


Homework 1

Given the ckt:



Find I_1 .

As a beginner, a student may get frustrated by this problem. You know you need current, and you have learned only a few techniques, but remember, all the techniques you have learned are nothing more than the application of Ohm's Law, KVL, KCL & then later on Maxwell's eqns.

So for me, a personal choice, to find anything to do with current, I would first go to KCL since it is a law about current. The problem states to find I_1 .

From the diagram, I see only 2 nodes that I_1 can flow into or out of. I have labeled them in red as node ①, ①, & node ②, ②.

It can be seen immediately that node ① is more demanding than node ②. So I chose to start my analysis there. Because all of the components must work in harmony you can choose any method and location and you must get the same answer.

Analyzing Node ②.

For any system, you must know the rules of each component. Let's go over the rules for this ckt's components.

• Wire

- treated as a 0 resistance component.

- no voltage drop across it.
- wires can have voltage it cannot change along the wire, only across a component.
- is a path for current.

• Voltage Source

- can only set the voltage difference between the 2 nodes it touches.

- YIELDS NO CURRENT INFORMATION

• Current Sources

- can only set the current through the branch it is connected to

- YIELDS NO VOLTAGE INFORMATION

• Resistors

- follows Ohm's Law
- Ohm's law states that the current through a resistor is proportional to the voltage across the resistor. Given by:

$$V_R = R \cdot I_R$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ V_+ \quad I_R \quad V_- \end{array} \quad V_R = V_+ - V_-$$

- Also, current must flow from V_+ to V_- always.

So given these rules and KVL and KCL we can analyze this ckt fairly straight-forward.

At node 2, the KCL is:

$$I_{100} = I_1 + 100\text{mA}$$

$$I_1 = I_{100} - 100\text{mA}$$

Therefore, to find I_1 , all we need to do is find I_{100} .

We I_{100} is the current through the 100 ohms resistor. If we can find the voltage across it, then we can find the current through it. Notice, I placed a reference ground @ node 2. Therefore, I'm saying this node is 0V . The 2V source is setting node 1 to 2V with respect to ground. And the 3V source raises the voltage on the other side of the resistor to 5V . The 100 ohms resistor has 5V across it. The current through it must be:

$$I_{100} = \frac{5\text{V}}{100\text{ ohms}} \quad V = R \cdot I \text{ or} \\ I = \frac{V}{R}$$

$$\therefore I_{100} = 50\text{mA}$$


$$\therefore I_1 = 50\text{mA} - 100\text{mA}$$

$$\boxed{I_1 = -50\text{mA}}$$

All the negative sign means is the direction of the assumed I_1 was guessed in the wrong direction.

Check in a simulator to make sure!!!

Given the plot:




Compute & sketch the net charge that flows through the cross-section of wire the plot is representing.

This problem is seeing if you understand the concept of current.

You should know by now that current is the amount of charge carriers that pass through a designated area. In ENA, the charge carriers are electrons.

To illustrate that point see the image below



You know that matter is made of atoms and atoms have electrons so understand you are not counting all the electrons only the ones passing through the area.

This is written mathematically as:

$$i(t) = \frac{dq}{dt}$$

current is the change of charge given the amount of time needed to change.

This means time does matter.

If 1 Coulomb of charge needs 1 sec of time to pass through the cross-section, then you have 1 Amp of current.

If 1 Coulomb of charge needs 1 minute to pass through then it is around 17 mA.

We can use separation of variable to find the equation of $q(t)$. Assuming an initial condition of $q(0) = 0$ Coulombs

$$\text{If } i(t) = \frac{dq}{dt}$$

$$\text{then } \int i(t) dt = \int dq$$

which becomes

$$\int i(t) dt + q(0) = q(t)$$

we can write the current equation using the step function (a.k.a. the Heaviside function)

$$i(t) = \left[-\frac{3}{2}t + 6 \right] \cdot [u(t) - u(t-4)] + \dots \\ \dots [-3][u(t-4) - u(t-6)]$$

Note: The problem asks for the net charge so which means the difference. You must always have multiple points unless you use calculus to find the instantaneous change.
Note: definite integrals yield area info.

