

# 8 Days at ICA 4 First session in

Oraee, Atrina

PUBLICATION DATE 12/7/2021

KEYWORDS

Artificial Intelligence	Data science	Deep learning	Responsability	Algorithms	Language	Robots	

ABSTRACT

This 4th edition of the ICA hosted by the Paris Institute for Advanced Studies (Paris IAS) from October 18 to 27, 2021, expleinterdisciplinary issues at the intersection of cognitive science, neuroscience and artificial intelligence. Decisive advances hover the last decades in the analysis of brain activity and its behavioral counterparts, as well as in information processing scomplementarities between neuroscience/cognitive science and artificial intelligence allow us to explore synergies and raise between these disciplines, which present considerable challenges and opportunities for the progress of society.

#### Introduction

The Intercontinental Academia (ICA) creates a global network of future resea which some of the very best young academics work together on paradigm-s disciplinary research, mentored by eminent researchers from across the globe.

The ICA was established in 2016 through the University-Based Institutes for Ac (UBIAS) coalition which has 44 member institutes around the world (<u>Cordelois</u>,

During each edition of Intercontinental Academia, participants get together in over the course of one year.

Previous editions of ICA have focused on "Time", "Human Dignity" and "Laws Dynamics".

The 4th edition of the ICA explores the complementarities between artificial in neuro/cognitive-science and the tremendous challenges and opportunities thumanity. Fellows and mentors initially met online and in cyberspace, and now Paris, from October 18 to 27. They shall meet again in cyberspace in the next fe then finally, in Belo Horizonte in Brazil next June.

The first session, hosted by Paris Institute for Advanced Study (Paris IAS), inclu 10-days of scientific sessions, discussion forums as well as scientific exchang Paris Saclay, Sorbonne Center for Artificial Intelligence and Ecole Normale Supé

Each day at the <u>Paris IAS</u>, <u>ICA4 Fellows</u> meet with their <u>Mentors</u> for a closed 3 during which two mentors launch the discussion with a presentation. Upon cor



seminar, the Fellows then meet for 45 minutes to list the key takeaways and it emerged from the discussion, followed by a collective brainstorming session that the output of collective intelligence is collected, formatted and capitalised.

The other half of the day is left free for participants to reflect on the scientific small groups. Such discussions are occasionally complemented by lectures from

## Day 1: "The future needs wisdom!"

The very first lecture of ICA4 - Session 1 came from <u>Robert Zatorre</u> who too fascinating world of music while explaining the relationship between perceptio and pleasure!

This was followed by another lecture that introduced a rather different perspect was presented by *Eliezer Rabinovici*. The lecture mostly explored the cc scientific enquiries and methods in the context of AI.

Before leaving for cocktails and welcome speeches in the chambers of Paris lecture was given by <u>Helga Nowotny</u>, who emphasised an urgent need for context-sensitive AI control system.

### Perception, prediction, and pleasure: What can music tea about neurocognition/intelligence?

Presented by **Robert Zatorre** 

It was stated during the seminar that the brain represents the properties of the and guides behaviour through evaluation and reward. Aesthetic pleasure can be phylogenetically older system that is centred on the striatum.

Moreover, results of the relation between connectivity of the auditory cortex wit and several behavioural results were presented (e.g. related to amusia, anhedonia). Dynamic causal modelling and predictive coding frameworks have t as possible explanations of the relationship between learning and reward in mus make the rewards evolve from a biological event to the expectation of the event.

Through the post-seminar collective discussions, the relevance of affective (pleasure and fear) in learning was emphasised. Discussions concluded with a ended question, leaving ICA4 Fellows wondering about whether or not AI is similar system for learning, and how should the reward and punishment be downward. Maybe AI does not need to understand or experience human emotions; it just not like a human by capturing the features of a dataset that correctly describes the best of the state of the properties of the state of the properties of the properti



#### High Energy Physics: Successes, Challenges and Magic

#### Presented by Eliezer Rabinovici

It was discussed that observing natural phenomena can motivate scientific enquisitions to understand the unknown. Moreover, equations are a way to increase However, a single, compact and reductionist explanation for all phenomena in may not necessarily exist. The scientific method requires that results are represented principle requires that new theories can explain all phenomena preceding theory was valid. To understand a phenomenon, one has to identify players and determine the correct explanation scale.

