

COURSE 9

4.3. Iterative methods for solving linear systems

Because of round-off errors, direct methods become less efficient than iterative methods for large systems (>100 000 variables).

An iterative scheme for linear systems consists of converting the system

$$Ax = b \quad (1)$$

to the form

$$x = b' - Bx.$$

After an initial guess for $x^{(0)}$, the sequence of approximations of the solution $x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}, \dots$ is generated by computing

$$x^{(k)} = b' - Bx^{(k-1)}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

4.3.1. Jacobi iterative method

Consider the $n \times n$ linear system,

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \dots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n, \end{cases}$$

where we assume that the diagonal terms $a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn}$ are all nonzero.

We begin our iterative scheme by solving each equation for one of the variables:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = u_{12}x_2 + \dots + u_{1n}x_n + c_1 \\ x_2 = u_{21}x_1 + \dots + u_{2n}x_n + c_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n = u_{n1}x_1 + \dots + u_{nn-1}x_{n-1} + c_n, \end{cases}$$

where $u_{ij} = -\frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}}$, $c_i = \frac{b_i}{a_{ii}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $x^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, \dots, x_n^{(0)})$ be an initial approximation of the solution. The $k+1$ -th approximation is:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(k+1)} = u_{12}x_2^{(k)} + \dots + u_{1n}x_n^{(k)} + c_1 \\ x_2^{(k+1)} = u_{21}x_1^{(k)} + u_{23}x_3^{(k)} + \dots + u_{2n}x_n^{(k)} + c_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n^{(k+1)} = u_{n1}x_1^{(k)} + \dots + u_{nn-1}x_{n-1}^{(k)} + c_n, \end{cases}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

An algorithmic form:

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)}}{a_{ii}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

The iterative process is terminated when a convergence criterion is satisfied.

Stopping criterions: $|x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}| < \varepsilon$ or $\frac{|x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}|}{|x^{(k)}|} < \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ - a prescribed tolerance.

Example 1 Solve the following system using the Jacobi iterative method. Use $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ and $x^{(0)} = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)$ as the starting vector.

$$\begin{cases} 7x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = 17 \\ x_1 - 9x_2 + 3x_3 - x_4 = 13 \\ 2x_1 + 10x_3 + x_4 = 15 \\ x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + 6x_4 = 10. \end{cases}$$

These equations can be rearranged to give

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (17 + 2x_2 - x_3)/7 \\ x_2 &= (-13 + x_1 + 3x_3 - x_4)/9 \\ x_3 &= (15 - 2x_1 - x_4)/10 \\ x_4 &= (10 - x_1 + x_2 - x_3)/6 \end{aligned}$$

and, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(1)} &= (17 + 2x_2^{(0)} - x_3^{(0)})/7 \\ x_2^{(1)} &= (-13 + x_1^{(0)} + 3x_3^{(0)} - x_4^{(0)})/9 \\ x_3^{(1)} &= (15 - 2x_1^{(0)} - x_4^{(0)})/10 \\ x_4^{(1)} &= (10 - x_1^{(0)} + x_2^{(0)} - x_3^{(0)})/6. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $x^{(0)} = (0,0,0,0)$ into the right-hand side of each of these equations to get

$$\begin{aligned}x_1^{(1)} &= (17 + 2 \cdot 0 - 0)/7 = 2.428\ 571\ 429 \\x_2^{(1)} &= (-13 + 0 + 3 \cdot 0 - 0)/9 = -1.444\ 444\ 444 \\x_3^{(1)} &= (15 - 2 \cdot 0 - 0)/10 = 1.5 \\x_4^{(1)} &= (10 - 0 + 0 - 0)/6 = 1.666\ 666\ 667\end{aligned}$$

and so $x^{(1)} = (2.428\ 571\ 429, -1.444\ 444\ 444, 1.5, 1.666\ 666\ 667)$.
The Jacobi iterative process:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1^{(k+1)} &= \left(17 + 2x_2^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)}\right)/7 \\x_2^{(k+1)} &= \left(-13 + x_1^{(k)} + 3x_3^{(k)} - x_4^{(k)}\right)/9 \\x_3^{(k+1)} &= \left(15 - 2x_1^{(k)} - x_4^{(k)}\right)/10 \\x_4^{(k+1)} &= \left(10 - x_1^{(k)} + x_2^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)}\right)/6, \quad k \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain a sequence that converges to

$$\mathbf{x}^{(9)} = (2.000127203, -1.000100162, 1.000118096, 1.000162172).$$

4.3.2. Gauss-Seidel iterative method

Almost the same as Jacobi method, except that each x -value is improved using the most recent approx. of the other variables.

