

Department of Agriculture

PHILIPPINE RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT SCALE-UP

RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE MARKET LINKAGE

I-BUILD

(Intensified Building-Up of Infrastructure and
Logistics for Development)

OPERATIONS MANUAL

June 2023

Table of Contents:

- 1.0 The Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) Operations Manual
 - 1.1 Rationale and Purpose
 - 1.2 Structure of the Manual
 - 1.3 Scope of the Manual
 - 1.4 Use and Target Users
- 2.0 The Philippine Rural Development Project (PRDP) Scale Up
 - 2.1 Background
 - 2.2 Salient Features of PRDP Scale Up
 - 2.3 Goals, Objectives and Expected Outcomes
 - 2.4 Eligible Infrastructure Subprojects under PRDP Scale Up
 - 2.5 Selection and Prioritization Criteria
 - 2.6 Schedule of Implementation
 - 2.7 Pre-implementation Activities
 - 2.8 Post-implementation Activities of Subprojects
 - 2.9 Subproject Development Process
 - 2.10 Detailed I-BUILD Activity Flow
 - 2.11 Organizational Structure, Functional Responsibilities and Implementation Arrangements
 - 2.11.1 Organizational Structure
 - 2.11.2 Functional Responsibilities
 - 2.11.3 General Implementation Arrangements
 - A. Contract duration and time extension
 - B. Joint Technical Review (JTR)
 - C. Subproject Appraisal
 - D. Conduct of RPAB Deliberation and Approval
 - E. Issuance of No Objection for Subprojects
 - F. Pre-Construction Conference
 - G. Change in pledge equipment
 - H. Change in Technical Personnel
 - I. Full time Assignment of the Required Construction Supervision Team
 - J. Roles and Functions of the LGUs Technical Supervision Team
 - K. Conduct of Regular Contract Management Meeting.
 - L. As-stake Survey and As-stake Plan

- M. Variation Orders
 - N. Materials Testing
 - O. Field Density Test
 - P. Inspection and Billing
 - Q. Corrective Action Request
 - R. Joint Inspectorate Team
 - S. Proceeds from Liquidated Damages.
 - T. Suspension of Subproject due to Peace and Order
 - U. Cancellation of subprojects
 - V. Deletion of canceled proposed Subprojects at the MIS
- 2.12 Capability-Building of Implementers
 - 2.12.1 Technical Capability Building Activities
 - 2.12.2 Support Facilities
 - 2.13 General Financial Management Arrangements
 - 2.13.1 Requirements for the Release of Funds to Local Government Units
 - 2.13.2 Requirements for Payment to Contractor
 - 2.13.3 Processing of Contractor's Billing
 - 2.13.4 Financial Reports
 - 2.14 Monitoring and Evaluating the Rural Infrastructure Component of PRDP Scale Up
 - 2.15 Social and Environmental Safeguards
 - 2.16 Sustainability
 - 2.17 Applied Geotagging Technology
 - 2.18 Procurement
- 3.0 Rural Roads
 - 3.1 Scope
 - 3.2 Financing Scheme
 - 3.3 Subproject Identification, Selection and Prioritization
 - 3.4 Subproject Appraisal and Approval System
 - 3.4.1 Eligibility Criteria
 - 3.4.2 Subproject Validation
 - 3.4.3 Feasibility Study (FS)
 - 3.4.4 Subproject Detailed Engineering Preparation
 - 3.4.4.1 Field Surveys

