(Code Layout , Readability ,Reusability)

GOAL:
The goal of this book is help you make your code better,

And when we say "code", we literally mean the lines of code you are staring at in your editor. We're not talking about the overall architecture of your project, or your choice of design patterns. Those are certainly important, but in our experience most of our day-to-day lives as programmers are spent on the "basic" stuff, like naming variables, writing loops, and attacking problems down at the function level. And a big part of this is reading and editing the code that's already there.

KEY IDEA 1 - Code should be easy to understand.

KEY IDEA 2 - Code should be written to minimize the time it would take for someone else (may be you sixth months later) to understand it.

NAMING CONVENTIONS:

KEY IDEA 3: Pack information into your names.

- Choosing specific words
- Avoiding generic names (or knowing when to use them)
- Using concrete names instead of abstract names
- Attaching extra information to a name, by using a suffix or prefix
- Deciding how long a name should be
- Using name formatting to pack extra information

SPECIFICATIONS:

Choose Specific Words,

You have to choose the words that are very specific and avoiding 'empty' words.
For example, the word get is very unspecific, as in this example:

```
def GetPage(url):
```

The word GetPage() doesn't really say much. Does this method get a page from a local cache, from a database, or from the Internet? If it's from the Internet, a more specific name might be FetchPage() or DownloadPage()

The name Size() doesn't convey much information. A more specific name would be Height(), NumNodes(), MemoryBytes(), etc.

The name Stop() is okay, but depending on what exactly it does, there might be a more specific name: Kill() if it's a heavyweight operation that can't be undone. Pause() if there is a way to Resume() it.

Finding more colourful words

Don't be afraid to use a thesaurus or ask a friend for better name suggestions. English is a rich language, and there are a lot of words to choose from.

send ~ deliver, dispatch, announce, distribute, route find ~ search, extract, locate, recover start ~ launch, create, begin, open make ~ create, set up, build, generate, compose, add, new

KEY IDEA 4 - It's better to be clear and precise than to be cute.

Avoid Generic Names like tmp and retval

Instead of using an empty name like this, pick a name that describes the entity's value or purpose

Using a generic name sometimes will help you to detect a bug

tmp or temp

```
if (right < left)
    {tmp = right;
    right = left;
    left = tmp;
}
```

In cases like these, the name tmp is perfectly fine. The variable's sole purpose is temporary storage, with a lifetime of only a few lines.

But here's a case where tmp is just used out of laziness:
```
String tmp = user.name();
tmp += " " +
user.phone_number();tmp
+= " " + user.email();

...
template.set("user_info", tmp);
```

Even though this variable has a short lifespan, being temporary storage

isn't the most important thing about this variable. Instead, a name like user_info would be more descriptive.

In the following case, tmp should be in the name, but just as a part of it:

```
tmp_file = tempfile.NamedTemporaryFile()
...
SaveData(tmp_file, ...)
```

Looking at just this one line of code, it isn't clear if tmp is a file, a filename, or maybe even the data being written.

LOOP ITERATORS:

i, j, iter, it can be used as indices and loop iterators (In fact, if you used one of these names for some other purpose, it would be confusing - So, Don't do that). But sometimes there are better iterator names than i, j and k

```
for (int i = 0; i < clubs.size(); i++)
    for (int j = 0; j < clubs[i].members.size();
        j++)for (int k = 0; k < users.size();
        k++)
            if (clubs[i].members[k] == users[j])
                cout << "user[" << j << "] is in club[" << i << "]" << endl;
```

In the if statement, members[] and users[] are using the wrong index. Bugs like these are hard to spot because that line of code seems fine in isolation:

```
if (clubs[i].members[k] == users[j])
```

In this case, using more precise names may have helped. You can naming them as club_i, member_i, user_i or more succinctly (ci,mi,ui). This approach would help the bug stand out more:

```
if (clubs[ci].members[ui] == users[mi])  # Bug! First letters don't match up.
```

As you've seen, there are some situations where generic names are useful. A lot of the time,

they're overused out of pure laziness. This is understandable—when nothing better comes to mind, it's easier to just use a meaningless name like foo and move on. But

if you get in the habit of taking an extra few seconds to come up with a good name, you'll find your naming muscle builds quickly.

Prefer Concrete Names over Abstract Names

For example, suppose you have an internal method named ServerCanStart(), which tests whether the server can listen on a given TCP/IP port.

The name ServerCanStart() is somewhat abstract, though. A more concrete

name would be CanListenOnPort(). This name directly describes what the method will do.

Please don't try to smash two orthogonal ideas into one. (Follow Single Responsibility Rulecan help you easier to naming a method)

Attaching Extra Information to a Name

Values with Units;

```
var start = (new Date()).getTime();  // top of the page
...
var elapsed = (new Date()).getTime() - start; // bottom
of the pagedocument.writeln("Load time was: " +
elapsed + " seconds");
```

```csharp
class Program
{
    0 references
    static async Task Main(string[] args)
    {
        WriteLine("Please type the username for the desired user:");
        var username = ReadLine();

        var github = new GitHubClient(new ProductHeaderValue("MyAmazingApp"));

        try
        {
            var user = await github.User.Get(username);
            WriteLine($"The user {user.Name} was succesfully retrieved!");
            WriteLine($"{user.Name} has {user.PublicRepos} public repositories. Do you want to see the list? (y/n)");
            var response = ReadLine();

            if (string.Equals(
                "Y",
                response,
                StringComparison.InvariantCultureIgnoreCase))
            {
                var repos = await github.Repository.GetAllForUser(username);
                foreach (var repo in repos.OrderBy(x => x.CreatedAt))
                {
                    WriteLine($"{repo.CreatedAt:yyyy-MM-dd} | {repo.Name}");
                }
```

Start(int delay) - delay -> delay_secs CreateCache(int size) - size -
> size_mb ThrottleDownload(float limit) - limit -> max_kbps Rotate(float angle)
 - angle -
> degrees_cw

Encoding Other Important Attributes;

Many security exploits come from not realizing that some data you
program receives is not yet in a safe state. For this, you might want to use
variable names like untrustedUrl or unsafeMessageBody. After calling
functions that cleanse the unsafe input, the resulting variables might be
trustedUrl or safeMessageBody.

A password is in "plaintext" and should be encrypted before further
processing - password - better name: plaintext_password

A user-provided comment that needs escaping before being displayed -
comment - better name: unescaped_comment

Bytes of html have been converted to UTF-8 - html - better name: html_utf8
Incoming data has been "url encoded" - data - data_urlenc

You shouldn't use attributes like unescaped_ or _utf8 for every variable in
your program.
They're most important in places where a bug can easily sneak in if
someone mistakes what the variable is, especially if the consequences are
dire, as with a security bug. Essentially, if it's a critical thing to understand,
put it in the name.

How long should a Name be

How do you decide between naming a variable d, days The answer depends
on exactly how the variable is being used.

Shorter Names Are Okay for Shorter Scope

Identifiers that have a small scope (how many other lines of code can "see"
this name) don't need to carry as much information.

```
if (debug) {
    map<string,int> m;
    LookUpNamesNum
    bers(&m);Print(m);
}
```
you can get away with shorter names because all that information (what
type the variable is, its initial value, how it's destroyed) is easy to see.

Even though m doesn't pack any information, it's not a problem, because the reader alreadyhas all the information she needs to understand this cod