SPRINT 4

Date	19-11-2022	
Team ID	PNT2022TMID23263	
Project Title	Analytics for Hospitals' Health-Care Data	
Team Members	Venkateshbabu B B, Pandidurai M, Udaya Shankar M, Abdul Rahuman R	

ML Model – 1 1) Naive Bayes Model

In Bayes theorem, given a Hypothesis H and Evidence E, it states that the relation between the probability of Hypothesis P(H) before getting Evidence and probability of hypothesis after getting Evidence P(H|E)

$$P(H \mid E) = [P(E \mid H) / P(E)] P(H)$$

When we apply Bayes Theorem to our data it represents as follows.

- P(H) is the prior probability of a patient's length of stay (LOS).
- P(E) is the probability of a feature variable.
- P(E|H) is the probability of a patient's LOS given that the features are true. P(H|E) is the probability of the features given that patient's LOS is true.

Model is trained using Gaussian Naïve Bayes classifier, partitioned train data is fed to the model in array format then the trained model is validated using validation data.

```
MODELLING

Naives Bayes Model

[38] from sklearn.naive_bayes import GaussianNB target = y_train.values features = X_train.values classifier_nb = GaussianNB() model_nb = classifier_nb.fit(features, target)

[39] prediction_nb = model_nb.predict(X_test) from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score acc_score_nb = accuracy_score(prediction_nb,y_test) print("Acurracy:", acc_score_nb*100)

Acurracy: 34.55439015199096
```

This model gives an accuracy score of 34.55% after validating.

2) XGBoost Model

Boosting is a sequential technique that works on the principle of an ensemble. At any instant T, the model outcomes are weighed based on the outcomes of the previous instant (T -1). It combines the set of weak learners and improves prediction accuracy. Tree ensemble is a set of classification and regression trees. Trees are grown one after another, and they try to reduce the misclassification rate. The final prediction score of the model is calculated by summing up each and individual score.

Before feeding train data to the XGB Classifier model, booster parameters must be tuned. Tunning the model can prevent overfitting and can yield higher accuracy.

In this XGBoost model, we have used the following parameters for tunning,

- learning_rate = 0.1 step size shrinkage used to prevent overfitting. After each boosting step, we can directly get the weights of new features, and eta shrinks the feature weights to make the boosting process more conservative.
- max_depth = 4 Maximum depth of the tree. This value describes the complexity of the model. Increasing its value results in overfitting.
- n_estimators = 800 Number of gradient boosting trees or rounds. Each new tree
 attempts to model and correct for the errors made by the sequence of previous trees.
 Increasing the number of trees can yield higher accuracy but the model reaches a point of
 diminishing returns quickly.
- objective = 'multi:softmax' this parameter sets XGBoost to do multiclass classification using the softmax objective because the target variable has 11 Levels.
- reg_alpha = 0.5 L1 regularization term on weights. Increasing this value will make the model more conservative.
- reg_lambda = 1.5 L2 regularization term on weights and is smoother than L1 regularization. Increasing this value will model more conservative.
- min child weight = 2 Minimum sum of instance weight needed in a child.

```
XGBoost Model

[41] import xgboost classifier_xgb = xgboost.XGBClassifier(max_depth=4, learning_rate=0.1, n_estimators=800, objective='multi:softmax', reg_alpha=0.5, reg_lambda=1.5, booster='gbtree', n_jobs=4, min_child_weight=2, base_score= 0.75)

[42] model_xgb = classifier_xgb.fit(X_train, y_train)

Prediction_xgb = model_xgb.predict(X_test) acc_score_xgb = accuracy_score(prediction_xgb,y_test) print("Accuracy:", acc_score_xgb*100)

Accuracy: 43.047355859816605
```

Once the model was trained and validated, it yields an accuracy score of 43.04%. This model nearly took 25 minutes to get trained but when compared to the Naïve Bayes model it gave an 8.5% improvement.