- 3.4.4.2 Design, Drawings, Specifications
- 3.4.4.3 Program of Works (POW)
- 3.4.5 Review, Evaluation and Approval
- 3.4.6 Conditions for Fund Release
- 3.5 Subproject Execution, Completion and Turnover
 - 3.5.1 Implementation Structure
 - 3.5.2 Implementation Mode
 - 3.5.3 Procurement
 - 3.5.4 Supervision and Monitoring
 - 3.5.5 Quality Assurance and Control
 - 3.5.6 Time Control
 - 3.5.7 Cost Control
 - 3.5.8 Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting
 - 3.5.9 Progress Billing, Completion and Turnover
- 3.6 Subproject Operation and Maintenance
- 3.7 “RASIX” for Rural Roads
- 4.0 Irrigation System
 - 4.1 Scope
 - 4.2 Financing Scheme
 - 4.3 Selection Criteria
 - 4.4 Procedures for Investigation of Viability of Schemes
 - 4.5 Subproject Appraisal and Approval
 - 4.5.1 Eligibility Criteria
 - 4.5.2 Subproject Validation
 - 4.5.3 Feasibility Study (FS) Preparation
 - 4.5.4 Subproject Detailed Engineering Design Preparation
 - 4.5.4.1 Field Surveys
 - 4.5.4.2 Detailed Design, Specifications
 - 4.5.4.3 Program of Works (POW)
 - 4.5.5 Review, Evaluation and Approval
 - 4.5.6 Conditions for Fund Release
 - 4.6 Subproject Execution, Completion and Turnover
 - 4.6.1 Implementation Structure
 - 4.6.2 Implementation Mode

- 4.6.3 Procurement
- 4.6.4 Subproject Supervision and Quality, Time and Cost Controls
- 4.6.5 Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting
- 4.6.6 Performance Indicators
- 4.6.7 Progress Billing, Completion and Turnover
- 4.7 Subproject Operation and Maintenance
- 4.8 “RASIX” for Irrigation Subprojects
- 5.0 Potable Water System
- 5.1 Definition and Features of Rural Water Supply Systems
- 5.2 Scope
- 5.3 Financing Scheme
- 5.4 Subproject Identification and Prioritization
- 5.5 Social and Environmental Safeguards
- 5.6 Subproject Appraisal and Approval System
 - 5.6.1 Eligibility Criteria
 - 5.6.2 Subproject Validation
 - 5.6.3 Feasibility Study (FS) Preparation
 - 5.6.4 Subproject Detailed Engineering Preparation
 - 5.6.4.1 Field Survey
 - 5.6.4.2 Design, Drawings, Specifications
 - 5.6.4.3 Program of Works (POW)
 - 5.6.4.4 Review, Evaluation and Approval
 - 5.6.4.5 Conditions for Fund Release
 - 5.6.5 Subproject Execution, Completion and Turnover
 - 5.6.5.1 Implementation Structure
 - 5.6.5.2 Implementation Mode
 - 5.6.5.3 Procurement
 - 5.6.5.4 Subproject Supervision
 - 5.6.5.5 Quality Control
 - 5.6.5.6 Time Control
 - 5.6.5.7 Cost Control
 - 5.6.5.8 Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting
 - 5.6.5.9 Progress Billing, Completion and Turnover
- 5.7 Subproject Operation and Maintenance

- 5.8 “RASIX” for Rural Water Supply
- 6.0 Value Chain Rural Infrastructure
 - 6.1 Scope
 - 6.2 Financing Scheme
 - 6.3 Subproject Identification and Prioritization
 - 6.4 Social and Environmental Safeguards
 - 6.5 Technical Selection Criteria
 - 6.6 Subproject Appraisal and Approval System
 - 6.6.1 Eligibility Criteria
 - 6.6.2 Subproject Validation
 - 6.6.3 Feasibility Study (FS) Preparation
 - 6.6.4 Subproject Detailed Engineering Preparation
 - 6.6.4.1 Field Survey
 - 6.6.4.2 Design, Drawings, Specifications
 - 6.6.4.3 Program of Works (POW)
 - 6.6.5 Review, Evaluation and Approval
 - 6.7 Conditions for Fund Release
 - 6.8 Subproject Execution, Completion and Turnover
 - 6.8.1 Implementation Structure
 - 6.8.2 Implementation Mode
 - 6.8.3 Procurement
 - 6.8.4 Subproject Supervision
 - 6.8.5 Quality Control
 - 6.8.6 Time Control
 - 6.8.7 Cost Control
 - 6.8.8 Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting
 - 6.8.9 Progress Billing, Completion and Turnover
 - 6.9 Subproject Operation and Maintenance
- 6.10 “RASIX” for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure
- 6.11 IREAP Civil Works

