as a foundation for outstanding user experiences, which focus on providing the experience customers want rather than forcing design teams to rely on guesswork.

Empathy map canvases help identify exactly what it is that users are looking for so brands can deliver. They can be particularly beneficial for getting teams on the same page about who users are and what they want from the brand.


Empathy Map

Dive into the mind of the user for focused product development

- Build empathy and keep your focus on the user by putting yourself in their shoes.



Share your feedback



3.2 Proposed Solution

Water quality has been conventionally estimated through expensive and time-consuming lab and statistical analyses, which render the contemporary notion of real-time monitoring moot. The alarming consequences of poor water quality necessitate an alternative method, which is quicker and inexpensive. With this motivation, this research explores a series of supervised machine learning algorithms to estimate the water quality index (WQI), which is a singular index to describe the general quality of water, and the water quality class (WQC), which is a distinctive class defined on the basis of the WQI. The proposed methodology employs four input parameters, namely, temperature, turbidity, pH and total dissolved solids.

4. REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

4.1 Functional requirement


FR No.	Functional Requirement (Epic)	Sub Requirement (Story / Sub-Task)
FR-1	User Registration	Registration through Form Registration through Gmail Registration through LinkedIn
FR-2	User Confirmation	Confirmation via Email Confirmation via OTP
FR-3	Executive administration	Regulation of monitoring the water environment status and regulatory compliance like pollution event emergency management, and it includes two different functions: early warning/forecast monitoring.
FR-4	Data handling	File contains water quality metrics for different water bodies.
FR-5	Quality analysis	Analyze with the acquired information of the water across various water quality indicator like (PH, Turbidity, TDS, Temperature) using different models.
FR-6	Model prediction	Confirming based on water quality index and shows the machine learning prediction (Good, Partially Good, Poor) with the percentage of presence of various parameter.
FR-7	Remote Visualization	Visualization through charts based on present and past values of all the parameter for future forecast.
FR-8	Notification services	Confirming through notification of water status prediction with parameter presence along with timestamp.

4.2 Non-Functional requirements


		sources. The system is protected with the user name and password throughout the process.
NFR-3	Reliability	The system is very reliable as it can last for long period of time when it is well maintained. The model can be extended in large scale by increasing the datasets.
NFR-4	Performance	Our system should run on 32 bit (x86) or 64 bit (x64) Dual-core 2.66-GHZ or faster processor. It should not exceed 2 GB RAM.
NFR-5	Availability	The system should be available for the duration of the user access the system until the user terminate the access. The system response to request of the user in less time and the recovery is done is less time.
NFR-6	Scalability	It provides an efficient outcome and has the ability to increase or decrease the performance of the system based on the datasets.
FR No.	Non-Functional Requirement	Description
NFR-1	Usability	The system provides a natural interaction with the users. Accurate water quality prediction with short time analysis and provide prediction safe to drink or not using some parameters and provide a great significance for water environment protection.
NFR-2	Security	The model enables with the high security system as the user's data will not be shared to the other

5. PROJECT DESIGN

5.1 Data Flow Diagrams



5.2 Solution & Technical Architecture



6. PROJECT PLANNING & SCHEDULING

6.1 Sprint Planning & Estimation

Sprint	Functional Requirement (Epic)	User Story Number	User Story / Task	Story Points	Priority	Team Members
Sprint-1	Data Collection	USN-1	Collecting dataset for pre-processing	10	High	Batchu Vandana M.Sneha D. Sashi S. Keerthana
Sprint-1		USN-2	Data pre-processing-Used to transform the data into useful format.	10	Medium	Batchu Vandana M.Sneha D. Sashi S. Keerthana
Sprint-2	Model Building	USN-3	Calculate the Water Quality Index (WQI) using Regression algorithm of machine learning.	10	High	Batchu Vandana M.Sneha D. Sashi S. Keerthana
Sprint-2		USN-4	Splitting the data into training and testing from the entire dataset.	10	Medium	Batchu Vandana M.Sneha D. Sashi S. Keerthana
Sprint-3	Training and Testing	USN-5	Training the model using regression	20	Medium	Batchu Vandana M.Sneha

			algorithm and testing the performance of the model			D. Sashi S. Keerthana
Sprint-4	Implementation of Web page	USN-6	Implementing the web page for collecting the data from user	10	High	Batchu Vandana M.Sneha D. Sashi S. Keerthana
Sprint-4		USN-6	Deploying the model using IBM Cloud and IBM Watson Studio	10	Medium	Batchu Vandana M.Sneha D. Sashi S. Keerthana

