

COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance

Louchart, Aurélie

TO CITE

Louchart, A. (2022). COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance. In *Proceedings of the Paris Institute for Advanced Study*. https://doi.org/10.5072/zenodo.1115035

PUBLICATION DATE 01/09/2021

ABSTRACT

People who are reluctant to vaccinate have often been portrayed as conspiracists in the media. Is this an anecdotal evidence? A stable correlation? Research in the humanities and social sciences has looked into this question. It has also studied how people relate to Covid-19 vaccination: do undecided people change their minds over time? What policies are likely to drive them away from vaccination? Is the obligation to show a vaccination certificate to access public spaces effective to nudge vaccine uptake? What makes vaccine mistrust fade away? Memorandum 13: overview of the studies and projects registered on WPRN database This article was originally published in French.

People who are reluctant to vaccinate have often been portrayed as conspiracists in the media. Is this anecdotal evidence? A stable correlation? Research in humanities and social sciences has looked into this question. It has also studied how people relate to Covid-19 vaccination: do undecided people change their minds over time? What policies are likely to drive them away from vaccination? Is the obligation to show a vaccination certificate to access public spaces effective to nudge vaccine uptake? What makes vaccine mistrust fade away?

Conspiracy mentality correlated with anti-vaccine positions

Several studies in the WPRN database examine the connexion between

conspiracy beliefs and rejection of vaccination. All of them confirm

that adherence to conspiracy theories reduces the intention to vaccinate.

Conducted notably in France, Cameroon or Serbia, these studies

confirm in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic a correlation

established by previous research.

When conspiracies have no connection with the

vaccine...

A conspiracy theory about the origin of the virus should not necessarily

affect vaccination intention: if one believes that the Chinese

government created the virus, one may think it is dangerous and want

to protect oneself with a vaccine. Yet the **Serbia** and **France** studies

highlight that even when conspiracy beliefs are unrelated to the

vaccine, reluctance to be vaccinated against COVID-19 increases. In

the French survey, none of the conspiracies studied referred to

vaccines' dangers: «The French government is using the pandemic to

implement far-reaching changes under the radar»; «Corporations will

use the pandemic to justify higher prices and make profits»; «COVID-

19 is a bacteriological weapon used by the Chinese Communist Party

to create panic in the West», etc.

The more respondents believed in these conspiracies, the less likely they were to have a positive attitude toward vaccination. Previous studies had already found that adherence to «classic» conspiracy beliefs unrelated to vaccination (JFK assassination, moon landing, etc.) was associated with negative attitudes toward vaccines. According to the researchers from the University of Belgrade, a conspiratorial mindset, i.e. a propensity to subscribe to theories that attribute responsibility for societal phenomena to malicious actors, may lead to the belief that crucial information is systematically hidden from the general public and excluded from the official discourse (origin of the pandemic, harmfulness of vaccines, etc.)

Local specificities: the case of Cameroon

While the relationship between conspiracy beliefs and refusal to be vaccinated against COVID-19 is found in many nations, the conspiracies evoked sometimes have local particularities. For example, in <u>Cameroon</u>, theories invoke plots by foreign (mainly Western) forces to harm the population. Two narratives predominate: the testing of vaccines on Cameroonians, and the attempt to exterminate them by injecting the vaccine. This is said to be done with the complicity of corrupt local elites - misinformation about acts of corruption is prevalent and hard to detect because of the high level of actual corruption, in the country. Another specificity is that information not controlled by the authorities is rare in Cameroon, so «fake news» is seen as a manifestation of freedom of expression. A right to an

© 2022 PARIS IAS - COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance by Louchart A. -. 2021 / 10 - WPRN Memorandums - Article No.1.

alternative truth is claimed by anti-vax groups (evangelical churches

and alternative medicine advocates).

Correlation or causation?

In some cases, a prior distrust of vaccination for non-conspiratorial

reasons (religious, for example) could lead to the subscription to

conspiracy theories after the fact to legitimize this preexisting point of

view. This reverse pathway, whose hypothesis is underlined by the

researchers of the University de la Côte d'Azur, does not seem to be

common.