3) Neural Network Model

Neural Networks are built of simple elements called neurons, which take in a real value, multiply it by weight, and run it through a non-linear activation function. The process records one at a time and learns by comparing their classification of the record with the known actual classification of the record. The errors from the initial classification of the first record are fed back into the network and used to modify the network's algorithm for further iterations. In this neural network model, there are **six** dense layers, the final layer is an output layer with an activation function "**SoftMax**". SoftMax is used here because each patient must be classified in one of the 11 levels in the Stay variable.

In this model, increasing the number of neurons from each layer to the other layer, will increase the hypothetical space of the model and try to learn more patterns from the data. There are a total of **442,571** trainable parameters. Every layer is activated using "relu" activation function because it overcomes the vanishing gradient problem, allowing models to learn faster and perform better.

```
📤 Analytics for hospital's Health-Care Data .ipynb 🛚 🌣
 .
          File Edit View Insert Runtime Tools Help All changes saved
        + Code + Text
          Neural Network Model
Q
                # Segregation of features and target variable
                X = train.drop('Stay', axis =1)
\{x\}
                 y = train['Stay']
                 print(X.columns)
z = test.drop('Stay', axis = 1)
                 print(z.columns)
                 # Data Scaling
                 from sklearn import preprocessing
                 X scale = preprocessing.scale(X)
                 X scale.shape
                 Index(['case_id', 'Hospital_code', 'Hospital_type_code', 'City_Code_Hospital',
                          'Hospital_region_code', 'Available Extra Rooms in Hospital',
'Department', 'Ward_Type', 'Ward_Facility_Code', 'Bed Grade',
'patientid', 'City_Code_Patient', 'Type', 'Arabitation',
                          'Severity of Illness', 'Visitors with Patient', 'Age', 'Admission_Deposit', 'count_id_patient',
                          'count_id_patient_hospitalCode', 'count_id_patient_wardfacilityCode'],
                         dtype='object')
                 Index(['case_id', 'Hospital_code', 'Hospital_type_code', 'City_Code_Hospital',
                          'Hospital_region_code', 'Available Extra Rooms in Hospital',
'Department', 'Ward_Type', 'Ward_Facility_Code', 'Bed Grade',
'patientid', 'City_Code_Patient', 'Type of Admission',
'Severity of Illness', 'Visitors with Patient', 'Age',
'Admission_Deposit', 'count_id_patient',
<>
                          'count_id_patient_hospitalCode', 'count_id_patient_wardfacilityCode'],
dtype='object')
                 (318438, 20)
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_scale, y, test_size =0.20, random_state =100)

[31] import keras
from keras.models import Sequential
from keras.layers import Dense
import tensorflow as tf

[32] from keras.utils import to_categorical
#Sparse Matrix
a = to_categorical(y_train)
b = to_categorical(y_test)

[33] model = Sequential()
model.add(Dense(64, activation='relu', input_shape = (20,)))
model.add(Dense(128, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(512, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(512, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(512, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(512, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(512, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(512, activation='relu'))
```

[34] model.summary()

Model: "sequential"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
dense (Dense)	(None, 64)	1344
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 128)	8320
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 256)	33024
dense_3 (Dense)	(None, 512)	131584
dense_4 (Dense)	(None, 512)	262656
dense_5 (Dense)	(None, 11)	5643