6.2 Sprint Delivery Schedule

Sprint	Total Story Points	Duration	Sprint Start Date	Sprint End Date (Planned)	Story Points Completed (as on Planned End Date)	Sprint Release Date (Actual)
Sprint-1	20	6 Days	24 Oct 2022	29 Oct 2022	20	29 Oct 2022
Sprint-2	20	6 Days	31 Oct 2022	05 Nov 2022		
Sprint-3	20	6 Days	07 Nov 2022	12 Nov 2022		
Sprint-4	20	6 Days	14 Nov 2022	19 Nov 2022		

Velocity:

Sprint 1 Average Velocity:

$$\text{Average Velocity} = 20/2 = 10$$

Sprint 2 Average Velocity:

$$\text{Average Velocity} = 20/2 = 10$$

Sprint 3 Average Velocity:

$$\text{Average Velocity} = 20/1 = 20$$

Sprint 4 Average Velocity:

$$\text{Average Velocity} = 20/2 = 10$$

6.3 Reports from JIRA




7. CODING & SOLUTIONING

7.1 FEATURE 1

Data collection and creation:

Data mining techniques require domain knowledge in order to generate predictions. For water quality applications, it is vital to understand how various water quality parameters influence water quality. This information can come from a domain expert or historical data collections. For the forecasting task, two types of data sets were used: a carefully created huge synthetic data set and an available real data set

Data Collection



STATION	LOCATION	STATE	Temp	D.O. (mg/l)	pH	CONDUCTIVITY	B.O.D. (mg/l)	NITRATE	FECAL COLIFORM	TOTAL COLIFORM	Year
1393	DAMANSI	DAMANSI A	30.6	6.7	7.5	203	NAN	0.1	11	27	2014
1399	ZIARI	AT GOA	29.8	5.7	7.2	186	2	0.2	4053	8191	2014
1475	ZIARI	AT GOA	29.5	6.3	6.9	176	1.7	0.1	3243	5338	2014
3181	RIVER ZUA	GOA	29.7	5.8	6.9	64	3.8	0.5	5382	8443	2014
3182	RIVER ZUA	GOA	29.5	5.8	7.3	83	1.9	0.4	3428	5500	2014
1400	MANDON	GOA	30	5.5	7.4	81	1.5	0.1	2853	4049	2014
1476	MANDON	GOA	29.2	6.1	6.7	308	1.4	0.3	3355	5672	2014
3185	RIVER MAI	GOA	29.6	6.4	6.7	434	1	0.2	6073	9423	2014
3186	RIVER MAI	GOA	30	6.4	7.6	305	2.2	0.1	3478	4959	2014
3187	RIVER MAI	GOA	30.1	6.3	7.6	77	2.3	0.1	2906	4301	2014
1563	RIVER KOL	GOA	27.8	7.1	7.2	176	1.2	0.1	4373	2817	2014
1508	RIVER RSI	GOA	27.9	6.7	6.4	93	1.4	0.1	2147	3433	2014
2276	RIVER BRQ	GOA	29.3	7.4	6.8	121	1.7	0.4	12533	18125	2014
2275	RIVER BRQ	GOA	29.2	6.9	7	620	1.1	0.1	3500	6360	2014
3189	RIVER CRJ	GOA	30	6	7.5	72	1.6	0.2	4095	9517	2014
1546	RIVER KSA	GOA	29	7.3	7	247	1.5	0.2	1095	2453	2014
2270	RIVER KSA	GOA	29.1	7.3	7	188	1	0.1	1286	3648	2014
2272	RIVER KUS	GOA	28.7	7	6.9	224	1.2	0.3	3896	6742	2014
1545	RIVER MAI	GOA	28.7	7.3	6.7	144	1.5	0.1	1940	3052	2014
2274	RIVER MAI	GOA	29.5	5.3	6.8	319	1.8	0.3	6458	10250	2014
2271	RIVER SAI	GOA	29	6.3	6.4	79	1.6	1.4	7592	12842	2014
2273	RIVER SAI	GOA	29.4	5.4	7.6	39	1.4	0.1	3176	6267	2014
3183	RIVER SAI	GOA	28.3	2.2	6.5	322	4.7	1.2	12210	14020	2014
3184	RIVER SAI	GOA	29.1	5.2	7.1	192	2.6	0.3	5073	8925	2014
3190	RIVER SIN	GOA	30.3	5.6	7.5	282	1.8	0.1	3205	5082	2014
3191	RIVER SIN	GOA	30.5	5.5	7.4	275	1.5	0.1	4988	8625	2014
1547	RIVER TAL	GOA	29.1	7.3	6.7	55	1.4	0.1	2638	4003	2014
3188	RIVER TIN	GOA	30.1	6.5	7.5	415	2	0.1	864	1538	2014
1544	RIVER VAL	GOA	29.2	7.2	6.3	100	1.5	0.1	7942	13575	2014
2651	ANBA	PRU MAHARAS	25.1	6.6	7.8	95	4.9	0.2	16	36	2014

