Hybrid Information Extraction Systems for Open Data

Class 3: Rule-Based IE

Pablo Ariel Duboue, PhD

Curso de Posgrado Cs. de la Computacion FaMAF-UNC



Why Rules?

- Understandable
 - Debuggable
- ► Continuous Quality Improvement

Key: Rule Language

- Two approaches:
 - 1. Regular Expressions
 - 2. Wrapper-induction

Example

```
// his father was
Rule: relative_parent2
(
    ({Person}}+ :person_self
    ({Token.string == "father"} | {Token.string == "mother"})
    ({Token.string == ","})*
    ({Person}}+ :person_parent
    ({Token.string == ","})*
    {Token.string == ","})*
    {Token.string == "was"}
)
}

progenie.L.addFact("c-relative",
    new Object[]{"person_self",
        progenie.L.getClassStrings(inputAS, bindings, "person_self")},
    new Object[]{"person_parent",
        progenie.L.getClassStrings(inputAS, bindings, "person_parent")});
}
```

Rule Drawbacks

- Costly
- Evaluation still requires annotation
- Tempting of not doing any annotation
 - Big mistake

Regular Expression Rules for IE

- ► REs are quintessential CS
- REs over types seems the right tool for the job

R.E. Rules Example

```
Phase ProGenIE
Input: THEME TARGET LOCATION
Rule r1
  ({THEME}) : person
  {TARGET string == "born"}
  ({LOCATION}) : loc
-->
    System.out.println("Born | event");
    gate AnnotationSet person = (gate AnnotationSet)bindings get("person");
    gate . Annotation Set | loc = (gate . Annotation Set) bindings . get("loc");
    System . out . println ("Person:"+
      ((Annotation) person_iterator()_next())_getFeatures()_get("string"));
    System out println ("Loc:"+
      ((Annotation) oc. iterator().next()).getFeatures().get("string"));
}
```

JAPE

- ► The Java Annotations Pattern Engine
- Regular Expressions (finite state transduction) over GATE annotations.
- DSL with rules:
 - LHS with patterns over annotations and their features
 - RHS is Java code (compiled on-the-fly using tools.jar in the JDK distribution)

https://gate.ac.uk/sale/thakker-jape-tutorial/index.html



Example

```
Phase: Event
Input: Token Lookup Location Organization Date Job Title Person
Options: control=appelt
Rule: e r1
(({Token category = "DT"})?
  (({Organization})+)?
  (({Token category == "JJ"})*
    ({Token.string == "chemical"}{Token.string == "weapons"})
    ({Token.string == "actions"}|{Token.string == "action"}|
     {Token_string == "attacks"}|{Token_string == "attack"})
  {Token string == "against"}
  ({Token_category == "DT"})?
  (({Location})+|
   ({Organization})+|
   (({Lookup majorType == citizenship})+
    ({Token.string == "forces"}|{Token.string == "force"}|{Token.string == "army
    {Token string = "troops"}|{Token string == "soldiers"}|{Token string == "n
    Token string == "interests" } | { Token string == "citizens" } | { Token string ==
    Token string == "embassies" | Token string == "consulate" | Token string =
    {Token.string == "diplomat"}|{Token.string == "diplomats"}))|
   (({Location})+ {Token string == "'s"}
    {Token string == "secret"}{Token string == "service"}{Token string == "head
   ): target
 ):target
( {Token.string = "in"} (({Location})+) :place )?
( ({Token.string = "in"}|{Token.string == "during"}) (({Date})+) :date )?
) event --> { /* */ }
```

RefO

- Regular Expressions for Objects
 - Developed in Cordoba by Machinalis
 - Python
 - The base of one of the IEPy subsystems

https://github.com/machinalis/refo/

RefO Example

```
class W(Predicate):
    def __init__(self, token=".*", pos=".*"):
        self.token = re.compile(token + "$")
        self.pos = re.compile(pos + "$")
        super(W, self).__init__(self.match)
    def match(self. word):
        m1 = self.token.match(word.token)
        m2 = self.pos.match(word.pos)
        return m1 and m2
rules = [
    Rule (condition=W(pos="NUMBER") + W(pos="UNITS_FEET"),
          action=feet to mt).
    Rule(condition=Plus(W(token="[^A-Z].*", pos="NNP")).
          action = capitalize_name),
sentence = "My | PRP ufriend | NN u john | NNP usmith | NNP u is | VBZ u 2 | NUMBER u" + \
            "feet | UNITS_FEET ...taller | JJR ...than | IN ...mary | NNP ... Jane | NNP "
sentence = [Word(*x.split("|")) for x in sentence.split()]
for rule in rules:
  rule.apply(sentence)
```

- ► A framework for building Information Extraction systems using two approaches:
 - Active Learning (annotate and learn, no coding necessary)
 - Rule-based (using RefO)
- Developed by Machinalis in collaboration with the NLP Group at UNC-FaMAF

https://github.com/machinalis/iepy

IEPy Example

```
@rule(True)
def born date in parenthesis (Subject. Object):
   Ex: Gary Sykes (Born 13 February 1984) is a British super featherweight boxer.
    anvthing = Star(Anv())
    born = Star(Pos(":")) + Question(Token("Born") | Token("born")) + \
      Question (Token ("c."))
    entity leftover = Star(Pos("NNP"))
    return Subject + entity_leftover + Pos("-LRB-") + born + Object + Pos("-RRB-") + \
           anything
@rule(True)
def born_two_dates_in_parenthesis(Subject, Object):
   Ex: James Cunningham (born 1973 or 1974) is a Canadian stand-up comedian and
        TV host.
    0.00
    anvthing = Star(Anv())
    born = Question(Token("Born") | Token("born"))
    entity_leftover = Star(Pos("NNP"))
    subject = Subject + entity leftover
    or_object = (Object + Token("or") + Pos("CD") |
                 Pos("CD") + Token("or") + Object)
    return subject + Pos("-LRB-") + born + or object + Pos("-RRB-") + anything
```