# In AI We Trust: Power, illusion and control of predictive algorithms

#### Presented by Helga Nowotny

The session began with introducing the concept of singularity and defining i point: a change of state that can lead to the collapse of a system. In an atte Ethical AI, examples such as Transhumanism (ideas of transcending the limitatio body through information sharing) were discussed. Furthermore, the illusion t humans better than humans know themselves was elaborated, ultimately c mentioning the existence of a possibility for human beings to both profit or suff system depending on how it is applied.

"The future needs wisdom": an urgent need to institutionalise context-sensitivity creating a standardised system to control AI, was discussed and collectively delead to further debates regarding the concentration of technology advance deteriorating impact on inequality. Thus, a global agreement is necessary to although it is currently almost impossible to obtain! Therefore, we should ed child of humanity that can grow to contribute to society. AI research is undertagenessive scale that it requires global efforts which go beyond a single country, paid by society, and their curiosity-led work should return to society as a whole.

## Day 2: "In AI we trust"...or not!\*\*

The ICA4 continued onto the second day, through which three seminars too mentors who had joined the first session in Paris from around the world!



The first lecture was by <u>Robert Aumann</u>, a Nobel prize laureate, who fo convoluted concept of consciousness and its counterparts.

This was followed by a lecture from <u>Karen Yeung</u>, who offered a rather critical on the prevalence of AI, as well as some of its surrounding myths and miscor then went on to explain how responsibility should be re-defined to consider t impact(s) of AI in human societies.

Finally, <u>Raouf Boucekkine</u> took the fellows for an exploration into the world of  $\epsilon$  finance, using the concept of equilibrium as an example to illustrate the difference disciplines: mainstream economics VS. statistical physics!

• Why Consciousness?

Presented by Robert Aumann

Essentially, the seminar was focused on the purpose which conscious Consciousness was defined as the ability to do the following:

- Perceive
- Feel (emotions)
- Think/intend
- Carry out intentions (volition)

Of all the above, perceiving, thinking/intending, and carrying out intentions material machines. However, feelings and emotions belong exclusively to human bein context, it may be argued that the evolutionary function of consciousness is operation of emotions. This being said, we currently have no idea aboronsciousness work. Although considerable progress has been made in AI, Artif (AE) has remained rather untouched.

# Myths and misunderstandings about responsibility for th unintended impact of AI

Presented by Karen Yeung

The talk mostly focused on responsibility for the unintended impact of Artificia based on the presenter's Council of Europe study. It was argued that Machine Le capacity to enable task automation and machine "autonomy" raise important quesponsibility. Thus, responsibility-relevant attributes of ML were identified, illustration is the data-driven profiling of individuals, and other ML application hold adverse impacts on human rights, on both individual and collective levels.

While responsibility is important for human beings, who are considered as more maintain peaceful social co-operation within the community, only a few studies



on tackling the fundamental role of responsibility for individuals, as well as the

The impacts produced by complex socio-technical systems using ML technical generated a range of concerns that fall under the heading of "algorithmic rights while existing laws have an important role to play in ensuring the accalgorithmic systems, the implications of these technologies for their interference rights need to be studied further. This has been the primary focus of Karen Yeun

In a nutshell, two dimensions of responsibility are required:

- Historic or retrospective responsibility: responsibility for conduct and events that of past
- Prospective responsibility: roles and tasks that look to the future
  Finally, five common myths and misunderstandings concerning responsit unintended adverse impacts of AI were identified:
- Need for effective and legitimate mechanisms to protect human rights from AI app
- Identifications of the appropriate responsibility model for allocating, distributing at the various threats and risks
- Responsibility of states to ensure that these policy choices are made in a tr democratic manner, in order to effectively protect human rights.
- Need for more interdisciplinary research
- Application of the fundamental principle of reciprocity so as not to allow those and run our advanced digital technologies and systems to increase and exercis without responsibility.

# Data science and deep learning vs theory: two examples economics and finance

#### Presented by **Raouf Boucekkine**

The session included discussions on Data Science, Machine Learning (ML), and theories in the field of economics and finance that share common discip examples from macroeconomics, in which characteristics of the underlying m complex systems are of great interest, were then discussed in more detail. In s misunderstanding between different disciplines was highlighted: the concept of of great significance in mainstream macroeconomics, whereas this is not the case physics (e.g., the "equilibrium" bias outside the econ area, discussed by Boucekkine (2020)). Finally, the use of various methods and approaches, si (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium), ABM (Agent-Based Modeling). Network-Based methods, in the field of macroeconomics were discussed.



