Team Id	PNT2022TMID41507
	Natural Disaster Intensity Analysis And Classification Using Artificial Intelligence

## **CYCLONE**

Data Sources	ata Sources Variables/Model		Coverage	Spatial Coverage	Levels	
NCEP/NCAR	geopotential height	m	4-times daily and daily	2.5 x 2.5 degree grid	multiple level	
	precipitable water	kg m <sup>-2</sup>	4-times daily		surface	
	relative humidity	%			*	
	sea surface pressure (SLP)	hPa	(80)		ft.	
	u and v wind components	m s <sup>-1</sup>	**		-	
	air temperature	°C	•		-	
	relative vorticity	10 <sup>-3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>			-	
	moisture convergence*	g kg s s	hourly		•	
ECMWF _	Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE)	J kg <sup>-1</sup>	*	0.5x0.5 degree grid	#	
	total of precipitation	mm	367	28	~	
APHRODITE	total of precipitation	mm	daily	0.25x0.25-degree grid		
NOAA ARL	HYSPLIT backward trajectory	AGL	hourly	360 x 180 at 1 degree	multiple level	

Moisture convergence was computed with GrADS software using 7 variables: relative humidity, air temperature, vapour pressure nixing ratio, u and v wind components and dew point temperature.

## **EARTHQUAKE**

EQ01 Northridge - 1994 <sup>a</sup>	6.7	17.40	9.71	2.91	2.22
EQ02 El Centro – 1940ª	6.9	2.14	3.49	2.37	1.47
EQ03 Kobe - 1995	6.9	8.21	5.99	1.47	1.42
EQ04 Loma Prieta – 1989 <sup>a</sup>	6.9	3.52	2.67	1.37	1.47
EQ05 Christchurch – 2010 <sup>a</sup>	7.0	7.38	6.64	0.76	0.71
EQ06 Miyagi Ken-Oki – 2003 <sup>b</sup>	7.1	8.25	11.10	7.89	6.96
EQ07 Chi-Chi – 1999 <sup>a</sup>	7.7	2.92	4.34	3.66	1.61
EQ08 Gorkha - 2015 <sup>a</sup>	7.8	1.54	1.60	0.22	0.22
EQ09 Chile Coquimbo – 2015ª	8.3	6.77	5.45	5.70	8.73
EQ10 Great East Japan – 2011 <sup>b</sup>	9.0	12.20	25.90	5.08	6.01