

**Justice Assistance Grants (JAG)**

**August 18, 2016**

**Supplemental Documents**

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:**      **Expanding Multi-Jurisdictional Narcotics Units**

**Funding Source:**      **FFY11-FFY15 Justice Assistance Grants: \$2,261,478; 25% Cash Match**

### **Program Description**

The statewide network of multi-jurisdictional narcotics units has been the core program of the Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) fund and its predecessor, the Anti-Drug Abuse Act (ADAA) fund, since the inception of Edward Byrne Memorial funding in the late 1980s.

### **Program Activities**

Multi-jurisdictional enforcement groups (MEGs) and task forces were formed from state, municipal, county, and federal law enforcement agencies to address drug problems at all levels and across jurisdictional boundaries. These cooperative units and their local governing boards work to target the most serious local drug problems emphasizing interdiction and the disruption of distribution of controlled substances.

### **Goals**

- To arrest and prosecute organized and independent drug offenders, with special emphasis on importation, distribution, and cultivation offenses.
- To identify, quantify, and respond to emerging drug problems within the task force area.  
To enhance levels of cooperation among the task force agencies while promoting more direct involvement with other local state & federal enforcement organizations.

### **Priorities**

These programs address the Law Enforcement Priority, to “support programs which provide law enforcement agencies throughout the state with the necessary means to deter, combat, and investigate crime through strategies, staffing, training, and equipment that promotes public safety and the common good.”

### **Funding Prospectus**

Past performance demonstrates achievement of their goals, including intensive surveillance work, increases in drug arrests, and community training. These grant programs are in full compliance with grant requirements and operate in good standing with the Authority.

### **Past Performance**

In 2015, MEGs and task forces made 4,013 drug seizures. These seizures had a street value of more than \$8.7 million.

These multijurisdictional units continue to meet or exceed their performance objectives, as reflected in the cumulative data from calendar year 2015 in the following table.

Offense	MEG/TF Total Arrests	Percent of all MEG/TF Arrests
All Offenses	5,074	100%
Cannabis	716	14%
Controlled Substance	1,821	36%
Delivery Arrests	1,789	35%
Possession Arrests	748	15%
New Investigations	3,920	

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel: Law enforcement officer and administrative staff salaries and benefits	\$1,861,460
Equipment: Surveillance equipment, vehicles, laptops and computer work stations	\$56,550
Commodities: Office supplies, vehicle maintenance, and mobile phone service	\$6,890
Contractual: Administrative staff salaries, computer service, and air flight service during the growing season for cannabis plant fields	\$336,578
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$2,261,478</b>

### **Designations**

The following designations are proposed:

MEGs/ Task Force	Amount	Year
Blackhawk Area Task Force	\$55,663	FFY13
Central Illinois Enforcement Group	\$110,855	FFY14
DuPage County MEG	\$116,500	FFY11
East Central Illinois Task Force	\$85,698	FFY14
Joliet MANS	\$111,715	FFY14
Kankakee MEG	\$120,584	FFY14
Lake County MEG	\$216,967	FFY12
Southern Illinois Drug Task Force	\$161,114	FFY15
Multi-County MEG	\$56,943	FFY12
North Central Narcotic Task Force	\$167,736	FFY14
Quad-Cities MEG	\$25,516	FFY14
SLANT Task Force	\$95,997	FFY14
South Central Illinois Drug Task Force	\$68,051	FFY14
Southeastern Illinois Drug Task Force	\$107,202	FFY14
Metropolitan Enforcement Group of Southwestern Illinois	\$374,078	FFY11

Southern Illinois Enforcement Group	\$113,998	FFY14
Vermilion County MEG	\$114,865	FFY15
West Central Illinois Task Force	\$106,711	FFY14
Zone 6 Task Force	\$51,285	FFY14
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,261,478</b>	

**For Internal Use:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	12
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY 11 – 15	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$2,261,478
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$2,261,478
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	See Table	<b>Program Agency</b>	See Table
<b>Program Title</b>	Expanding Multi-Jurisdictional Narcotics Units	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Expanding Multi-Jurisdictional Narcotics Units
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Law Enforcement Programs	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	Local
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Prosecution Programs

**Funding Source:** JAG FFY14, FFY15; \$1,015,720, Total Federal; 25% Cash Match

### **Program Description**

The eight Drug Prosecution Units have been the core programs of the Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) fund and its predecessor, the Anti-Drug Abuse Act (ADAA) fund, since the inception of Byrne funding in the late 1980's.

### **Program Activities**

The drug prosecution units recommended for continuing funding provide case consultation, case development and prosecution assistance in the funded multi-jurisdictional drug enforcement units as well as local law enforcement agencies. Seven of these programs are county-specific, supporting their local drug units and federal law enforcement investigations. The eighth program, operated by the Office of the State Appellate Prosecutor, provides regional drug prosecutors who support several drug units as well as to local law enforcement agencies. Specific drug prosecutor activities include drafting complaints for search warrants and applications for eavesdrop, trafficking devices, pen registers and telephone records in addition to prosecution and forfeiture filings.

### **Priorities**

This program address the Courts, Prosecution, Defense and Community Corrections subsidiary to, *“Support and enhance court initiatives, including specialty courts, that contribute to the effectiveness and efficiency of the criminal justice court system in all its facets-the judiciary, clerks, prosecution, defense, and probation.*

### **Performance Assessment**

These long- established programs continue to operate at a high level, with no reporting or performance issues.

### **Past Performance**

These drug prosecution units continue to meet or exceed currently defined performance objectives, as reflected in cumulative data from calendar year 2015.

<b>Seven County Drug Prosecution Units</b>	<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>Percentage of all cases</b>
All prosecutions initiated	2,582	<b>100%</b>
Felony prosecution initiated	2,102	<b>81.40%</b>
Controlled substance felony prosecutions initiated	1,020	<b>39.50%</b>
All convictions	1,048	<b>40.58%</b>
Felony convictions	837	<b>32.41%</b>
Controlled substance felony convictions	820	<b>31.75%</b>
<b>Office of the State's Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor</b>	<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>Percentage of all cases</b>
All prosecutions initiated	2,610	<b>100%</b>
Felony prosecutions initiated	1,432	<b>54.86%</b>
Controlled substance felony prosecutions initiated	559	<b>21.41%</b>
All convictions	2,512	<b>96.24%</b>
Felony convictions	926	<b>35.47%</b>
Controlled substance felony convictions	814	<b>31.41%</b>

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel – Drug Prosecutors	\$ 753,217.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00
Contractual - Contractual prosecution contracts	\$262,503.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$1,015,720.00</b>

**Designations:**

<b>Prosecution Unit</b>	<b>FFY14</b>	<b>FFY15</b>
DuPage County State's Attorney's Office	\$125,132	
Kane County State's Attorney's Office		\$115,174
Lake County State's Attorney's Office	\$163,886	
McHenry County State's Attorney's Office	\$66,715	
St. Clair County State's Attorney's Office	\$86,402	
State's Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor's Office	\$352,389	
Will County State's Attorney's Office	\$106,022	
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$900,546</b>	<b>\$115,174</b>

**For Internal Authority Use Only:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	26 years
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY14, FFY15	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$1,015,720
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$1,015,720
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	See Funding Chart	<b>Program Agency</b>	See Funding Chart
<b>Program Title</b>	Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Prosecution	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Prosecution
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Prosecution & Court Programs	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	Local
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:**      **Be Well Partners in Health, LLC: Therapeutic Diversion**

**Funding Source:**      **FFY14 Justice Assistance Grant: \$236,857; 25% Matching Funds**

### **Program Description**

Be Well care managers assist the Cook County Sheriff's Department of Mental Health Policy and Advocacy with mental health screening for all arrestees that are brought to Division 5 receiving at Cook County Department of Corrections (CCDOC) (pre-bond) Monday through Friday. Arrestees who identify as having a medical, mental health, and/or substance abuse treatment need are offered community-based care coordination services in the event they are released on I-Bond or electronic monitoring after bond court.

The collaborative screening process generates a list of potential referrals. The goal is to contact arrestees 24 to 48 hours from time of release to determine motivation to engage in services and complete an initial needs assessment. Care managers then schedule a meeting with the client in the community to engage the client in the care coordination process with a more comprehensive behavioral health assessment.

The Be Well Care Coordination Model focuses on the following evidence-based functions: assessment, care planning, implementation, teaching/coaching, medication management, referral, monitoring and evaluation, communication, and advocacy. This occurs through face to face meeting, phone contact, at court, or on the premises at CCDOC in the event the client becomes incarcerated.

The overall goal of engaging potential clients in the Be Well Care Coordination Model is to understand and articulate the issues that resulted in incarceration; develop a care plan with reasonable short-term and long-term goals; teach models of self-care; link with appropriate treatment team members (medical/psychiatric/chemical dependency/others); divert from re-incarceration.

### **Program Activities**

An estimated 33 percent of the Cook County Jail population suffer with a mental illness, and more than 70 percent of them have a co-occurring substance abuse disorder. The Therapeutic Diversion Project aims to assist arrestees who present with mental health and/or substance treatment needs by providing community-based care coordination services. Program participants are identified by Be Well care managers and the Cook County Sheriff's Office's Office of Mental Health Policy and Advocacy. Be Well care managers are on site at CCDOC five days per week helping to provide mental health screens for all arrestees arriving at Division 5 Receiving prior to central bond court.

Individuals who are identified as needing support in the areas of mental health, substance abuse, and/or primary care are offered services in the event that they leave the jail on I-Bond or EM. Be Well care managers conduct outreach, engage, and assess these individuals in their communities or at court to provide support and linkage to medical and/or community-based services with the goal of reducing risks, improving strengths, and avoiding incarceration.



The strategy is to utilize CCDOC as a care coordination site through a program that includes assessments, coordinated care planning, implementation and monitoring/evaluation. This also allows them to provide intensive support to the 30 percent of their clients that are on EM.

### **Goals**

Current goals for program participants are (1) to understand and articulate the issues that lead to arrest/incarceration; (2) develop a care plan and action plan with reasonable goals; (3) learn models of self-care; (4) link to treatment providers; (5) obtain & maintain a medication adherence program (when appropriate).

Be Well is on target to meet the goal of outreach and engagement of 500 clients over the course of one year. Participants that become fully engaged remain in the project for a period of six months. For clients that are fully engaged with the project, Be Well's aim is for a re-incarceration rate of 50 percent or below.

### **Priorities**

The program focuses on JAG priority #6: Recidivism Reduction. Be Well's primary goal to prevent or reduce recidivism among individuals with mental illness and/or substance abuse disorders through engagement in the care coordination process. Its community-based model allows them to provide services to participants while addressing the social and environmental issues that contributed to their arrest.

### **Funding Prospectus**

Renewal of funding for this project is imperative to continue the care coordination process both on-site during pre-bond assessments and in the community with individuals who lack access to other resources and support. Key accomplishments of the program to date include:

- Rate of incarceration for active clients is 16.5 percent (cumulative), well below the 50 percent target.
- The large volume of referrals from the pre-bond screening process (more than 2,000 in total) between July 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016, demonstrates a clear service need.
- Be Well care managers have conducted outreach, engagement, and assessment with more than 500 arrestees from July 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016.
- As of April 30, 2016, Be Well had fully engaged 196 clients with the assessment & care planning process.

The participants that Be Well engaged in this project are living in the community, awaiting court dates, and have limited access to resources or knowledge of the health care system. Be Well has city-wide network of partnering providers that are willing and available to provide medical, mental health, and substance abuse services to justice-involved individuals. Be Well's community-based care coordination model, implemented by licensed care managers, allows Be Well to provide comprehensive assessment, care planning and therapeutic support while they work on aligning the participant to the appropriate service provider. Be Well's involvement in pre-bond mental health screens has allowed CCSO to offer services to any arrestee who indicates that he or she is in need of community-based assistance. The confounding legal, medical, mental health, substance use,

social, financial and environmental variables that their clients face place them at risk for recidivism and creates an intense service need.

### **Past Performance**

Performance data for the period of July 2015 through March 2016:

- Total referrals from pre-bond screening on site at CCDOC: 2,172
- Total number of referrals that became involved in the outreach and engagement process: 538
- Total number of referrals that completed the assessment, care planning, and action planning process: 187.
- Linkages to mental health providers: 50
- Linkages to substance abuse providers: 50
- Total re-incarcerations of clients who completed an assessment: 31

### **Budget Detail**

<b>Personnel</b>  Senior Care Manager with LCSW or LCPC licensure and MA in mental health certification and/or experience in addictions counseling preferred. This position functions as the team lead.  Care Manager with LPC/LSW licensure and MA in mental health or criminal justice. Certification and/or experience in addictions counseling preferred  2 Care Manager with LPC/LSW licensure or relevant certification, training/experience such as CADAC, MISA, or BA in mental health or criminal justice  Fringe Benefits	          \$236,857.00
Equipment N/A Commodities N/A Travel N/A Contractual N/A	
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$236,857.00</b>

**For Internal Use:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	30
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY14	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$236,857
<b>Funding Source 2</b>		<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$236,857
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Be Well Partners in Health	<b>Program Agency</b>	Be Well Partners in Health
<b>Program Title</b>	Mental Health Discharge Coordination	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>		<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>	6. Recidivism Reduction	<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Coles County Sex Offender Supervision Program

**Funding Source:** FFY15 Justice Assistance Grant: \$62,465; 25% Cash Match

### **Program Description**

This Coles County Sex Offender Supervision Program began March 1, 2010, with American Recovery & Reinvestment Act Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) funding. When that funding period ended, Justice Assistance Grant funds were made available to continue the program.

### **Program Activities**

This program supports the salary of a specialized intensive sex offender probation officer who works with both adults and juveniles. This program also supports sex offender assessment and treatment services required of offenders for successful completion of their probation contracts.

### **Goals**

#### **Goal #1**

Maintain position of dedicated probation officer.

#### **Goal #2**

Reduce recidivism rates of adults and juveniles convicted of sexual offenses in Coles and Cumberland counties.

#### **Goal #3**

Increase supervision of offenders.

### **Priorities**

The program addresses the Recidivism Reduction priority, to “support proven and innovative programs targeted to address recognized risk factors and augment protective factors to reduce recidivism among those already involved in the criminal justice system, those on community supervision, or those incarcerated, allowing them successful community reentry as law-abiding and contributing members of society.”

### **Funding Prospectus**

This grant is being renewed because the program has been successful in achieving its projected goals and objectives. The program has supported both Coles and Cumberland counties by providing assessments and intervention treatment for adult and juvenile sex offenders.

### Past Performance

The program reported the following activity during the reporting period of April 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016.

Average caseload	50
Number of new probationers	163
Number of probationers with technical violations	9
Number of successful completions	10

### Budget Detail

Personnel – Salary and benefits for Juvenile Sex Offender Probation Officer	\$56,351.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00
Contractual: sex offender assessments, group treatment, polygraphs, individual treatment	\$6,114.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$62,465.00</b>

### For Internal Authority Use Only:

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	91
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY15	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$62,465.00
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$62,465.00
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Coles County	<b>Program Agency</b>	Coles County Court Services
<b>Program Title</b>	Sex Offender Supervision	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Sex Offender Supervision
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Corrections & Community Corrections	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	Local
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Haymarket Center Women's Residential Treatment Program

**Funding Source:** FFY14 Justice Assistance Grant: \$336,000: Match Wavier Requested

### **Program Description**

The Haymarket Center Women's Residential Treatment Program began in FFY09 with American Recovery & Reinvestment Act Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) funding. When that funding period ended, Justice Assistance Grant funds were made available to continue the program.

### **Program Activities**

Haymarket Center provides comprehensive treatment and support services in an eight-bed recovery home to women identified by the Cook County Sheriff's Women's Justice Programs (SWJP) and the Cook County Sheriff's Police Department Vice Unit as victims of human trafficking and/or prostitution. Transitional housing and services are provided for up to 90 days per client.

### **Goals**

#### **Goal #1**

Reduce incarceration among women referred by the Sheriff's Women's Justice Programs (SWJP) through provision of supported transitional housing.

#### **Goal #2**

Improve public safety and reduce recidivism among women referred by SWJP through provision of counseling and supportive services designed to address barriers to maintaining stability and a crime-free life in the community.

### **Priorities**

The program addresses the Prevention and Intervention priority, to "support proven and innovative prevention and intervention programs for young people at risk of involvement in the criminal justice system. These programs should address recognized risk factors, enhance protective factors and help young people avoid involvement with violent crime, drugs, gangs, guns and other criminal behavior. In setting priorities for JAG funding, recognize that ICJIA's primary source of prevention and intervention funds is state violence prevention funding rather than JAG."

### **Funding Prospectus**

The Haymarket Center Women's Residential Treatment Program has been successful in achieving its projected goals and objectives in improving upon the number of women admitted to the program; increasing percentages of clients receiving comprehensive assessments clients participating in evidence-based trauma programming,

and clients referred for intensive mental health counseling. Individual counseling sessions provided by psychologist also have increased.

### **Match Wavier Request**

The Authority began funding the Women's Residential Treatment Program in 2011 and authorized a match wavier at that time. Haymarket Center is in its fifth year of operating the program, which has been a successful contribution both for the patients receiving treatment and for the community. From FY12 through March 31, 2016, the program has accumulated a loss of about \$500,375. Haymarket Center has continued the program to address the great need for these services and in commitment to their mission.

Haymarket Center is owed about \$2.3 million by the state as a result of the budget impasse. Haymarket is working under a greater financial strain than ever before, and is unable to make a cash match. The agency, therefore, requests a cash match waiver.

### **Past Performance**

The program reported the following activity during the period of April 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016.

Number of women admitted to the program	51
Percentage of clients receiving comprehensive assessment	97%
Percentage of clients participating in evidence-based trauma programming	92%
Number of individual counseling session provided by psychologist	41
Percentage of clients referred for intensive mental health counseling	72%

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel: Salaries and overtime for six FTE direct service staff	\$191,872.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities: Office supplies, hygiene products, drug testing kits, kitchen supplies	\$44,304.00
Travel	\$0.00
Contractual: Building maintenance, utilities and depreciation; psychologist; van lease; gas; insurance and maintenance; electronic case management systems user fee	\$43,824.00
Indirect Cost @ 20%	\$56,000.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$336,000.00</b>

**For Internal Use:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	60
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY14	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$336,000.00
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	0%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$336,000.00
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Haymarket Center	<b>Program Agency</b>	Haymarket Center
<b>Program Title</b>	Correctional Initiatives	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Women's Residential Treatment Program
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Corrections & Community Corrections	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	Local
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	



## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Illinois Center of Excellence for Behavioral Health and Justice

**Funding Source:** FFY14 Justice Assistance Grant: \$219,705; 25% Cash Match

### **Program Description**

The need for the Illinois Center of Excellence for Behavioral Health and Justice was identified in a 2008 strategic plan by the Illinois Department of Human Services Division of Mental Health, facilitated by Policy Research Associates/The GAINS Center to better address the needs of individuals with mental illnesses and co-occurring substance use disorders and criminal justice system involvement. A recommendation was made to create an institution where learning and resources could be coordinated and disseminated in a strategic way to promote research, program development, and program expansion. In addition, the Illinois Supreme Court Special Judicial Advisory Committee for Justice and Mental Health Planning, created in 2010 in part to explore maximizing the use of court and community resources to rehabilitate accused individuals with mental health and substance use disorders, recommended the creation of a Center of Excellence (COE) in Illinois.

### **Program Activities**

The criminal justice system is overwhelmed with persons with mental health and substance use disorders that could be better served in the community. Evidence-based community treatment settings provide cost savings, reduce recidivism, increase public safety, and increase the quality of life. The COE provides communities/circuit courts training and technical assistance to increase knowledge on implementing best practices to divert people with mental illness and substance use from the criminal justice system. Training and technical assistance is tailored to the jurisdiction based on its unique resources and circumstances.

### **Priorities**

The program focuses on the following 6 JAG priorities:

#1 Law Enforcement and #4 State Corrections. The COE provides training regarding mental illness, substance abuse, and trauma for law enforcement and corrections.

#2 Courts, Prosecution, Defense and Community Corrections. The COE provides training and technical assistance throughout the state on evidenced based practices, including diversion programs.

#5 Justice Information Sharing. The COE collaborates with many state agencies such as Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, Illinois Supreme Court, Illinois Association of Problem-Solving Courts to ensure the criminal justice system has timely information.

#6 Recidivism Reduction. The COE provides training on evidence-based practices/programs, screening and assessment tools, and how to implement best practices for problem-solving courts,

#7 Justice Research and Evaluation. The COE works with Adult Redeploy Illinois, Sentencing Police Advisory Committee and ICJIA to research individuals with mental illness or substance abuse issues, encourage

problem-solving courts to provide information and statistics, and make available this knowledge to policy makers and practitioners.

### **Funding Prospectus**

The COE provides education and training directed specifically in the areas of criminal justice and behavioral health to reduce recidivism and keep people who could be better served in the community from being incarcerated. The COE is in a unique position to share information, best practices, and innovative ideas to affect this population. The COE is established within the criminal justice system and continues to grow and provide more training across Illinois. The goals of the past grant were met and improved upon from the previous year. Quarterly reporting is accurate and timely.

### **Past Performance**

**Goal 1:** Provide training, technical assistance, and information to facilitate appropriate responses to the needs of persons with behavioral health disorders and criminal justice system involvement.

The program reported the following activity from October 1, 2014, to March 31, 2016.

- Provided/managed 60 training and technical assistance events.
- More than 3,341 attendees participated in the training and technical assistance events.
- Attendees were from 89 of 102 (87 percent) Illinois counties.
- Nearly 100 percent of attendees reported the training was useful and organized, the materials were appropriate, the content was relevant, and that they increased their knowledge/skills.
- The COE provided resources and training on more than 60 topics.
- Customized technical assistance is provided to courts, counties, communities, and organizations.
- Training and technical assistance sites reported in follow-up surveys led to, improved problem-solving court practices and fidelity; enhanced team functioning and cross-team training, increased communication, increased use and fidelity of evidence-based practices, development of new problem-solving courts, and increased statewide collaboration.
- Provided continuing education credits for lawyers, psychologists and clinical staff.
- Used evaluations, surveys, and contacts to direct services.

**Goal 2:** Increase and improve collaboration as part of the COE mission.

The program reported the following activity from October 1, 2014, to March 31, 2016.

- The COE collaborates with more than 20 entities to engage in national and statewide discussions, leverage resources, and keep Illinois courts and communities up-to-date on trends and research.
- More than 3,960 people accessed the COE website.
- Collaborations were strengthened and expanded including new partnerships with the Center for Court Innovation and Chicago Appleseed.

- Proactive in providing resources regarding legislation, current issues and trends, and funding and training opportunities.

There have been no reporting or performance issues.

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel – Costs for Personnel Salary and Fringe Benefits	<b>\$196,500</b>
Equipment	<b>\$800</b>
Commodities – Office Supplies; Education and Training Materials	<b>\$4,256</b>
Travel – Staff in-state travel costs to provide training and technical assistance; staff out-of-state conference costs	<b>\$11,649</b>
Contractual – Program Evaluator	<b>\$6,500</b>
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$219,705</b>

### **For Internal Use:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	60
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY14	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	<b>\$219,705.00</b>
<b>Funding Source 2</b>		<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	<b>\$219,705.00</b>
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Winnebago County	<b>Program Agency</b>	Illinois Center of Excellence for Behavioral Health & Justice
<b>Program Title</b>	Illinois Center of Excellence for Behavioral Health & Justice	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Center of Excellence
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>	1. Law Enforcement 2.- Courts, Prosecution, Defense & Community Corrections 4. State Corrections 5. Justice Information Sharing 6. Recidivism Reduction 7. Justice research	<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT

**Program Name:** Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice Young Offender Re-Entry Program

**Funding Source:** FFY15 Justice Assistance Grant: \$367,200; 25% Cash Match

### **Program Description**

The need for this program was identified at the Authority's 2000 statewide planning summit and first funded out of the Edward Byrne Memorial Anti-Drug Abuse Act (ADAA) fund and has been continued with JAG funding.

### **Program Activities**

The Young Offender Re-Entry Program provides direct pre- and post-release service staff to develop and implement transitional care plans for youth leaving the Department of Juvenile Justice and returning to District One Chicago. Services include enrollment in school, home visits with family, obtaining identification, and linkage to services.

### **Priorities**

The program addresses the State Corrections priority, to "support programs which allow state and local correctional facilities to apply proven and innovative programs to assist in meeting the needs of incarcerated persons and to maintain the safety and security of the institution, staff and inmate population."

### **Performance Assessment**

Because of extensive background checks necessary to work with juveniles in a correctional setting, the process of hiring replacement staff is lengthy, necessitating agreement extensions to fully expend allocated funds. Despite some staff shortages, the program continues to meet performance and reporting requirements.

### **Past Performance**

The program reported the following activity during the current agreement reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016:

Number of juvenile male offenders admitted to the program	145
Number of treatment plans completed	132
Total number of youth leaving the program	137
Percentage of voluntary dropouts	1%
Percentage of involuntary terminations from the program	34%

Percentage of youth who met programs requirements and successfully exited the program	66%
Average number of days in the program at exit	114

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel	\$0
Equipment	\$0
Commodities – Personal care items, educational and training materials	\$16,833
Travel	\$0
Contractual – Contractual re-entry coordinator; 7 case managers; .50 FTE administrative assistant	\$350,367
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$367,200</b>

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	12 years
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY15	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$350,367
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$350,367
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	IDJJ	<b>Program Agency</b>	IDJJ
<b>Program Title</b>	Correctional Initiatives	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Young Offender Re-entry Program
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Corrections a& Community Corrections	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	State

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Crime Intelligence Analyst – Lake County State’s Attorney’s Office

**Funding Source:** JAG FFY14; \$51,579.00, Total Federal; 25% Cash Match

### **Program Description**

This program was originally selected for funding through an RFP using Project Safe Neighborhood (PSN) funds and received two years of continuation funding. When PSN funding was exhausted, the Office of the Lake County State’s Attorney requested JAG funds to continue the program.

### **Program Activities**

The Crime Intelligence Analyst will help facilitate the gathering, analysis, and timely dissemination of information and intelligence about criminal gang activity in Lake County to the Lake County State’s Attorney’s Office. 40 local police agencies, the Lake County Metropolitan Enforcement Group, Illinois State Police, and federal Department of Justice agencies.

### **Goals**

#### **Goal #1**

**Enhance law enforcement and criminal justice system information and intelligence-sharing about gangs.**

#### **Goal #2**

**Contribute input for the systemic improvements for information-sharing.**

### **Priorities**

This program addresses the Law Enforcement sub-priority to, *“Support multi-jurisdictional task forces which provide investigative and enforcement capabilities beyond the capacity of individual local police agencies, especially in the areas of drug enforcement, combatting street gangs and responding to major crimes in smaller local jurisdictions through cooperative efforts.”*

### **Funding Prospectus**

The funding for this program is being renewed because the program has been successful in achieving their projected goals and objectives in increasing the number of events analyzed for gang indicators (by link analyses charts, documents completed and updated), increase the number of awareness bulletins distributed to law enforcement agencies; increase the number of felony-screened drug related cases (from gangs, and gun related cases researched pertaining to gang criminal activities), and increase the number of cases researched for quarterly Civil Injunctions or Street Gang eligibility reviews.

### **Past Performance**

The program has consistently met or exceeded its currently established objectives. There are no reporting or performance issues.

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel – Salary for Crime Intelligence Analyst	\$51,579.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00
Contractual	\$0.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$51,579.00</b>

### **For Internal Authority Use Only:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	5 years, 15 months
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY14	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$51,579.00
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$51,579.00
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Lake County	<b>Program Agency</b>	Office of the Lake County State's Attorney
<b>Program Title</b>	Crime Analysis	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Criminal Intelligence Analyst
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Prosecution & Court Programs	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	Local
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Office of the State Appellate Defender Rural Defense Services Program

**Funding Source:** FFY14 Justice Assistance Grant: \$151,623; 25% Cash Match

### **Program Description**

The Office of the State Appellate Defender is requesting a grant to provide public defenders in counties with populations of less than 200,000 resources to hire investigators, medical consultants, psychiatrists, and other experts to assist in the defense of indigent clients.

These services were discontinued when Authority-administered funding for the program was not renewed in 2006. The availability of expert witness and investigative services often determines whether a defendant in a criminal case receives effective representation yet the resources available to Illinois counties to provide such services are limited. This program will directly address this problem by providing public defenders the resources needed to adequately and properly investigate cases and defend indigent clients.

### **Program Activities**

The Rural Defense Services Program will allow public defenders in rural counties to more effectively represent their indigent clients by making available to them expert witnesses and investigative services.

### **Goal**

The goal of this program is to provide expert consultants and investigator services to public defendants in counties with populations of less than 200,000.

### **Priorities**

The program addresses the JAG Priority of Courts, Prosecutions, Defense and Community Corrections, to “support and enhance court initiatives, including specialty courts, that contribute to the effectiveness and efficiency of the criminal justice court system in all facets-the judiciary, clerks, prosecution, defense, and probation.”

### **Funding Prospectus**

During a funded period of 2003 to 2006, the program was successful in accomplishing its goals and objectives by providing public defenders with investigators and services for indigent clients in more than 300 cases. Public defenders have indicated that the program allowed them to more effectively represent their clients. The availability of expert witness and investigative services often determines whether a defendant in a criminal case receives effective representation. The resources available to Illinois counties to provide such services are limited.



### **Past Performance**

This program was supported with Authority-administered funding from 2003 to 2006.

In the grant's first year, the assistance of experts and investigators were provided in more than 70 cases across 17 counties.

In the second year of the grant, investigators, pathologists, psychiatrists, psychologists, and DNA experts were provided in more than 100 cases across 25 counties.

In the grant's third year, investigators, pathologists, psychiatrists, psychologists, pharmacologist, DNA expert, crime scene experts were provided in more than 82 cases across 27 counties.

In the fourth and final year of the grant, investigators, pathologists, psychiatrists, psychologists, DNA expert and nine other experts were provided in 55 cases across 27 counties. The final year of the grant lasted only 9 months.

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel	\$0.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00
Contractual – (undetermined) expert witnesses; mitigation experts, and investigators	\$151,623.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$151,623.00</b>

**For Internal Use:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	12
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY14	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$151,623.00
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$151,623.00
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Office of the State Appellate Defender	<b>Program Agency</b>	Office of the State Appellate Defender
<b>Program Title</b>	Rural Defense Services	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Corrections & Community Corrections	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	Local
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT

**Program Name:** Office of the State's Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor Systemic Sentencing Issues Appeals Project

**Funding Source:** FFY15 Justice Assistance Grant: \$227,440.00; 25% Cash Match

### **Program Description**

The Systemic Sentencing Issues Appeals Project was initiated with federal Edward Byrne Memorial Anti-Drug Abuse Act funds as a complement to the Systemic Sentencing Appeal Project operated by the Office of the State Appellate Defender.

### **Program Activities**

The Systemic Sentencing Issues Appeals Project supports salaries and benefits for two assistant appellate prosecutors in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> appellate districts who handle cases involving systemic sentencing issues in order to more quickly respond to case appointments and prevent growth of backlogged approved cases.

### **Goal**

To provide quality legal services to state's attorneys across Illinois in the most effective and efficient manner possible and to expedite enhanced sentencing matters to the appellate courts.

### **Priorities**

This program addresses the Courts, Prosecution, Defense and Community Corrections priority, to "support and enhance court initiative, including specially courts, that contribute to the effectiveness and efficiency of the criminal justice court system in all facets-the judiciary, clerks, prosecution, defense, and probation."

### **Funding Prospectus**

Systemic Sentencing Issues Appeals Project has been successful in achieving its projected goals and objectives. The program provided quality legal services to the Illinois Appellate Court Districts. Criminal cases prepared and litigated have been expedited to enhanced sentencing with the Illinois Appellate Courts.

### **Past Performance**

The performance reported the following activity during the reporting period of April 1, 2015, to March 31, 2016.

Number of cases assigned	528
Number of briefs filed – all types	607
Number of oral arguments presented	37
Number of cases in which the appellate court issued an opinion or order	222
Average number of unbriefed cases per month	47

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel – Salary and benefits for two assistant appellate prosecutors	\$227,440.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00
Contractual	\$0.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$227,440.00</b>

### **For Internal Use:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	25
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY15	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$227,440.00
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$227,440.00
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Office of the State's Attorney's Prosecutor	<b>Program Agency</b>	Office of the State's Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor
<b>Program Title</b>	Specialized Prosecution Initiatives	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Systemic Sentencing Appeals Project
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Prosecution ^ Court Programs	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	State
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Winnebago County Circuit Court Youth Recovery Court

**Funding Source:** FFY14 Justice Assistance Grant: \$205,526.00; Match Waiver Requested

### **Program Description**

The Winnebago County Circuit Court received a federal discretionary award to start the Youth Recovery Court. The circuit court is requesting Justice Assistance Grant funding to continue program implementation.

### **Program Activities**

The Youth Recovery Court provides intensive supervision and alternatives to detention to juveniles ages 10-17 with serious substance abuse and mental disorders. The project funds sole source contractor services to address the need for intensive treatment and case coordination for program participants.

### **Goals**

#### **Goal #1**

Provide coordinated case management, services, and treatment to youth to improve overall functioning.

#### **Goal #2**

Reduce recidivism and detention days served by youth with mental illness or co-occurring disorders in the juvenile justice system.

### **Priorities**

The program addresses the Courts Prosecution, Defense and Community Corrections priority, to “support and enhance court initiatives, including specialty courts that contribute to the effectiveness and efficiency of the criminal justice court system in all its facets-the judiciary, clerks, prosecution, defense, and probation.”

### **Funding Prospectus**

Program funding is recommended for renewal because the program has been successful in achieving its projected goals and objectives. The program offers enhanced case management services, treatment and intervention treatment for youth in the juvenile justice system. Youth detentions have been reduced, with a marked increase in determining mental health disorders early, when juveniles are first assessed before entering the program.

## **Match Wavier Request**

Winnebago County Circuit Court is requesting a match waiver due to the current fiscal state of Winnebago County and the status of state reimbursements. The Winnebago County budget has decreased by \$25 million between 2008 and 2016. The number of staff also has decreased, from 1,700 to 1,400 employees.

Winnebago County is ending 2016 with a projected revenue shortfall of \$2 million. County sales tax revenue is down 24 percent and income tax revenues were down 19 percent from projected estimates. The county has projected an additional \$7.9 million shortfall for FY17. To establish a balanced budget for fiscal year 2017, the county is forecasting 7.76 percent budget reductions for all non-exempt personnel and supplies and services department budgets. Under discussion for FY17 are wage freezes, forced six-month hiring delays, mandatory furlough days, early retirement packages, and voluntary and involuntary layoffs.

The Winnebago community is losing resources for youth with mental illness. Youth and families at the poverty level or below are particularly underserved with few personal resources. Based on 2012 data from Voices for Illinois Children, child poverty rates in Winnebago are the highest of all large municipalities in the state with 34 percent of children living in poverty. The agency's Youth Recovery Court is the only program available for delinquents with mental health issues who would otherwise be referred to the Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice. The Youth Recovery Court grant solely funds the therapeutic team positions of outreach case manager, home-based therapist, and addictions counselor, and partially funds the therapeutic program coordinator and supervisor.

## **Past Performance**

The program reported the following activity during the reporting period of January 1, 2015, to October 31, 2015.

Number of active clients	92
Number of new clients	8
Number of clients discharged - successful	5
Number of clients discharged - neutral	3
Number of clients discharged - unsuccessful	3
Number of services accessed by clients – mental health	343
Number of services accessed by clients – substance abuse	156
Number of services accessed by clients - education	6
Number of services accessed by clients – employment skills	0
Number of services accessed by clients - other	35
Number of psychiatric hospitalization (current enrolled clients)	11
Number of days participants served in detention (current clients)	1,063
Number of days participants served during enrollment (current clients)	894
Number of days participants served in detention (discharged clients clients)	3,937
Number of days participants served during enrollment (discharged clients)	4,096
Number of days participants served post-enrollment (discharged clients)	3,447

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel	\$0.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00
Contractual: Sole source treatment services subcontract with Rosecrance	\$205,526.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$205,526.00</b>

### **Sole Source Subcontractor Budget**

Personnel: Full time outreach coordinator, home-based therapist and addictions counselor; 5 FTE Supervisor; 15 FTE Program Coordinator	\$154,465.00
Equipment	\$0.00
Commodities: General office supplies	\$1,767.00
Travel: Program staff mileage reimbursement	\$9,180.00
Contractual: Cell and telephone service, offices space, management fee	\$40,114.00
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$205,526.00</b>

### **For Internal Authority Use Only:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	October 1, 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	48
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY14	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$205,526.00
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	N/A
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	0%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$205,526.00
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	Winnebago County	<b>Program Agency</b>	Winnebago County Circuit Court
<b>Program Title</b>	Youth Recovery Court	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	Youth Recovery Court
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Prosecution ^ Court Programs	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	Local
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT**

**Program Name:** Illinois Partnerships to Reduce Violent Crime

**Funding Source:** FFY 14 JAG: \$636,668; Match: \$212,223

### **Program Description**

The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority (Authority) is recommending 4 proposals be awarded under the Illinois Partnerships to Reduce Violent Crime Program. The four selected applicants are the Cities of Champaign, Peoria, Springfield and the Rockford Metropolitan Agency for Planning on behalf of the City of Rockford.

The purpose of the program is to reduce violent crime and strengthen the relationship between law enforcement and communities by completing the Problem-Oriented Policing SARA (Scanning, Analysis, Response and Assessment) assessment process, building local research and evaluation capacity, and implementing procedural justice and focused deterrence. Initial grants will be awarded for the assessment process. Subsequent grants will be awarded for training and implementation.

### **Program Activities**

Jurisdictions will have varying degrees of readiness to implement focused deterrence. Three applicants: Peoria, Springfield and Rockford will be completing the 1<sup>st</sup> cycle: planning during this performance period. One applicant, Champaign has requested to complete the 2<sup>nd</sup> cycle: training as they have recently completed a thorough assessment process and have an active organizational structure.

#### **First Cycle: Planning**

Selected jurisdictions will convene local multi-disciplinary teams (MDT) consisting of the city mayor, law enforcement, local and federal prosecutors, community corrections (probation, parole) and community stakeholders. Each agency's commitment to the assessment process is essential to its success. Each agency is responsible for assigning a staff member with decision making power to attend the regular (at minimum monthly) meetings, share information and data (when applicable); participate in the planning grant activities listed below:

- Enhance effective working relationships with community, criminal justice and social service agencies;
- Collaborate across criminal justice agencies;
- Conduct crime analyses using the Problem-Oriented Policing SARA (Scanning, Analysis, Response and Assessment) Model. (The Problem-Oriented Policing SARA Model is a highly regarded and utilized comprehensive tool for local law enforcement officials to identify their needs and focus their work.



More details regarding the SARA Model and a comprehensive outline can be found at <http://www.popcenter.org/about?p=sara>.<sup>1</sup> )

- Conduct assessment of community and police relations; and
- Identify training needs.

Planning period funds may be allocated to:

- A full-time Project Manager to facilitate leadership development and cross system collaboration; engage in the SARA assessment process for the MDT in partnership with an identified research partner; and develop an implementation plan.
- A research partner to assist the MDT in conducting crime analysis and developing an implementation plan that includes data collection to facilitate a process and outcome evaluation.
- Travel within Illinois to attend regional networking and information sharing events

### Second Cycle: Training

Jurisdictions that have demonstrated training needs, as identified during the planning phase, to assist in implementation may seek additional funding to address those needs. Continued funding for training and/or implementation is contingent on satisfactory progress in the preceding performance period and continued funding appropriation. Training funds will be made available to:

- Assist in MDT agencies accessing training on focused deterrence, procedural justice and community engagement.
- Ongoing technical assistance provided by identified experts.

### Third Cycle: Implementation

Once a model has been selected through an assessment process (SARA Model preferred) and training is completed, jurisdictions may apply for implementation funding. Continued funding for training and/or implementation is contingent on satisfactory progress in the preceding performance period and continued funding appropriation.

### Goals

This program's overall goal to help selected local jurisdictions implement a focused deterrence strategy along with procedural justice to reduce violent crime. The grantee's goals include: process measures such as form multidisciplinary team (MDT); collect data on group related shootings; MDT meetings held; conduct a survey that assesses community perceptions of law enforcement; complete and review SARA assessment.

---

<sup>1</sup> Applicants wishing to propose using a different assessment model must justify why the alternative model meets the applicant's needs and how it compares to the SARA model.

## **Research Support**

Focused deterrence, also known as “pulling levers,” is listed as a promising practice on crime solutions.gov and is supported by the US Department of Justice.

The effectiveness of procedural justice has been well documented, most notably with the work of Tom Tyler and Tracey Meares both of Yale Law School.

Problem-Oriented Policing SARA (Scanning, Analysis, Response and Assessment) Model. The Problem-Oriented Policing SARA Model is a highly regarded and utilized comprehensive tool for local law enforcement officials to identify their needs and focus their work.

## **Priorities**

This RFP incorporates many of the Authority’s Guiding Principles, including Legitimacy, Strengthening Communities, Prevention, Research Informed and Collaboration principles<sup>2</sup>. For example, the jurisdictions will be strengthening trust with the communities they serve (legitimacy); engaging community members in several ways (strengthening communities); identifying and focusing on the few individuals responsible for most violent crime and notifying these individuals of the consequences of future criminal activity (prevention); utilization of the SARA model ensures that crime data and community input (research informed); and finally, all of the grant activities require a high degree of intra and interagency partnership (collaboration).

It is also important to highlight the Byrne Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG) Law Enforcement Goal.<sup>3</sup> Funded with JAG dollars, this RFP demonstrates the Authority’s commitment to funding programs that support the development and implementation of policing strategies that are data-driven, evidence-informed or have promise to reduce serious crime, especially violent crime, and strengthen partnerships and the trust between citizens and the justice system.

## **Funding Prospectus**

The initial grant period will be the first of up to three funding periods for this initiative; however, renewal is contingent on satisfactory progress in the preceding performance period and continued funding appropriation.

## **Past Performance**

Not applicable

---

<sup>2</sup> The Authority’s Guiding Principles were approved by the Authority’s Strategic Opportunity Committee on March 29, 2016. They will be presented to the full Authority Board during the June 3, 2016 Quarterly meeting.

<sup>3</sup> The Authority’s Goals for Byrne JAG were approved by the Authority’s Strategic Opportunity Committee on March 29, 2016. They will be presented to the full Authority Board during the June 3, 2016 Quarterly meeting.

### **Budget**

Personnel and Fringe (project manager); travel ( required grantee meetings); supplies ( regular office supplies etc); contractual (researcher, project manager, social service coordinator); equipment (computer, printer)	Various amounts TBD.
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$636,668</b>

### **Designations:**

<b>Name of Applicant</b>	<b>Jurisdiction Served</b>	<b>FFY14 Amount</b>	<b>Match</b>
Springfield Police Department	City of Springfield	\$91,387	\$30,463
Rockford Metropolitan Agency for Planning	City of Rockford	\$155,894	\$51,982
City of Champaign	Cities of Champaign and Urbana	\$127,028	\$68,500
City of Peoria	City of Peoria	\$262,359	\$88,555
<b>Totals:</b>		<b>\$636,668</b>	<b>\$239,500</b>

### **For Internal Authority Use Only:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	September 2016	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	Twelve months
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY15	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$636,668
<b>Funding Source 2</b>	N/A	<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	N/A	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	See above	<b>Program Agency</b>	
<b>Program Title</b>	Illinois Partnerships to Reduce Violent Crime	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>		<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>		<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## BUDGET COMMITTEE GRANT RECOMMENDATION REPORT

**Program Name:** Medication-Assisted Treatment for Opioid Addiction - Notice of Funding Opportunity

**Funding Source:** FFY13 Justice Assistance Grant: \$557,786; 25% Matching Funds

### **Program Description**

At the January 19, 2016, meeting, the Budget Committee approved the use of FFY 2013-2016 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program local funding totaling \$136,789 to support a notice of funding opportunity for a jail- or detention center-based Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) program addressing opioid addiction. Supplemental funding is needed to build an effective research-based program.

### **Program Activities**

The Authority will release a notice of funding opportunity offering Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) and RSAT funds to support a three stage-intervention program, including jail-based substance abuse treatment, transitional case management services to link offenders with insurance coverage, MAT-certified medical care and post-release substance abuse treatment, and post-release supervision with MAT treatment and substance abuse services.

### **Goals**

The goal of the program is reduce recidivism and overdose among justice-involved opioid users through the provision of a supervised MAT program.

### **Priorities**

The program addresses the priority of Recidivism Reduction, to “support proven and innovative programs targeted to address recognized risk factors and augment protective factors to reduce recidivism among those already involved in the criminal justice system, those on community supervision, or those incarcerated, allowing them successful community reentry as law-abiding and contributing members of society.”

### **Funding Prospectus**

Contingent on performance, each selected grantee may be considered for two additional years of funding to be supported through FFY14 -15 JAG local set-aside funding.

### **Budget Detail**

Personnel, travel, equipment, supplies, contractual	\$557,786
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$557,786</b>

**For Internal Use:**

<b>Projected Start Date</b>	January 1, 2017	<b>Total months of funding including this designation</b>	12
<b>Funding Source 1</b>	JAG FFY13	<b>Funding Source 1 Amount</b>	\$557,786
<b>Funding Source 2</b>		<b>Funding Source 2 Amount</b>	
<b>Required Match % of Designation Total</b>	25%	<b>Recommended Maximum Designation Amount</b>	\$557,786
<b>Implementing Agency</b>	To be determined	<b>Program Agency</b>	To be determined
<b>Program Title</b>	Medication Assisted Treatment for Opioid Addiction	<b>Project Name (if applicable)</b>	To be determined
<b>Purpose Area (JAG only)</b>	Corrections and Community Corrections	<b>Formula Category (if applicable)</b>	
<b>JAG Priority Area</b>	Recidivism Reduction	<b>JAG Sub Priority</b>	

## **Metropolitan Enforcement Groups and Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces: Summary of Current Knowledge and Status Update of the Evaluation**

Authority research staff are currently engaged in an evaluation of the Illinois Metropolitan Enforcement Groups and Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces (drug task forces) funded by the Authority. The drug task forces were developed to more efficiently and effectively combat the distribution of controlled substances. The United States spends more than half of its federal drug control spending on domestic law enforcement, which includes drug task forces (Mazerolle, Soole, & Rombouts, 2007). The three primary goals of drug task forces are (1) arresting and prosecuting drug offenders, (2) identifying and responding to emerging drug problems, and (3) enhancing interagency cooperation (Georgia Criminal Justice Coordinating Council, 2014; Hollist et al., 2014). Drug task forces target mid-level drug wholesalers, “many of whom would otherwise fall through the cracks because of the difference between federal practices and the street-level focus of uniformed law enforcement” (Lombardo & Olson, 2009, p. 46).

The evaluation is intended to supplement findings from prior evaluations of drug task forces. Although an abundance of anecdotal evidence about the effectiveness of drug task forces exists, there is little empirical evidence on the success of such task forces. Researchers debate the most appropriate way to evaluate their effectiveness (Smith et al., 2000; Georgia Criminal Justice Coordinating Council, 2014; Hollist et al., 2014), and this debate is complicated by the difficulties differentiating between the impact of drug task forces and other anti-drug measures (Olson et al., 2002).

A common measure of drug task force success is the number and type of arrests made by the task force (Mazerolle et al., 2007). Drug task forces tend to have lower arrest rates than local police departments and target different crimes. Drug task forces tend to focus on violations of the Controlled Substances Act (which covers cocaine, heroin, and methamphetamine) while local police departments tend to focus on cannabis-related offenses (Adams, 2012; Olson et al., 2002; Mazerolle et al., 2007). Additionally, drug task forces focus on removing higher-level distributors, who are fewer in number, rather than large numbers of low-level offenders and users (Olson, 2004). Street-level enforcement rarely involves multi-agency cooperation (Mazerolle et al., 2007), a common feature of drug task forces.

### **Preliminary Findings: A Survey of Drug Task Forces on Drug Trends and Distribution**

In 2015, research staff conducted a survey of drug task forces to obtain perspectives on the extent and nature of the drug problem within each task force’s jurisdiction, gain knowledge of the task force’s response to illegal drug activity, and assess task force interactions with other law enforcement and drug treatment agencies. Analysis of those data indicate that while cannabis continues to be the most frequently encountered drug in the communities served by the drug task forces, heroin was identified as the most serious problem. All but two of the drug task forces indicated that the availability of heroin had increased in their communities over the last two years, and all but three indicated that heroin was the most problematic illicit drug in their communities. The final report should be published on the Authority website by September 2016.

## Current Study: Drug Task Force Process and Outcome Evaluation

In Spring 2016, Authority research staff began a comprehensive evaluation of the drug task forces funded by the Authority. The purpose of the evaluation is to learn more about how drug task forces operate and their associated public safety impact. The evaluation will answer the following research questions.

- What are the characteristics of each drug task force?
  - *Answered by focus groups, staff surveys policy board chairman survey*
- To what extent do outcomes differ by characteristics—drug issues, jurisdiction, resources, structure, etc.?
  - *Answered by arrest data, focus groups, staff surveys*
- To what extent do MEG/TF have different drug enforcement outcomes compared to local police (arrests, prosecutions, sentences)?
  - *Answered by arrest data*
- What are the arrest patterns of offenders who were the subjects of drug task force investigation before and after arrest?
  - *Answered by arrest data, Criminal History Record Information (CHRI) data*

To date, we have collected the following:

- Arrest data (2,536 arrests in 2013, 19 task forces represented)
- Focus groups (18 task forces represented)
- Policy board chairman online survey (14 task forces represented)
- Staff online survey (84 surveys, 17 task forces represented)

The next step in the analysis will be to pull criminal histories of the sample of drug task force arrestees. In addition, researchers will pull all drug-related arrests in the counties served by Authority-funded drug task forces to examine and learn more about the differences in the kinds of arrests generated by drug task force officers and non-drug task force officers. We expect to have these findings available by December 2016.

## References

- Adams, S. (2012). *Collaborating to fight drug crime: Profile of the DuPage County Metropolitan Enforcement Group*. Chicago, IL: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority.
- Hollist, D., Acton, D., Harris, C., Bunch, J., McKay, P., Burfeind, J., & Doyle, D. (2014). *An examination of economic analyses approaches for Montana's seven multi-jurisdictional drug task forces*. Missoula, MT: University of Montana-Missoula.

- Lombardo, R., & Olson, D.E. (2009). Organizational responses to drug enforcement by police departments in the U.S. *Justice Research and Policy*, 11, 45-75.
- Mazerolle, L., Soole, D., & Rombouts, S. (2007). Drug law enforcement: A review of the evaluation literature. *Police Quarterly*, 10(2), 115-153.
- Olson, D. E., Albertson, S., Brees, J., Cobb, A., Feliciano, L., Juergens, R., Ramker, G. F., & Bauer, R. (2002). *New approaches and techniques for examining and evaluating multi-jurisdictional drug task forces in Illinois*. Chicago, IL: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority.
- Olson, D. E. (2004). Specialized drug enforcement units: Strategies for local police departments. In Phillips, P.W. (Ed.), *Policing and Special Units*, (pp. 181–199). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Smith, B. W., Novak, K. J., Frank, J., & Travis III, L. F. (2000). Multi-jurisdictional drug task forces: An analysis of impacts. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 28, 543-556.