Total params: 442,571 Trainable params: 442,571 Non-trainable params: 0

```
metrics=['accuracy'])
[36] callbacks = [tf.keras.callbacks.TensorBoard("logs_keras")]
     model.fit(X train, a, epochs=20, callbacks=callbacks, validation split = 0.2)
     Epoch 1/20
                                           :====] - 60s 9ms/step - loss: 1.6523 - accuracy: 0.3712 - val loss: 1.5908 - val accuracy: 0.3940
     6369/6369 [
     Epoch 2/20
                                                  - 62s 10ms/step - loss: 1.5681 - accuracy: 0.3997 - val loss: 1.5665 - val accuracy: 0.4012
     6369/6369 [
                                                    60s 9ms/step - loss: 1.5480 - accuracy: 0.4068 - val_loss: 1.5515 - val_accuracy: 0.4044
     6369/6369 [
     6369/6369 [:
                                                    57s 9ms/step - loss: 1.5346 - accuracy: 0.4109 - val_loss: 1.5367 - val_accuracy: 0.4118
     Epoch 5/20
                                                    62s 10ms/step - loss: 1.5242 - accuracy: 0.4149 - val_loss: 1.5344 - val_accuracy: 0.4119
      6369/6369 [
     Epoch 6/20
                                                    57s 9ms/step - loss: 1.5172 - accuracy: 0.4175 - val_loss: 1.5288 - val_accuracy: 0.4137
     Epoch 7/20
                                                    57s 9ms/step - loss: 1.5112 - accuracy: 0.4191 - val loss: 1.5218 - val accuracy: 0.4168
     6369/6369 [
                                                   56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.5066 - accuracy: 0.4205 - val loss: 1.5191 - val accuracy: 0.4184
     6369/6369 [:
                                                   56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.5021 - accuracy: 0.4227 - val_loss: 1.5175 - val_accuracy: 0.4184
     6369/6369 [
     Epoch 10/20
     6369/6369 [=
                                                 - 56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4980 - accuracy: 0.4237 - val_loss: 1.5195 - val_accuracy: 0.4166
[36] Epoch 10/20
6369/6369 [=
                                                 - 56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4980 - accuracy: 0.4237 - val loss: 1.5195 - val accuracy: 0.4166
     Epoch 11/20
                                                  56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4945 - accuracy: 0.4246 - val loss: 1.5139 - val accuracy: 0.4182
     Epoch 12/20
                                                   56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4916 - accuracy: 0.4257 - val_loss: 1.5136 - val_accuracy: 0.4175
     Epoch 13/20
                                                   55s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4885 - accuracy: 0.4272 - val_loss: 1.5107 - val_accuracy: 0.4195
     Epoch 14/20
                                                  56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4853 - accuracy: 0.4280 - val loss: 1.5079 - val accuracy: 0.4220
     6369/6369 [:
     Epoch 15/20
                                                  55s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4826 - accuracy: 0.4293 - val loss: 1.5085 - val accuracy: 0.4216
     6369/6369 [=
                                                  61s 10ms/step - loss: 1.4800 - accuracy: 0.4303 - val loss: 1.5052 - val accuracy: 0.4223
     6369/6369 [=
                                                  57s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4772 - accuracy: 0.4312 - val_loss: 1.5045 - val_accuracy: 0.4215
     6369/6369 [=
     6369/6369 [=
Epoch 19/20
                                                  56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4750 - accuracy: 0.4309 - val loss: 1.5043 - val accuracy: 0.4218
     6369/6369 [=
                                                  56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4728 - accuracy: 0.4325 - val loss: 1.5076 - val accuracy: 0.4221
     Epoch 20/20
     6369/6369 [-----]
<keras.callbacks.History at 0x7f483d5104d0>
                                                  56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4704 - accuracy: 0.4340 - val_loss: 1.5023 - val_accuracy: 0.4218
     model.fit(X train, a, epochs=4, validation_split = 0.2)
     print("\n Model Evaluation")
model.evaluate(X_test,b)
                                                 - 56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4674 - accuracy: 0.4349 - val_loss: 1.5081 - val_accuracy: 0.4193
      6369/6369 [
                                                 - 56s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4655 - accuracy: 0.4350 - val loss: 1.5049 - val accuracy: 0.4215
     6369/6369 [
                                                 - 62s 10ms/step - loss: 1.4630 - accuracy: 0.4358 - val_loss: 1.5097 - val accuracy: 0.4223
     6369/6369 [
                                                 - 58s 9ms/step - loss: 1.4604 - accuracy: 0.4375 - val_loss: 1.5093 - val_accuracy: 0.4160
     6369/6369 [:
      Model Evaluation
     1991/1991 [------
[1.5078129768371582, 0.41794371604919434]
                                              = ] - 8s 4ms/step - loss: 1.5078 - accuracy: 0.4179
```

Finally, evaluating the model with a test set yields an accuracy score of **41.79%**. Neural Networks supposedly performs better than any other models. But because of the smaller dataset, it was not able to learn more accurately than the XGBoost model. It nearly took 20 minutes to train the model.

