

Uniform Notice for Funding Opportunity
Community-Based Violence Intervention and Prevention Program
July 19, 2017

	Data Field	
1.	Awarding Agency Name:	Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
2.	Agency Contact:	Shai Hoffman, Program Administrator Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority 300 West Adams, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60606 shai.hoffman@illinois.gov (312) 814-0706
3.	Announcement Type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial announcement <input type="checkbox"/> Modification of a previous announcement
4.	Type of Assistance Instrument:	Grant
5.	Funding Opportunity Number:	
6.	Funding Opportunity Title:	Community-Based Violence Intervention and Prevention
7.	CSFA Number:	
8.	CSFA Popular Name:	CB-VIP
9.	CFDA Number(s):	N/A
10.	Anticipated Number of Awards:	Unknown
11.	Estimated Total Program Funding:	\$6,700,000 – \$7,200,000
12.	Award Range	\$100,000 - \$1,000,000
13.	Source of Funding:	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal or Federal pass-through <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State <input type="checkbox"/> Private / other funding Mark all that apply
14.	Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
15.	Indirect Costs Allowed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Restrictions on Indirect Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, provide the citation governing the restriction:
16.	Posted Date:	July 19, 2017

17.	Closing Date for Applications:	11:59 p.m., August 18 , 2017
18.	Technical Assistance Session:	<p>Session Offered: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Session Mandatory: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicants must view and certify that that they have watched the recorded webinar which will be available beginning at July 19, 2017.</p> <p>View the webinar at: https://gata.icjia.cloud/ </p>

A. Program Description

The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority (ICJIA) is a state agency dedicated to improving the administration of criminal justice. ICJIA brings together key leaders from the justice system and the public to identify critical issues facing the criminal justice system in Illinois, and to propose and evaluate policies, programs, and legislation that address those issues. The statutory responsibilities of ICJIA fit into four areas: grants administration; research and analysis; policy and planning; and information systems and technology. The FY18 Illinois State budget includes \$8 million for Community-Based Violence Prevention and Intervention grants and administration. ICJIA anticipates between \$6.7 million and 7.2 million – \$7,200,000 will be available through this funding opportunity, which is contingent upon an appropriation to ICJIA.

Awarded funds will have a projected performance period of October 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018. Contingent on future state fiscal year appropriations and satisfactory performance, ICJIA staff may recommend to its Board a maximum of an additional two 12-month grants for each project. Funding amounts in future years may be reduced depending on appropriation.

Authorizing Statutes

Section 7(k) of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act (20 ILCS 3930/7(k)) establishes the Authority as the agency "to apply for, receive, establish priorities for, allocate, disburse and spend grants of funds that are made available...from the United States pursuant to the federal Crime Control Act of 1973, as amended, and similar federal legislation, and to enter into agreements with the United States government to further the purposes of this Act, or as may be required as a condition of obtaining federal funds."

The agency must comply with all applicable provisions of state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to nondiscrimination, sexual harassment and equal employment opportunity, including, but not limited to: The Illinois Human Rights Act (775 ILCS 5/1-101 et seq.), Public Works Employment Discrimination Act (775 ILCS 10/1 et seq), United States Civil Rights Act of 1964 (as amended) (42 USC 2000a-and 2000H-6), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 USC 794), Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 USC 12101 et seq.), and Age Discrimination Act (42 USC 6101 et seq.).

Pursuant to Public Act 100-0021 (Article 20, Section 70) The amount of \$8,000,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is to be appropriated from the General Revenue Fund to ICJIA for administrative costs, awards and grants for Community-Based Violence Prevention Programs.

Background

Community violence includes a wide range of crimes, such as murder, gun violence, interpersonal and domestic violence, sexual violence, robbery, and aggravated or simple assault and battery. While all violence occurring in communities is cause for concern and important to recognize and address, this Notice of Funding Opportunity will support programs that address a narrower set of offenses, sometimes referred to as street-level violent crimes. These crimes include murders and aggravated assaults and non-domestic batteries that occur on the public way and often involve firearms. Street-level violent crime is important to address because experiencing or witnessing this type of violence can increase fear, distrust among community members, and feelings that communities are unsafe. Exposure to violent crime can also result in PTSD and other short- and long-term negative outcomes for individuals and communities.

A large body of research is available on street-level violence and focusing specifically on gang-involved homicides. These studies, conducted in multiple cities in various sizes and regions of the United States, have found that a significant portion of gun violence occurs over disputes and rivalries within and between gangs (Decker and Curry, 2002). Several theoretical explanations have been offered for why gangs, their members, and gang associates are involved in a disproportionate amount of gun violence, particularly retaliatory violence, but two theories in particular have been the focus of much of the literature: community-level factors and the role of collective behavior. Theories that focus on community-level factors, such as poverty, mobility, and neighborhood cohesion suggest that violence is a by-product of environmental conditions in which individuals live.

Research has consistently demonstrated that murders and shootings are not evenly dispersed; they are heavily concentrated in particular places. This is true in Illinois. Analyses of murder and aggravated assault and battery data reported by police agencies and sheriffs¹ indicate that eight municipalities in Illinois accounted for approximately 64 percent² of the murders and aggravated assaults and batteries in Illinois from 2013 to 2015. These municipalities include Aurora, Champaign, Chicago, East St. Louis, Joliet, Peoria, Rockford, and Springfield. The top six of these municipalities reported numbers notably

¹ Although no single data source on gun-related street violence exists, one source of data on murders and aggravated assaults and batteries is the Illinois Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program maintained by the Illinois State Police. These data reflect the aggregate number of murders and aggravated assaults and batteries reported to police each year. The data cannot be broken out by weapon type or motive or in a manner that would allow more refined analyses of micro-places within jurisdictions. However, the data are useful in that they provide a glimpse into the extent of violent crime occurring in Illinois communities.

² Percentage was based on a weighted three year average of murders and aggravated assaults and batteries reported in 2013, 2014, and 2015.

above the state's average,³ even after controlling for population size.⁴ Chicago alone accounted for about 43 percent⁵ of the murders and aggravated assaults and batteries in Illinois during that period.

Locations that experience high levels of gun violence also often experience high concentrations of other social inequalities, including poverty and low social capital. Social capital is important to neighborhood cohesion as it represents the networks individuals depend on to help them meet basic needs, such as employment, and model civil engagement, and where norms of reciprocity are communicated. In other words, social capital is an important producer of community-level informal social control and it is associated with youth joining gangs (Decker and Van Winkle, 1996).

The theory of collective behavior posits violence is the result of threats or perceived threats. Threats of violence increase membership, solidifies group belonging, and escalates the incidence of violence as members respond and retaliate. Individuals fearing violence by others join gangs for protection from external threats. Threats, whether real or perceived, bond group members together while also intensifying the felt need to retaliate against threats of violence, even those that are perceived (Decker and Curry, 2002). This further normalizes violence among group members as an appropriate response to threats.

These theories collectively suggest that efforts to address community street-level violence consider strengthening the social capital of individuals living in disadvantaged communities while also reducing threats or perceived threats. This is further supported by research that indicates effective models to addressing street-violence, and in particular gang-related street violence are comprehensive and include suppression, prevention, and intervention activities (OJJDP, 2002).

Over the last 40 years, great advancements have been made in the field of violence prevention. Violence is now seen as a learned behavior that can be addressed through focused attention on reducing risk factors and increasing protective factors. Risk factors, such as exposure to violence, create a vulnerability to violence while protective factors, such as positive connections to family and friends, can foster a resilience to violence. Both risk and protective factors exist within the individual, peer, family, community, and societal domains.⁶ See *Appendix I: Risk and Protective Factors* for a comprehensive list of both.

³ Notable was defined as having weighted averages that were one standard deviation above the average.

⁴ The following cities had rates that were one standard deviation higher than the state average rate: Chicago, Aurora, Rockford, Springfield, East St. Louis, and Champaign. Calculations based on a three year weighted average.

⁵ Percentage was based on a weighted three year average of murders and aggravated assaults and batteries reported in 2013, 2014, and 2015.

⁶ <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/youthviolence/riskprotectivefactors.html>

Violence Prevention and Intervention

The Centers for Disease Control cites the following evidence-based youth violence prevention and intervention strategies:

- Build children's and adolescents' skills and competencies to choose nonviolent, safe behaviors.
- Foster safe, stable, nurturing relationships between young people and their parents and caregivers.
- Build and maintain positive relationships between young people and caring adults in their community.
- Develop and implement school-wide activities and policies to foster social connectedness and positive environment.
- Improve and sustain a safe physical environment in communities and create spaces to strengthen social relationships.
- Build viable and stable communities by promoting economic opportunities and growth
- Facilitate the social cohesion and collective efficacy of the community.
- Change societal norms about the acceptability of violence and willingness to intervene
- Change the social and structural conditions that affect youth violence and lead to healthy inequity (p. 18-20).⁷

For specific evidence-based programs, see Office of Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention's Model Programs Guide at <https://www.ojjdp.gov/mpg> or Blueprints for Health Youth Development at <http://www.blueprintsprograms.com>.

Program Design

For the purposes of this solicitation, these strategies will also be applied to young adults. Programs shown to be effective reflect a range of efforts. Proposed program must reflect the evidence-based practices outlined above and include the program design outlined below:

Target Population

Youth and young adults, including, but not limited to, those who are identified as being at heightened risk or those who engage in high risk behavior or violence.

⁷ <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/youthviolence/pdf/opportunities-for-action.pdf>

Elements

ICJIA is interested in funding community-based violence prevention initiatives. Completed applications must be reflective of the following mandatory elements:

- Convene or expand an existing community coalition to engage service providers, governmental agencies (local and/or statewide agencies), law enforcement, faith-based, and general community members. The purpose of the coalition is to ensure that service providers and all potential participants are aware of violence prevention resources available in community; develop collaborative partnerships to ensure that clients' immediate needs are met; and provide pro-social activities for the community.
 - Grantee may assign a staff person to facilitate coalition meetings and activities. Meetings and/or activities should occur at least quarterly.
 - If more than one applicant is funded in a community, the funded agencies should collaborate to create or expand an existing coalition.
 - The coalition should organize at least one community event during the grant period.
- Educate public about program services through wide distribution and various types of program materials, public presentations and awareness events.
- Implementation of at least one of the following direct service categories (additional points will be given to applications that reflect more than one category):
 - **Street Intervention/Interruption-Active Outreach and Engagement** – Programs target at-risk youth and young adults to provide crisis intervention and de-escalation of high stress situations.
 - Examples of promising programs include Richmond Comprehensive Homicide Initiative, CureViolence, Operation Ceasefire, and Safe Streets.
 - **Counseling and Therapy**-Developmentally and culturally appropriate therapeutic services provided by a mental health professional. Effective programs incorporate cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and/or include contingency management approaches. These programs are often individual or family-based, such as multisystemic therapy, functional family therapy, multidimensional family therapy, aggression replacement training, assisted outpatient treatment, the Coping Power Program, CBT for Trauma in Schools, and the Good Behavior Game.
 - **Case Management**-Supporting youth and their families in identifying and accessing resources. Individuals at risk for involvement in street-level violence can be difficult to engage in services. Case management approaches that actively engage youth and families (i.e., active reaching out, meeting youth/families in the home, community engagement) are more effective at long-term client retention and developing trust than more passive case

management approaches (i.e., requiring youth/families to meet in office locations).⁸

- **Youth Development**-Engaging young people to develop their emotional, physical, social, and intellectual selves. Program provides opportunities for youth to practice conflict resolution and prosocial life skills. Promising programs include the Life Skills Training.
- **Staffing Requirements**-Any staff or volunteers that work directly with participants must be able to document they have received trauma-informed training. Staff providing counseling or therapy must be a licensed counselor, licensed social worker, or the equivalent.
- Applicant must budget for one ICJIA-coordinated, full-day meeting in Chicago.
- Applicant must comply with all prescribed assessment tools and reporting requirements.

Evidence-Based Programs or Practices

Trauma-Informed Practices

Applicants are strongly urged to increase their knowledge of trauma-informed practices consider and, where appropriate, incorporate trauma-informed practices into proposed services. See *Appendix II: Trauma Informed Services* for an overview of trauma-informed services. Becoming trauma-informed is a continual process of organizational assessment and change. Applicants should describe their current practices and identify how trauma-informed practices will be integrated into their proposed services. ICJIA reserves the right to survey grantees to assess their knowledge of trauma-informed practices and implementation of these practices, which may serve as a grant monitoring function. Through conducting periodic assessments, agencies and ICJIA can identify areas of strength and growth for adopting a trauma-informed approach to services that help to prevent the re-traumatization of victims.

Goals, Objectives, and Performance Metrics

The following table depicts objectives linked to performance indicators that show progress toward the proposed program goal. Complete the table by entering ambitious yet realistic numbers for each objective based on your proposed program. Applicants may list additional support service objectives for the program.

Selected programs will be required to submit quarterly reports on the following objectives and must identify the number of clients they aim to serve during the performance period. Objectives should estimate the number of clients that will receive each of the listed services in order to produce meaningful, tangible changes in clients' lives.

⁸ <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/ojdp/231200.pdf>

Objective	Performance Measure
Collaborative Partners	
Enlist (#) collaborative partners by (date).	Number of collaborative partners currently active (data report will list names, their roles and attendance at meetings)
Hold (#) meeting(s) with collaborative partners	Number of meetings held (data report will list names, their roles and attendance at meetings)
Maintain an active coalition by ensuring that (%) of coalition members attend at least 75% of the meetings	Percent of coalition members who attend at least 75% percent of meetings;
Staff Training	
Hire and train (#) staff in trauma informed care by (date).	Number of staff hired and trained by the target date (list staff position, type of training and dates)
Provide additional staff training by (date).	Number of staff trained by ____2017. (list staff position, type of training and dates)
Public Awareness	
Engage in (#) public awareness activities.	Number of public awareness activities
Reach (#) persons through public awareness activities	Number of persons served
(#) referrals received by our agency (from an external source)	Number of referrals received
Direct Services - Unduplicated	
Objective	Performance Measure
Reach (#) of unduplicated persons through one or more direct service models	# Persons reached
Direct Service Model*	
Objective	Performance Measure
Enter the process and outcome objectives outlined in the proposed evidence informed model	Enter the process and outcome performance measures outlined in the proposed evidence informed model

***For each direct service model implemented, the applicant will be required to add additional objectives and performance measures that are outlined in the evidence-informed model that is chosen.**

B. Funding Information

State fiscal year 2018 Community-Based Violence Prevention funds awarded by ICJIA will be used to support programs accepted through this funding opportunity.

Awards resulting from this opportunity are anticipated to have a projected period of performance of October 1, 2017, through June 30, 2018. Contingent on future state fiscal year appropriations and satisfactory performance, ICJIA staff may recommend to its Board a maximum of an additional two 12-month grants for each project. Applicants may request a minimum of \$100,000 and a maximum of \$1 million in funding to support programming for an initial 10-month period. The term of the grant agreement will commence upon its effective date. Based on program performance and fund availability, ICJIA may recommend allocation of funding to support an additional 12 months. See *Section D* of this Notice of Funding Opportunity for a list of allowable and unallowable costs.

Agreements that result from this funding opportunity are contingent upon and subject to the availability of funds. ICJIA, at its sole option, may terminate or suspend this agreement, in whole or in part, without penalty or further payment being required, if (1) the Illinois General Assembly or the federal funding source fails to make an appropriation sufficient to pay such obligation, or if funds needed are insufficient for any reason (30 ILCS 500/20-60), (2) the Governor decreases ICJIA's funding by reserving some or all of ICJIA appropriation(s) pursuant to power delegated to the Governor by the Illinois General Assembly, or (3) ICJIA determines, in its sole discretion or as directed by the Office of the Governor, that a reduction is necessary or advisable based upon actual or projected budgetary considerations. The implementing entity will be notified in writing of the failure of appropriation or of a reduction or decrease.

Applicants will be required to submit an Implementation Schedule that describes how the program activities will be carried out. The Implementation Schedule should include necessary detail to enable ICJIA to assess grant activity relative to planned project performance.

C. Eligibility Information

Agencies must be pre-qualified through the Grant Accountability and Transparency Act (GATA) Grantee Portal, www.grants.illinois.gov, to become eligible to apply for an award. During pre-qualification, Dun and Bradstreet verifications are performed, including a check of Debarred and Suspended status and good standing with the Secretary of State. The pre-qualification process also includes a financial and administrative risk assessment utilizing an Internal Controls Questionnaire (ICQ).

Applicants must have completed the GATA pre-qualification process and received approval of their ICQ from a State cognizant agency by the date of application. Applications from agencies that have not received ICQ approval will not be reviewed.

1. *Eligible Applicants*

This solicitation is open to public and not-for-profit entities providing community-based violence prevention and intervention services in the State of Illinois.

This solicitation is not open to Cure Violence/CeaseFire (University of Illinois at Chicago) and its sub-grantees for similar activities for the same population.

2. *Cost Sharing or Matching*

Not Applicable.

3. *Indirect Cost Rate*

In order to charge indirect costs to a grant, the applicant must have an annually negotiated indirect cost rate agreement (NICRA). The three types of NICRAs include:

- a) Federally Negotiated Rate: Applicant organizations that receive direct federal funding may have an indirect cost rate that was negotiated with the Federal Cognizant Agency. Illinois will accept the federally negotiated rate. The organization must provide a copy of the federally NICRA.
- b) State Negotiated Rate: The organization must negotiate an indirect cost rate with the State of Illinois if they do not have Federally Negotiated Rate or elect to use the De Minimis Rate. The indirect cost rate proposal must be submitted to the State of Illinois within 90 days of the notice of award.
- c) De Minimis Rate: An organization that has never received a NICRA from either the Federal government or the State of Illinois may elect a de minimis rate of 10 percent of modified total direct cost (MTDC). Once established, the de minimis rate may be used indefinitely. The State of Illinois must verify the calculation of the MTDC annually in order to accept the de minimis rate.

D. Application and Submission Information

1. *Obtaining Application Package and Application Deadline*

Applications may be obtained at <https://gata.icjia.cloud/>.

All required application materials must be emailed to CJA.StateViolencePrevention@Illinois.gov by **11:59 p.m. August 18, 2017**, to be considered for funding. Proposals will not be accepted by mail, fax or in-person. Incomplete applications will not be reviewed. Late submissions will not be reviewed.

Paper copies of the application materials may be requested by calling Shai Hoffman at (312) 814-0706, but applications may only be submitted via email.

Agencies are encouraged to submit their applications 72 hours in advance of the deadline to avoid unforeseen technical difficulties. Technical difficulties should be reported immediately to ICJIA at CJA.StateViolencePrevention@Illinois.gov

2. Notice of Intent and Required Application Submission

a. Notice of Intent

Agencies interested in submitting an application are required to complete an online Notice of Intent form by **11:59 p.m., August 8, 2017**. Submission of a Notice of Intent is nonbinding and will be used for the provision of technical assistance and internal planning purposes only. Agencies must have completed the GATA pre-qualification process and received ICQ approval from a State cognizant agency by the date of application. Upon receipt of a Notice of Intent, ICJIA will offer technical assistance to agencies which have not yet demonstrated GATA compliance. Failure to submit a Notice of Intent by the deadline above may result in an agency not receiving technical assistance from ICJIA prior to the application deadline and may result in an agency not being GATA compliant and therefore ineligible for an award upon application submission. ICJIA technical assistance is not a guarantee of GATA compliance or funding eligibility.

The online Notice of Intent is available at: <https://gata.icjia.cloud/>

b. Application Submission

The application must be emailed to CJA.StateViolencePrevention@illinois.gov. Your agency's name should appear in the Subject line of the email. Each document attached to the email must be submitted in the manner and method described below. Applications will be rejected if any documents are missing. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that documents adhere to the instructions provided.

Document	Document Name	PDF	Word	Excel
The following materials MUST be submitted by all applicants. The applicant must submit the documents based on the instructions provided below.				
Uniform Application for State Grant Assistance – This form must be completed, signed, and scanned.	“Agency Name – Application”	X		
Program Narrative – This document must meet the requirements outlined in Section A. The narrative must be provided on this document. Do not change the format of this document.	“Agency Name – Program Narrative”		X	
Budget/Budget Narrative	“Agency Name – Budget”			X
United States Internal Revenue Service 501(c)(3) determination letter for nonprofit organizations	“Agency Name – IRS Letter”	X		

3. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM) registration

Each applicant is required to:

- (i) Be registered in SAM prior to application submission. Click here for SAM registration:
<https://governmentcontractregistration.com/sam-registration.asp>
- (ii) Provide a valid DUNS number.
- (iii) Maintain an active SAM registration throughout the application and grant period. The Authority may not make a federal pass-through or state award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the Authority is ready to make a federal pass-through or state award, the Authority may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive an award and may use that determination as a basis for making a federal pass-through or state award to another applicant.

4. Mandatory Applicant Informational Presentation

Applicants must view a mandatory pre-recorded informational presentation on the ICJIA website at <https://gata.icjia.cloud/>. The recording will be available for viewing beginning on

Wednesday, July 19, 2017. The applicant must certify that they viewed the recording. Information provided during this recording will be unofficial and not binding on the state.

Completed application materials must be emailed to CJA.StateViolencePrevention@Illinois.gov by **11:59 p.m., August 18, 2017**, to be considered for funding. Proposals will not be accepted by mail, fax, or in-person. Incomplete applications will not be reviewed. Late submissions will not be reviewed.

Agencies are encouraged to submit their applications 72 hours in advance of the deadline to avoid unforeseen technical difficulties. Technical difficulties should be reported immediately to ICJIA at CJA.StateViolencePrevention@Illinois.gov.

4. Intergovernmental Review

Not applicable.

5. Funding Descriptions

To support applicants in requesting all necessary and allowable program costs, a non-exhaustive list of suggested costs is provided below:

- a. Staffing costs, staff supervision and coordination of approved program activities.
- b. Staff coordination and participation on the community coalition.
- c. Development and production of program materials, public presentations and awareness events to share program services
- d. Program-specific client engagement activities such as safe recreational time, family school and/or community based activities and events that foster social connectedness and positive environment
- e. Local transportation costs for service providers and for clients to receive services. However, direct payments of funds to clients for transportation costs are not allowed.
- f. Staff training costs of service providers which includes required training under this funding opportunity as well as additional training that would assist staff in serving clients.
- g. Administrative costs including reasonable and necessary technology costs for staff.
- h. Child care to enable a client to attend grant-funded services. Assistance with child care must meet the following requirements:

Except as mentioned in 28 CFR 94.119(a)(6), "child care" means the temporary supervision of minors under the care of the client, provided by the service provider, during the period of time the client is receiving a grant-funded allowable service from the service provider. Child care services must be provided on location at the service provider and meet any additional federal, state and ICJIA requirements.

Direct payments of funds to clients for child care costs are not allowed.

Federal guidelines will determine grant allowability. The Federal Financial Guide which details allowable and unallowable costs is available at:

<http://ojp.gov/financialguide/index.htm>.

In addition, costs may be determined to be unallowable even if they are not expressly prohibited in the Federal Financial Guide.

Supplanting

If funds will be used for the expansion of an already implemented program, applicants must explain how proposed activities will supplement—not supplant—current program activities and staff positions. Public agencies may not deliberately reduce local, federal or other state funds because of the existence of these Community Based Violence Prevention funds. A written certification may be requested by ICJIA stating that these funds will not be used to supplant other state, local, or federal funds.

Prohibited Uses

Land acquisition and construction are prohibited uses of these funds.

Pre-award costs

No costs incurred before the start date of the interagency agreement may be charged to a grant award received as part of this funding opportunity.

Pre-approvals

In compliance with federal guidance, ICJIA:

- (1) Encourages minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs.
- (2) Requires prior written approval of conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients. These prior approvals may affect project timelines.
- (3) Sets cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

E. Application Review Information

1. Criteria.

Application selection will be made using the following criteria, which provides the point

breakdown for each major section of the proposal narrative and budget documents.

Description of Problem (10 points). Section demonstrates a clear understanding of the need in service area. The community characteristics chart is complete. The risk and protective factors relate to the proposed program. There are at least two examples of the community's strengths and challenges and each example is tied to the problem.

Agency Capacity and Experience (15 points). Application provides a clear history of the applicant's history of providing violence prevention and intervention services, including quantitative and qualitative descriptions. The applicant demonstrates an ability to adjust service provision based on past lessons learned. The applicant describes their fiscal experience including other funding sources and both quantitative and qualitative descriptions.

Proposed Program (40 points). The response clearly describes all proposed program elements. (1) Applicant adequately demonstrates either a strong background in leading community coalitions, or demonstrates that they are able to build capacity to lead a coalition. The response requires the applicant to demonstrate a strong understanding of successful coalition building. The applicant sufficiently describes the coalition's actual or anticipated makeup and activities, and outlines the benefits of the collaboration to the proposed service model(s). (2) Applicant sufficiently describes anticipated public awareness activities that will promote the service being described. (3) Applicant describes the anticipated number of recipients of the direct service(s) being proposed. The response clearly addresses all aspects of the Direct Services Program Design outlined in the Notice of Funding Opportunity. The applicant must demonstrate a strong understanding of each service being proposed. The applicant must either adequately demonstrate the agency's experience providing the service, or demonstrate that they are able to build capacity to provide the service. The applicant must describe the proposed target population and describe the various ways that clients will be referred for the service(s) being offered. The applicant must provide an adequate description of the agency's client intake process, and at a minimum must include intake questions and criteria for acceptance. In addition, the applicant must provide at least one example of potential client challenges (for a total of three challenges) at the individual, family, and community level and describe how the program will address those challenges. Last, any applicant that will be applying to ICJIA for *Services To Victims of Community Violence* that was released on June 26, 2017, must demonstrate that services being provided under the *Services To Victims of Community Violence* will not be duplicative of services being proposed here under this *Community-Based Violence Intervention and Prevention* Notice of Funding Opportunity.

Staffing (15 points). This section includes a clear staffing plan that matches the

program design and includes at minimum position titles, reporting structure, roles and responsibilities, and reporting and supervision structure. The response describes how cases are assigned to staff and supervised within the agency. The applicant demonstrates that staff receive trauma skills training and ongoing consultation to enhance the trauma-informed response to clients.

Implementation Schedule (5 points). Applicant must complete an implementation schedule that is reflective of the major steps necessary to ensure successful program implementation.

Goals/Objectives/Performance Indicators (10 points). Applicants clearly document all process and outcome objectives and indicate a measurable indicator of success for each objective. These measurables should include ambitious yet realistic numbers for each objective based on the proposed program. Additional objectives and measurable performance indicators for each of the selected evidence informed model(s) must be added into the appropriate table.

Adequacy of Cost Estimates (10 points total). The proposed budget will be reviewed to ensure costs are adequately aligned with the program narrative. In addition, the budget table and budget narrative must demonstrate that items and amounts are allowable, reasonable, and necessary for the program.

2. Review and Selection Process

Proposals will be reviewed by a panel of ICJIA staff, as well as key stakeholders with expertise in violence intervention and prevention. Proposals will be reviewed by focus area within assigned geographic regions. These geographic regions include:

- Northern region.
- Cook County region
- Collar Counties region: DuPage, Kane, Lake, Will
- Central region.
- Southern region.
- Multi-region: For applicants whose proposed service area includes more than one of the regions listed above.

See the state map in *Appendix III*.

Agencies may submit one application per region. Agencies that serve multiple regions may apply under the Multi-Region category or under separate region categories.

Proposal selection will be made using the previously described scoring criteria. If possible, ICJIA will fund the highest scoring applicant in each geographic region before funding successive applicants.

After applicants are selected from each region, additional applicants will be selected based on the highest scoring applicant that is proposing service in each of the high risk areas. These areas are highlighted in Appendix IV.

After applicants are selected from each region and each high risk area, applicants will be selected based on overall score, with secondary consideration given to geographic diversity and proposed program design. Applicants with equivalent scores will be selected based on scores in the Proposed Program category.

ICJIA reserves the right to reject any or all incomplete proposals, proposals including unallowable activities, proposals that fail to meet eligibility or program requirements, or proposals that are otherwise deemed to be unsatisfactory. ICJIA also reserves the right to invite one or more applicants to provide necessary clarifications prior to scoring, and to invite successful applicants to submit amended proposals and modify budgets that include unallowable or unreasonable costs.

Review team recommendations will be forwarded to ICJIA's Budget Committee for preliminary approval and applicants will be notified of the Committee's decision. A panel of ICJIA staff will conduct a final review of applications for cost allowability. Successful applicants whose applications contained unallowable costs will have their award reduced by the total amount of all unallowable or unreasonable costs. Upon acceptance of the grant award, announcement of the grant award shall be published by the awarding agency to <https://www.illinois.gov/sites/GATA/Pages/default.aspx>.

3. Appeals Process

Unsuccessful applicants may request a formal appeal. Only the evaluation process is subject to appeal. Evaluation scores and funding determinations may not be contested and will not be considered by the ICJIA's Appeals Review Officer. The appeal must be in writing and submitted within 14 calendar days after either the date the grant award notice is published or receipt of a Funding Opportunity Declination Letter from ICJIA, whichever comes first. The written appeal must include at a minimum the following:

- a. Statement indicating a request for a formal appeal.
- b. The name and address of the appealing party.
- c. Identification of the grant program.
- d. A statement of reason for the appeal.

Please send your appeal to:

Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
Attn: Appeals Review Officer
300 W. Adams Street, Suite 200
Chicago, IL 60606

Once an appeal is received, ICJIA will acknowledge receipt of an appeal within 14 calendar days from the date the appeal was received. ICJIA will respond to the appeal in writing, within 60 days or supply a written explanation as to why additional time is required. The appealing party must supply any additional information requested by ICJIA within the time period set in the request. ICJIA will resolve the appeal by means of written determination. The determination will include:

- a. Review of the appeal.
- b. Appeal determination.
- c. Rationale for the determination.
- d. Standard description of the appeal review process and criteria.

Debriefings

Unsuccessful applicants also may request a debriefing, which will provide feedback that can assist applicants in developing improved applications for future funding. Debriefings will take the form of advice to applicants on the strengths and weaknesses of their applications in terms of the evaluation and review criteria. Debriefings are not a part of the Appeal Process.

Requests for debriefings must be made in writing and submitted within seven calendar days after receipt of notice. Debriefing requests will not be granted if there is an active appeal, administrative action, or court proceeding. The written debriefing request shall include at a minimum the following:

- The name and address of the requesting party.
- Identification of grant program.
- Reasons for the debrief request.

Please send requests to:

Shai Hoffman, Program Administrator
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

300 W. Adams Street, Suite 200
Chicago, Illinois 60606

4. Anticipated Announcement and State Award Dates.

<u>Milestones</u>	<u>Target Date</u>
Release of RFP and open application	Wednesday, July 19, 2017
Posting of pre-application TA session	Wednesday, July 19, 2017
Notice of Intent due	August 8, 2017
Last date for submission of questions	August 15, 2017
Application Closes	August 18, 2017
Authority Budget Committee Meeting	September 28, 2017
Start Program Performance Period	October 1, 2017

F. Award Administration Information

- 1. State Award Notices.*** ICJIA will send a Notice of State Award to grantees along with the grant agreement once the ICJIA Budget Committee reviews and approves designations on or about September 28, 2017. No costs incurred before the effective date of the agreement may be charged to the grant.
- 2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements.*** In addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, the grantees selected for funding must comply with grant terms and conditions, and other legal requirements, including, but not limited to, the Office of Management and Budget Grants Accountability and Transparency Act and other federal regulations which will be included in the award, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award. Additional programmatic and administrative special conditions may be required.
- 3. Reporting.*** Grantees must submit quarterly financial and progress reports and final financial and progress reports. Mandatory fiscal and progress reports will be distributed to each grantee for submission. Failure to comply with mandatory reporting requirements will cause immediate suspension of funding of this grant, any other grant that applicant has with ICJIA, and possible termination of the grant. If applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements must be submitted. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

G. State Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For questions and technical assistance regarding submission of an application, contact:

Shai Hoffman

CJA.StateViolencePrevention@Illinois.gov

The deadline to submit questions is 11:59 p.m., August 15, 2017.

H. Other Information

Neither the State of Illinois nor ICJIA are obligated to make any award as a result of this announcement. ICJIA's Executive Director has sole authority to bind the state government to the expenditure of funds through the execution of interagency grant agreements.

Risk and Protective Factors for Violence

Risk Factors

There is considerable research that examines the factors that place individuals at risk of violence and the protective factors that counter those risks. It is known that these factors work together on a continuum beginning before birth and extend into adulthood.

Risk factors increase the likelihood that an individual will become a perpetrator or a victim of violence. As an individual is exposed to more risk factors, the greater that person's risk is of becoming violent. Additionally, the age at which an individual is exposed to certain risk factors can affect the seriousness of the impact on his/her healthy development: For example, a young child at a critical developmental stage may be more severely impacted by witnessing the abuse of a caretaker than an adult who may be more resilient. Yet it must be cautioned that there is no one path that leads a child or adult to exhibit aggressive behavior, nor is there one definitive way to prevent it.

Protective factors work to protect individuals against these risk factors by either reducing the risk itself or by providing the individual with alternative responses. By itself, each protective factor may have a small impact on preventing risks, but the impact is compounded if multiple risk factors are identified and prevented. It is therefore important to develop comprehensive violence prevention programs that look at preventing multiple risk factors and also work to enhance protective factors.

Risk factors related to violent behavior may be divided into four categories: (1) biological risk factors; (2) personal or individual risk factors; (3) family risk factors; and (4) community risk factors. Each of these categories is presented in more detail in the table on the next page.

Protective Factors

Because violence is largely a learned behavior, it can be prevented. Research demonstrates that there are many protective factors that work in unison to safeguard individuals against risk factors either by reducing the risk(s) or by providing the individual with alternative responses. Each protective factor by itself may have only a small impact on reducing the risk of violence. However, if multiple risk factors are addressed at the same time, protective factors are enhanced, and the impact is compounded. In a study of high-risk youth (defined as those with five or more of the risk factors detailed in the report in their environment), 80 percent of youth who had fewer than six protective factors in their environment reported involvement in serious delinquency as compared with only 25 percent of those who had nine or more protective factors present.

Table two lists protective factors that have been demonstrated to reduce the risk(s) of violence and/or to provide individuals with alternative, non-violent responses to problems. Protective factors are categorized as being present in the individual, family, and community. As with risk factors, the protective factor categories correspond with the environment in which the protective factors exist and are associated with many forms of violence.

Risk Factors for Violence

Biological Risk Factors	Individual/Peer Risk Factors	Family Risk Factors	Community Risk Factors
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Prenatal exposure to drugs and/or alcohol ✓ Prenatal/perinatal trauma ✓ Head injury or head trauma ✓ Physiological changes in children's brains as a result of repeated exposure to violence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Early aggressive behavior ✓ Poor peer interaction skills ✓ Low academic achievement, including poor reading skills or a weak commitment to education ✓ Antisocial behavior, lack of willingness to comply with adult direction, rebelliousness ✓ Hyperactivity or attention-deficit disorder ✓ Involvement with a delinquent peer group ✓ Abuse of, or threatening to abuse, animals ✓ Acquisition of attitudes, beliefs and emotional responses which support or tolerate the use of violence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Weak family bonds ✓ Exposure to or victim of violence in the home ✓ Poor parental supervision, harsh discipline ✓ Frequent conflict within the family ✓ Adult family members who were abused as children or have histories of violence ✓ Families experiencing high levels of stress ✓ Rigid gender role stereotyping within the family 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Presence of gangs and drug dealing ✓ Availability of drugs ✓ Lack of effective social and cultural organizations ✓ High levels of community disorganization ✓ High levels of transience or mobility ✓ High levels of unemployment and lack of economic opportunities ✓ High levels of poverty ✓ Accessibility of firearms and other weapons ✓ Community norms which favor violence, drug use, and/or use of firearms ✓ Rigid gender stereotyping and societal attitudes that link masculinity with aggression ✓ Frequent exposure to media portrayals of violence

Protective Factors for Violence

Individual Protective Factors	Family Protective Factors	School/Community Protective Factors
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Individual exhibits an even, resilient temperament; a positive social orientation or mood; and/or the ability to evoke positive responses in others ✓ Development of effective negotiating, conflict resolution and anger management skills ✓ Ability to think clearly about problems including generating alternative solutions and recognizing the consequences of actions ✓ Capacity for empathy and respect for all people and their values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Healthy parent-child bonding, an investment in the future, and an understanding of right versus wrong ✓ Family life that provides high levels of love and support ✓ Parents and children who communicate positively, and children who are willing to seek advice and counsel from parent(s) ✓ Positive, sustained attachments with at least one adult family member, teacher or other adult ✓ Schools, families and peer groups that teach children healthy beliefs and set clear standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Attachment or connection to the community, or a sense of belonging ✓ Caring neighbors ✓ Positive, sustained attachments with at least one adult family member, teacher or other adult ✓ Schools that provide a caring, encouraging environment ✓ Parent(s) that are involved in children's success at school ✓ Schools, families and peer groups that teach children healthy beliefs and set clear standards

APPENDIX II

TRAUMA AND TRAUMA-INFORMED CARE

Approximately 60 percent of men and 51 percent of women living in the United States have experienced a traumatic event in their lifetime.ⁱ While much debate exists about what qualifies as a traumatic event, at minimum, traumatic events are those that cause significant distress or harm, whether physical, emotional, or psychological, for individuals.ⁱⁱ These experiences can have a severe impact on well-being by impairing people's daily functioning and emotional health, contributing to higher rates of hospitalization, suicide attempts, substance abuse,ⁱⁱⁱ and emotional responses, such as anger.^{iv}

Recent work has focused on a model of trauma-informed care and practices. A trauma-informed approach acknowledges the radiating impact of trauma, recognizes that people's actions and symptoms may be a result of traumatic experiences, and creates policies that are sensitive to such actions and symptoms.

Key components of trauma-informed care are:

1. Recognizing the impact of trauma on multiple areas of life and different paths to recovery.
2. Being aware of the signs and symptoms of trauma.
3. Structuring policies and practices that account for and are sensitive to people's potential trauma histories.
4. Seeking to prevent re-traumatization.^v

Key principles of trauma-informed care further build on the key components by emphasizing principles that create a trauma-informed setting. They include:

- Safety
- Trustworthiness and Transparency
- Peer support
- Collaboration and mutuality
- Empowerment, voice and choice
- Cultural, Historical, and Gender Issues^{vi}

Taken together, these practices work to honor a survivor's history of trauma and the different pathways that survivors may find toward healing and recovery.

ⁱ Davidson, J. R. T. (2000). Trauma: the impact of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 14, S5-S12.

ⁱⁱ Norris, F. H. (1992). Epidemiology of trauma: frequency and impact of different potentially traumatic events on different demographic groups. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 60, 409-418.

ⁱⁱⁱ See Davidson, 2000.


^{iv} Riggs, D. S., Dancu, C. V., Gershuny, B. S., Greenberg, D., & Foa, E. B. (1992). Anger and post-traumatic stress disorder in female crime victims. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 5, 613-625.

^v Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2015). *Trauma-informed approach and trauma-specific interventions*. National Center for Trauma-Informed Care and Alternatives to Seclusion and Restraint.

^{vi} See SAMSHA, 2015.

APPENDIX III

ILLINOIS REGIONS



Regions represent the divisions of the U.S. District Courts of Illinois. Cook and Collar county regions are subsets of the Northern U.S. Courts of Illinois.

APPENDIX III

Regional Classifications of Counties

<u>Northern outside Cook and collar counties</u>	<u>Central counties</u>		<u>Southern counties</u>
<u>Boone</u>	<u>Adams</u>	<u>Schuyler</u>	<u>Alexander</u>
<u>Carroll</u>	<u>Brown</u>	<u>Scott</u>	<u>Bond</u>
<u>DeKalb</u>	<u>Bureau</u>	<u>Shelby</u>	<u>Calhoun</u>
<u>Grundy</u>	<u>Cass</u>	<u>Stark</u>	<u>Clark</u>
<u>Jo Daviess</u>	<u>Champaign</u>	<u>Tazewell</u>	<u>Clay</u>
<u>Kendall</u>	<u>Christian</u>	<u>Vermilion</u>	<u>Clinton</u>
<u>LaSalle</u>	<u>Coles</u>	<u>Warren</u>	<u>Crawford</u>
<u>Lee</u>	<u>DeWitt</u>	<u>Woodford</u>	<u>Cumberland</u>
<u>Ogle</u>	<u>Douglas</u>		<u>Edwards</u>
<u>Stephenson</u>	<u>Edgar</u>		<u>Effingham</u>
<u>Whiteside</u>	<u>Ford</u>		<u>Fayette</u>
<u>Winnebago</u>	<u>Fulton</u>		<u>Franklin</u>
<u>McHenry</u>	<u>Greene</u>		<u>Gallatin</u>
	<u>Hancock</u>		<u>Hamilton</u>
	<u>Henderson</u>		<u>Hardin</u>
<u>Cook County</u>	<u>Henry</u>		<u>Jackson</u>
	<u>Iroquois</u>		<u>Jasper</u>
<u>Collar counties</u>	<u>Kankakee</u>		<u>Jefferson</u>
<u>DuPage</u>	<u>Knox</u>		<u>Jersey</u>
<u>Kane</u>	<u>Livingston</u>		<u>Johnson</u>
<u>Lake</u>	<u>Logan</u>		<u>Lawrence</u>
<u>Will</u>	<u>McDonough</u>		<u>Madison</u>
	<u>McLean</u>		<u>Marion</u>
	<u>Macon</u>		<u>Massac</u>
	<u>Macoupin</u>		<u>Monroe</u>
	<u>Marshall</u>		<u>Perry</u>
	<u>Mason</u>		<u>Pope</u>
	<u>Menard</u>		<u>Pulaski</u>
	<u>Mercer</u>		<u>Randolph</u>
	<u>Montgomery</u>		<u>Richland</u>
	<u>Morgan</u>		<u>St. Clair</u>
	<u>Moultrie</u>		<u>Saline</u>
	<u>Peoria</u>		<u>Union</u>
	<u>Piatt</u>		<u>Wabash</u>
	<u>Pike</u>		<u>Washington</u>
	<u>Putnam</u>		<u>Wayne</u>
	<u>Rock Island</u>		<u>White</u>
	<u>Sangamon</u>		<u>Williamson</u>

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

Agency	Population	Murder	Murder Ranking	Rape	Rape Ranking	Robbery	Robbery Ranking	Agg Battery/ Assault	Agg Bat/As Ranking	Total Violent Index	Total Violent Ranking
CHICAGO PD	2728695	478	1	1431	1	9649	1	13104	1	24662	1
ROCKFORD PD	148176	19	2	148	2	504	2	1678	2	2349	2
SPRINGFIELD PD	116875	11	6	103	3	257	4	880	3	1251	3
EAST ST. LOUIS PD	26616	19	2	42	11	146	7	682	4	889	4
PEORIA PD	116066	15	4	64	5	310	3	378	7	767	5
AURORA PD (MCA)	207293	9	8	60	6	130	9	451	5	650	6
CHAMPAIGN PD	85362	4	11	52	8	139	8	412	6	607	7
JOLIET PD (MCA)	147991	10	7	44	10	114	12	293	9	461	8
DANVILLE PD	32056	0	15	52	8	87	14	313	8	452	9
WAUKEGAN PD	88855	1	14	17	27	160	5	208	11	386	10
DECATUR PD	73499	6	9	32	15	120	10	215	10	373	11
BLOOMINGTON PD	79233	0	15	58	7	42	25	203	12	303	12
HARVEY PD	25361	5	10	23	22	149	6	122	18	299	13
ELGIN PD (MCA)	111832	4	11	41	12	69	17	131	17	245	14
BELLEVILLE PD	42150	0	15	36	14	57	19	143	15	236	15
MAYWOOD PD	24141	13	5	24	21	85	16	113	20	235	16
ILLINOIS STATE POLICE	0	18	3	92	4	10	47	113	20	233	17
CALUMET CITY PD	37248	4	11	22	23	118	11	84	28	228	18
DEKALB PD	44046	1	14	45	9	29	31	134	16	209	19
COOK CO SO	94987	0	15	29	17	44	23	134	16	207	20
KANKAKEE PD	26695	3	12	23	22	67	18	113	20	206	21
QUINCY PD	40834	5	10	31	16	9	48	160	13	205	22
MT VERNON PD	15154	2	13	19	25	31	29	151	14	203	23
CICERO PD	84375	2	13	14	30	86	15	91	23	193	24
CHICAGO HEIGHTS PD	30461	0	15	22	23	105	13	56	40	183	25
MOLINE PD	42482	1	14	28	18	19	38	131	17	179	26
SANGAMON CO SO	37073	0	15	36	14	15	42	121	19	172	27
ROCK ISLAND PD	38551	2	13	3	41	22	36	143	15	170	28
SKOKIE PD	65184	0	15	15	29	29	31	110	21	154	29
ZION PD	24239	3	12	28	18	32	28	86	27	149	30
WINNEBAGO CO SO	58215	2	13	23	22	25	35	91	23	141	31
BOLINGBROOK PD (MCA)	74356	2	13	24	21	22	36	88	25	136	32
URBANA PD	42169	1	14	16	28	50	22	67	36	134	33
ALTON PD	27003	1	14	26	19	31	29	74	32	132	34
GALESBURG PD	31529	1	14	17	27	17	40	96	22	131	35
EVANSTON PD	75930	3	12	0	44	51	21	77	31	131	35
NAPERVILLE PD (MCA)	147101	0	15	14	30	27	33	87	26	128	36
WILL CO SO	102762	1	14	36	14	10	47	80	30	127	37

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

BERWYN PD	56697	2	13	12	32	41	26	69	35	124	38
NORMAL PD	55096	0	15	38	13	17	40	65	37	120	39
NORTH CHICAGO PD	29898	5	10	10	34	17	40	81	29	113	40
PEKIN PD (MCA)	33760	1	14	25	20	6	51	81	29	113	40
COLLINSVILLE PD (MCA)	24722	1	14	18	26	10	47	81	29	110	41
ST CLAIR CO SO	52795	3	12	20	24	32	28	49	46	104	42
CARBONDALE PD	26301	1	14	26	19	30	30	45	48	102	43
CENTRALIA PD (MCA)	12665	3	12	16	28	8	49	71	34	98	44
PEORIA CO SO	48910	1	14	8	36	16	41	72	33	97	45
CAIRO PD	2519	0	15	3	41	3	54	90	24	96	46
EAST PEORIA PD	23359	0	15	20	24	4	53	72	33	96	46
BELLWOOD PD	19170	0	15	12	32	35	27	49	46	96	46
ADDISON PD	37370	0	15	24	21	19	38	41	50	84	47
LANSING PD	28555	3	12	8	36	55	20	18	70	84	47
MATTOON PD	18123	0	15	23	22	4	53	56	40	83	48
VERMILION CO SO	23133	0	15	26	19	4	53	53	42	83	48
CENTREVILLE PD	5033	0	15	3	41	10	47	69	35	82	49
CHAMPAIGN CO SO	50054	2	13	26	19	3	54	51	44	82	49
LOVES PARK PD (MCA)	23440	0	15	8	36	18	39	54	41	80	50
LAKE CO SO	129449	3	12	23	22	14	43	36	55	76	51
MCHENRY CO SO	66417	0	15	22	23	1	56	50	45	73	52
PARK FOREST PD (MCA)	22046	1	14	15	29	26	34	31	59	73	52
MACOMB PD	18859	0	15	15	29	3	54	53	42	71	53
WASHINGTON PARK PD	4003	1	14	0	44	19	38	50	45	70	54
OAK LAWN PD	57112	0	15	8	36	21	37	41	50	70	54
EAST MOLINE PD	21143	0	15	9	35	15	42	45	48	69	55
MACHESNEY PARK PD	22923	1	14	11	33	6	51	50	45	68	56
FREEPORT PD	24664	1	14	17	27	14	43	35	56	67	57
SOUTH HOLLAND PD	22169	1	14	6	38	43	24	16	72	66	58
KNOX CO SO	12080	0	15	10	34	1	56	53	42	64	59
DUPAGE CO SO	93902	2	13	6	38	14	43	40	51	62	60
CHARLESTON PD	21831	0	15	5	39	4	53	52	43	61	61
MADISON CO SO	56643	0	15	7	37	6	51	48	47	61	61
RANTOUL PD	13138	0	15	14	30	9	48	38	53	61	61
JACKSONVILLE PD	19088	1	14	5	39	4	53	50	45	60	62
KANE CO SO	60184	1	14	12	32	11	46	36	55	60	62
CAROL STREAM PD	40499	0	15	7	37	25	35	28	62	60	62
CREVE COEUR PD	5344	0	15	8	36	2	55	49	46	59	63
CRYSTAL LAKE PD	40426	0	15	9	35	7	50	43	49	59	63
BEARDSTOWN PD	5823	0	15	0	44	0	57	58	38	58	64

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

RIVERSIDE PD	8882	0	15	0	44	0	57	57	39	57	65
HAZEL CREST PD	14200	1	14	8	36	26	34	22	66	57	65
TINLEY PARK PD (MCA)	57403	0	15	6	38	12	45	37	54	55	66
SCHAUMBURG PD	75050	1	14	13	31	18	39	23	65	55	66
COUNTRY CLUB HILLS PD	16944	1	14	7	37	18	39	28	62	54	67
STREATOR PD (MCA)	13189	0	15	26	19	8	49	20	68	54	67
MATTESON PD	19190	0	15	4	40	28	32	19	69	51	68
DES PLAINES PD	59078	0	15	5	39	12	45	33	57	50	69
STREAMWOOD PD	40451	0	15	5	39	12	45	33	57	50	69
WHEELING PD (MCA)	38093	0	15	8	36	10	47	32	58	50	69
KEWANEE PD	12522	0	15	17	27	1	56	30	60	48	70
BRIDGEVIEW PD	16499	0	15	3	41	18	39	27	63	48	70
LOMBARD PD	44008	0	15	11	33	16	41	21	67	48	70
GLENDALE HEIGHTS PD	34602	0	15	15	29	12	45	21	67	48	70
PONTIAC PD	11524	0	15	11	33	3	54	33	57	47	71
BURBANK PD	29287	0	15	5	39	11	46	31	59	47	71
ROUND LAKE BEACH PD	27983	0	15	16	28	10	47	21	67	47	71
HARRISBURG PD	8885	0	15	3	41	4	53	39	52	46	72
ROCK ISLAND CO SO	24525	0	15	9	35	1	56	36	55	46	72
KANKAKEE CO SO	32333	2	13	10	34	6	51	28	62	46	72
HANOVER PARK PD (MCA)	38600	0	15	5	39	15	42	26	62	46	72
WASHINGTON CO SO	8941	0	15	0	44	0	57	45	48	45	73
JEFFERSON CO SO	20893	0	15	3	41	1	56	40	51	44	74
FAIRVIEW HEIGHTS PD	16851	1	14	9	35	10	47	24	64	44	74
ROMEOVILLE PD	39682	0	15	6	38	7	50	29	61	42	75
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS PD	76241	1	14	6	38	15	42	20	68	42	75
FOREST PARK PD	14202	0	15	6	38	21	37	15	73	42	75
LINCOLN PD	14082	0	15	4	40	9	48	28	62	41	76
EFFINGHAM PD	12643	0	15	6	38	3	54	30	60	39	77
METROPOLIS PD	6349	0	15	6	38	3	54	30	60	39	77
HOFFMAN ESTATES PD	52450	0	15	3	41	10	47	26	62	39	77
PLAINFIELD PD (MCA)	42706	1	14	10	34	7	50	21	67	39	77
STERLING PD	14909	0	15	19	25	7	50	13	75	39	77
RIGHTON PARK PD	13775	0	15	7	37	25	35	7	81	39	77
MCHENRY PD	26534	0	15	6	38	4	53	28	62	38	78
GRAYSLAKE PD	21048	0	15	7	37	5	52	26	62	38	78
HOOPESTON PD	5236	0	15	10	34	2	55	26	62	38	78
OAK FOREST PD	28222	0	15	8	36	13	44	17	71	38	78
MT PROSPECT PD	55119	0	15	3	41	12	45	22	66	37	79
MONMOUTH PD	9548	0	15	7	37	4	53	25	63	36	80

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

SUMMIT PD	11547	1	14	1	43	12	45	22	66	36	80
O'FALLON PD	29159	0	15	11	33	7	50	18	70	36	80
PALATINE PD	69583	0	15	8	36	15	42	13	75	36	80
ROSEMONT PD	4231	1	14	3	41	4	53	27	63	35	81
TAZEWELL CO SO	25131	0	15	10	34	1	56	24	64	35	81
CARPENTERSVILLE PD	38571	0	15	4	40	22	36	8	80	34	82
TUSCOLA PD	4458	0	15	0	44	0	57	33	57	33	83
JOHNSON CO SO	10060	1	14	0	44	0	57	32	58	33	83
MURPHYSBORO PD	7770	0	15	3	41	7	50	23	65	33	83
PEORIA HEIGHTS PD	6006	0	15	10	34	4	53	19	69	33	83
CASEYVILLE PD	4051	2	13	9	35	3	54	19	69	33	83
WOOD RIVER PD	10284	0	15	10	34	6	51	16	72	32	84
SAUK VILLAGE PD (MCA)	10555	1	14	4	40	13	44	14	74	32	84
NASHVILLE PD	3123	0	15	0	44	0	57	31	59	31	85
STEGER PD (MCA)	9552	0	15	3	41	10	47	18	70	31	85
MELROSE PARK PD	25532	3	12	5	39	9	48	14	74	31	85
WOODRIDGE PD (MCA)	33474	1	14	8	36	11	46	11	77	31	85
EDWARDSVILLE PD	24876	0	15	3	41	5	52	22	66	30	86
BRADLEY PD	15622	0	15	2	42	8	49	20	68	30	86
DOWNERS GROVE PD	49917	0	15	7	37	3	54	20	68	30	86
WOODSTOCK PD	25262	1	14	9	35	3	54	17	71	30	86
KENDALL CO SO	34290	0	15	9	35	5	52	16	72	30	86
EVERGREEN PARK PD	19953	0	15	2	42	13	44	15	73	30	86
ELK GROVE VILLAGE PD (MCA)	33437	1	14	7	37	8	49	14	74	30	86
BUREAU CO SO	14515	1	14	14	30	1	56	14	74	30	86
CREST HILL PD	20748	1	14	6	38	12	45	11	77	30	86
WHITE CO SO	6425	0	15	1	43	0	57	28	62	29	87
FAIRFIELD PD	5064	0	15	5	39	0	57	24	64	29	87
HERRIN PD	12932	1	14	7	37	5	52	16	72	29	87
ALSIP PD	19462	1	14	0	44	27	33	1	87	29	87
HILLSBORO PD	6060	0	15	2	42	1	56	25	63	28	88
BATAVIA PD	26485	0	15	4	40	7	50	17	71	28	88
GLENVIEW PD	47292	0	15	6	38	6	51	16	72	28	88
BROOKFIELD PD	19033	0	15	8	36	4	53	16	72	28	88
JERSEYVILLE PD	8549	0	15	18	26	0	57	10	78	28	88
MACON CO SO	24069	0	15	1	43	3	54	23	65	27	89
NEW LENOX PD	25682	0	15	9	35	6	51	12	76	27	89
MIDLOTHIAN PD	14932	2	13	3	41	15	42	7	81	27	89
DIXON PD	15181	0	15	19	25	4	53	4	84	27	89
PARIS PD	8419	0	15	3	41	0	57	23	65	26	90

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

ROCK FALLS PD	8982	0	15	3	41	2	55	21	67	26	90
WHITESIDE CO SO	19230	0	15	4	40	1	56	21	67	26	90
BARTLETT PD (MCA)	41726	0	15	3	41	4	53	19	69	26	90
SILVIS PD	7529	0	15	6	38	2	55	18	70	26	90
HILLSIDE PD	8203	0	15	2	42	7	50	17	71	26	90
NILES PD	30044	0	15	2	42	7	50	17	71	26	90
CANTON PD	14210	0	15	7	37	2	55	17	71	26	90
LASALLE CO SO	35349	1	14	8	36	1	56	16	72	26	90
ORLAND PARK PD (MCA)	59161	0	15	1	43	11	46	14	74	26	90
JACKSON CO SO	23117	0	15	7	37	5	52	14	74	26	90
OSWEGO PD	33774	0	15	11	33	3	54	12	76	26	90
CAHOKIA PD	14432	0	15	6	38	11	46	9	79	26	90
BOURBONNAIS PD	18504	0	15	4	40	1	56	20	68	25	91
PAXTON PD	4310	0	15	4	40	2	55	19	69	25	91
ELMWOOD PARK PD	24969	0	15	3	41	9	48	13	75	25	91
BROADVIEW PD	7965	0	15	5	39	13	44	7	81	25	91
LEE CO SO	14929	0	15	0	44	2	55	22	66	24	92
DUPO PD	3930	0	15	2	42	0	57	22	66	24	92
OTTAWA PD	18350	0	15	2	42	2	55	20	68	24	92
BENTON PD	6997	0	15	4	40	0	57	20	68	24	92
MONTGOMERY PD (MCA)	19520	0	15	14	30	3	54	7	81	24	92
GODFREY PD	17726	0	15	4	40	1	56	18	70	23	93
ALGONQUIN PD (MCA)	30497	0	15	6	38	1	56	16	72	23	93
HIGHLAND PARK PD	29897	0	15	7	37	0	57	16	72	23	93
SHILOH PD	13023	1	14	2	42	5	52	15	73	23	93
WESTMONT PD	25034	0	15	3	41	7	50	13	75	23	93
WHEATON PD	53800	0	15	2	42	9	48	12	76	23	93
GLEN ELLYN PD	27856	0	15	3	41	8	49	12	76	23	93
GURNEE PD	31194	1	14	6	38	5	52	11	77	23	93
MT CARMEL PD	6975	0	15	0	44	0	57	22	66	22	94
IROQUOIS CO SO	19837	0	15	4	40	0	57	18	70	22	94
ST CHARLES PD (MCA)	33489	0	15	2	42	4	53	16	72	22	94
ADAMS CO SO	24131	0	15	8	36	0	57	14	74	22	94
BARTONVILLE PD	6414	0	15	6	38	3	54	13	75	22	94
YORKVILLE PD	18379	0	15	9	35	3	54	10	78	22	94
GRUNDY CO SO	15410	0	15	10	34	4	53	8	80	22	94
RIVERDALE PD	13615	1	14	2	42	14	43	5	83	22	94
WEST CHICAGO PD	27578	1	14	6	38	10	47	5	83	22	94
ROBINSON PD	7600	0	15	0	44	0	57	21	67	21	95
ROUND LAKE PD	18584	0	15	4	40	2	55	15	73	21	95

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

SOUTH BELOIT PD	7677	0	15	4	40	4	53	13	75	21	95
LIVINGSTON CO SO	18218	1	14	7	37	2	55	11	77	21	95
FRANKLIN PARK PD	18420	0	15	4	40	8	49	9	79	21	95
VILLA PARK PD	22067	0	15	8	36	4	53	9	79	21	95
HOMEWOOD PD	19496	0	15	1	43	15	42	5	83	21	95
CARLINVILLE PD	5716	0	15	2	42	1	56	17	71	20	96
MCLEAN CO SO	22091	0	15	3	41	0	57	17	71	20	96
PIATT CO SO	8871	0	15	4	40	0	57	16	72	20	96
SALEM PD	7298	1	14	12	32	2	55	5	83	20	96
PRINCETON PD	7357	0	15	2	42	2	55	15	73	19	97
LAKE IN THE HILLS PD	28868	0	15	5	39	0	57	14	74	19	97
RIVER FOREST PD	11216	1	14	0	44	5	52	13	75	19	97
OLNEY PD	9006	0	15	5	39	1	56	13	75	19	97
BROOKLYN PD	717	3	12	0	44	6	51	10	78	19	97
MARION CO SO	17424	0	15	8	36	1	56	10	78	19	97
CHESTER PD	8381	0	15	7	37	3	54	9	79	19	97
MUNDELEIN PD	31687	0	15	10	34	3	54	6	82	19	97
LOGAN CO SO	12284	0	15	0	44	1	56	17	71	18	98
SOUTH ELGIN PD	22280	0	15	0	44	2	55	16	72	18	98
CHRISTIAN CO SO	12697	0	15	0	44	3	54	15	73	18	98
FOX LAKE PD (MCA)	10565	0	15	0	44	3	54	15	73	18	98
MACOUPIN CO SO	18613	1	14	1	43	1	56	15	73	18	98
PERU PD	9948	0	15	3	41	2	55	13	75	18	98
RICHLAND CO SO	6980	0	15	7	37	0	57	11	77	18	98
GLENWOOD PD	9046	0	15	0	44	9	48	9	79	18	98
HICKORY HILLS PD	14206	0	15	4	40	6	51	8	80	18	98
BENSENVILLE PD	18518	0	15	6	38	5	52	7	81	18	98
FRANKLIN CO SO	15947	0	15	0	44	0	57	17	71	17	99
WATSEKA PD	5070	0	15	2	42	4	53	11	77	17	99
ROLLING MEADOWS PD	24321	0	15	3	41	3	54	11	77	17	99
SHOREWOOD PD	16796	2	13	4	40	0	57	11	77	17	99
RIVER GROVE PD	10281	0	15	2	42	5	52	10	78	17	99
DEKALB CO SO	16697	0	15	7	37	0	57	10	78	17	99
MASON CO SO	6592	0	15	6	38	2	55	9	79	17	99
BERKELEY PD	5235	0	15	1	43	8	49	8	80	17	99
EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	5	39	5	52	7	81	17	99
NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	7	37	3	54	7	81	17	99
EAST ALTON PD	6195	0	15	11	33	1	56	5	83	17	99

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

LISLE PD	22917	0	15	8	36	6	51	3	85	17	99
SENECA PD (MCA)	2292	0	15	0	44	0	57	16	72	16	100
EUREKA PD	5387	0	15	1	43	0	57	15	73	16	100
HAVANA PD	3079	0	15	3	41	0	57	13	75	16	100
SYCAMORE PD	17813	0	15	3	41	1	56	12	76	16	100
CHERRY VALLEY PD (MCA)	3097	0	15	0	44	6	51	10	78	16	100
JUSTICE PD	13044	0	15	0	44	6	51	10	78	16	100
ROSELLE PD (MCA)	23094	0	15	3	41	7	50	6	82	16	100
LAWRENCEVILLE PD	4392	0	15	1	43	0	57	14	74	15	101
GIBSON CITY PD	3390	0	15	1	43	1	56	13	75	15	101
TROY PD	10019	0	15	1	43	1	56	13	75	15	101
DUQUOIN PD	5860	1	14	1	43	1	56	12	76	15	101
BETHALTO PD	9347	0	15	7	37	2	55	6	82	15	101
NORTH RIVERSIDE PD	6703	0	15	0	44	10	47	5	83	15	101
LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PD	0	0	15	7	37	7	50	1	87	15	101
HARVARD PD	9179	0	15	1	43	0	57	13	75	14	102
RIVERTON PD	3475	0	15	1	43	0	57	13	75	14	102
GEORGETOWN PD	3365	0	15	2	42	0	57	12	76	14	102
PALESTINE PD	1321	0	15	2	42	0	57	12	76	14	102
PROSPECT HEIGHTS PD	16456	0	15	1	43	2	55	11	77	14	102
MORRIS PD	14258	0	15	3	41	2	55	9	79	14	102
PHOENIX PD	1970	0	15	1	43	5	52	8	80	14	102
SWANSEA PD	13665	1	14	1	43	5	52	7	81	14	102
MOKENA PD	19618	0	15	4	40	3	54	7	81	14	102
SCHILLER PARK PD	11871	1	14	2	42	5	52	6	82	14	102
CRETE PD	8211	0	15	4	40	4	53	6	82	14	102
CHICAGO RIDGE PD	14463	0	15	2	42	8	49	4	84	14	102
WESTCHESTER PD	16827	0	15	7	37	3	54	4	84	14	102
COOK COUNTY FOREST PRESERVE PD	0	1	14	6	38	3	54	4	84	14	102
CHILLICOTHE PD	6146	0	15	1	43	0	57	12	76	13	103
STAUNTON PD	5019	0	15	2	42	0	57	11	77	13	103
SPRINGFIELD PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	1	43	2	55	10	78	13	103
SANDWICH PD (MCA)	7409	0	15	2	42	1	56	10	78	13	103
WILLOWBROOK PD	8651	0	15	2	42	3	54	8	80	13	103
FULTON CO SO	13956	1	14	2	42	2	55	8	80	13	103
NORTH AURORA PD	17492	0	15	2	42	4	53	7	81	13	103
ANTIOCH PD	14398	0	15	4	40	2	55	7	81	13	103

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

ELMHURST PD	46152	0	15	3	41	4	53	6	82	13	103
VERNON HILLS PD	26132	0	15	2	42	6	51	5	83	13	103
WINTHROP HARBOR PD	6722	0	15	8	36	0	57	5	83	13	103
UNIVERSITY PARK PD (MCA)	7087	1	14	2	42	7	50	3	85	13	103
WARRENVILLE PD	13373	0	15	6	38	4	53	3	85	13	103
NORTHBROOK PD	33768	0	15	5	39	6	51	2	86	13	103
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA PD	0	0	15	8	36	4	53	1	87	13	103
PERRY CO SO	9486	0	15	0	44	0	57	12	76	12	104
ANNA PD	4307	0	15	2	42	0	57	10	78	12	104
WESTVILLE PD	3109	0	15	2	42	0	57	10	78	12	104
LIBERTYVILLE PD	20555	0	15	0	44	3	54	9	79	12	104
MARISSA PD	1859	0	15	3	41	0	57	9	79	12	104
CHATHAM PD	12357	0	15	3	41	1	56	8	80	12	104
MORTON GROVE PD	23548	0	15	0	44	5	52	7	81	12	104
LYONS PD	10783	0	15	2	42	3	54	7	81	12	104
MILAN PD	5090	0	15	3	41	2	55	7	81	12	104
LINCOLNWOOD PD	12710	0	15	0	44	6	51	6	82	12	104
SOUTH CHICAGO HEIGHTS PD	4161	0	15	0	44	6	51	6	82	12	104
ALEXANDER CO SO	4787	0	15	0	44	0	57	11	77	11	105
BURNHAM PD	4233	0	15	0	44	0	57	11	77	11	105
COLES CO SO	13085	0	15	0	44	1	56	10	78	11	105
OGLE CO SO	28535	0	15	0	44	1	56	10	78	11	105
GENEVA PD	21798	0	15	1	43	1	56	9	79	11	105
GENOA PD	5232	0	15	2	42	1	56	8	80	11	105
FORD CO SO	5859	0	15	3	41	0	57	8	80	11	105
GILLESPIE PD	3182	1	14	1	43	2	55	7	81	11	105
NORTHLAKE PD	12382	1	14	1	43	2	55	7	81	11	105
TILTON PD	2629	0	15	3	41	1	56	7	81	11	105
CARY PD	17919	0	15	4	40	0	57	7	81	11	105
HARWOOD HEIGHTS PD	8690	0	15	2	42	3	54	6	82	11	105
DARIEN PD	22367	0	15	2	42	4	53	5	83	11	105
LAGRANGE PD	15808	0	15	4	40	2	55	5	83	11	105
NORTHWESTERN AT EVANSTON	0	0	15	6	38	2	55	3	85	11	105
WILLIAMSON CO SO	24806	2	13	6	38	1	56	2	86	11	105
CLINTON CO SO	15602	0	15	0	44	0	57	10	78	10	106
MCDONOUGH CO SO	10800	0	15	0	44	0	57	10	78	10	106
ROSCOE PD	10559	0	15	0	44	0	57	10	78	10	106
ROUND LAKE PARK PD	7350	0	15	0	44	0	57	10	78	10	106

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

CARTERVILLE PD	5837	0	15	0	44	1	56	9	79	10	106
EFFINGHAM CO SO	17737	0	15	1	43	0	57	9	79	10	106
SALINE CO SO	9557	1	14	0	44	0	57	9	79	10	106
OAK BROOK PD (MCA)	8108	0	15	1	43	1	56	8	80	10	106
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY AT EDWARDSVILLE PD	0	0	15	2	42	1	56	7	81	10	106
UNION CO SO	10118	0	15	3	41	0	57	7	81	10	106
NORRIDGE PD	14697	0	15	2	42	3	54	5	83	10	106
LOCKPORT PD	25166	0	15	3	41	2	55	5	83	10	106
VANDALIA PD	6971	0	15	6	38	0	57	4	84	10	106
CLINTON PD	7040	0	15	7	37	0	57	3	85	10	106
SOUTH ROXANA PD	2000	0	15	10	34	0	57	0	88	10	106
BROWN CO SO	4833	0	15	1	43	0	57	8	80	9	107
CARLYLE PD	3226	0	15	1	43	0	57	8	80	9	107
ELDORADO PD	4061	1	14	0	44	0	57	8	80	9	107
PANA PD	5427	0	15	0	44	2	55	7	81	9	107
WORTH PD	10848	0	15	0	44	2	55	7	81	9	107
MARSEILLES PD	4965	0	15	2	42	0	57	7	81	9	107
LAKE ZURICH PD	20143	0	15	1	43	2	55	6	82	9	107
ROXANA PD	1484	0	15	2	42	1	56	6	82	9	107
HENRY PD	2306	0	15	3	41	0	57	6	82	9	107
ISLAND LAKE PD (MCA)	8018	0	15	3	41	0	57	6	82	9	107
CARROLLTON PD	2386	0	15	4	40	0	57	5	83	9	107
MORRISON PD	4124	0	15	4	40	0	57	5	83	9	107
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY AT CARBONDALE PD	0	0	15	5	39	0	57	4	84	9	107
WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	6	38	0	57	3	85	9	107
CLAY CO SO	8461	0	15	0	44	0	57	8	80	8	108
FOX VALLEY PARK DISTRICT PD (MCA)	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	8	80	8	108
FREEBURG PD	4261	0	15	0	44	0	57	8	80	8	108
GREENUP PD	1481	0	15	0	44	0	57	8	80	8	108
HAMPSHIRE PD	6081	0	15	0	44	0	57	8	80	8	108
PETERSBURG PD	2206	0	15	0	44	0	57	8	80	8	108
MORTON PD	16554	0	15	1	43	0	57	7	81	8	108
DEERFIELD PD (MCA)	18418	0	15	0	44	2	55	6	82	8	108
EDGAR CO SO	7939	0	15	0	44	2	55	6	82	8	108

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

DOUGLAS CO SO	7042	0	15	1	43	1	56	6	82	8	108
HIGHLAND PD	9880	0	15	1	43	1	56	6	82	8	108
LAKE VILLA PD	8859	0	15	1	43	1	56	6	82	8	108
PALOS HILLS PD	17660	0	15	1	43	1	56	6	82	8	108
MT ZION PD	5899	0	15	2	42	0	57	6	82	8	108
WARREN CO SO	7829	0	15	2	42	0	57	6	82	8	108
MARYVILLE PD	7902	0	15	3	41	0	57	5	83	8	108
VIRDEN PD (MCA)	3406	0	15	3	41	0	57	5	83	8	108
MAHOMET PD	8130	0	15	4	40	0	57	4	84	8	108
GRAYVILLE PD (MCA)	1632	0	15	0	44	0	57	7	81	7	109
SHELBY CO SO	14084	0	15	0	44	0	57	7	81	7	109
SPARTA PD	4496	0	15	0	44	0	57	7	81	7	109
LITCHFIELD PD	6882	0	15	0	44	1	56	6	82	7	109
HARDIN CO SO	4076	0	15	1	43	0	57	6	82	7	109
WASHINGTON PD	15962	0	15	1	43	0	57	6	82	7	109
DECATUR PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	0	44	2	55	5	83	7	109
PITTSFIELD PD	4473	0	15	0	44	2	55	5	83	7	109
WARREN PD	1381	0	15	1	43	1	56	5	83	7	109
FOX RIVER GROVE PD (MCA)	4683	0	15	2	42	0	57	5	83	7	109
MORGAN CO SO	9495	0	15	2	42	0	57	5	83	7	109
STEPHENSON CO SO	16707	0	15	2	42	0	57	5	83	7	109
FRANKFORT PD (MCA)	18600	0	15	0	44	3	54	4	84	7	109
WOODDALE PD	13986	0	15	0	44	3	54	4	84	7	109
JOHNSBURG PD	6285	0	15	2	42	1	56	4	84	7	109
SAVANNA PD	2869	0	15	2	42	1	56	4	84	7	109
WILMETTE PD	27531	0	15	2	42	1	56	4	84	7	109
CORTLAND PD	4353	0	15	3	41	0	57	4	84	7	109
WOODFORD CO SO	23427	0	15	4	40	0	57	3	85	7	109
POSEN PD	6029	0	15	0	44	5	52	2	86	7	109
PARK CITY PD	7410	0	15	4	40	1	56	2	86	7	109
JERSEY CO SO	12915	0	15	5	39	0	57	2	86	7	109
OAK PARK PD	52036	0	15	0	44	6	51	1	87	7	109
AUBURN PD	4814	0	15	0	44	0	57	6	82	6	110
COBDEN PD	1142	0	15	0	44	0	57	6	82	6	110
EL PASO PD	2803	0	15	0	44	0	57	6	82	6	110
ELWOOD PD	2263	0	15	0	44	0	57	6	82	6	110
MANHATTAN PD	7357	0	15	0	44	0	57	6	82	6	110
GRANDVIEW PD	1431	0	15	0	44	1	56	5	83	6	110
HOMETOWN PD	4369	0	15	0	44	1	56	5	83	6	110
ORLAND HILLS PD	7292	0	15	0	44	1	56	5	83	6	110

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

RICHMOND PD	1899	0	15	0	44	1	56	5	83	6	110
GREENE CO SO	5738	0	15	1	43	0	57	5	83	6	110
WHITE HALL PD	2416	0	15	1	43	0	57	5	83	6	110
HUNTLEY PD (MCA)	25927	1	14	0	44	0	57	5	83	6	110
LEBANON PD	4466	0	15	1	43	1	56	4	84	6	110
ROCHESTER PD	3788	0	15	2	42	0	57	4	84	6	110
DWIGHT PD (MCA)	4087	0	15	1	43	2	55	3	85	6	110
PONTOON BEACH PD	5631	0	15	1	43	2	55	3	85	6	110
WAUCONDA PD	13954	0	15	2	42	1	56	3	85	6	110
GENESEO PD	6517	0	15	3	41	0	57	3	85	6	110
MARSHALL CO SO	6338	1	14	2	42	0	57	3	85	6	110
PARK RIDGE PD	37942	0	15	0	44	4	53	2	86	6	110
STICKNEY PD	6825	0	15	1	43	3	54	2	86	6	110
OLYMPIA FIELDS PD	5058	0	15	4	40	1	56	1	87	6	110
ARCOLA PD	2889	0	15	0	44	0	57	5	83	5	111
LASALLE PD	9256	0	15	0	44	0	57	5	83	5	111
MASSAC CO SO	7468	0	15	0	44	0	57	5	83	5	111
NOKOMIS PD	2180	0	15	0	44	0	57	5	83	5	111
OBLONG PD	1416	0	15	0	44	0	57	5	83	5	111
BEECHER PD	4483	0	15	0	44	1	56	4	84	5	111
FAIRMONT CITY PD (MCA)	2505	0	15	0	44	1	56	4	84	5	111
HODGKINS PD	1877	0	15	0	44	1	56	4	84	5	111
TAYLORVILLE PD	10898	0	15	0	44	1	56	4	84	5	111
WILMINGTON PD	5703	0	15	0	44	1	56	4	84	5	111
GREENFIELD PD	1019	0	15	1	43	0	57	4	84	5	111
RED BUD PD	3587	0	15	1	43	0	57	4	84	5	111
STARK CO SO	3653	0	15	1	43	0	57	4	84	5	111
BRAIDWOOD PD	6169	0	15	0	44	2	55	3	85	5	111
HOMER GLEN PD	24389	0	15	0	44	2	55	3	85	5	111
BLOOMINGDALE PD	22353	0	15	1	43	1	56	3	85	5	111
MENDOTA PD	7227	0	15	1	43	1	56	3	85	5	111
OREGON PD	3578	0	15	1	43	1	56	3	85	5	111
FLOSSMOOR PD	9535	1	14	0	44	1	56	3	85	5	111
PECATONICA PD	2123	0	15	2	42	0	57	3	85	5	111
STOCKTON PD	1795	0	15	2	42	0	57	3	85	5	111
LEMONT PD (MCA)	16801	0	15	3	41	1	56	1	87	5	111
LEWIS UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	4	40	0	57	1	87	5	111
PLANO PD	11247	0	15	0	44	5	52	0	88	5	111
COAL CITY PD (MCA)	5505	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
DELANVAN PD	1657	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

GERMANTOWN PD	1289	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
HIGHWOOD PD	5378	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
KINCAID PD	1438	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
LAKE FOREST PD	19376	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
MAROA PD	1745	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
MONTICELLO PD	5509	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
SOUTH PEKIN PD	1136	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
WARRENSBURG PD	1168	0	15	0	44	0	57	4	84	4	112
LEROY PD	3611	0	15	1	43	0	57	3	85	4	112
SHAWNEETOWN PD	1155	0	15	1	43	0	57	3	85	4	112
CHANNAHON PD (MCA)	12625	0	15	0	44	2	55	2	86	4	112
AVISTON PD	2101	0	15	1	43	1	56	2	86	4	112
PIKE CO SO	9208	0	15	1	43	1	56	2	86	4	112
SPRING VALLEY PD	5314	0	15	1	43	1	56	2	86	4	112
AMBOY PD	2356	0	15	2	42	0	57	2	86	4	112
CAMPTON HILLS PD	11366	0	15	2	42	0	57	2	86	4	112
THOMASBORO PD	1145	0	15	2	42	0	57	2	86	4	112
WARSAW PD	1544	0	15	2	42	0	57	2	86	4	112
ITASCA PD	8835	0	15	3	41	0	57	1	87	4	112
BARRINGTON PD (MCA)	10384	1	14	2	42	0	57	1	87	4	112
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PD	0	0	15	0	44	4	53	0	88	4	112
BENLD PD	1490	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
CASS CO SO	4368	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
CLARK CO SO	8316	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
DESOTO PD	1583	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
FARMINGTON PD	2334	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
FAYETTEVILLE PD	341	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
FLORA PD	4953	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
GLEN CARBON PD	12949	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
JEROME PD	1658	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
LAWRENCE CO SO	6393	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
LOAMI PD	756	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
MILLSTADT PD	3907	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
MT OLIVE PD	2014	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
MT PULASKI PD	1519	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
OKAWVILLE PD	1395	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
ROYALTON PD	1135	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
RUSHVILLE PD	3083	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
ST ANNE PD	1222	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
ST ELMO PD	1400	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT SPRINGFIELD PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
WAYNE CO SO	10374	0	15	0	44	0	57	3	85	3	113
LAGRANGE PARK PD	13685	0	15	0	44	1	56	2	86	3	113
SMITHTON PD	3738	0	15	0	44	1	56	2	86	3	113
BUNKER HILL PD	1716	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
HEYWORTH PD	2922	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
INDIAN HEAD PARK PD	3846	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
JODAVIESS CO SO	12039	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
MARENGO PD	7472	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
MARTINSVILLE PD	1148	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
MASCOUTAH PD	7951	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
OAKBROOK TERRACE PD	2180	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
SHERMAN PD	4600	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
VIENNA PD	1436	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
WINFIELD PD	9679	0	15	1	43	0	57	2	86	3	113
BOND CO SO	9270	1	14	0	44	0	57	2	86	3	113
OAKWOOD PD	1530	0	15	0	44	2	55	1	87	3	113
KANE COUNTY FOREST PRESERVE PD	0	0	15	1	43	1	56	1	87	3	113
OGLESBY PD	3648	1	14	0	44	1	56	1	87	3	113
SAUGET PD	152	1	14	0	44	1	56	1	87	3	113
FISHER PD	1980	0	15	2	42	0	57	1	87	3	113
FORRESTON PD	1380	0	15	2	42	0	57	1	87	3	113
GALENA PD	3305	0	15	2	42	0	57	1	87	3	113
HENRY CO SO	16041	0	15	2	42	0	57	1	87	3	113
TOLONO PD	3512	0	15	2	42	0	57	1	87	3	113
HINSDALE PD (MCA)	17601	0	15	1	43	2	55	0	88	3	113
COLUMBIA PD (MCA)	10224	1	14	1	43	1	56	0	88	3	113
FAYETTE CO SO	12364	0	15	3	41	0	57	0	88	3	113
LINDENHURST PD	14484	0	15	3	41	0	57	0	88	3	113
RANDOLPH CO SO	13484	0	15	3	41	0	57	0	88	3	113
ARTHUR PD (MCA)	2280	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
BREESE PD	4515	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
BRIGHTON PD (MCA)	2207	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
BROOKPORT PD	931	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
BUFFALO GROVE PD (MCA)	41760	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
COWDEN PD	588	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
CRAWFORD CO SO	8363	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

CRYSTAL LAKE PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
EARLVILLE PD	1633	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
ELBURN PD	5700	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
ELIZABETH PD	749	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
GALLATIN CO SO	3068	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
GREENVILLE PD	6847	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
HAMILTON CO SO	5425	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
INA PD	2327	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
JOLIET JUNIOR COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
KINGSTON PD	1164	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
KIRKLAND PD	1744	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
LONDON MILLS PD (MCA)	381	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
LOVINGTON PD	1104	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
MARQUETTE HEIGHTS PD	2782	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
MCCOOK PD	232	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
MENARD CO SO	8350	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
MINIER PD	1243	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
MOWEAQUA PD	1753	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
NEW ATHENS PD	1957	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
NEWTON PD	2814	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
ORION PD	1827	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
PINCKNEYVILLE PD	5509	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
PULASKI CO SO	4743	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
ROODHOUSE PD	1735	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
ROUND LAKE HEIGHTS PD	2731	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
SOUTHERN VIEW PD	1642	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
ST JACOB PD	1159	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
TERMINAL RAILROAD PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
WABASH CO SO	4452	0	15	0	44	0	57	2	86	2	114
HANOVER PD	813	0	15	0	44	1	56	1	87	2	114
ROCKDALE PD	1952	0	15	0	44	1	56	1	87	2	114
WILLOW SPRINGS PD	5720	0	15	0	44	1	56	1	87	2	114
BURR RIDGE PD (MCA)	10809	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
CARTHAGE PD	2527	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
COLONA PD	5095	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
GIFFORD PD	1005	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
HARTFORD PD	1378	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
MONROE CO SO	12146	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
ROCKTON PD	7522	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

SOUTHWESTERN ILLINOIS COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
WINNETKA PD	12563	0	15	1	43	0	57	1	87	2	114
CHICAGO STATE UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	0	44	2	55	0	88	2	114
THORNTON PD	2403	0	15	0	44	2	55	0	88	2	114
WEST FRANKFORT PD	8025	0	15	0	44	2	55	0	88	2	114
LAKE COUNTY FOREST PRESERVE PD	0	0	15	1	43	1	56	0	88	2	114
GODLEY PD (MCA)	688	0	15	2	42	0	57	0	88	2	114
HINCKLEY PD	2071	0	15	2	42	0	57	0	88	2	114
ALTAMONT PD	2301	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
ANNAWAN PD	853	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
ATHENS PD	1954	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
ATWOOD PD (MCA)	1194	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
BYRON PD	3644	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
CAMBRIA PD	1268	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
CLARENDON HILLS PD	8714	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
COLFAX PD	1053	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
COUNTRYSIDE PD	6045	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
CUMBERLAND CO SO	7665	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
DIVERNON PD	1160	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
EAST DUBUQUE PD	1662	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
EAST HAZEL CREST PD	1554	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
ELGIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
ELMWOOD PD	2102	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
ERIE PD	1534	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
FRANKLIN GROVE PD	967	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
FULTON PD	3331	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
GILMAN PD	1754	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
GLENCOE PD	8971	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
HAWTHORN WOODS PD	7905	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
HERSCHER PD	1560	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
LACON PD	1807	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
LAHARPE PD	1192	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
LAKE BLUFF PD	5695	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
LAKEMOOR PD (MCA)	6002	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
LELAND GROVE PD	1541	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
LENZBURG PD	493	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

LINCOLNSHIRE PD	7295	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
MARINE PD	934	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
MARSHALL PD	3920	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
MCLEAN PD	821	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
MT CARROLL PD	1615	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
PARKLAND COLLEGE PUBLIC SAFETY	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
PAWNEE PD	2721	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
PINGREE GROVE PD	6254	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
SCOTT CO SO	2936	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
SECRETARY OF STATE POLICE	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
SIU SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AT SPRINGFIELD PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
SLEEPY HOLLOW PD	3348	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
SOMONAUK PD (MCA)	1882	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
STANFORD PD	586	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
THAYER PD	686	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
VIRGINIA PD	1537	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
WATERLOO PD	10224	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
WESTERN SPRINGS PD	13359	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
YATES CITY PD	675	0	15	0	44	0	57	1	87	1	115
COLLEGE OF LAKE COUNTY PD	0	0	15	0	44	1	56	0	88	1	115
DUPAGE COUNTY FOREST PRESERVE PD	0	0	15	0	44	1	56	0	88	1	115
EAST DUNDEE PD	3289	0	15	0	44	1	56	0	88	1	115
GRAND RIDGE PD	533	0	15	0	44	1	56	0	88	1	115
MARION PD (MCA)	17494	0	15	0	44	1	56	0	88	1	115
SOUTH BARRINGTON PD	4883	0	15	0	44	1	56	0	88	1	115
WEST DUNDEE PD	7405	0	15	0	44	1	56	0	88	1	115
CATLIN PD	2018	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
COAL VALLEY PD (MCA)	3748	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
DANVERS PD	1145	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
EDINBURG PD	1051	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
FINDLAY PD	660	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
FON DU LAC PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
GOLF PD	508	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
HUDSON PD	1863	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
LAKEWOOD PD	3800	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
NORTHFIELD PD	5497	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO PD	0	0	15	1	43	0	57	0	88	1	115
LAKE LAND COLLEGE PD	0	1	14	0	44	0	57	0	88	1	115
ALBANY PD	878	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ALBERS PD	1177	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ALEDO PD	3575	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ALEXIS PD (MCA)	815	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ALTON AND SOUTHERN RAILWAY PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ASHLAND PD	1278	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BANNOCKBURN PD	1573	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BARRINGTON HILLS PD (MCA)	4408	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BARRY PD	1271	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BELGIUM PD	400	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BELT RAILWAY PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BENEDICTINE UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BLACK HAWK COLLEGE AT KEWANEE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BLACK HAWK COLLEGE AT MOLINE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BLANDINSVILLE PD	623	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
BLUFFS PD	686	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CAMBRIDGE PD	2111	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CAMP POINT PD	1124	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILROAD PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CANTON PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CAPITAL AIRPORT PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CARROLL CO SO	5901	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CHENOA PD	3227	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
CHICAGO FIRE DEPARTMENT	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
COLCHESTER PD	1343	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
COLLEGE OF DUPAGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
DAVIS PD	647	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
DEER CREEK PD (MCA)	692	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
DEPUE PD	1743	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
DEWITT CO SO	7133	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
DIAMOND PD (MCA)	2495	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
DOWNS PD	995	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
EAST CARONDELET PD	476	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

ENERGY PD	1144	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
FAIRMOUNT PD	618	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
FITHIAN PD	470	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
FOREST VIEW PD	697	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
FREEPORT PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
GILBERTS PD	7727	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
GLASFORD PD	1009	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
GOODFIELD PD (MCA)	926	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
GRAFTON PD	657	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
GRANT PARK PD	1291	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
GRANTFORK PD	328	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
HAMPTON PD	1844	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
HENNING PD	246	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
HILLSDALE PD	510	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
HOMER PD	1210	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
HOPEDALE PD	855	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
IL DEPT NATURAL RESOURCES	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ILLINOIS CENTRAL COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
INDIANA HARBOR BELT RAILROAD PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
INDIANOLA PD	271	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
INVERNESS PD	7639	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
IRVING PD	480	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
JOHN WOOD COMMUNITY COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
KANKAKEE COMMUNITY COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
KENILWORTH PD	2574	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
KILDEER PD	3969	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
LADD PD	1241	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
LINCOLN LAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MALTA PD	1158	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MAPLE PARK PD (MCA)	1314	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MCCULLOM LAKE PD	1020	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MCHENRY CO COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MCHENRY CO CONSERVATION DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MILLEDGEVILLE PD	979	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

MILLIKIN UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MINOOKA PD (MCA)	11256	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MONEE PD	5093	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MONTGOMERY CO SO	11310	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MORAIN VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
MORTON COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
NAPERVILLE PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
NEW BADEN PD (MCA)	3323	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
NEW BERLIN PD	1357	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY PD - MACON CO	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY PD - MADISON CO	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
NORTHEASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
OAKTON COMMUNITY COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
OAKWOOD HILLS PD	2067	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
OLD SHAWNEETOWN PD	176	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ONARGA PD	1321	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PALOS HEIGHTS PD	12616	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PALOS PARK PD	4919	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PATOKA PD	564	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PEKIN PARK DISTRICT PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PEOTONE PD	4133	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PIERRON PD	507	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PLEASANT HILL PD	938	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PLEASANT PLAINS PD	804	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PLYMOUTH PD (MCA)	481	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
POTOMAC PD	724	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PRAIRIE GROVE PD	1868	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PROPHETSTOWN PD	1990	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
PUTNAM CO SO	4408	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
RALEIGH PD	347	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
RANKIN PD	540	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
RAYMOND PD	975	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116

Appendix IV: 2015 Uniform Crime Report Data Violence Crime by Police Agency

REND LAKE COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
RIDGE FARM PD	855	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
RIVERWOODS PD	3658	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
ROCKFORD METRO CENTRE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
RUMA PD	318	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
SCHUYLER CO SO	4176	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
SHANNON PD	709	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
SIDELL PD	592	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
SOUTH JACKSONVILLE PD	3256	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
SOUTH SUBURBAN COLLEGE PD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
SPRING GROVE PD	5712	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
STATE FIRE MARSHAL, SPRINGFIELD	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
STONINGTON PD	891	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
STRONGHURST PD	816	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
TONICA PD	738	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
TOWER LAKES PD	1261	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
TRI-COUNTY DRUG ENFORCEMENT NARCOTICS TEAM	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD POLICE AND SPECIAL SERVICES	0	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
VALMEYER PD	1261	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
WALNUT PD	1348	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
WAVERLY PD	1266	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
WAYNE PD (MCA)	2450	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
WEST CITY PD	651	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
WINNEBAGO PD	3008	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
WITT PD	868	0	15	0	44	0	57	0	88	0	116
Average		1		6		20		38		65	
Standard Deviation		18		53		355		488		913	
+1SD		19		60		375		526		978	
5*Average		5		31		99		189		324	

Notes:

Data source - Crime data: Illinois State Police, Illinois Uniform Crime Reporting program. Population data: U.S. Census Bureau

Year - Data reflect all 2015 violent index crimes reported to police. Data are presented by police agency.

Frequencies - Data reflect frequencies. Rankings are based purely on frequency of incidents, not rates, and are not intended to compare one jurisdiction to another.

Text colors - Blue denotes frequencies that are one standard deviation above the average for reporting police agencies. Green indicates frequencies 5 times the average for reporting police agencies.

Highlighted areas have been determined to be high risk areas.