1 Set Theory

To demystify mathematics consider

- (i) What is a theorem?
- (ii) What is a proof?

What if we don't know the answer?

To begin we need

- (a) an example(s)
- (b) a nearly related concept

To dempetify mathematics, consider mathematics of Leonem? (i) What is a Proof? (ii) what is a Proof? What if we don't know what if we don't know the answer? To begin we need (a) an example (s) (b) a nearby related concept Related Concept: Greek Syllogism example:

- 1. All men are mortal.
- 2. Socrates is a man.
- 3. Therefore, Socrates must die.

To analyze, recast in set theoretic terms via Venn Diagram.

Pelated Concept:
Thek Syllogism
Example:
(1) All men are mortral
(1) All men are mortral
(2) fociates is a man
(2) fociates is a man
(3) fociates is a must die
(4) fociates is a must die
(5) fociates is a must die
(6) fociates is a must die
(7) fociates is a must die
(8) fociates is a must die
(9) fociates is a must die
(10) fociates is a must die
(11) fociates is a must die
(12) fociates is a must die
(13) fociates is a must die
(14) fociates is a must die
(15) fociates is a must die
(16) fociates is a must die
(17) fociates is a must die
(18) fociates is a must die
(1



S: Socrates

M: Set of Men

D: Things that will die

 \mathcal{U} : Things on Earth



S: sociates M: set of men D: things that die U: things on earth U: things on earth

- $\mathbf{2}$ Generate \mathbb{N}
- 3 From \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{R} via ordering
- Sequence and Limits 4

Theorem Suppose $a_n \to a$ and $b_n \to b$. Then $a_n + b_n \to a + b$ (and $\lambda a_n \to \lambda a$) and $a_n b_n \to a * b$

Theorem if $a_n \to a \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{a_n} \to \frac{1}{a}$

corollary if $a_n \to a$ and $b_n \to b \neq 0$ then $\frac{a_n}{b_n} \to \frac{a}{b}$

Them & pse an -> a and

Them & pse an -> a and

be then

and the and

(and $\lambda a_n \rightarrow \lambda a$)

(and $\lambda a_n \rightarrow a$.b.

The Mandato,

then on a a to

Contather of and a then

and by and then

and by and then

A method of proving corner:

monotone sequences

Lat $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of reals. We say $\{a_n\}$ is <u>monotonic</u> if either $a_1 \leq a_2 ... \leq a_a \leq ...$ or else $a_1 \geq a_2 ... \geq a_a \geq ...$ In the first case $\{a_n\}$ is said to be (monotone) non-decreasing and in the second case it is (monotone) <u>non-increasing</u>. <u>Theorem</u> suppose a_n is monotonic and <u>bowled</u>. Then it conveys in R.

monotone beguences

Monotone beguences

Let (an) be a seq freals.

Let (an) be a seq freals.

Mexably work either

Mexably work either

And for else

a, 7, an 7,

<u>Theorem</u> Let $\{a_n\}$ be monotonic in R. Then in R_{∞}

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \begin{cases} \sup \left\{ a_k \right\} & if a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \le \dots \\ \inf \left\{ a_k \right\} & if a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \dots \end{cases}$ $\underline{\text{Pf:}} \text{ W l.o.g suppose } a_1 \le a_2 \le \text{take away } b < \text{suppose} a_k \text{ Then } \exists k < \infty s.t.b < \infty s.t.b < \infty s.t.b < \infty s.t.b < \infty s.t.b$ $\{a_k\}$. For all $n \geq k, a_n \geq a_n$ so $a_n > b$. But also a_n/leq suppose $\{a_k\}$

Theorem Let $\{a_n\}$ be any sequence in $\mathbb R$ then $\exists 1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < ...s.t. a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, a_{n_3}, ...$ is monotonic (i.e. a_n has a monotonic sub sequence)

Pf: Either $a_1 \leq a_n$ for infinity many n > 1. Applying to each aj Let $J = \{j \geq 1 : a_n \geq a_j \text{ for infinity many } n > j\}$ Cosel $|J| = \infty$ Let $n_1 = \text{smallest } j \in J \ \exists n_2 > n_1 \text{ with } n_2/inJ, ... \text{ ete get } n_k$

Then Let (an) beary soging
Then I = n, < nz < ... s.t.

On, and any has a monotonic

(i.e. fan has a monotonic

where the any north

for into a zon for

not the any north

Any many north

Any many north

Any many north

Any many north

Casel | J = &

I my many

I my many

Casel | J = &

I my many

I my many

Casel | J = &

I my many

I my many

Casel | J = &

I my many

I my my

I my

and $a_{n_1} \le a_{n_2} \le ...$

Case 2 $|J| < \infty$ take away $n_1 >$ one and max. $j \leftarrow J$

By construction, if n_2 is large enough $n_2 > n_1$ and $a_{n_2} < a_{n_1}$ By induction, having constructed $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k$ p.t. $a_{n_1} > a_{n_2} > \ldots > a_{n_k}$ $\exists n_{k+1} > n_k$ s.t. $a_{n_{k+1}} < a_{n_k}$

and so the theorem holds.

Case 2 | J| Cosend Take any n, > many By construction, of n2 son as large evoral n2 son and an < an By induction, having constructed n, < n2 < ... < 2 p.t. and an > ... - > an p.t. an > an > ... - > an and so the Thin holds and so the Thin holds

- 5 Limit and Convergence
- 6 Infinite Series
- 7 Metric Spaces Part 1
- 8 Metric Spaces Part 2