List of Tables:

Table 2-1	IBUILD Indicative Physical and Financial Targets
Table 2-2	IBUILD Indicative Physical Target per year
Table 2-3	IBUILD Indicative Financial Target per year (EPC)
Table 2-4	IBUILD Indicative Financial Target per year (LP+GOP)
Table 2-5	IBUILD Indicative Financial Target per year (LP)
Table 2-6	IBUILD Indicative Financial Target per year (GOP)
Table 2-7	Operation & Maintenance Indicative Unit Cost per Subproject Type
Table 2-8	Subproject Processes, Outputs & Responsible Agency
Table 2-9	IBUILD Prior Review Threshold
Table 2-10	Duties and Functions of the Municipal/City Engineering Office
Figure 2-11	Duties and Functions of the Municipal/City Planning and Development Office
Figure 2-12	Duties and Functions of the Municipal/City Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Office
Table 2-13	Technical Capability Building Activities
Table 2-14	Financial Reports – IBUILD Component
Table 3-1	Cost Sharing scheme for all IBUILD subproject type
Table 3-2	Indirect Cost Factors for Subprojects
Table 3-3	Geometrical & Design specifications and Scheme for Roads
Table 3-4	Geometrical & Design specifications and Scheme for Bridges
Table 3-5.	Minimum Test Requirements for Rural Roads and Bridges for some Selected Items
Table 4-1	Geometrical and Design Specifications for Irrigation Schemes
Table 5-1.	Definition and Features of Water Supply Systems
Table 5-2	Minimum Test Requirements for Potable Water Supply Systems for Some Selected Items
Table 6-1	Minimum Test Requirements for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure for Some Selected Items

List of Figures:

- Figure 2-1 I-BUILD Activity Flow
- Figure 2-2 Organizational Structure at the Project Level
- Figure 2-3 Organizational Structure at the NPCO
- Figure 2-4 Organizational Structure at NPCO-IBUILD
- Figure 2-5 Organizational Structure at PSO
- Figure 2-6 Organizational Structure at PSO IBUILD
- Figure 2-7 Organizational Structure at RPCO
- Figure 2-8 Organizational Structure of RPCO-IBUILD
- Figure 3-1 Overview – Release of Funds/Payment to Contractor

Annexes:

Annex 1	PRDP SU - List of Priority Subprojects and its Explanatory Notes
Annex 2	PRDP SU - Feasibility Study Outline for FMR
Annex 3	PRDP SU - Feasibility Study Outline for Irrigation Facilities
Annex 4	PRDP SU - Feasibility Study Outline for Potable Water Supply
Annex 5	PRDP SU - Feasibility Study Outline for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure - Slaughterhouse Dressing Plant
Annex 6	PRDP SU - Feasibility Study Outline for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure - Fish Landing Feeder Port
Annex 7	PRDP SU - Feasibility Study Outline for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure - Warehouse - Warehouse with MPDP
Annex 8	PRDP SU - Subproject Validation Report for FMR and Bridges
Annex 9	PRDP SU - Subproject Validation Report for Irrigation System
Annex 10	PRDP SU - Subproject Validation Report for Potable Water Supply
Annex 11	PRDP SU - Subproject Validation Report for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure
Annex 12	PRDP SU - Traffic Count Form and Instruction
Annex 13	PRDP SU - Review Checklist for FMRs and Bridges
Annex 14	PRDP SU - Review Checklist for Irrigation Facilities
Annex 15	PRDP SU - Review Checklist for Potable Water Supply
Annex 16	PRDP SU - Review Checklist for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure
Annex 17	PRDP SU - Typical Drawings for Access Road subprojects
Annex 18	PRDP SU - Typical Drawings for RCDG Bridge - Bored Pile subprojects
Annex 19	PRDP SU - Typical Drawings for RCDG Bridges
Annex 20	PRDP SU - Typical Drawings for Communal Irrigation subprojects
Annex 21	PRDP SU - Drawings and Specifications for Small Scale Irrigation System Subprojects
Annex 22	PRDP SU - Typical Drawings for Potable Water Supply Subprojects
Annex 23	PRDP SU - Typical Warehouse Solar Drier Drawings
Annex 24	PRDP SU - Typical Rock Causeway Timber Port Drawings
Annex 25	PRDP SU - Standard Drawing Size & Title Blocks
Annex 26	PRDP SU - POW Format
Annex 27	PRDP SU - Subproject Signboard
Annex 28	PRDP SU - Construction Log Book
Annex 29	PRDP SU - Weather Chart
Annex 30	PRDP SU - Site Inspection Report