7.2 FEATURE 2

Performance Measures Results True Positives (TP) are when the model predicts the positive class properly. True Negatives (TN) is one of the components of a confusion matrix designed to demonstrate how classification algorithms work. Positive outcomes that the model predicted incorrectly are known as False Positives (FP). False Negatives (FN) are negative outcomes that the model predicts negative class. Accuracy is the most basic and intuitive performance metric, consisting of the ratio of successfully predicted observations to total observations. $\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP} + \text{FN} + \text{TN}}$

ANALYSING THE DATA:

```
In [5]: data.head()
```

```
Out[5]:
```

	STATION CODE	LOCATIONS	STATE	Temp	D.O. (mg/l)	PH	CONDUCTIVITY (µmhos/cm)	S.O.D. (mg/l)	NITRATENAN N= NITRITENANN (mg/l)	FECAL COLIFORM (MPN/100ml)	TOTAL COLIFORM (MPN/100ml)Mean
0	1303	DAMANGANGA AT D/S OF MADHUBAN, DAMAN	DAMAN & DIU	30.6	6.7	7.5	203	NAN	0.1	11	27
1	1309	ZUARI AT D/S OF PT. WHERE KUMBARJIA CANAL JOI...	GOA	26.0	5.7	7.2	190	2	0.2	4953	5391
2	1475	ZUARI AT PANCHAWADI	GOA	29.5	6.3	6.9	179	1.7	0.1	3243	5330
3	3161	RIVER ZUARI AT BORIM BRIDGE	GOA	26.7	5.9	6.9	84	3.0	0.5	5302	2442
4	3182	RIVER ZUARI AT MARCAIM JETTY	GOA	29.5	5.8	7.3	83	1.9	0.4	3428	5500

```
In [6]: data.describe()
```

```
Out[6]:
```

	year
count	1091.000000
mean	2010.038172
std	3.057333
min	2003.000000
25%	2008.000000
50%	2011.000000
75%	2013.000000
max	2014.000000

```
In [7]: data.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 1091 entries, 0 to 1090
Data columns (total 12 columns):
#   Column                                Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0   STATION CODE                          1091 non-null  object
1   LOCATIONS                             1091 non-null  object
2   STATE                                 1091 non-null  object
3   Temp                                  1091 non-null  object
4   D.O. (mg/l)                           1091 non-null  object
5   PH                                     1091 non-null  object
6   CONDUCTIVITY (µmhos/cm)               1091 non-null  object
7   S.O.D. (mg/l)                          1091 non-null  object
8   NITRATENAN N= NITRITENANN (mg/l)      1091 non-null  object
9   FECAL COLIFORM (MPN/100ml)            1091 non-null  object
10  TOTAL COLIFORM (MPN/100ml)Mean        1091 non-null  object
```

