**LITERATURE SURVEY**

**Weiheng Bai** in [1] analysed the structural features of the URL of the phishing website, extracts 12 kinds of features, and used four machine learning algorithms for training. Then, the best performing algorithm is used as our model to identify unknown URLs. After the recognition is completed, a snapshot of the web page is extracted and compared with the regular web page snapshot to implement the recommendation of the original regular web page of the phishing web page.

**Lizhen Tang et.al** in [2] implemented the framework as a browser plug-in capable of determining whether there is a phishing risk in real-time when the user visits a web page and gives a warning message. The real-time prediction service combines multiple strategies to improve accuracy, reduce false alarm rates, and reduce calculation time, including whitelist filtering, blacklist interception, and machine learning (ML) prediction.

**Farashazillah Yahya et.al** in [3] compared the three models such as Decision Tree, K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN), and Random Forest. However, KNN was the prime candidate for the best model considering that it has the highest accuracy. However, Random Forest is deemed more suitable for the dataset even though the accuracy is lesser because of the lowest false-negative value than the other models. The experiments can be further investigated with different datasets and models for comparative analysis.

**Qasem Abu Al-Haij et.al** in [4]presented an efficient phishing websites detection system that analyzes the phishing websites URL addresses to learn data patterns that can identify authentic and phishing websites. Our system employs machine learning techniques such as neural networks and decision trees to learn data patterns in websites URLs. The result shows that decision trees models provide 97.40% classification accuracy on the almost balanced-class dataset.

**Rizka Widyarini Purwanto et.al** in [5] proposed a feature-free method for detecting phishing websites using the Normalized Compression Distance (NCD), a parameter-free similarity measure which computes the similarity of two websites by compressing them, thus eliminating the need to perform any feature extraction. They used the Furthest Point First algorithm to perform phishing prototype extractions, in order to select instances that are representative of a cluster of phishing webpages.

**Nguyet Quang Do et.al** in [6] proposed a taxonomy of deep learning algorithm for phishing detection by examining 81 selected papers using a systematic literature review approach. The paper first introduces the concept of phishing and deep learning in the context of cybersecurity. Then, taxonomies of phishing detection and deep learning algorithm are provided to classify the existing literature into various categories. Next, taking the proposed taxonomy as a baseline, this study comprehensively reviews the state-of-the-art deep learning techniques and analyzes their advantages as well as disadvantages.

**Manuel Sánchez-paniagua et.al** in [7] compared the machine learning and deep learning techniques to present a method capable of detecting phishing websites through URL analysis. In most current state-of-the-art solutions dealing with phishing detection, the legitimate class is made up of homepages without including login forms. On the contrary, we use URLs from the login page in both classes because we consider it is much more representative of a real case scenario and we demonstrate that existing techniques obtain a high false-positive rate when tested with URLs from legitimate login pages.

**Smriti Dangwal et.al** in [8] combined two datasets with 30 and 48 features respectively, to identify 18 common features. Moreover, feature selection was conducted to identify 13 optimal features for a more robust model. A comparison with prior research works on the same datasets showed that the best models built on all features using the random forest algorithm scored lower on the 30 feature dataset, and achieved better performance on the 48 features dataset. The best model on the 13 features achieved an accuracy of 0.937.

**Athulya A.A. et.al** in [9] discussed various phishing attacks, some of the latest phishing evasion techniques used by attackers and anti-phishing approaches. This review raises awareness of those phishing strategies and helps the user to practice phishing prevention. Here, a hybrid approach of phishing detection also described having fast response time and high accuracy.

**Lakhita et.al** in [10] lexically analysed the URLs can enhance the performance and help to differentiate between the original email and the phishing URL. As assessed in this study, in addition to textual analysis of phishing URL, email classification is successful and results in a highly precise anti phishing.
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