

This is a TLA+ module that asserts some simple mathematical formulas to be true. Each formula is preceded by the TLA+ keyword `ASSUME`, which means that it is to be taken as an assumption. *TLC* checks that assumptions are valid, so it can be used to check the truth of formulas.

You can modify this file and run *TLC* to check your own formulas. However, observe the following constraints:

- Use only the built-in TLA operators, which are listed in Tables 1 and 2 of the book. (To use others, you either have to add their definitions or the TLA+ statements that import their definitions from other modules.)
- The only variables you should use are bound variables—for example, the ones introduced by existential quantification.
- In your formulas, you can use natural numbers (0, 1, 2, ...), strings like “abc”, and the values *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f*, and *g*, which *TLC* will interpret as arbitrary values that are unequal to each other and to any other value.
- Use only bounded quantifiers; *TLC* cannot handle the unbounded quantifiers. (It also cannot handle the unbounded `CHOOSE` operator).

This file contains a number of `ASSUME` statements. They could be replaced by a single `ASSUME` that assumes the conjunction of all the formulas, but using separate *ASSUMEs* makes it easier to locate an error.

Note: Table 8 tells you how to type all the symbols that do not have obvious *ASCII* equivalents.

CONSTANTS *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *f*, *g*

This statement declares the values *a*, ..., *g* so they can be used in formulas.

This example shows how you can check propositional logic tautologies.

ASSUME

$\forall F, G \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\} : (F \Rightarrow G) \equiv \neg F \vee G$

Here is an example showing how you can check that a formula is NOT a tautology of propositional logic.

ASSUME

$\neg \forall F, G \in \{\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\} : (F \vee G) \Rightarrow (F \wedge G)$

The following examples illustrate the operators of set theory.

ASSUME

$\{1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3\} = \{3, 1, 1, 2\}$

ASSUME

$\{1, 2\} \cup \{2, 3, 4\} = \{5, 4, 3, 2, 1\} \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

ASSUME

$\{1, 3\} \subseteq \{3, 2, 1\}$

ASSUME

$$\{a, b, c\} \setminus \{c\} = \{a, b\}$$

ASSUME

$$\{a, b\} \in \{\{a, b\}, c, \{d, e\}\}$$

The following defines *SomeSets* to be the set of all subsets of the set $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$. The ASSUME that follows shows how you can use this set to have *TLC* check that a property of sets hold for all the sets in *SomeSets*. (This doesn't imply that the property is valid for all sets, but it's likely to discover if the property is not valid.)

$$SomeSets \triangleq \text{SUBSET } \{a, b, c, d, e\}$$

ASSUME

$$\forall S, T \in SomeSets : (S \subseteq T) \equiv S = (S \cap T)$$