For a $n \times n$ system, the $k + 1$ -th approximation is:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^{(k+1)} = u_{12}x_2^{(k)} + \dots + u_{1n}x_n^{(k)} + c_1 \\ x_2^{(k+1)} = u_{21}x_1^{(k+1)} + u_{23}x_3^{(k)} + \dots + u_{2n}x_n^{(k)} + c_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n^{(k+1)} = u_{n1}x_1^{(k+1)} + \dots + u_{nn-1}x_{n-1}^{(k+1)} + c_n, \end{cases}$$

with $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; $u_{ij} = -\frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}}$, $c_i = \frac{b_i}{a_{ii}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ (as in Jacobi method).

Algorithmic form:

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)}}{a_{ii}}$$

for each $i = 1, 2, \dots n$, and for $k \geq 1$.

Stopping criterions: $\left|x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\right| < \varepsilon$, or $\frac{\left|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)}\right|}{\left|\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right|} < \varepsilon$, with ε - a prescribed tolerance, $\varepsilon > 0$.

Remark 2 Because the new values can be immediately stored in the location that held the old values, the storage requirements for \mathbf{x} with the Gauss-Seidel method is half than that for Jacobi method and the rate convergence is faster.

Example 3 Solve the following system using the Gauss-Seidel iterative method. Use $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (0\ 0\ 0\ 0)$ as the starting vector.

$$\begin{cases} 7x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 &= 17 \\ x_1 - 9x_2 + 3x_3 - x_4 &= 13 \\ 2x_1 &+ 10x_3 + x_4 = 15 \\ x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + 6x_4 &= 10 \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= (17 + 2x_2 - x_3)/7 \\x_2 &= (-13 + x_1 + 3x_3 - x_4)/9 \\x_3 &= (15 - 2x_1 - x_4)/10 \\x_4 &= (10 - x_1 + x_2 - x_3)/6,\end{aligned}$$

and, for example,

$$\begin{aligned}x_1^{(1)} &= (17 + 2x_2^{(0)} - x_3^{(0)})/7 \\x_2^{(1)} &= (-13 + x_1^{(1)} + 3x_3^{(0)} - x_4^{(0)})/9 \\x_3^{(1)} &= (15 - 2x_1^{(1)} - x_4^{(0)})/10 \\x_4^{(1)} &= (10 - x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)} - x_3^{(1)})/6,\end{aligned}$$

4.3.3. Relaxation method

In case of convergence, the Gauss-Seidel method is twice faster than Jacobi method. The convergence can be more improved using **relaxation method (SOR method)** (SOR=Successive Over Relaxation)

Algorithmic form of the method:

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)} \right) + (1 - \omega)x_i^{(k-1)}$$

for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and for $k \geq 1$.

For $0 < \omega < 1$ the procedure is called **under relaxation method**, that can be used to obtain convergence for systems which are not convergent by Gauss-Seidel method.

For $\omega > 1$ the procedure is called **over relaxation method**, that can be used to accelerate the convergence for systems which are convergent by Gauss-Seidel method.

By Kahan's Theorem follows that the method converges for $0 < \omega < 2$.

Remark 4 For $\omega = 1$, relaxation method is Gauss-Seidel method.