8. TESTING

8.1 Test Case1

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the title 'Water Quality Analysis'. The address bar displays '127.0.0.1:5000/login'. The page has a light blue background. In the center, there is a white rounded rectangle containing a form. The form has the following fields and values:

Parameter	Value
Enter Year	2014
Enter D.O	6.5
Enter PH	7
Enter Conductivity	7.5
Enter B.O.D	203
Enter Nitratonen	2
Enter Total Coliform	27

Below the input fields is a 'Predict' button. Below the button, the text reads: 'Fair, The Predicted Value is 72.41'.

8.2 Test Case2

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the title 'Water Quality Analysis'. The address bar shows '127.0.0.1:5000/login'. The page has a light blue background. In the center, there is a white rounded rectangle containing a form. The form has seven input fields, each with a label and a value: 'Enter Year' (2001), 'Enter D.O' (2.0), 'Enter PH' (5.5), 'Enter Conductivity' (200), 'Enter B.O.D' (2.2), 'Enter Nitrate' (0.1), and 'Enter Total Coliform' (100). Below these fields is a 'Predict' button. At the bottom of the form, a message reads: 'Fair, The Predicted Value is 70.19800000000001'.

8.2 User Acceptance Testing

1. Purpose of Document :

The purpose of this document is to briefly explain the test coverage and open issues of the project at the time of the release to User Acceptance Testing (UAT).

2. Defect Analysis:

This report shows the number of resolved or closed bugs at each severity level, and how they were resolved.

Resolution	Severity 1	Severity 2	Severity 3	Severity 4	Subtotal
By Design	10	4	2	3	20
Duplicate	1	0	3	0	4
External	2	3	0	1	6
Fixed	11	2	4	20	37
Not Reproduced	0	0	1	0	1
Skipped	0	0	1	1	2
Won't Fix	0	5	2	1	8
Totals	24	14	13	26	77

3. Test Case Analysis:


This report shows the number of test cases that have passed, failed, and untested.

Section	Total Cases	Not Tested	Fail	Pass
Print Engine	7	0	0	7
Client Application	51	0	0	51
Security	2	0	0	2
Outsource Shipping	3	0	0	3
Exception Reporting	9	0	0	9
Final Report Output	4	0	0	4
Version Control	2	0	0	2

9.RESULT

9.1 PERFORMANCE METRICS

For validating the developed model, the dataset has been divided into 70% training and 30% testing subsets. While the ANN and LSTM models were used to predict the WQI, the SVM, KNN, and Naive Bayes were utilized for the water quality classification prediction



SO ,WE ARE GOING TO USE SVC

Performance Measures Results True Positives (TP) are when the model predicts the positive class properly. True Negatives (TN) is one of the components of a confusion matrix designed to demonstrate how classification algorithms work. Positive outcomes that the model predicted incorrectly are known as False Positives (FP). False Negatives (FN) are negative outcomes that the model predicts negative class. Accuracy is the most basic and intuitive performance metric, consisting of the ratio of successfully predicted observations to total observations. $\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP} + \text{FN} + \text{TN}}$

10. ADVANTAGES

Whether it be for groundwater, surface water or open water, there are a number of reasons why it is important for you to undertake regular water quality testing. If you're wanting to create a solid foundation on which to build a broader water management plan, then investing in water quality testing should be your first point of action. This testing will also allow you to adhere to strict permit regulations and be in compliance with Australian laws. Identifying the health of your water will help you to discover where it may need some help. Ultimately, finding a source of pollution, or remaining proactive with your monitoring will enable you to save money in the long term. The more information that you can obtain will assist you with your decision on what product you may need to improve the condition of your water. Simply guessing and buying products based on a hunch or a general trend is ill-advised, as each body of water has unique properties that can only be discovered through testing. Measuring the amount of dissolved oxygen in your water is another important advantage of water quality testing, as typically the less oxygen, the higher the water temperature, resulting in a more harmful environment for aquatic life. These levels do fluctuate slightly across the seasons, but regular monitoring of your water quality will allow you to discover trends over time, and whether there are other factors that may be contributing to the results you discover.