And we will.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-\frac{3}{2}t + 6) \cdot (u(t) - u(t-4)) + (-3)(u(t-4) - u(t-6)) dt$$

is the same as

$$\int_0^4 -\frac{3}{2}t + 6 dt + \int_4^6 -3 dt$$

$$\int_0^4 -\frac{3}{2}t + 6 dt = \left[-\frac{3}{2}t^2 + 6t \right]_{t=0}^4$$

$$\hookrightarrow -\frac{3}{4} \cdot 4^2 + 6 \cdot 4 = 12 \text{ Coulombs}$$

$$\int_4^6 -3 dt = \left[-3t \right]_{t=4}^6$$

$$\hookrightarrow (-18) - (-12) = -6 \text{ Coulombs}$$

Therefore the total net charge through the cross-section is

$$12 \text{ Coulombs} - 6 \text{ Coulombs} = 6 \text{ Coulombs}$$


I am assuming the sketch part means to sketch a plot. This is where the initial conditions comes into play. $q(0) = 0$

$$q_1(t) = \int -\frac{3}{2}t + 6 dt = -\frac{3}{4}t^2 + 6t + C_1$$

for $t = 0$ to 4 seconds

$$q_1(0) = 0 = -\frac{3}{4} \cdot 0^2 + 6 \cdot 0 + C_1 \\ \text{therefore } C_1 = 0$$

$$q_1(t) = -\frac{3}{4}t^2 + 6t$$



for $t = 4$ to 6 seconds


$$q_2(t=4) = 12 \text{ Coulombs}$$

$$q_2(t) = \int -3 dt = -3t + C_2$$


$$q_2(4) = 12 = -3 \cdot 4 + C_2 \therefore C_2 = 24$$

$$q_2(t) = -3t + 24$$

$$q_2(t), [C]$$



Given the conceptual ckt:



where:

$$i(t) = -23t + 115, \text{ mA}$$

Find the total charge that pass through element A, in the interval of time $0 \leq t \leq 7\text{s}$.

Recall $q = \int_{t_0}^{t_{0+}} i(t) dt$

$$\therefore q = \int_0^7 (-23t + 115) \times 10^{-3} dt$$

↑
this will account for the milli part

$$q = 10^{-3} \left[-\frac{23}{2} t^2 + 115t \right] \Big|_{t=0}^7$$

$$q = 10^{-3} \left[\frac{-23}{2} \cdot 49 + 805 \right]$$

$$q = 10^{-3} [805 - 563.5]$$

$$q = 10^{-3} [241.5] \text{ Coulombs}$$

or $\boxed{q = 241.5 \text{ mC}}$

How much energy does element A absorb in the same time interval?

You must have learned the Power of a electrical component is equal to voltage times current.

Therefore,

$P = V \cdot I$ and from physics you know that power is energy per time. So

$$v(t) \cdot i(t) = \frac{dU}{dt} \xleftarrow{\text{Energy}}$$

$$U = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} v(t) \cdot i(t) dt$$

Since $v(t)$ is a constant all we need to do is multiply the integral we have already done by 25V and we have the energy.

Therefore,

$$U = 25 \cdot 241.5 \text{ mC}$$

$$\hookrightarrow U = 6,037.5 \text{ mJ}$$

(I do not believe this is right)

$\boxed{6.0375 \text{ Joules}}$

(please read on)

I do have a problem with the wording.

Power notation for absorption is when current flows from a higher potential to a lower potential.


Power emitted is when current flows from a lower potential to a higher one.

In this problem, element A has current flowing from lower to higher potential, therefore, I would say it isn't absorbing power rather it is emitting.

If this is the point of the wording, then a negative sign must be implemented and the answer becomes

$\boxed{-6.0375 \text{ Joules}}$

Given the ckt below:



Determine which components are sources & which are resistors.

Well we know that resistor obey Ohm's law. That is current through a resistor flows from high to low potentials. And the relationship is linearly proportional.

$$\Delta V_R = R \cdot I_R$$


Now a source will either tell you voltage information or current information.

If a voltage source, then realize the current can go either direction. If a current source, then the voltage can drop with either polarity.

So if given a snapshot at one time, for one instance a voltage or current source can look like it is also following Ohm's law.

So really, the question should ask - Which components cannot be resistors. Therefore, we are also told there are only 5 resistors & 3 sources to help out as well.

First, let's redraw the ckt so there are no negative signs in the magnitude values. Also, now is a good time to use KVL & KCL to make sure everything adds up correctly!!!



Components that follow Ohm's law, therefore we will assume can only be resistors.

Components: 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 (Note: 5 components)

The rest cannot for sure be resistors because they do not adhere to high to low convention.

Components 2, 5, 8 are either voltage or current sources.

(Note: 3 components)

Now solve for the resistors Resistance values.

We know the Resistance is the relationship of the voltage across the resistor and the current that flows through it. Therefore:

$$R = \frac{\Delta V_R}{I_R}$$

So:

$$R_1 = \frac{24V}{5A} = 4.8\Omega$$

$$R_3 = \frac{13V}{2.167A} \approx 6\Omega$$

$$R_4 = \frac{93V}{2.833A} \approx 32.83\Omega$$


$$R_6 = \frac{32V}{16A} = 2\Omega$$

$$R_7 = \frac{54.5V}{18.167A} \approx 3\Omega$$

For more appreciation of this problem, go back and replace a resistor with a voltage or current source of the value given in the ckt. See if it changes any of the values of voltage or current.