Example 5 Solve the following system, using relaxation iterative method Use $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$, $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (1 \ 1 \ 1)$ and $\omega = 1.25$,

$$\begin{array}{rrcr} 4x_1 & + & 3x_2 & = & 24 \\ 3x_1 & + & 4x_2 & - & x_3 & = & 30 \\ & & - & x_2 & + & 4x_3 & = & -24 \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(k)} &= 7.5 - 0.937x_2^{(k-1)} - 0.25x_1^{(k-1)} \\ x_2^{(k)} &= 9.375 - 9.375x_1^{(k)} + 0.3125x_3^{(k-1)} - 0.25x_2^{(k-1)} \\ x_3^{(k)} &= -7.5 + 0.3125x_2^{(k)} - 0.25x_3^{(k-1)}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The solution is $(3, 4, -5)$.

which provide the following Gauss-Seidel iterative process:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(k+1)} &= (17 + 2x_2^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)}) / 7 \\ x_2^{(k+1)} &= (-13 + x_1^{(k+1)} + 3x_3^{(k)} - x_4^{(k)}) / 9 \\ x_3^{(k+1)} &= (15 - 2x_1^{(k+1)} - x_4^{(k)}) / 10 \\ x_4^{(k+1)} &= (10 - x_1^{(k+1)} + x_2^{(k+1)} - x_3^{(k+1)}) / 6, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ into the right-hand side of each of these equations to get

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(1)} &= (17 + 2 \cdot 0 - 0) / 7 = 2.428 \ 571 \ 429 \\ x_2^{(1)} &= (-13 + 2.428 \ 571 \ 429 + 3 \cdot 0 - 0) / 9 = -1.1746031746 \\ x_3^{(1)} &= (15 - 2 \cdot 2.428 \ 571 \ 429 - 0) / 10 = 1.0142857143 \\ x_4^{(1)} &= (10 - 2.428 \ 571 \ 429 - 1.1746031746 - 1.0142857143) / 6 \\ &= 0.8970899472 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = (2.428571429 - 1.1746031746, 1.0142857143, 0.8970899472).$$

Similar procedure generates a sequence that converges to

$$\mathbf{x}^{(5)} = (2.000025, -1.000130, 1.000020, 0.999971).$$

4.3.4 The matriceal formulations of the iterative methods

Split the matrix A into the sum

$$A = D + L + U,$$

where D is the diagonal of A , L the lower triangular part of A , and U the upper triangular part of A . That is,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\ a_{21} & \ddots & & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{n,n-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & a_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \cdots & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The system $Ax = b$ can be written as

$$(D + L + U)x = b.$$

The **Jacobi method** in matriceal form is given by:

$$Dx^{(k)} = -(L + U)x^{(k-1)} + b$$

the **Gauss-Seidel method** in matriceal form is given by:

$$(D + L)x^{(k)} = -Ux^{(k-1)} + b$$

and **the relaxation method** in matriceal form is given by:

$$(D + \omega L)x^{(k)} = ((1 - \omega)D - \omega U)x^{(k-1)} + \omega b$$

Convergence of the iterative methods

Remark 6 *The convergence (or divergence) of the iterative process in the Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods does not depend on the initial guess, but depends only on the character of the matrices themselves. However, a good first guess in case of convergence will make for a relatively small number of iterations.*

A sufficient condition for convergence:

Theorem 7 (Convergence Theorem) *If A is strictly diagonally dominant, then the Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel and relaxation methods converge for any choice of the starting vector $x^{(0)}$.*

Example 8 *Consider the system of equations*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The coefficient matrix of the system is strictly diagonally dominant since

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{11}| &= |3| = 3 > |1| + |1| = 2 \\ |a_{22}| &= |4| = 4 > |-2| + |0| = 2 \\ |a_{33}| &= |-6| = 6 > |-1| + |2| = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if the Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel method are used to solve the system of equations, they will converge for any choice of the starting vector $x^{(0)}$

Example 9 *Consider the linear system*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Perform two iterations of the Jacobi and the Gauss-Seidel methods' to this system beginning with the vector $x = [3, 11]$. (Solutions of the system are $7/9$ and $-1/9$).

5. Numerical methods for solving nonlinear equations in \mathbb{R}

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$. Consider the equation

$$f(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (2)$$

We attach a mapping $F : D \rightarrow D$, $D \subset \Omega^n$ to this equation.