The majority of reluctant people are concerned about

vaccine safety

Indeed, a study conducted by the University of Southern California

tells us that only 6% of people who are reluctant to be vaccinated

against COVID-19 have this inclination because of antivax positions.

What is the main reason explaining vaccine hesitation? Doubts about

the safety of the vaccine. Half of the respondents who were reluctant

to be vaccinated indicated that they were concerned that the COVID-19

vaccine was not safe (40% of «maybes», 68% of refusals). This U.S.

population-based study also observes that other reasons for distrusting

or rejecting the vaccine vary by group: fear that the vaccine is too

expensive for 24% of racialized respondents and 16% of whites;

carelessness about SARS-Cov-2 among 27% of white respondents

versus 14% of racialized people.

Inform without persuading

To avoid damaging already shaken trust, research conducted by Ghent

University on 200,000 people recommends transparent communication

about vaccines' efficacy and potential side effects (the study, titled

«Motivation Barometer,» can be found on WPRN). Another German-

Dutch research tells us that those who perceive communication about a

vaccine as clear and consistent show both greater trust in institutions

and higher vaccination intentions. It also points out that transparent

information about the limitations of vaccines does not reduce

vaccination intentions. Conversely, exaggerating the risk of COVID-19

in vaccination communication undermines the credibility of scientific

experts, which in turn predicts a lower acceptance of primo-vaccination

and potential boosters.

The «fact box», an ethical information tool

German and Dutch researchers have developed a communication tool

respecting all these recommendations. Using the Harding Center's

guidelines on transparent risk communication, these scientists have

designed a «fact box» dedicated to COVID-19 vaccination. Available

on WPRN, this easily understandable fact box presents available data

on the potential benefits and harms of vaccination in a graphically appealing format. Studying this type of information box for 90 seconds would increase the likelihood of changing one's mind about the vaccine by 1.3. However, that is not the purpose of this tool. Not to violate the ethics of health care decision-making, it is designed to inform without attempting to persuade (marketing) or seduce (nudging). Its ultimate goal is to enable informed decision-making while respecting the rights of undecided and skeptical citizens.

What antagonizes the undecided

The Motivation Barometer shows that ethical communication is beneficial in many ways. After interacting with a health worker in an empowering style, respondents report that they will think more about vaccination and show a greater willingness to be vaccinated. Conversely, coercive communication has a negative impact on message consideration and intention to vaccinate. The <u>study</u> shows that vaccine-averse people must not perceive vaccination as an infringement on their autonomy and that if a government introduces mandatory vaccination, timing is crucial. In the proper context, compulsory vaccination can be seen by the undecided as a fair way to mute their doubts and preferences and to contribute to a collective goal of protecting each other and regaining collective freedom. Numbers presented in this July 2021 report indicate that the requirement would be premature at this point. The researchers recommend not stigmatizing the unvaccinated to maintain social harmony. Their findings also

 $\ \, {\mathbb C}$ 2022 PARIS IAS - COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance by Louchart A. - . 2021 / 10 - WPRN Memorandums - Article No.1.

advocate for continuing to invest in other strategies to increase support

for vaccination: presenting it as an act of solidarity, encouraging people

to have a specific person in mind for whom they get vaccinated,

specifying vaccination goals to be achieved before easing restrictions,

etc.

Health pass to encourage vaccination?

Conversely, the use of material rewards (gifts, tax breaks, vaccination

passports with privileges, etc.) undermines the value of the social

incentive. Further, it alienates vaccine sceptics, as they are perceived as

pressure. However, this effect does not seem to appear if a negative

PCR test is presented as a genuinely equivalent alternative to

vaccination and if it is said in the same message. The barometer

findings also underline the importance of linking the use of a health

pass to COVID alert thresholds. On the one hand, this emphasizes the

temporary nature of the measure: if the numbers improve, the pass will

be removed. On the other hand, thresholds show that this is a tool to

avoid overloading hospitals and to ensure the population's health, not

to restrict freedom or to convince reluctant people to get vaccinated.

Give the undecided some time

Another report from the Motivation Barometer tells us that giving

people time to think about vaccination is a tool that should not be

neglected. Over time, the majority of those who are hesitant become pro-vaccination: 79% of Belgians who had doubts about vaccination in April 2021 were vaccinated by June 2021. And over the months, almost none of the undecideds developed a negative attitude towards vaccination.