Note: IT SHOULDN'T.

Given the ckt:



where S stays at position A for 1ms and position B for 4ms. Find average value of $i(t)$.

This ckt can be written as:



$$\text{where } R(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ 5k\Omega, & 0 \leq t < 1\text{ms} \\ 10k\Omega, & 1\text{ms} \leq t < 4\text{ms} \\ 0, & t > 4\text{ms} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{or } R(t) = 5k\Omega(u(t) - u(t-1\text{ms})) + \dots \\ \dots 10k\Omega(u(t-1\text{ms}) - u(t-4\text{ms}))$$


Regardless of how you model this ckt, the current is still set by the resistor. And we know resistors follow Ohm's law. Therefore,

$$i(t) = \frac{v(t)}{R(t)} = \frac{5}{R(t)}$$

don't feel like writing again


We know from Calculus that the average for any function is given by:

$$\langle f(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \quad \text{for}$$



Using this definition, we can find the avg of $i(t)$

Graphically we see $i(t)$:



Equation

$$i(t) = \frac{5}{5k\Omega} (u(t) - u(t-1\text{ms})) + \dots \\ \dots \frac{5}{10k\Omega} (u(t-1\text{ms}) - u(t-4\text{ms}))$$

Therefore,

$$\langle i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{4\text{ms}} \int_0^{4\text{ms}} (1\text{mA})(u(t) - u(t-1\text{ms})) + \dots \\ \dots \left(\frac{1}{2}\text{mA} \right) (u(t-1\text{ms}) - u(t-4\text{ms})) dt$$

$$\hookrightarrow \langle i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{4\text{ms}} \left[\int_0^{1\text{ms}} 1\text{mA} dt + \int_{1\text{ms}}^{4\text{ms}} \frac{1}{2}\text{mA} dt \right]$$

$$\hookrightarrow \langle i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{4\text{ms}} \left[[1\text{mA} \cdot t]_{t=0}^{1\text{ms}} + \left[\frac{1}{2}\text{mA} \cdot t \right]_{t=1\text{ms}}^{4\text{ms}} \right]$$

$$\hookrightarrow \langle i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{4\text{ms}} \left[1\text{mA} \cdot \text{ms} + \frac{1}{2}\text{mA} \cdot \text{ms} - \frac{1}{2}\text{mA} \cdot \text{ms} \right]$$


$$\hookrightarrow \langle i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{4\text{ms}} \left[\frac{5}{2}\text{mA} \cdot \text{ms} \right]$$

$$\boxed{\langle i(t) \rangle = \frac{5}{8}\text{mA}}$$

Does this make sense?

We see the current goes as low as $\frac{1}{2}$ mA and we are above that so that's good. We see that we are only at 1mA for a fraction of the time so we shouldn't be very high from $\frac{1}{2}$ mA. So a quick analysis says we are at least in the ball park.

Given the ckt:



$$\text{Given: } V_{in} = 10^v$$

Before answering questions, let's take a moment to let this ckt sink in.

Notice there are 3 stages. Each stage is not connected to the other via wires. All that bottom wire is doing is saying all 3 have the same reference. You need a closed loop for it to affect each other.

Also notice the diamond shape. This means the source's value (magnitude) is dependent on another parameter. A voltage dependent source will look like

where the polarity is defined by the plus & minus

and a current dependent source will look like:

where the current direction is given by the arrow.

Where I have seen confusion is when a voltage source is dependent on a current flowing through a component somewhere else or vice versa.

My suggestion at this point is to just understand this is a mere modeling technique and to not get caught up right now on the how.

So let's write some eqns:

KVL

$$V_{in} = V_{20}$$

$$3I_1 = V_3$$

$$V_{21} = V_{out}$$

KCL

$$I_1 = I_{20}$$

$$I_2 = I_3$$

$$I_{out} = 5I_2 = I_2$$

Ohms Law (Resistors)

$$\Delta V_R = R \cdot I_R$$

$$\therefore V_{20} = 2 \cdot I_{20}, V_3 = 3 \cdot I_3, V_{21} = 2 \cdot I_{21}$$

Final O/P voltage & current in terms of V_{in} .

