

# For a «Psychology of our Culture»

Ben Alaya, Dorra <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Tunis El Manar University, Tunisia

## TO CITE

Ben Alaya, D. (2016). For a «Psychology of our Culture». In *Proceedings of the Paris Institute for Advanced Study* (Vol. 18). [https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO\\_2016\\_16\\_for-a-psychology-of-our-culture](https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO_2016_16_for-a-psychology-of-our-culture)

## PUBLICATION DATE

18/11/2016

## ABSTRACT

*Tribute to Serge Moscovici. Paris IAS, 17-18 November 2016 - Session 5*

By substituting the collective representation concept ([Durkheim, 1898](#)) by the social representation one, Moscovici ([Moscovici, 1961](#)) has made possible the assumption that culture is not static, neither hermetic, nor homogeneous. He also pointed out the fact that a cultural plurality exists within modern societies. Otherwise, authors ([Levy-Bruhl, 1921](#), [Durkheim, 1898](#)) had distinguished between modern and traditional societies. But observations made in the Tunisian context, suggest the assumption that plurality could be the survival of a traditional thinking in societies with modern institutions. More than that, this plurality would not be only a juxtaposition of several cultures but a particular reorganization of meanings. Otherwise, the exploration of antinomic structures in the Tunisian lay thinking, suggests that two different rationalities (traditional and modern) coexist in the social representation of fundamental notions that underpin modern societies (State, law, citizenship, private/public space...). These conclusions argue for a return of the notion of culture in social psychology and for taking into account Moscovici's recommendation (2012) to consider social psychology as a psychology of culture, our culture.



[For a psychology of our culture](https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO_2016_16_for-a-psychology-of-our-culture)

Ben Alaya, D. (2016). For a «Psychology of our Culture». In *Proceedings of the Paris Institute for Advanced Study* (Vol. 18).

[https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO\\_2016\\_16\\_for-a-psychology-of-our-culture](https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO_2016_16_for-a-psychology-of-our-culture)

2016/18 - hommage-serge-moscovici - Article No.12. Freely available at [https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO\\_2016\\_16\\_for-a-psychology-of-our-culture](https://paris.pias.science/article/MOSCO_2016_16_for-a-psychology-of-our-culture) - ISSN 2826-2832/© 2024 Ben Alaya D.

This is an open access article published under the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International Public License \(CC BY-NC 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

# Bibliography

Ben Alaya, D. (2012). Evaluations dans la définition du «bon conjoint» et effets de genre. *Les Cahiers de Psychologie Politique*, 21.

Berry, J. W. (1967). Independance and conformity in subsistence-level societies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 7, 202–207.

Camilleri, C. (1973). *Jeunesse, famille et développement. Essai sur le changement socio-culturel dans un pays du Tiers-Monde (Tunisie)*. C.N.R.S.

Durkheim, E. (1915). *Les formes élémentaires de la vie religieuse*. Presses Universitaires de France.

Durkheim, E. (1898). Représentations individuelles et représentations collectives. *Revue de métaphysique et de morale*, VI, 273–302.

Fontaine, P. F. M. (1986). *The Light and the Dark. A cultural History of Dualism: Vol. I*. Gieben.

Fontaine, P. F. M. (1987). *The Light and the Dark. A cultural History of Dualism: Vol. II*. Gieben.

Fontaine, P. F. M. (1988). *The Light and the Dark. A cultural History of Dualism: Vol. I*. Gieben.

Fontaine, P. F. M. (1988). *The Light and the Dark. A cultural History of Dualism: Vol. III*. Gieben.

Fukuyama, F. (1992). *The End of History and the Last Man*. Free Press.

Huntington, S. P. (1993). The Clash of civilizations. The next pattern of conflict. *Foreign Affairs*, 72(3), 22–49.

Jahoda, G. (1988). Critical notes and Reflexions on «Social Representations». *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 18, 195–209.

Jodelet, D. (2002). Les représentations sociales dans le champ de la culture. *Information sur les Sciences Sociales*, 41(4), 111–133.

Levi-Strauss, C. (1962). *La pensée sauvage*. Paris : Plon. Plon.

Levy-Bruhl, L. (1921). *La mentalité primitive*. Presses Universitaires de France.

Lloyd, G. E. R. (1966). *Polarity and Analogy*. Cambridge University Press.

Markova, I. (2007). *Dialogicité et représentations sociales*. Presses Universitaires de France.

Moscovici, S., & Vignaux, G. (1994). Le Concept de Themata. In C. Guimelli (Ed.), *Structures et Transformations des Représentations Sociales* (pp. 25–72). Delachaux et Niestle.

Moscovici, S. (1961). *La psychanalyse, son image et son public*. Presses Universitaires de France.

Moscovici, S. (1989). Des représentations collectives aux représentations sociales : éléments pour une histoire. In D. Jodelet (Ed.), *Les représentations sociales* (pp. 62–86).

Needham, R. (Ed.). (1973). *Right & Left. Essays on Dual Symbolic Classification*. University of Chicago Press.

Papi, S. (2009). *L'influence juridique islamique au Maghreb*. L'Harmattan.

Peirce, C. S. (1931). *Collected papers of Charles Sanders Peirce* (C. Hartshorne & P. Weiss, Eds.; Vol. 1). Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Tunisienne, R. (1956). *Code de Statut Personnel*. Publications de l'Imprimerie Officielle de la République Tunisienne.