Drawbacks

- Corner cases will kill your program
- They work, and there are competing approaches

Anchor-based Rules for IE

- Alternative to transducing the whole sequence of characters / tokens / annotations
- Identify key elements that are rare enough and reliable as a start for processing
 - Anchors
- Structure the processing around further conditions around these elements
 - The context around the anchor can then be modeled using REs

Wrapper Induction

- Anchor-based IE is rooted in Nicholas Kushmeric thesis from 1997 UW
 - Wrapper Induction for Information Extraction
 - The wrapper induction uses inductive learning
- Learning to extract information from Web pages
 - Kushmeric uses "Information Extraction" to refer to what we now call Web scraping

Example

from Kushmeric (1997), Figure 4.1 (a)

RuTA at Length

- ► Slides from GSCL 2013 by Peter Klügl
 - https://uima.apache.org/downloads/gscl2013/2013-GSCL-Ruta.pdf

Rule Induction

- WHISK
- ► LP²

Generalities

- ► The key aspect of rule-learning algorithms is the rule-language used
- Many algorithms start from a training instance and generalize it following different criteria
- They then evaluate the different generalizations
 - Keeping a good balance of generalization power and correctness

WHISK

- "Learning Information Extraction Rules for Semi-structured and Free Text" by Stephen Soderland (Machine Learning, 1999)
- Simple "regular expression like" language (bounded * operator)
- Applicable to both limited IE and Web scraping
- 1245 citations
- Algorithm selects which instances to ask for annotation

WHISK Rule Language

- Wilcard
- Words or lists of words
- Capture groups
 - Words
 - Digits
 - Numbers
- * (Digit) 'BR' * '\$' (Number)
 - Renovated Apt 2BR available imm \$2500 OBO

WHISK Algorithm

```
WHISK(Reservoir)
  RuleSet = NULL
  Training = NULL
  Repeat at user's request
    Select a batch of NewInst from Reservoir
    (User tags the NewInst)
    Add NewInst to Training
    Discard rules with errors on NewInst
    For each Inst in Training
      For each Tag of Inst
        If Tag is not covered by RuleSet
          Rule = GROW_RULE(Inst, Tag, Training)
  Prune RuleSet
```

- ► "Adaptive Information Extraction from Text by Rule Induction and Generalisation" by Fabio Ciravegna (IJCAI, 2001)
- Learn to insert tags by generalizing examples
 - Generalizing using linguistic information
 - ► Part-of-speech
 - Lists of terms (e.g., titles)
 - Open and close tags by separate rules
- Keep only high precision rules
 - Improve over less precise rules by keeping context

LP² Rule Language

Word	Condition					Action
index	Word	Lemma	LexCat	Case	SemCat	Tag
3		at				<time></time>
4			digit			
5					timeid	

From Ciravegna (2001), Table 2

- Plus correction rules
 - Similar to the base rules but change an existing tag



LP² Algorithm

```
Loop for instance in initial-instances
unless already-covered(instance)
loop for rule in generalise(instance)
test(rule)
if best-rule?(rule)
then insert(rule, bestrules)
cover(rule, initial-instances)
else loop for tag in tag-list
if test-in-context(rule, tag,:right)
then select-contxtl(rule, tag,:right)
if test-in-context(rule, tag,:left)
then select-contxtl(rule, tag,:left)
```

Apache RuTA
Rule Induction
End-to-End Example


From Ciravegna (2001), Figure 3

RuTA in the End-to-End Case Study

- Installing RuTA Workbench:
 - Old version of Eclipse (Mars)
 - Set up a sandbox to use with the tooling
 - The sandbox project depends on the main (Maven) project
 - Copy the rules scripts when satisfied


Installation

► Eclipse > Help > Install New Software...




Installation (cont.)

► File > New > Project...



Installation (cont.)

▶ (might need to install egit from m2e marketplace)



Installation (cont.)

- On checkout, Eclipse might complain the generated clases are not found
- Right click on the project and select Maven in the contextual menu
 - Choose "Update project..."
 - Use default options
- Switch to RuTA Workbench perspective:
 - Window > Perspective > Open Perspective > Other... (select UIMA RuTA)
- Create sandbox project:
 - ► File > New > UIMA RuTA Project...
 - Set name to OctroySandbox
- Set the dependency:
 - Select the sandbox project and go to Project > Preferences > Project References
 - Check octroy



Sandbox Project

- ► Right click on script folder > New > UIMA RuTA Package
 - org.ie4opendata.octroy
- Open the package and right click on octroy > New > UIMA RuTA File
 - Reason.ruta
- Copy the dev100 into input

Types being Extracted

► Implement the baseline reason rules in RuTA

Some Rules

- CW+ @CompanyTrailing{->MARK(Company,1,2)};
- "la" "firme" W+{-CONTAINS(CompanyTrailing)} CompanyTrailing{->MARK(Company,3,4)};
- Company "concernant" ANY[2,50]{-REGEXP("[.,;]")->MARK(Reason)};

Evaluation

- RuTA Workbench has an evaluation environment
- Needs output XMI files
 - Executes the script and compare them to the annotated files
 - XMI files can be annotated using the UIMA Eclipse tooling