This is nothing more than manipulation of the equations.

$$I_{out} = 5I_2$$

$$I_2 = I_3 = \frac{V_3}{3} = \frac{3I_1}{3} = I_{20} = \frac{V_{20}}{2} = \frac{V_{in}}{2}$$

$$\therefore I_{out} = \frac{5 \cdot V_{in}}{2} \quad \therefore I_{out} = \frac{5(10^v)}{2^{22}} = 25^w$$

$$V_{out} = V_{21} = 2I_{21} = 2I_{out} = R \cdot \frac{5 \cdot V_{in}}{2}$$

$$V_{out} = 5V_{in} \quad \therefore V_{out} = 5(10^v) = 50^v$$

Find the voltage gain. $\left[\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} \right]$

We already have a relationship of V_{out} & V_{in} , just rearrange.

$$V_{out} = 5 \cdot V_{in}$$

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = 5$$

Find power delivered by sources.

$$P_{V_{in}} = V_{in} \cdot I_1 \text{ and } I_1 = \frac{V_{in}}{2}$$

$$\therefore P_{V_{in}} = \frac{V_{in}}{2} = \frac{(10)^v}{2} = \frac{100}{2} = 50^w \quad (I_1)$$


$$P_{3I_1} = (3I_1)(I_2) \text{ and } I_2 = \frac{13I_1}{3} = \frac{13 \cdot \frac{V_{in}}{2}}{3} = \frac{13V_{in}}{6}$$

$$\therefore \left(3 \cdot \frac{V_{in}}{2} \right) \left(\frac{13V_{in}}{6} \right) \rightarrow P_{3I_1} = \frac{3}{4} V_{in}^2 = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 10^2 = 75^w$$

$$P_{5I_2} = (V_{out})(5I_2) = (5 \cdot V_{in})(5 \cdot \frac{V_{in}}{2})$$

$$P_{5I_2} = \frac{25}{2} V_{in}^2 = \frac{25 \cdot 100}{2} = 25 \cdot 50 = 1250^w$$

Given:



Find the charge for the time $0 < t < 6$.

We know that current is the relationship of charge flowing through a cross section for a given time.


$$i(t) = \frac{dq(t)}{dt} \text{ or } \int i(t) dt = \int dq$$

for a given time:

$$q(t) = \int_{t=t_0}^{t=t_0+T} i(t) dt$$


We really don't need to do calculus here due to the function being straight lines we can find the area under the curves using geometry.

for $0 < t < 3$ we see:




$$\therefore A_1 = \frac{5 \cdot 3}{2} = 7.5$$

for $3 < t < 4$ we see:



$$\therefore A_2 = \frac{(1)(-1)}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

for $4 < t < 5$ we see




$$A_3 = (1)(-1) = -1$$

for $5 < t < 6$ we see

$$A_4 = \frac{(-1)(-1)}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

And the total charge that flowed is the summation of all Areas which is $7.5C - 2C = 5.5C$

Given



- a) If element A generates 20^W what is V_A ?

To generate power the sign convention needs to have current going from low to high. And it is.

$$P = VI \therefore 20 = V_A \cdot 9^A \therefore V_A = 5V$$

- b) What is the power absorbed by element B?

To absorb power the sign convention says the current should be going from high to low, and it is.

$$P = 2 \cdot 7 = 14W$$

- c) If element C generates 3^W of power, what is V_C ?

We see to generate power the polarity needs to be in the opposite direction for the current given. Therefore,

$$P_c = (-V_c) \cdot I_c \rightarrow V_c = -\frac{P_c}{I_c} = -\frac{3^W}{3^A} = -1V$$

- d) If element D absorbs 27^W what is I_D ?

We see the current is going in the wrong direction to absorb power for the voltage given. So a negative sign will be needed.

$$I_D = -\frac{P_D}{V_D} = -\frac{27^W}{3^V} = -9^A$$

- e) If element E absorbs 2^W , find I_E .

$$I_E = \frac{P_E}{V_E} = \frac{2^W}{1^V} = 2^A$$

- f) Find the power absorbed by element F, $P_F = V_F \cdot I_F = -4^V \cdot 5^A = -20^W$

Given:

$$v(t)$$

$$10$$

$$5$$

$$1$$

$$t, s$$


- a) calculate & sketch the power of this device.

$$p(t) = v(t) \cdot i(t)$$

$$\therefore p(t) = [(0(u(t)-u(t-1)))[(1-e^{-7t})(u(t)-u(t-1)) + \dots \dots (e^{-7(t-1)})(u(t-1)-u(t-2))]]$$

which reduces to:

$$p(t) = [0(1-e^{-7t})][u(t)-u(t-1)]$$



- b) Find the work expanded by the source. Recall that work is $w(t) = \int P(t) dt$


$$\therefore w(t) = \int_0^1 10(1-e^{-7t}) dt$$

$$w(t) = 10 \int_0^1 1 - e^{-7t} dt$$

$$w(t) = 10 \left[t - \frac{e^{-7t}}{-7} \right]_0^1$$

$$w(t) = 10 \left[\left(1 + \frac{e^{-7}}{7} \right) - \left(0 + \frac{1}{7} \right) \right]$$

$$w(t) = 10 \left[\frac{6e^{-7}}{7} \right] \approx 8.57$$



Also we know the power consumed (absorbed) by each resistor is

$$P_{2\Omega} = 98 \text{ W}$$

$$P_{3\Omega} = 768.8 \text{ W}$$

$$P_{4\Omega} = 12 \text{ W}$$

$$P_{6\Omega} = 486 \text{ W}$$

$$P_{4\Omega} = 16 \text{ W}$$

For part B the total power must also be the power of the absorbed power. Which all you have to do is add up each resistor power to get the same answer.

