

Validation of Everyday Knowledge: The role of Consensus and Perceived Heterogeneity

Lopes, Diniz ¹ Vala , Jorge ² Drozda-Senkowska , Ewa ³ Oberlé, Dominique ⁴

TO CITE

Lopes, D., Vala, J., Drozda-Senkowska, E., & Oberlé, D. (2023). Validation of Everyday Knowledge: The role of Consensus and Perceived Heterogeneity. *Proceedings of the Paris Institute for Advanced Study*, *19*. https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO_2016_10_validation-of-everyday-knowledge-the-role-of-consensus-and-perceived-heterogeneity

PUBLICATION DATE 17/11/2016

ABSTRACT

Tribute to Serge Moscovici. Paris IAS, 17-18 November 2016 - Session 3

In this EASP Small Group Meeting in Honor of Serge Moscovici, we aim at presenting studies that we have been conducting to analyze the role of consensus and group heterogeneity on the perceived validity of everyday knowledge, as well as its moderators, mediators, and present

¹ SCTE-IUL, CIS-IUL, Lisbon, Portugal

² Instituto de Ciências Sociais, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

³ Université René Descartes, France

⁴ Paris-Ouest-Nanterre-la-Défense University, France

Lopes, D., Vala, J., Drozda-Senkowska, E., & Oberlé, D. (2023). Validation of Everyday Knowledge: The role of Consensus and Perceived Heterogeneity. *Proceedings of the Paris Institute for Advanced Study*, 19. https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO_2016_10_validation-of-everyday-knowledge-the-role-of-consensus-and-perceived-heterogeneity

new avenues of research. The general framework guiding these investigations is rooted in the system and metasystem dichotomy introduced by Moscovici in 1976, and other developments as the social representations theory (@moscovici_phenomenon_1984) and its articulation with the groups' shared knowledge framework (@levine_shared_2001).

In everyday life, people and groups (i.e., juries, staff, work teams, committees) are called to construct knowledge and meaning about reality in order to make decisions, judgements or form opinions that are important to regulate social life and the ways individuals relate with each other and with society in general (@lopes_validation_2014). However, knowing that group decisions and opinions are valid is very important not only to people involved in the discussion process, but also to people outside the decision group and who are affected by these decisions in real life. Moreover, the assurance of perceived validity in everyday decisions and opinions is one of the fundamental dimensions of cultural life (@kluckhohn_values_1951) and can be associated with people's epistemic needs. More importantly, the perception of validity in everyday knowledge appears to be a basic human motivation (@festinger_theory_1954).

Lopes, D., Vala , J., Drozda-Senkowska , E., & Oberlé, D. (2023). Validation of Everyday Knowledge: The role of Consensus and Perceived Heterogeneity. *Proceedings of the Paris Institute for Advanced Study*, 19. https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO_2016_10_validation-of-everyday-knowledge-the-role-of-consensus-and-perceived-heterogeneity

Validation of Everyday Knowledge The role of Consensus and Perceived Heterogeneity

Bibliography

Bohner, G., Dykema-Engblade, A., Tindale, R. S., & Meisenhelder, H. (2008). Framing of majority/minority source information and persuasion: When and how "consensus implies correctness. *Social Psychology*, *39*, 108–116.

Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, 7, 117–140.

Galam, S., & Moscovici, S. (1991). Towards a theory of collective phenomena: Consensus and attitude changes in groups. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 21, 49–74.

Goethals, G. R., & Klein, W. M. (2000). Interpreting and inventing social reality: Attributional and constructive elements in social comparison. In J. Suls & L. Wheeler (Eds.), *The handbook of social comparison: Theory and research* (pp. 23–44). Plenum.

Goethals, G. R., & Darley, J. M. (1977). Social comparison theory: An attributional approach. In J. M. Suls & R. L. Miller (Eds.), *Social comparison processes: Theoretical and empirical perspectives* (pp. 259–278). Hemisphere.

Kluckhohn, C. K. (1951). Values and value orientations in the theory of action. In T. Parsons & E. A. Shils (Eds.), *Toward a general theory of action* (pp. 388–433). Harvard University Press.

Levine, J. M., & Higgins, E. T. (2001). Shared reality and social influence in groups and organizations. In F. Butera & G. Mugny (Eds.), *Social influence in social reality: Promoting individual and social change* (pp. 33–52). Hogrefe & Huber Publishers.

Lopes, D., Vala, J., & Oberlé, D. (n.d.). Differential impact of independent and interdependent views of the self on the use of consensus and heterogeneity information: The case of validity of groups' decisions. Social Science Information.

Lopes, D., Carrega, A., & Oberlé, D. (n.d.). *Perceived task independence or interdependence: A new mechanism of validation of groups' outcomes?*

Lopes, D., Vala, J., & Garcia-Marques, L. (2007). Social validation of knowledge: Heterogeneity and consensus functionality. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research and Practice*, 11, 223–239.

Lopes, V., Oberlé, & Drozda-Senkowska. (2014). Validation of group decisions: Why and when perceived group heterogeneity is relevant. *Revue Internationale de Psychologie Sociale*, 27, 35–49.

Lopes, D., Oberlé, D., & Vasiljevic, D. (n.d.). *Validation of groups' outcomes: Processing heterogeneity and consensus information with differential cognitive resources.*

Miller, N., Gross, S., & Holtz, R. (1991). Social projection and attitudinal certainty. In J. Suls & T. A. Wills (Eds.), *Social comparison: Contemporary theory and research* (pp. 177–209). Lawrence Erlbaum.

Moscovici, S., & Doise, W. (1992). Dissension et consensus. Seuil.

Moscovici, S. (1984). The phenomenon of social representations. In R. M. Farr & S. Moscovici (Eds.), *Social representations* (pp. 3–69). Cambridge University Press.

Moscovici, S. (1976). La psychanalyse, son image et son public. PUF.

Vala, J., Garcia-Marques, L., Gouveia-Pereira, M., & Lopes, D. (1998). Validation of polemical social representations: Introducing the intergroup differentiation of homogeneity. *Social Science Information*, *37*, 469–492.

Wilder, D. A. (1978). Homogeneity of jurors: The majority's influence depends upon their perceived independence. *Law and Human Behavior*, *2*, 363–376.

Wilder, D. A. (1977). Perception of groups, size of opposition, and social influence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 13, 253–268.