# Day 3: "What you do FOR people, you do T people, so do it WITH people!"

Day 3 of the first session of ICA4 continued in Paris IAS, where the Fellow three more scientific seminars, followed by discussions and brainstorming session

The day kicked off by a framework proposed by <u>Saadi Lahlou</u>, called "Installa which enables scientists to analyse and regulate human behaviour. This was con a new technique to capture the subjective perception of action, ultima psychological and behavioural sciences one step closer to what was once technically impossible task: introspection!

<u>William Hopkins</u> then joined the discussions with some stimulating videos from on apes, while exploring self-recognition and social cognition in animals.

Finally, Toshio Fukuda revealed the Moonshot project: a society where humans a together in 2050!

#### Distributed Intelligence & Distributed Agency

#### Presented by **Saadi Lahlou**

We want intelligence to perform relevantly adapted actions that change the situate we are for the better. To design intelligence, we must first understand the natural activity. In this sense, the behaviour was defined as what people do, seen from tother words, behaviour remains an external description of objective phenomena. activity is how people subjectively perceive their action and how they see it fit perspective.

Installations consist of components that simultaneously support and control. Ir they are specific, local, and societal settings where humans are expected to behave.g., airport, metro, cash machine, etc. Installations consist of three layers: affor physical environment, embodied competencies and social regulations. Intelligible distributed over these three layers.

The question now is: why do we have these installations? Because installations of our behaviours and consequently make us very efficient, although our short cognitive processing are very limited compared to animals. Installations are r redundancy produces resilience and learning.

Moreover, certain questions on designing trade-off issues were raised: whic whom? AI agent? what kind of competence for the AI? What affordances? What



degree of awareness? To whom does the agent report? How is it evaluated? He in "privacy dilemma." In other words, for better service, one must disclose inform an "agency dilemma"? Can we make it explicit? Because the agency is districted responsibility is shared. It means that we now have the "many hands" pricredentials for AI were suggested, which include values (what does it try ownership (who takes responsibility for its actions), principles of action (rule domain of awareness and action), track record (list of transactions executed, it training).

To conclude, ICA4 Fellows were left with some questions as food for thou activity, do we want to augment existing agents with more agency? If so, Humans? Material objects? Social system? New agents? Who learns what? What want to foster? What do we want AI for must be addressed for each activity, sta activity and discussed?

## Perspective on Artificial Intelligence research from studi Agency, self-recognition and social cognition in animals

#### Presented by William Hopkins

The session began by discussing humans constructed concepts to reflect intellect various domains of cognitive functions. In this sense, we use tests like the WA Binet to quantify and scale performance to standards for specific age classes. Theavily on language. There are many approaches to developing fair tests of cognispecies with different sensory and motor capabilities. It began with Darwin and I George Romanes (1884) focused on animal intelligence and later on, Kohler (19 learning. Within the same field, Robert Yerkes (1916) worked on "The mental li and apes: a study of ideational behavior". Yerkes later developed the IQ test use in WW1 (army alpha test).

Upon drawing on some of the literature, several videos were played, in which all various tasks including retrieving a peanut in the bottom of a tube followed by an ape imitating a human, and so on. Several animals passed the mark test. E.g., a yellow stick in their neck can identify it and try to remove using the m parcellation of chimpanzee brain - compared to humans, the ones that passed differences in some cortices. Grey matter differences between MSR+ vs. MS analysed the anterior cingulate since such neurones are rather long and connectingulate with the insula.

Moreover, results from studies that showed that human children outperformed social, but not physical, cognition tasks were presented and discussed. Much lik in AI, Most early comparative studies of cognition and intelligence were stron associative learning theory. However, associative or operant theories of learning notoriously anti-cognitive. In the 1960s, there were attempts to reach ap



communication systems. The goal of the ape language studies was to deter language is uniquely human. The answer depends on how we define language.

However, is it language? There is very little evidence for declarative production the TV, give me an onion) in communication signals by primates and other anim question is: are social stimuli rewarding? For chimpanzees, yes. Experiment: tot to see other chimpanzees or another button to see random animals. The chimp the button to see other chimps. Thus, the role of reward guides the learning and animals. Although animal cognition is often used to explain animal behaviour explained by an associative learning mechanism.

#### AI and Robots for Future: The Moon Shot Project

Presented by **Toshio Fukuda** 

Robots are avatars that pop up to help when humans need them. There is an in physical interaction between robots and humans. Toshio showed several mult e.g., monkey-type robots, multi-locomotion, intelligent cane, etc. One of these Brachiator I-III. Brachiation is a form of long-armed ape locomotion. It uses dy pendulum, under-actuated mechanical system, variable constraint system, mac AI, reinforce learning, soft computing (fuzzy, genetic algorithm). Regarding mu types, in many cases, one creature has multiple types of locomotion in order mobility. The motivation of their study is to develop a robot mechanism architecture that can achieve multiple locomotions. Hybrid computational intelli and brain interface were also commented upon by the speaker while showir related videos. An example of such videos illustrated the Boston dynamics atl three robots dancing and jumping which was quite impressive!