Oh well. I already solved c).

$$V_{in} = 68 \text{ V}$$

$$I_{in} = 12.4 \text{ A}$$

This is nothing more than repeated use of $P=VI$ & Ohms law where

$$P = \frac{V^2}{R} \quad \text{or} \quad P = I^2 R$$

$$\therefore V_2 = \sqrt{P_2 R_2} = \sqrt{98 \text{ W} \cdot 2 \Omega} = 14 \text{ V}$$

$$V_2 = 14 \text{ V}$$

$$I_3 = \sqrt{\frac{12 \text{ W}}{3 \Omega}} = 2 \text{ A}$$

$$V_4 = \sqrt{16 \cdot 4 \Omega} = 8 \text{ V}$$

$$I_5 = \sqrt{\frac{768.8 \text{ W}}{5 \Omega}} = 12.4 \text{ A}$$

$$V_6 = \sqrt{486 \text{ W} \cdot 6 \Omega} = 54 \text{ V}$$

b) Find total power delivered by both sources. We just need to find their voltage and current using KVL & KCL for both.

KVL

$$V_{in} - V_2 + V_6 = 14 \text{ V} + 54 \text{ V} = 68 \text{ V}$$

KCL

$$I_{V_{in}} = I_2 + I_3 = \left(\frac{14 \text{ V}}{2 \Omega} + 2 \text{ A} \right) = 9 \text{ A}$$

$$P_{V_{in}} = (68 \text{ V})(9 \text{ A}) = 612 \text{ W}$$

KVL


$$V_{I_{in}} = V_4 + V_6 = 8 \text{ V} + 54 \text{ V} = 62 \text{ V}$$

$$I_{in} = I_5 + I_4 - I_3 = 12.4 \text{ A} + \frac{8 \text{ V}}{4 \Omega} - 2 \text{ A} = 12.4 \text{ A}$$

$$P_{I_{in}} = (62 \text{ V})(12.4 \text{ A}) = 768.8 \text{ W}$$

$$\boxed{\text{Total Power} = 1380.8 \text{ W}}$$

Given the ckt:



Find R_L so that the maximum power is transferred to it from the sources.


This has 2 main points that I can see it trying to make.

1) The use of Tellegen's theorem

2) How to find equivalent resistance with sources in play.


Let's address Tellegen's Theorem. So this theorem states that to find the most power transferred to your load then the network or system's impedance must match the load impedance.

In a figure representation:




if $Z_{EQ} = Z_L$, then the load will receive the most voltage it can.

So, therefore, in this problem we just need to find the impedance of the ckt prior to the load from the load point of view. In other words,



How to find equivalent resistance. Well there are 2 approaches and both are the same.

- find the voltage of the open which is a.k.a. V_{TH} , then find the current if you shorted the terminals. In other words:



Once V_{TH} & I_{SC} are known the R_{EQ} is $\frac{V_{TH}}{I_{SC}}$

My personal preference to finding R_{EQ} is to make one equation where you inject some V_x and find an equation relating the i_x . After which, you make the relationship $\frac{V_x}{i_x} = R_{EQ}$.

A key note of importance is to zero out all other sources present. The sources do not yield impedance information, only voltage & current information depending on which type of source.


Therefore, voltage sources are set to 0 and current sources are set to 0. Now a point to note. A wire is a component that allows current to flow through it in any direction w/ 0 drop across it. This is exactly how a voltage source w/ 0 acts as well. Therefore, we note the voltage sources as wires.

We also note that current source can any voltage across and the current is 0 when we zero this out. An open ckt acts the same way.

So when doing this technique we must zero out all other sources, and we can represent them as opens or shorts

$$0^+ \text{ (open)} = \begin{cases} \text{short} \\ 0^- \end{cases} \quad 0^+ \text{ (short)} = \begin{cases} \text{open} \\ 0^- \end{cases}$$

Once we use this we see immediately how simplified this ckt becomes



we see that the current sources cuts off the influence of the other side of the ckt. And the only impediment of concern are the 2^2 & 3^2 resistors

$\therefore R_L$ must be equal to 5^2 to get the max power.

$$R_L = 5^2$$

I highly suggest to build in a ckt simulator to play with component to prove max power $V_{R_L} \cdot I_{R_L}$ is only when $R_L = 5^2$. Also that the other side plays no role on the power of R_L only the current source & 2^2 & 3^2 resistors.

Given the ckt:




Find the magnitude of I_x .