DISADVANTAGES

Training necessary Somewhat difficult to manage over time and with large data sets Requires manual operation to submit data, some configuration required Costly, usually only feasible under Exchange Network grants Technical expertise and network server required Requires manual operation to submit data Cannot respond to data queries from other nodes, and therefore cannot interact with the Exchange Network Technical expertise and network server required.

11. CONCLUSION

Portability determines the quality of water, which is one of the most important resources for existence. Traditionally, testing water quality required an expensive and time-consuming lab analysis. This study looked into an alternative machine learning method for predicting water quality using only a few simple water quality criteria. To estimate, a set of representative supervised machine learning algorithms was used. It would detect water of bad quality before it was released for consumption and notify the appropriate authorities. It will hopefully reduce the number of individuals who drink low-quality water, lowering the risk of diseases like typhoid and diarrhea. In this case, using a prescriptive analysis based on projected values would result in future capabilities to assist decision and policy makers.

12. SOURCE CODE

Machine learning has been widely used as a powerful tool to solve problems in the water environment because it can be applied to predict water quality, optimize water resource allocation, manage water resource shortages, etc. Despite this, several challenges remain in fully applying machine learning approaches in this field to evaluate water quality:

(1) Machine learning is usually dependent on large amounts of high-quality data. Obtaining sufficient data with high accuracy in water treatment and management systems is often difficult owing to the cost or technology limitations.

(2) As the conditions in real water treatment and management systems can be extremely complex, the current algorithms may only be applied to specific systems, which hinders the wide application of machine learning approaches.

(3) The implementation of machine learning algorithms in practical applications requires researchers to have certain professional background knowledge.

To overcome the above-mentioned challenges, the following aspects should be considered in future research and engineering practices:

(1) More advanced sensors, including soft sensors, should be developed and applied in water quality monitoring to collect sufficiently accurate data to facilitate the application of machine learning approaches.

(2) The feasibility and reliability of the algorithms should be improved, and more universal algorithms and models should be developed according to the water treatment and management requirements.

(3) Interdisciplinary talent with knowledge in different fields should be trained to develop more advanced machine learning techniques and apply them in engineering practices.

13. APPENDIX

REQUIREMENT.TXT

Flask == 2.2.2

joblib == 1.2.0

numpy == 1.23.4

pandas == 1.5.1

scikit-learn == 1.1.3

xgboost == 1.7.1

gunicorn == 20.1.0

matplotlib == 3.6.2

seaborn == 0.12.1

gevent

requests

flask-cors==3.0.10

APP.PY

```
1 import numpy as np
2 from flask import Flask, render_template, request
3 import pickle
4
5 app = Flask(__name__)
6 model = pickle.load(open('vqi.pkl', 'rb'))
7 @app.route('/', methods=['GET'])
8 def home():
9     return render_template("index.html")
10 @app.route('/login', methods = ['POST'])
11 def login():
12     year = request.form['year']
13     do = request.form['do']
14     ph = request.form['ph']
15     co = request.form['co']
16     bod = request.form['bod']
17     na = request.form['na']
18     tc = request.form['tc']
19     total = [[int(year), float(do), float(ph), float(co), float(bod), float(na), float(tc)]]
20     y_pred = model.predict(total)
21     y_pred = y_pred[0]
22     if(y_pred >= 95 and y_pred <= 100):
23         return render_template("index.html", showcase = "Excellent, The Predicted Value is: " + str(y_pred))
24     elif(y_pred >= 89 and y_pred <= 94):
25         return render_template("index.html", showcase = "Very Good, The Predicted Value is: " + str(y_pred))
26     elif(y_pred >= 80 and y_pred <= 88):
27         return render_template("index.html", showcase = "Good, The Predicted Value is: " + str(y_pred))
28     elif(y_pred >= 65 and y_pred <= 79):
29         return render_template("index.html", showcase = "Fair, The Predicted Value is: " + str(y_pred))
30     elif(y_pred >= 45 and y_pred <= 64):
31         return render_template("index.html", showcase = "Marginal, The Predicted Value is: " + str(y_pred))
32     else:
33         return render_template("index.html", showcase = "Poor, The Predicted Value is: " + str(y_pred))
34
35 if __name__ == '__main__':
36     app.run(debug = True, port = 5000)
37
```