How to reduce the impact of conspiracy beliefs?

As for vaccine-hesitant who also believe in conspiracy theories, the study conducted at the Université de la Côte d'Azur points out that exposure to anti-conspiracy arguments before and after exposure to conspiracy theories can restore vaccination intention. It also points to previous research that found that pre-existing knowledge about the HPV vaccine negated the impact of exposure to antivax conspiracy theories on vaccination intentions. Proactive outreach initiatives before the public is exposed to misinformation are therefore relevant. The Cameroon study also recommends building the capacity to identify conspiracy theories (media literacy education in schools and on television), valuing local scientific knowledge, and promoting «truth-telling» among politicians: informing citizens about uncertainty, financial or scientific challenges, and publicly admitting shortcomings.

A wealth of research

© 2022 PARIS IAS - COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance by Louchart A. -. 2021 / 10 - WPRN Memorandums - Article No.1.

Freely available at https://paris.pias.science/articles/Memorandum 13
2826-2832 / © 2022 The authors

Many other vaccines and pandemic related to issues are investigated by research referenced on the WPRN platform: Astra Zeneca's vaccine side effects on vaccination intentions (none in the UK, but a drop in vaccination intentions in Germany, France, Spain and Italy), the fact that a vote in favor of Brexit does not predict a specific stance on vaccination, or that in the US, more frequent social media consumption seems to increase reluctance to get vaccinated... One can also find in the WPRN database a tracker of treatments and vaccines against COVID-19 being developed on the planet proposed by Stanford University, or an algorithm developed by Duke University to rationally allocate vaccines (its efficiency is 30 to 80% higher than the scenario where vaccines are randomly distributed). Research on the ethics surrounding the vaccine is also prominent, with, for example, studies questioning legal regulation and intellectual property issues or the <u>deliberate infection</u> of volunteers with COVID-19 for research.

Appendix

This memorandum is based on resources from the World Pandemic Research Network.

Daniel Allington, Siobhan McAndrew, "Conspiracism, anti-vaccination attitudes and hesitancy regarding a future COVID-19 vaccine" https://wprn.org/item/477652

A qualitative survey on the effect of social media consumption on perceptions of the seriousness of the pandemic, conspiracism, and

© 2022 PARIS IAS - COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance by Louchart A. -. 2021 / 10 - WPRN Memorandums - Article No.1.

intentions towards a future vaccine. It was conducted by King's

College London and the University of Bristol on a panel of 2,800

British and American respondents.

Paul Bertin, "Predicting and correcting the influence of COVID-19 and

pro- chloroquine conspiracy theories on COVID-19 vaccination

intentions" https://wprn.org/item/410052

This research conducted by the Université de la Côte d'Azur explored

whether conspiracy theories about COVID-19 and pro-chloroquine

theories could influence vaccination intention. In a forthcoming part of

the study, it will experimentally test two means to reduce beliefs in

these conspiracy theories to restore vaccination intention.

David Comerford, "Repeated cross-section tracking UK vaccine

hesitancy in response to news on risks" https://wprn.org/item/521852

This cross-sectional survey by researchers at the University of Stirling

examines the vaccination intentions of a representative sample of UK

residents before and after several countries suspended the use of the

AstraZeneca vaccine (due to blood clot deaths). Their data shows no

decrease in vaccination intentions in the UK.

Mark Findlay "COVID-19 Vaccine Research, Development, Regulation

and Access"

https://wprn.org/item/483352

This brief review conducted at Singapore Management University looks

at the COVID-19 vaccine through the lens of intellectual property

regimes. Will substantial intellectual property rights be to blame if

access to the vaccine is restricted?

© 2022 PARIS IAS - COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance by Louchart A. -.

10 of 14

Aram Grigoryan, «Effective, Fair and Equitable Pandemic Rationing"

https://wprn.org/item/400752

Duke University offers algorithms that optimize vaccine allocation to

make it as fair, equitable, and efficient as possible. Simulations show

that the efficiency gains from optimization are substantial. It answers

the question «who gets what vaccine?» and not just «who gets a

vaccine?»