So here I_x has already been assumed to go from right to left. Therefore Ohm's Law for a resistor says current must flow from higher potential to lower potential.

$$V_R = V_A - V_B$$

Let's derive our equations:



KVL

$$50I_x + V_{10} = V_{40}$$

KCL

$$2^A = I_x + I_{40}$$

Ohm's Law

$$V_{10} = 10^2 \cdot I_x$$

$$V_{40} = 40^2 \cdot I_{40}$$

Using these equations, I want to be able to get one equation one unknown, I_x , if possible.

Starting w/ KVL we see

$$50I_x + V_{10} = V_{40}$$

$$\downarrow 50I_x + 10^2 \cdot I_x = V_{40}$$


$$(2^A - I_x)$$

$$\text{where } V_{40} = 40^2 \cdot I_{40} = 80^2 - 40^2 \cdot I_x$$

$$\downarrow 50I_x + 10I_x = 80^2 - 40 \cdot I_x$$


$$\downarrow 100I_x = 80 \quad \therefore I_x = \frac{80}{100} = \frac{8}{10} = 0.8^A$$

Given the ckt:



Find Req_1 w/ the switch open & closed.

If open the ckt becomes



$$\text{Req}_1 = \frac{4R \parallel 4R}{4R + 4R} = \frac{4R \cdot 4R}{4R + 4R} = \frac{16R^2}{8R} = 2R$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{These resistors are} \\ \text{in series because} \\ \text{they share the} \\ \text{same current} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Req}_1 = 4R \parallel 4R = 2R$$

Solving for a closed switch


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Req}_1 &= 2R \\ \text{Req}_2 &= R \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Req}_{\text{closed}} = 2R$$


This problem is testing its conceptualization of parallel and series resistance equivalence.

If resistors share the same voltage nodes they can be parallel reduced, while if they share the same current they can be series reduced.

Given the ckt



If all the resistors are 1^2 except R_L , can a relationship be made between Req_1 & Req_2 ?



Well Req_1 can be reduced to

$$2 \parallel 4 = \frac{8}{6} = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$\text{Req}_1 = 1 + 1 + \frac{4}{3} = \frac{10}{3} \Omega$$


For Req_2 we need to know the Y-Δ transformant

$$R_A = \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2 + R_3}$$

$$R_B = \frac{R_1 R_3}{R_1 + R_2 + R_3}$$

$$R_C = \frac{R_2 R_3}{R_1 + R_2 + R_3}$$

Given:



$$\text{Req}_2 = \frac{\frac{3+R_L}{3+R_L}}{\frac{3+2R_L}{3+R_L} \parallel \frac{6+4R_L}{3+R_L}}$$

$$\therefore \frac{(3+2R_L)(6+4R_L)}{(3+R_L)^2} = \frac{2(3+2R_L)^2}{3(3+2R_L)(3+R_L)}$$

$$\frac{9+6R_L}{(3+R_L)^2}$$

$$\text{Req}_2 = \frac{5+R_L}{3+R_L} + \frac{2/3(3+2R_L)}{(3+R_L)^2} + \frac{3+R_L}{3+R_L}$$

$$\text{Req}_2 = \frac{8+R_L + 2 + \frac{4}{3}R_L + 3+R_L}{3+R_L}$$

$$\text{Req}_2 = \frac{10 + \frac{10}{3}R_L}{3+R_L} = \frac{30 + 10R_L}{9+3R_L}$$

$$\text{Req}_2 = \frac{10(3+R_L)}{3(3+R_L)} = \frac{10}{3}$$


(Cont'd)

(cont'd)

This problem is showing a couple of things.

1) That voltage is the same across both sets of resistors and the voltage division is both halved on both sides due to symmetry.


2) Wheatstone bridge property



If given this arrangement then we know $V_1 - V_2 = 0^V$
if $R_1 R_4 = R_2 R_3$ or $\frac{R_1}{R_3} = \frac{R_2}{R_4}$


and in this case they were. So what you ask? Well if there is no potential difference @ those nodes then regardless of a resistor there or not, no current will flow. If no current flow then it doesn't change the equivalent resistance by adding any resistor there. Not even a short circuit.

$$\therefore R_{eq1} = R_{eq2}$$





What is Req :

a) When $R_x = R_y = \infty$. This means they are acting as opens w/ no current flow through them.




$$Req = 2(1+2+3+4) + 2 = [22\Omega]$$

b) When $R_x = R_y = 0$. This means they are acting as shorts or wires.



