## SKILL/JOB RECOMMENDER APPLICATION LITERATURE SURVEY

TEAM ID: PNT2022TMID04854

S.NO	PAPER	AUTHOR	YEAR	SHORT	RESULT	FUTURE
				DESCRIPTION		WORK AND
						ANALYSIS
1	Job	Jorge	2018	1.Based on the	we observed that	Future
	Recommen-	Valverde-		person-job fit	not all the profiles	directions of our
	dation based	Rebaza,		premise,	were given a good	work will focus
	on job	Ricardo		proposed a	recommendation as	on performing a
	seekers skills	Puma,		framework for	the maximum value	more exhaustive
		Paul		job	of the average	evaluation
		Bustios,		recommendation	minimum	considering a
		Nathalia		based on	effectiveness is	greater amount
		C. Silva		professional	0.96 (48 out of 50	of methods and
				skills of job	profiles). This last	data as well as a
				seekers.	metric is highly	comprehensive
				2.Automatically	dependent on the	evaluation of the
				extracted the	quality of the	impact of each
				skills from the	filtering process	professional
				job seeker	and the variety of	skill of a job
				profiles using a	job offers since	seeker on the
				variety of text	there can be a	received job
				processing	shortage of offers	recommendation
				techniques.	for some specific	
				3., we	profiles.	
				performed the		
				job		
				recommendation		
				using TF-IDF		
				and four		
				different		
				configurations		
				of Word2vec		
				over a dataset of		
				job seeker		
				profiles and job		
				vacancies		
				collected.		

2	Job	Corné de	2021	1.Content-based	An advantage of	1.we managed
	Recommen-	Ruijt,		recommender	using job	to further split
	der Systems:	Sandjai		systems (CBRs)	ontologies is that	JRS hybrids into
	A Review	Bhulai		in the context of	this also simplifies	smaller
				JRS are models	the implementation	categories, still
				which, to	of keyword-based	some classes
				construct a	search 7 engines	comprise similar
				recommendation	and simplifies	methods.
				, only use a	filtering.	
				semantic		
				similarity		
				measure		
				between the user		
				profile and the		
				set of available		
				vacancies.		
				2.In		
				collaborative		
				filtering (CF),		
				recommendation		
				s are based		
				solely on		
				behavioral data,		
				typically stored		
				in a user × items		
				rating matrix.		
				3.Knowledge-		
				based		
				recommender		
				systems as		
				recommender		
				systems having		
				the conceptual		
				goal to "Give		
				me		
				recommendation		
				s based on my		
				explicit		
				specifications of		
				the kind of		
				content		
				(attributes) I		
				want".		

3	A systematic review and research perspective on recommender systems	Deepjyoti Roy and Mala Dutta	2022	This paper aims to fulfil this signifcant gap by reviewing and comparing existing articles on recommender systems based on a defned classifcation framework, their algorithmic categorization, simulation platforms used, applications focused, their features and challenges, dataset description and system performance.	From the set of research works, 35% of the works use recall measure, 16% of the works employ Mean Absolute Error (MAE), 11% of the works take Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), 41% of the papers consider precision, 30% of the contributions analyse F1-measure, 31% of the works apply accuracy and 6% of the works employ coverage measure to validate the performance of the recommender systems.	New research may extend this study to cover other journals and non-English papers.
4	Large-scale e-learning recommender system based on Spark and Hadoop	Karim Dahdouh1 q2, Ahmed Dakkak1, Lahcen Oughdir1 and Abdelali Ibri	2019	Our system uses association rules for extracting more interesting relationships between learners' behaviours. Indeed, it aims to find similarities between courses enrollments in the transaction database.	It is clear that our recommender system using FP-growth run faster rather than when we choose to work with the Apriori algorithm.	In our future work will focus on incorporating Spark Streaming in the e-learning field. Actually, through real- time analysis of spark, it is possible to quickly extract value from live data streams.
5	CPRS: A cloud-based program	hin-Feng Lai a , Jui- Hung	2010	k-means and k nearest neighbour	In this phase, the average viewing time of each	More user profiles and longer histories

recommendat	Chang a,	(kNN) are used	program is	of a set of users
ion system	Chia-	to group users	calculated and a	should improve
for digital TV	Cheng	into clusters and	program list sorted	the
			1 0	recommendation
platforms.	Hub,	to add a new	by the average	
	Yueh-Min	user into a	viewing time is	results. With the
	Huang a,*	grouped cluster,	produced for	power of cloud-
	, Han-	respectively.	recommendation.	computing, it is
	Chieh	Each program is	Subclasses	possible to
	Chaoc	assigned a	kNNResMap and	recommend
		weight, which is	kNNResReduce are	programs using
		the sum of time	implemented to	a very large
		periods that	handle this task. In	dataset.
		users have	the map function of	
		watched it. The	kNNResMap, all	
		weight of the	total viewing times	
		program is used	of a program are	
		to indicate its	extracted, and	
		popularity.	average_viewing_ti	
		popularity.	me is calculated as	
			the mean of all	
			total_viewing_time	
			to a	
			program_name.	
			Output is collected	
			as.	