

COURSE 11

Hermite inverse interpolation

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$. Consider the equation

$$f(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (1)$$

Assume that α is a solution of equation $f(x) = 0$ and $V(\alpha)$ is a neighborhood of α . If $y_k = f(x_k)$, where $x_k \in V(\alpha)$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, are approximations of α , $r_k \in \mathbb{N}$, then if there exist $g^{(j)}(y_k) = (f^{-1})^{(j)}(y_k)$, $j = 0, \dots, r_k$, one considers the Hermite type interpolation problem.

Theorem 1 *Let α be a solution of equation $f(x) = 0$, $V(\alpha)$ a neighborhood of α and $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \in V(\alpha)$. For $n = r_0 + \dots + r_m + m$, where r_k represents the multiplicity order of the nodes x_k , $k = 0, \dots, m$, if*

$f \in C^{n+1}(V(\alpha))$ and $f'(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in V(\alpha)$, we have the following Hermite approximation method for α :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\approx F_n^H(x_0, \dots, x_m) = \\ &= (H_n g)(0) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r_k-j} \frac{(-1)^{j+\nu}}{j! \nu!} f_k^{j+\nu} v_k(0) \left(\frac{1}{v_k(y)} \right)_{y=f_k}^{(\nu)} g^{(j)}(f_k), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $f_k = f(x_k)$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, $g = f^{-1}$, and

$$v_k(y) = (y - f_0)^{r_0+1} \dots (y - f_{k-1})^{r_{k-1}+1} (y - f_{k+1})^{r_{k+1}+1} \dots (y - f_m)^{r_m+1}.$$

For $g = f^{-1}$ the corresponding Hermite polynomial is

$$(H_n g)(y) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^{r_k} h_{kj}(y) g^{(j)}(y_k),$$

and it satisfies the conditions:

$$(H_n g)^{(j)}(y_k) = g^{(j)}(y_k), \quad j = 0, \dots, r_k; \quad k = 0, \dots, m,$$

where h_{kj} are the fundamental interpolating polynomials, i.e.,

$$h_{kj}^{(p)}(y_\nu) = 0, \quad k \neq \nu, \quad p = 0, \dots, r_\nu$$

$$h_{kj}^{(p)}(y_k) = \delta_{pj}, \quad p = 0, \dots, r_k$$

and the corresponding interpolation formula is

$$g = H_n g + R_n g,$$

where $R_n g$ is the remainder term.

Taking into account that

$$\alpha = g(0) \approx (H_n g)(0),$$

defines a new approximation to α we have that

$$F_n^H(x_0, \dots, x_m) = (H_n g)(0)$$

is an approximation method for α .

Regarding the order of Hermite-type inverse interpolation method F_n^H , we have two results, first for the case of equal information (the same

multiplicity order for all the nodes x_k , $k = 0, \dots, m$) and then for different multiplicities.

Theorem 2 (Equal information) *The order $\text{ord}(F_n^H)$ is the unique positive root of the equation:*

$$t^{m+1} - (r+1) \sum_{j=0}^m t^j = 0,$$

where r is the multiplicity order of the points x_k , $\forall k = 0, \dots, m$.

Theorem 3 (Unequal information) *The order of F_n^H is the unique positive and real root of the equation:*

$$t^{m+1} - (r_m + 1)t^m - (r_{m-1} + 1)t^{m-1} - \dots - (r_1 + 1)t - (r_0 + 1) = 0,$$

where r_0, \dots, r_m are real numbers, permutation of the multiplicity orders of the nodes x_k , $k = 0, \dots, m$ satisfying the conditions:

$$r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_m > 1 \quad (3)$$

and

$$r_m \geq r_{m-1} \geq \dots \geq r_1 \geq r_0. \quad (4)$$

Remark 4 The order of the Taylor-type inverse interpolation method, can be expressed as the solution of equation

$$t - (r_0 + 1) = 0,$$

where r_0 is the multiplicity order of the node x_0 .

Particular cases.

1) For nodes x_0, x_1 ; with $r_0 = 0, r_1 = 1$, we have the following approximation method:

$$F_2^H(x_0, x_1) = x_1 - \left[\frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_0) - f(x_1)} \right]^2 (x_1 - x_0) - \frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_0) - f(x_1)} \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_1)}.$$

The order of this method is the solution of the equation:

$$t^2 - r_1 t - r_0 = 0,$$

so,

$$t^2 - 2t - 1 = 0,$$

and $p = 1 + \sqrt{2}$.