we see there will be 2^{Ω}
so let's analyze the resistance behind the $2 - 1^{\Omega}$ resistors



$$i_x = \frac{V_x}{5} + \frac{V_x}{10} + \frac{V_x}{5} = \left(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{10}\right)V_x$$


$$V_x \left(\frac{4}{10} + \frac{1}{10}\right) = i_x \rightarrow V_x \left(\frac{5}{10}\right) = i_x$$

$$\frac{V_x}{5} = 2^{\Omega}$$

$$i_x = 2^{\Omega} \therefore$$

$$Req = 2^{\Omega} + 2^{\Omega} = [4^{\Omega}]$$


c) $Req = 10$ $R_y = \infty$ find R_x



$$R_x || 15 = \frac{15R_x}{R_x + 15}$$


$$10 = 7 + \frac{15R_x}{R_x + 15} \rightarrow 3R_x + 45 = 15R_x$$

$$12R_x = 45 \rightarrow R_x = \frac{45}{12} = 3.75^{\Omega}$$



Can Req be found by doing only series & parallel reduction techniques?

Let's redraw and see if it becomes clearer.




We see we can't simplify any components using parallel or series due to components being attached to nodes that will be absorbed.

No is the answer.


You gotta use good old KVL & KCL techniques.

Given the ckt:



find $i_1(t)$ & $i_2(t)$?

First let's simplify



to find $i_1(t)$ we see it
is the total current.

So we need the total
resistance.

$$2k \parallel 3k = \frac{6}{5}k\Omega$$


$$\frac{6}{5}k \parallel 6k = \frac{\frac{6}{5}k}{\frac{30}{5} + \frac{6}{5}} = 1k$$

$$R_{\text{tot}} = 1k + 24k = 25k\Omega$$

$$\Delta V_{\text{tot}} = 9\cos(2t) - 3\cos(2t) \\ = 6\cos(2t)$$

$$\therefore i_1(t) = \frac{\Delta V_{\text{tot}}}{R_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{6\cos(2t)}{25k\Omega} = \boxed{240\mu\text{A}\cos(2t)}$$


and $i_2(t)$ is a current divider
of $i_1(t)$




$$i_2(t) = \frac{1k\Omega}{6k\Omega} \cdot i_1(t) \therefore i_2(t) = \frac{1}{6} i_1(t)$$

$$\boxed{i_2(t) = 40\mu\text{A} \cdot \cos(2t)}$$

Given the ckt:




Also the port characteristic I-V graph is



what this graph means is if I inject another voltage source at the open terminal where v_x is marked on the circuit then I find the current i_x running through it. I know a voltage source is attached because it is the independent variable on the x-y graph.

So let's redraw the ckt:



This exercise, in my opinion, is covering a few topics but the important 2 are:

- when you inject your own source, the change in i_x with respect to v_x is not affected by any other source.

This means $\frac{v_{x_2} - v_{x_1}}{i_{x_2} - i_{x_1}} = \frac{\Delta v_x}{\Delta i_x} = R$

so the slope's inverse yields the equivalent resistance at the terminals.

- If we know what the ckt is attached to the terminals then we zero out all the sources and then find the equivalent resistance calculated is equal to the resistors. We don't care about the sources due to us injecting our own v_x & measuring the resulting i_x .

You should already know this but for completeness let's cover it again.

A voltage source yields NO CURRENT INFORMATION.

it only sets voltage at 2 nodes. If we set that voltage equal to zero then there is no voltage difference and current can still go in any direction. This is the same as the properties of a wire. So:



Without wasting too much time you should be able to prove to yourself that a current source that is zeroed out will represent an open.

So let's use what we just went over:


$$m = \frac{0^\circ - (-1.5mA)}{3^\circ - 0^\circ} = \frac{1.5mA}{3^\circ}$$

remember m in this case yields conductance so we need to inverse it to find resistance. Therefore

$$R_x = \frac{3^\circ}{1.5mA} = 2k\Omega$$

please understand your engineering notation. $m = 10^{-3}$ & $\frac{1}{10^{-3}} = 10^3 = k$


now we know what the resistance is equivalent to let's go back to the ckt & zero out sources.



We see that once reduced,

$$2R = 2k\Omega \therefore R = 1k\Omega$$


So the ckt becomes:



To find V_s we will use the graph to help with giving some known voltage and current info.

We see from the graph that at 3° there is 0° flowing through the right most voltage source. Since it is in series to the $1k\Omega$ resistor, then it as well has no current flowing through it.

Ohm's law states if a resistor has no current flowing through it, then it has 0° drop across it.



$\therefore V_y = 3^\circ$ due to no voltage drop

we see the KVL for the left most ckt is:

$$V_s = V_{2k\Omega,1} + V_{2k\Omega,2}$$


$$\text{where } V_{2k\Omega,1} = (2k\Omega)(I_s)$$

$$V_{2k\Omega,2} = (2k\Omega)(I_s)$$

because the currents & the resistance is the same for this instance the voltages are the same. Remember the currents are the same because I just so happened to find a voltage where the current was 0° . We see $V_{2k\Omega,2} = 3^\circ$ so therefore $V_{2k\Omega,1} = 3^\circ$ and finally:


$$V_s = 3^\circ + 3^\circ = 6^\circ$$

Given the ckt:




Find i . 1st I suggest redrawing the ckt and applying a transformation.