Let $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in D$. Using F and the numbers x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} we construct iteratively the sequence

$$x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, \dots \quad (3)$$

with

$$x_i = F(x_{i-n}, \dots, x_{i-1}), \quad i = n, \dots \quad (4)$$

The problem consists in choosing F and $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in D$ such that the sequence (3) to be convergent to the solution of the equation (2).

Definition 10 *The procedure of approximation the solution of equation (2) by the elements of the sequence (3), computed as in (4), is called **F-method**.*

*The numbers x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} are called **the starting points** and the k -th element of the sequence (3) is called an approximation of k -th order of the solution.*

If the set of starting points has only one element then the F -method is **an one-step method**; if it has more than one element then the F -method is **a multistep method**.

Definition 11 *If the sequence (3) converges to the solution of the equation (2) then the F -method is convergent, otherwise it is divergent.*

Definition 12 *Let $\alpha \in \Omega$ be a solution of the equation (2) and let $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, \dots$ be the sequence generated by a given F -method. The number p having the property*

$$\lim_{x_i \rightarrow \alpha} \frac{\alpha - F(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{(\alpha - x_i)^p} = C \neq 0, \quad C = \text{constant},$$

is called the order of the F -method.

We construct some classes of F -methods based on the interpolation procedures.

Let $\alpha \in \Omega$ be a solution of the equation (2) and $V(\alpha)$ a neighborhood of α . Assume that f has inverse on $V(\alpha)$ and denote $g := f^{-1}$. Since

$$f(\alpha) = 0$$

it follows that

$$\alpha = g(0).$$

This way, the approximation of the solution α is reduced to the approximation of $g(0)$.

Definition 13 *The approximation of g by means of an interpolating method, and α by the value of g at point zero is called **inverse interpolation procedure**.*

5.1. One-step methods

Let F be a one-step method, i.e., for a given x_i we have $x_{i+1} = F(x_i)$.

Remark 14 *If $p = 1$ the convergence condition is $|F'(x)| < 1$.*

If $p > 1$ there always exists a neighborhood of α where the F -method converges.

All information on f are given at a single point, the starting value \Rightarrow we are lead to Taylor interpolation.

Theorem 15 *Let α be a solution of equation (2), $V(\alpha)$ a neighborhood of α , $x, x_i \in V(\alpha)$, f fulfills the necessary continuity conditions.*

Then we have the following method, denoted by F_m^T , for approximating α :

$$F_m^T(x_i) = x_i + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} [f(x_i)]^k g^{(k)}(f(x_i)), \tag{5}$$

where $g = f^{-1}$.

Proof. There exists $g = f^{-1} \in C^m[V(0)]$. Let $y_i = f(x_i)$ and consider Taylor interpolation formula

$$g(y) = (T_{m-1}g)(y) + (R_{m-1}g)(y),$$

with

$$(T_{m-1}g)(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k!} (y - y_i)^k g^{(k)}(y_i),$$

and $R_{m-1}g$ is the corresponding remainder.

Since $\alpha = g(0)$ and $g \approx T_{m-1}g$, it follows

$$\alpha \approx (T_{m-1}g)(0) = x_i + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} y_i^k g^{(k)}(y_i).$$

Hence,

$$x_{i+1} := F_m^T(x_i) = x_i + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} [f(x_i)]^k g^{(k)}(f(x_i))$$

is an approximation of α , and F_m^T is an approximation method for the solution α . ■

Concerning the order of the method F_m^T we state:

Theorem 16 *If $g = f^{-1}$ satisfies condition $g^{(m)}(0) \neq 0$, then $\text{ord}(F_m^T) = m$.*

Proof. Bibliography ■

Remark 17 *We have an upper bound for the absolute error in approximating α by x_{i+1} :*

$$\left| \alpha - F_m^T(x_i) \right| \leq \frac{1}{m!} [f(x_i)]^m M_m g, \quad \text{with } M_m g = \sup_{u \in V(0)} \left| g^{(m)}(y) \right|.$$

Particular cases.

1) Case $m = 2$.

$$F_2^T(x_i) = x_i - \frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)}.$$

This method is called **Newton’s method (the tangent method)**. Its order is 2.