TEST.IPYNB

```
In [1]: import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import os
from matplotlib import rcParams
import warnings

In [2]: warnings.filterwarnings(action='ignore')
warnings.warn("this is a warning")

Reading the Dataset:

In [3]: data = pd.read_csv(r'C:\Users\Cloud\Desktop\water quality analysis\Data\water_data.csv', encoding='ISO-8859-1', low_memory=False)

Analysing the Data

In [4]: data.head()


Out[4]:
```

	STATION CODE	LOCATIONS	STATE	Temp	D.O (mg/l)	PH	CONDUCTIVITY (µmhos/cm)	S.O.D (mg/l)	NITRATE+NH4-N-NITRITE+NH4-N (mg/l)	FECAL COLIFORM (MPN/100ml)	TOTAL COLIFORM (MPN/100ml) Mean	year
0	1392	DAMAN/CANGA AT D/S OF MAOHUBA'S DAMAN	DAMAN & Diu	30.6	6.7	7.5	202	NAN	0.1	11	27	2014
1	1399	ZUARI AT D/S OF PT. WARE KUMGARURIA CANAL XOL	GOA	29.6	5.7	7.2	189	2	0.2	4953	8391	2014
2	1475	ZUARI AT PANCHWADI	GOA	29.5	6.8	6.9	179	1.7	0.1	1249	5180	2014
3	3181	RIVER ZUARI AT BORIM BRIDGE	GOA	29.7	5.8	6.9	64	2.8	0.5	1382	8443	2014
4	5182	RIVER ZUARI AT MARCAIM JETTY	GOA	29.5	5.8	7.5	85	1.9	0.4	3428	5500	2014

```
In [5]: data.describe()

Out[5]:
```

INDEX.HTML



```
1 <!DOCTYPE html>
2 <html lang="en">
3 <head>
4   <meta charset="UTF-8">
5   <meta http-equiv="X-UA-Compatible" content="IE=edge">
6   <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1.0">
7   <title>Water Quality Analysis</title>
8   <link rel="stylesheet" href="../static/index.css">
9 </head>
10 <body style="background-image: linear-gradient(cyan,white);">
11   <center><div class="header"><span></span><font color="FF0000" font-family="Fascinate Inline">Water Quality Analysis</font></div></center></div>
12   <div><div></div>
13   <form class="fill" action="/login" method="post">
14     <div>
15       <center>
16         <label>Enter Year</label>
17         <input type="text" name="year" placeholder="Enter Year" id="" required></div></div>
18         <label>Enter D.O.</label>
19         <input type="text" name="do" placeholder="Enter D.O" id="" required></div></div>
20         <label>Enter PH</label>
21         <input type="text" name="ph" placeholder="Enter PH" id="" required></div></div>
22         <label>Enter Conductivity</label>
23         <input type="text" name="co" placeholder="Enter Conductivity" id="" required></div></div>
24         <label>Enter S.O.D.</label>
25         <input type="text" name="sod" placeholder="Enter S.O.D" id="" required></div></div>
26         <label>Enter Nitrate</label>
27         <input type="text" name="na" placeholder="Enter Nitrate" id="" required></div></div>
28         <label>Enter Total Coliform</label>
29         <input type="text" name="tc" placeholder="Enter Total Coliform" id="" required></div></div>
30         <input type="submit" class="login" value="Predict" style="width: 100px;">
31       </center>
32     <div class="box"><center><div><font color="black" size=5>{{showcase}}</font></div></center></div>
33   </form>
34 </body>
35 </html>
```

LINKS:

GITHUB -<https://github.com/IBM-EPBL/IBM-Project-23177-1659870857.git>

DEMO VIDEO - <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1YkGk0Ex12ncdczkB6VVhV94lqQzPWW4Y/view?usp=sharing>