Recall




Therefore



reducing $1^2 + 3^2$ to 4^2 and changing back to current source we get:



we can summate all the current source driving the ckt to one source.




Using what we know about current divider to find i .


$$i_x = \left(\frac{R_{eq}}{R_x} \right) \cdot i_{total}$$

$$R_{eq} = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^{-1} = \frac{4}{3} \Omega$$

$$\therefore i = \frac{\left(\frac{4}{3} \right)}{4} \cdot \frac{30}{4} = \frac{10}{4} = \frac{5}{2} = 2.5A$$



Given the ckt:



find V_1 & V_2

We see from KVL's

$$V_2 + V_1 - V_{2\Omega} - V_{4\Omega} - V_{1\Omega} = 0V$$

We also see:

$$V_1 - V_{2\Omega} + V_{3\Omega} = 0V$$

$$\therefore V_1 = V_{2\Omega} - V_{3\Omega}$$

Ohm's law:

$$V_{2\Omega} = 2\Omega (I_2) \quad V_{3\Omega} = 3\Omega (I_3)$$

Using KCL:

$$I_2 = 2A + 0.2I_1 = 2A + \frac{1}{5}(6) = \frac{16}{5}A$$

$$I_3 = I_1 - 0.2I_1 - 0.3I_1$$

$$\therefore I_3 = 6 - \frac{1}{2}(6) = 3A$$

∴

$$V_{2\Omega} = 2 \left(\frac{16}{5} \right) = \frac{32}{5}V$$

$$V_{3\Omega} = 3(3) = 9V$$

$$\therefore V_1 = \frac{32}{5} - 9 = -\frac{13}{5} = -2.6V$$

$$\therefore V_2 = V_{2\Omega} + V_{4\Omega} + V_{1\Omega} - V_1$$

$$\text{where: } V_{1\Omega} = (1\Omega)(8A) = 8V$$

$$V_{4\Omega} = (4\Omega)(I_4)$$

$$\text{where } I_4 + 0.3I_1 = 8A \quad \therefore I_4 = 8 - \frac{3}{10}(6)$$

∴


$$V_4 = 4 \left(\frac{3}{5} \right) = 24.8V$$

∴

$$V_2 = \frac{32}{5} + \frac{124}{5} + 8V - (-2.6V)$$

$$\therefore V_2 = \frac{209}{5}V = 41.8V$$


Given the ckt:



Find V_s .

This exercise is using the voltage divider equation multiple times

We can see V_x must be dropped across the right branch. Therefore




Voltage divider truly isn't needed. We see i_x is the same through the same valued resistors.

Therefore, the voltage across each resistor must be the same.

$$\therefore V_x = 40V$$

Now to find V_s we simplify again





The voltage divider equation is


$$V_x = \left(\frac{R_x}{R_{Total}} \right) V_{Total} \quad \text{so}$$

$$V_{Total} = \left(\frac{R_{Total}}{R_x} \right) \cdot V_x = \left(\frac{180\Omega + 30\Omega}{30\Omega} \right) 40V$$

$$V_{Total} = 280V$$



Given



Find V_x


This exercise is an application of voltage divider.

The voltage divider only works for resistors that share the same current. Recall components in parallel share the same voltage. Therefore the KVL,


$$9V = V_{c,20\Omega} + V_{20\Omega} = V_{c,20\Omega} + V_{18\Omega} + V_{3\Omega}$$

$$\text{where } V_x = V_{18\Omega} + V_{3\Omega}$$


Therefore V_x is the ratio resistors on the far right.



$$V_x = \frac{21}{6+21} \cdot 9V = \left(\frac{21}{27}\right) \cdot 9V = \left(\frac{7}{9}\right) 9V = 7V$$



Given




Also @ $t=0$ S_1 closes

@ $t=5s$ S_2 closes

@ $t=10s$ both open


Sketch $V_R(t)$ for $0 < t < 15s$

for $t=0$ to $t=S_1$ the ckt is




$$V_R(t) = \frac{2R}{R+2R} \cdot 15V = \frac{2R}{3R} \cdot 15V = 10V$$

for $t=5^+$ to $t=10^+$



$$V_R(t) = 10V$$

for $t=10s$ to $t=15s$



no closed circuit so current can't possibly flow, therefore no potential difference across both resistors therefore $V_R(t)$ must equal 0.

$$V_R(t) = \begin{cases} 10V, & 0 < t < 5 \\ 10V, & 5 < t < 10 \\ 0, & 10 < t < 15 \end{cases}$$