Nicolas Duquette,"Heard immunity: effective persuasion for a future

COVID-19 vaccine"

https://wprn.org/item/489852

The University of Southern California survey found that vaccination

intentions varied widely by ethnicity: 75% of Asian, 54.5% of white,

52% of mixed race, and 48% of Latino respondents expressed an

intention to vaccinate, compared to only 25% of black and 19% of

American Indian respondents. Among respondents other than white, the

intention to vaccinate is over 50% higher when the message

emphasizes protecting others (rather than oneself).

Felix Rebitschek, Mirjam Jenny, Christoph Wilhelm & Al., "HC-RKI

Fact Boxes" https://wprn.org/item/528452

Applying the Harding Center's research on transparent risk

communication, these researchers from the University of Potsdam, the

Robert Koch Institute, and the Max Planck Institute created fact boxes

on the potential benefits and harms of mRNA-based vaccines against

COVID-19.

Adair Richards, "Ethical Guidelines for Deliberately Infecting Volunteers with COVID-19."

https://wprn.org/item/438952

This article explores the ethical dimensions of human trials in developing vaccines or treatments for COVID-19. It discusses five potential objections: the risk of harm to participants, the risk that the research does not result in a usable vaccine, that it may be impossible for a person to truly give free and informed consent, the fact that such experiments may damage the reputation of research, and that such experiments may be the start of a slippery slope.

Mahama Tawat, "Fake News and the COVID-19 Pandemic. A Study of Practices and Sociopolitical Implications in Cameroon"

https://wprn.org/item/512852

Using Cameroon as a case study, this article from the University of Montpellier examines «fake news» and its micro and macro socio-political implications for the vaccination campaign. Policy recommendations on the scientific, communication, and socio-political levels are provided.

Joachim Waterschoot, Maarten Vansteenkiste, Sofie Morbée, "The Motivation barometer"

https://wprn.org/item/528752

The «Motivation Barometer» is a large-scale study monitoring the Belgian population's motivation to adhere to anti-covid measures and their psychological well-being. Data has been collected from over 200,000 participants in the Flemish and Wallonian regions, with more than one year of daily and weekly measurements. Scientific articles and

© 2022 PARIS IAS - COVID-19 Vaccination Resistance by Louchart A. -. 2021 / 10 - WPRN Memorandums - Article No.1.

reports are published regularly based on the results. The project is

conducted by the Developmental Psychology Research Group of Ghent

University.

Jack Zeng, Jason Pham, Jia Liu & Al. "Curated web tracker of global

development of treatments and vaccines for COVID-19"

https://wprn.org/item/408452

Stanford University provides a tracker of COVID-19 treatments and

vaccines in development. It gives progressive levels (raw clinical trials,

drug and vaccine leads...) that can help decision-makers coordinate

their efforts. Data come from clinicaltrials.gov, pubmed.gov, and

drugbank.ca, among others. As of September 2021, it lists 331

treatments in clinical trials, 62 vaccines in clinical trials, and 5 FDA-

approved vaccines.

Iris Zezeli, «Irrational beliefs differentially predict adherence to

guidelines and pseudoscientific practices during the COVID-19

pandemic» https://wprn.org/item/441452

Researchers from the University of Belgrade examine whether

irrational beliefs (conspiracy theory, overestimation of COVID-19

knowledge, type I cognitive error bias, and cognitive intuition) predict

adherence to anti-COVID-19 measures, and pseudoscientific practices,

and vaccine intention.

Another Serbian study on this topic is also available on WPRN: Jasna

Milosevic Dordevic, «Links between conspiracy beliefs, vaccine

knowledge, and trust: Anti-vaccine behavior of Serbian adults»

https://wprn.org/item/535152.

Bibliography

Chen, L., Zhang, Y., Young, R., Wu, X., & Zhu, G. (2021). Effects of Vaccine-Related Conspiracy Theories on Chinese Young Adults' Perceptions of the HPV Vaccine: An Experimental Study. *Health Communication*, *36*(11), 1343–1353.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2020.1751384

Rebitschek, F., Ellermann, C., Jenny, M., Siegel, N. A., Spinner, C., & Wagner, G. (2021). How skeptics could be convinced (not persuaded) to get vaccinated against COVID-19. In *PsyArXiv Preprints*. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/f4nqt