2) For nodes x_0, x_1, x_2 ; with $r_0 = r_1 = 0; r_2 = 1$, the method is:

$$\begin{aligned} F_4^L(x_0, x_1, x_2) = & \\ = & \frac{f(x_2)^2}{f(x_1) - f(x_0)} \left[\frac{x_0 f(x_1)}{[f(x_0) - f(x_2)]^2} - \frac{x_1 f(x_0)}{[f(x_1) - f(x_2)]^2} \right] \\ & + \frac{f(x_0) f(x_1)}{[f(x_2) - f(x_0)][f(x_2) - f(x_1)]} \left[1 + \frac{f(x_2)}{[f(x_2) - f(x_0)][f(x_2) - f(x_1)]} \right] \left[x_2 - \frac{f(x_2)}{f'(x_2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The order of this method is the solution of the equation:

$$t^3 - 2t^2 - t - 1 = 0,$$

so $p = 2.548$.

Birkhoff inverse interpolation

Assume that α is a solution of equation $f(x) = 0$ and $V(\alpha)$ is a neighborhood of α . If $y_k = f(x_k)$, where $x_k \in V(\alpha)$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, are approximations of α , $r_k \in N$ and $I_k \subset \{0, \dots, r_k\}$, then if there exist $g^{(j)}(y_k) = (f^{-1})^{(j)}(y_k)$, $j \in I_k$, one considers the Birkhoff type interpolation problem.

The Birkhoff polynomial

$$(B_n g)(y) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j \in I_k} b_{kj}(y) g^{(j)}(y_k),$$

satisfies the conditions:

$$(B_n g)^{(j)}(y_k) = g^{(j)}(y_k), \quad j \in I_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, m,$$

where b_{kj} are the fundamental interpolating polynomials, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{kj}^{(p)}(y_\nu) &= 0, \quad k \neq \nu, \quad p \in I_\nu \\ b_{kj}^{(p)}(y_k) &= \delta_{pj}, \quad p \in I_k \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding interpolation formula is

$$g = B_n g + R_n g,$$

where $R_n g$ is the remainder term.

Taking into account that

$$\alpha = g(0) \approx (B_n g)(0),$$

defines a new approximation to α we have that

$$F_n^B(x_0, \dots, x_m) = (B_n g)(0)$$

is an approximation method for α .

Particular case.

1) Let $x_0, x_1 \in V(\alpha)$, $I_0 = \{0\}$, $I_1 = \{1\}$, so $n = 1$ and $y_0 = f(x_0)$, $y_1 = f(y_1)$.

Taking

$$F_1^B(x_0, x_1) = (B_1 g)(0),$$

we obtain the method defined by

$$F_1^B(x_0, x_1) = x_0 - \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_1)}.$$

9. Numerical methods for solving differential equations

We consider a Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= f(x, y) \\ y(x_0) &= y_0 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with f defined on $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x - x_0| \leq a, |y - y_0| \leq b\}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$, continuous and derivable.

9.1. Taylor interpolation method

Let $f \in C^p(D)$ and y be a solution of the problem (5). We attach Taylor interpolation formula to y , with respect to x_0 :

$$y = T_p y + R_p y,$$

where

$$(T_p y)(x) = y(x_0) + \frac{x - x_0}{1!} y'(x_0) + \dots + \frac{(x - x_0)^p}{p!} y^{(p)}(x_0),$$

and the remainder term:

$$(R_p y)(x) = \frac{(x - x_0)^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} y^{(p+1)}(\xi), \quad \xi \text{ between } x_0 \text{ and } x. \tag{6}$$

We know only $y(x_0) = y_0$ and $y'(x_0) = f(x_0, y_0)$ in this polynomial, so we have to compute $y^{(k)}(x_0)$, $k = 2, \dots, p$. Using equation (5) we get

$$y'' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y', \dots$$

and so on. Taking the values of these derivatives in x_0 , the approximation of y is completely determined.

Denoting $y^{(k)} = f^{(k-1)}$, Taylor polynomial can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (T_p y)(x) = & y(x_0) + \frac{(x - x_0)}{1!} f(x_0, y(x_0)) + \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2!} f'(x_0, y(x_0)) \\ & + \dots + \frac{(x - x_0)^p}{p!} f^{(p-1)}(x_0, y(x_0)). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

If $|y^{(p+1)}(x)| \leq M_{p+1}$, we get the error delimitation:

$$y(x) - (T_p y)(x) \leq \frac{|x - x_0|^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} M_{p+1}, \quad x \in I. \tag{8}$$

So, we've proved the following theorem:

Theorem 5 *If $f \in C^p(D)$ then the solution y of the Cauchy problem (5) can be approximated by Taylor polynomial (7) with delimitation of the error given in (8).*

Remark 6 *Disadvantage: for large values of p , the derivatives $f^{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, p$, are more and more complicated to compute. In practical applications, it is used for small values of p .*

For the equidistant points $x_i = x_0 + ih$, with $y_i = y(x_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, N$; $N \in \mathbb{N}$; $h = \frac{b-a}{N}$, **Taylor interpolation method of order n** can be written as

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + h T_n(x_i, y_i), \tag{9}$$

with

$$T_n(x_i, y_i) = f(x_i, y_i) + \frac{h}{2!} f'(x_i, y_i) + \dots + \frac{h^{n-1}}{n!} f^{(n-1)}(x_i, y_i). \tag{10}$$

9.2. Euler's method

Consider the equation (5) and an equidistant partition of the interval $[a, b]$: $x_i = x_0 + ih$, $h = \frac{b-a}{N}$; $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$; $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Remark 7 The method (9), for $n = 1$, i.e.,

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + hf(x_i, y_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

is called **Euler's method**.

Geometrical interpretation: by Euler's method, the graph of the solution y is approximated by the polygonal line with vertices (x_k, y_k) , $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$, hence this method is also called *the method of polygonal lines*.

Algorithm for Euler's method:

$$h \leftarrow \frac{b-a}{N}$$

$$\alpha \leftarrow y_0$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$

$$y_{i+1} \leftarrow y_i + hf(x_i, y_i)$$

end

Example 8 Approximate the solution of the Cauchy problem:

$$y'(x) = 2x - y$$

$$y(0) = -1$$

on the equidistant nodes $x_i = a + ih$, $i = 0, \dots, N$; $h = \frac{b-a}{N}$, with $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $N = 10$, using Euler's method.

Solution. We have $h = \frac{1}{10}$, $f(x, y) = 2x - y$ and we get

$$y(0.1) \approx y_1 = y_0 + 0.1f(0, -1) = -0.9$$

$$y(0.2) \approx y_2 = y_1 + 0.1f(0.1, -0.9) = -0.79$$

...

$$y_{10} = 0.348678.$$

9.3. Runge-Kutta methods

One way of finding these methods is based on Taylor interpolation. There are determined the values a_1, α_1 and β_1 such that $a_1 f(x + \alpha_1, y + \beta_1)$ to approximate Taylor polynomial of second order, given by (10):

$$T_2(x, y) = f(x, y) + \frac{h}{2} f'(x, y), \quad h = \frac{b-a}{N}, N\text{-given.}$$

We have

$$f'(x, y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \cdot y'(x)$$

so

$$T_2(x, y) = f(x, y) + \frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) + \frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) y'(x).$$

Expanding $f(x + \alpha_1, y + \beta_1)$ using Taylor series we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 f(x + \alpha_1, y + \beta_1) &= a_1 f(x, y) + a_1 \alpha_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) + a_1 \beta_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \\ &\quad + a_1 (R_1 f)(x + \alpha_1, y + \beta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Identifying the coefficients of the terms $f(x, y)$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 1 \\ \alpha_1 &= \frac{h}{2} \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{h}{2}y'(x) = \frac{h}{2}f(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

In (9), for $n = 2$ we have

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + hT_2(x_i, y_i), \tag{11}$$

and replacing T_2 by $a_1f(x + \alpha_1, y + \beta_1)$, it is obtained **"the midpoint method"**:

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= \alpha \\ y_{i+1} &= y_i + hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y + \frac{h}{2}f(x_i, y_i)\right), \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Runge-Kutta methods of second order:

Consider $y_0 = \alpha$.

1) Midpoint method

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y + \frac{h}{2}f(x_i, y_i)\right), \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 1$$

2) Modified Euler method:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{h}{2}\left[f(x_i, y_i) + f\left(x_{i+1}, y_i + hf(x_i, y_i)\right)\right], \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 1$$

3) Heun method:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{h}{4}\left[f(x_i, y_i) + 3f\left(x_i + \frac{2}{3}h, y_i + \frac{2}{3}hf(x_i, y_i)\right)\right], \quad i = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

Runge-Kutta method of fourth order (one of the most used in practice):

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= \alpha \\ k_1 &= hf(x_i, y_i) \\ k_2 &= hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{1}{2}k_1\right) \\ k_3 &= hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{1}{2}k_2\right) \\ k_4 &= hf(x_{i+1}, y_i + k_3) \\ y_{i+1} &= y_i + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4), \quad i = 0, \dots, N - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm for Runge-Kutta method of 4-th order:

$$h \leftarrow \frac{b-a}{N}; \quad y_0 \leftarrow \alpha$$

for $i = 0, \dots, N$

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= hf(x_i, y_i) \\ k_2 &= hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{1}{2}k_1\right) \\ k_3 &= hf\left(x_i + \frac{h}{2}, y_i + \frac{1}{2}k_2\right) \\ k_4 &= hf(x_{i+1}, y_i + k_3) \\ y_{i+1} &= y_i + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) \end{aligned}$$

end

For the data from Example 8 we have the following graph. (The exact solution is $y(x) = e^{-x} + 2x - 2$.)