Predictions

			2.0
	case_id	Stay	1.
0	318439	21-30	
1	318440	51-60	
2	318441	21-30	
3	318442	21-30	
4	318443	31-40	

```
pred xgb = classifier xgb.predict(test1.iloc[:,1:],validate features=False)
       result_xgb = pd.DataFrame(pred_xgb, columns=['Stay'])
       result_xgb['case_id'] = test1['case_id']
       result_xgb = result_xgb[['case_id', 'Stay']]
/ [44] result_xgb['Stay'] = result_xgb['Stay'].replace({0:'0-10', 1: '11-20', 2: '21-30'
       result_xgb.head()
                          1
          case_id Stay
        0 318439 0-10
        1 318440 51-60
        2 318441 21-30
        3 318442 21-30
        4 318443 51-60
      # Neural Network
       test_scale = preprocessing.scale(z)
       test_scale.shape
   [→ (137057, 20)
  [48] pred1 = model.predict(test scale)
       pred=np.argmax(pred1,axis=1)
      pred
      4284/4284 [========
                                ========] - 13s 3ms/step
      array([0, 5, 2, ..., 2, 2, 5])
 [49] result_nn = pd.DataFrame(pred, columns=['Stay'])
       result_nn['case_id'] = test['case_id']
       result_nn = result_nn[['case_id', 'Stay']]
[50] result nn['Stay'] = result nn['Stay'].replace({0:'0-10', 1: '11-20', 2: '21-30', 3:
       result nn.head()
          case_id Stay 🧷
       0 318439 0-10
       1 318440 51-60
       2 318441 21-30
       3 318442 21-30
```

Results

```
4 318443 51-60
RESULTS
[51] # Naive Bayes
     print(result_nb.groupby('Stay')['case_id'].nunique())
     Stay
     0-10
                           2598
     11-20
                          26827
     21-30
                         72206
     31-40
                          15639
     41-50
                            469
     51-60
                          13651
     61-70
                            92
     71-80
                            955
     81-90
                            296
     91-100
     More than 100 Days
                          4322
     Name: case_id, dtype: int64
```

```
[52] # XGBoost
       print(result_xgb.groupby('Stay')['case_id'].nunique())
       Stay
       0-10
                             4373
       11-20
                             39337
       21-30
                             58261
       31-40
                             12100
       41-50
                                61
                             19217
       51-60
       61-70
                                16
       71-80
                               302
                              1099
       81-90
       91-100
                                78
       More than 100 Days
                             2213
       Name: case_id, dtype: int64
```

```
[53] # Neural Networks
     print(result_nn.groupby('Stay')['case_id'].nunique())
     Stay
     0-10
                            4940
     11-20
                           26115
     21-30
                           69939
     31-40
                            8862
     41-50
                              57
     51-60
                           22697
     71-80
                             168
     81-90
                            1066
     More than 100 Days
                            3213
     Name: case id, dtype: int64
```

In the Naive Bayes model, patients are more likely to be misclassified. This model is biased towards the duration of 21-30 days, it has classified 72,206 patients for this level.

Whereas the other two models XGBoost and Neural Networks are predicting mostly similar Length of Stay for the patient

Examining these predictions, many of the patients are staying in the hospital for 21-30 days and very few people are staying for 61-70 days. As far as the distribution of Length of Stay is concerned, 13% of the patients are discharged from the hospital within 20 days and 1% of the overall patients are staying in the hospital for more than 60 days.

Jira Sprint 4 Tracking:



