

Extension of CTR

Valerio Perrone

March 10, 2017

1 Model

Assume there are K topics $\beta := \beta_{1:K}$ and that these are the same for users and items.

1. For each user i ,
 - (a) Draw topic proportions $\theta_i^u \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha)$.
 - (b) Draw item latent offset $\epsilon_i^u \sim N(0, \lambda_u^{-1} I_k)$ and set the item latent vector as $\mathbf{u}_i = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i^u + \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u$.
 - (c) For each word w_{im}^u .
 - i. Draw topic assignment $z_{im}^u \sim \text{Mult}(\theta^u)$.
 - ii. Draw word $w_{im}^u \sim \text{Mult}(\beta_{z_{im}^u})$.
2. For each item j ,
 - (a) Draw topic proportions $\theta_j^v \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha)$.
 - (b) Draw item latent offset $\epsilon_j^v \sim N(0, \lambda_v^{-1} I_k)$ and set the item latent vector as $\mathbf{v}_j = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j^v + \boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v$.
 - (c) For each word w_{jn}^v .
 - i. Draw topic assignment $z_{jn}^v \sim \text{Mult}(\theta)$.
 - ii. Draw word $w_{jn}^v \sim \text{Mult}(\beta_{z_{jn}^v})$.
3. For each user-item pair (i, j) , draw the rating $r_{ij} \sim N(\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{v}_j, c_{ij}^{-1})$.

2 Inference

This is an EM-style algorithm to learn MAP estimates. The goal is to maximize the complete log likelihood

$$L = -\frac{\lambda_u}{2} \sum_i (\mathbf{u}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u)^T (\mathbf{u}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u) - \frac{\lambda_v}{2} \sum_j (\mathbf{v}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v)^T (\mathbf{v}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v) \\ + \sum_i \sum_m \log(\sum_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_{ik}^u \beta_{k, w_{im}^u}) + \sum_j \sum_n \log(\sum_k \boldsymbol{\theta}_{jk}^v \beta_{k, w_{jn}^v}) - \sum_{i,j} \frac{c_{i,j}}{2} (r_{ij} - \mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{v}_j)^2.$$

The updates are the following:

1. Given $\boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u \rightarrow$ update \mathbf{u}_i and \mathbf{v}_j :

$$\mathbf{u}_i \leftarrow (VC_i V^T + \lambda_u I_k)^{-1} (VC_i R_i + \lambda_u \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u) \\ \mathbf{v}_j \leftarrow (UC_j U^T + \lambda_v I_k)^{-1} (UC_j R_j + \lambda_v \boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v)$$

where C_i is the diagonal matrix with c_{ij} on the diagonal and $R_i = (r_{ij})_{j=1}^J$ for user i .

Note: the following is only needed if we want to update $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

2. Given $U, V \rightarrow$ update $\boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u$ by projection gradient using the following¹:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v) \geq -\frac{\lambda_v}{2} (\mathbf{v}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v)^T (\mathbf{v}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_j^v) + \sum_n \sum_k \phi_{jnk}^v (\log \boldsymbol{\theta}_{jk}^v \beta_{k, w_{jn}^v} - \log \phi_{jnk}^v) \\ L(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u) \geq -\frac{\lambda_u}{2} (\mathbf{u}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u)^T (\mathbf{u}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^u) + \sum_m \sum_k \phi_{ink}^u (\log \boldsymbol{\theta}_{ik}^u \beta_{k, w_{im}^u} - \log \phi_{ink}^u)$$

given that the optimal ϕ_{jnk}^v , ϕ_{imk}^u and β satisfy:

$$\phi_{jnk}^v \propto \boldsymbol{\theta}_{jk}^v \beta_{k, w_{jn}^v} \\ \phi_{imk}^u \propto \boldsymbol{\theta}_{ik}^u \beta_{k, w_{im}^u} \\ \beta_{kw} \propto C_1 \sum_j \sum_n \phi_{jnk} 1[w_{jn} = w] + C_2 \sum_i \sum_m \phi_{imk} 1[w_{im} = w]$$

where C_1 and C_2 follow from Appendix A.4.1 in the Latent Dirichlet Allocation paper.

¹After defining ϕ_{jnk} as the probability that word z_{jn} (i.e. the n th word in document j) is assigned to topic k .