Moreover, AI+Robot+IoT (Internet of Things), the use of robots in megaurbanisation, food, ageing, global warming, robot, and AI) were discussed. This by further discussions on autonomous cars, which may be safer than human a reality, the Eve project (a transparent body that simulates the human body), cyba (fusion of robot and animal), and multiple robots (communication among robots)

## Day 4: A visit to The University of Paris-Sac

![]

(<u>https://lh6.googleusercontent.com/NlgQpGHaBLRystLQsRXAbmAklsdIPADYo2zzpizb3wV2JZt231Ei1\_LKcE10ENUndEKh6G8APDMxkjlHUFiQ\_eN9eDjj-Pw6qEoONXtNSNyGxe1sEsn6APg =602x451)</u>

The Fellows embarked on their first scientific trip for ICA4 and were hos **Normale Superieure de Paris-Saclay** throughout Day 4. The sessions at Saclay



thought-provoking talks by <u>Xiao-Jing Wang</u> and <u>Jay McClelland</u>, both of which the principles underlying cognitive behaviours, as well as the difference between machine intelligence. These were followed by a symposium on AI at University The symposium was followed by a half-day event with multiple workshops in mentors discussed major advances and issues surrounding AI with othe researchers such as <u>Stanislas Dehaene</u>. Finally, the intellectually intense day ca with a talk in which <u>Zaven Paré</u> raised important questions regarding how which AI algorithms and intelligent robotics in the decades to come...

# Efforts to understand the computational principles under cognition

#### Presented by Xiao-Jing Wang

Deep neural networks, despite their recent success, differ from human cognition have no internal mental life - instead, they act as complex, nonlinear input-outpu humans, the prefrontal cortex (PFC) is known to be crucial for cognitive function working memory, decision making, and executive function. An early avenue of involved understanding how persistent neural activity may underlie working sustaining stimulus information in the brain after the sensory cue has disal persistence is linked to recurrent connectivity, which is lacking in most deep not described his previous research using spiking networks and tools from dynamic understand the attractor dynamics behind this form of memory. In the second has he showcased his more recent work which uses recurrent neural networks (RN of a model organism to probe how the PFC may perform multiple consimultaneously. These RNNs can then be used to address questions such as when encodes cognitive building blocks in a compositional manner, similar to the concepts of schema.

#### A different distinction between human intelligence and /

#### Presented by **James McClelland**

While the latter (in particular machine learning algorithms) learns from statistics input data, humans learn to learn from explanations structured by culturally inversely indeed, humans fail to perform in systematic ways, which we would expect in were built into our cognitive functionality. But, McClelland points out that simp structure, as proposed by the pioneers of GOFAI, limits flexibility. This structur argued, is built by culture. For example, he described a classic study by Scribna 1973 which showed that non-Western cultures often lack a concept of absolut tend to classify objects based on concrete situations rather than abstract category. These authors proposed that Western education creates a context in which contents in the contents of the conten



relational concepts are learned, consistent with McClelland's later work correpuzzle performance to mathematical education level. McClelland closed by reite learns by examples but humans learn by explanations and that his explanation-(rather than built-in structure) may underlie our propensity for one-shot learning.

Upon completion of the talks by ICA4 Mentors, Paris-Saclay hosted a half-d multiple workshops in which ICA4 mentors and Paris-Saclay researchers disadvances and issues surrounding AI. *Stanislas Dehaene* presented a series of fM behavioural evidence that humans use symbolic and recursive strategies on provide the sequences, as compared with monkeys which seem to use a strategy. In a session focusing on AI and ethics, *Paola Tubaro* revealed the workers who provide the hand-labelled training data for products such as Siri. companies and corporations needing a cheap workforce in the same langua reproducing historic colonial patterns.

Finally, the intellectually intense day came to an end with a talk in which discussed his artistic works based on electronic marionettes and his collab robotics specialists in Japan. Paré's conception of automaton-centred the audiences while challenging our tendency towards anthropomorphisation. This raquestions regarding how we will interact with AI algorithms and intelligent r decades to come...

## Bibliography

Cordelois, A. (2020). How hard can it be to make an ideal publication web 222.