Annex 31	PRDP SU - Quality Control Program
Annex 32	PRDP SU - Status of Tests
Annex 33	PRDP SU - Work Sked & Physical Progress Report
Annex 34	PRDP SU - Suspension Order
Annex 35	PRDP SU - Resume Work Order
Annex 36	PRDP SU - Certificate of Quality Control Assurance
Annex 37	PRDP SU - Summary Report of Field Tests
Annex 38	PRDP SU - Final Inspection Report
Annex 39	PRDP SU - Subproject Completion Report
Annex 40	PRDP SU - Subproject Turn over Certificate
Annex 41	PRDP SU - RASIX for FMR and Bridges
Annex 42	PRDP SU - RASIX for Irrigation System Facilities
Annex 43	PRDP SU - RASIX for Potable Water Supply
Annex 44	PRDP SU - RASIX for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure
Annex 45	PRDP SU - Subproject FS Appraisal Report (SPAR) Guide and Review Guide for NOL 1
Annex 46	PRDP SU - SPL Technical Specifications
Annex 47	PRDP SU - Equipment Productivity Output
Annex 48	PRDP SU - Time and Motion Study
Annex 49	PRDP SU - ROW Certification
Annex 50	PRDP SU - DED Certification
Annex 51	PRDP SU - Certificate of Subproject Completion
Annex 52	PRDP SU - Certificate of Subproject Acceptance
Annex 53	PRDP SU - Testing Laboratory machine-apparatus-equipment
Annex 54	PRDP SU - 2014 ACEL Rates and Edition 26
Annex 55	PRDP SU - Processing of Building Permit
Annex 56	PRDP SU - Value Chain Rural Infrastructures - Warehouse and Processing Facility

Appendix:

Abbreviations & Acronyms:

ABE	- Agricultural and Bio-system Engineer
ACEL	- Association of Carriers and Equipment Lessors
ADB	- Asian Development Bank
ADSDPP	- Ancestral Domains Sustainable Development and Protection Plan
ADT	- Average Daily Traffic
AF-1	- First Additional Financing
AF-2	- Second Additional Financing
AFMP	- Agriculture and Fisheries Modernization Plan
Agri.	- Agriculture
AIP	- Annual Investment Plan
ARC	- Agrarian Reform Community
BAC	- Bid and Award Committee
BAFE	- Bureau of Agricultural and Fisheries Engineering
BAI	- Bureau of Animal Industry
BARMM	- Bangsamoro Autonomous Region in Muslim Mindanao
BAWASA	- Barangay Water and Service Association
BER	- Bid Evaluation Review
BFAR	- Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources
BSWM	- Bureau of Soils and Water Management
CAF	- Certificate of Availability of Funds
CARI	- Contractor' All Risk Insurance
CAO	- City Agriculture Office
CBR	- California Bearing Ratio
CENRO	- City Environment and Natural Resources Office
CEO	- City Engineering Office
CERC	- Contingent Emergency Response Component
CHARM	- Cordillera Highland Agricultural Resource Management Project
CIS	- Communal Irrigation System
CLGU	- City Local Government Unit
CNC	- Certificate of None Coverage
COA	- Commission on Audit
CP	- Contractor's Profit

CPDO	- City Planning and Development Office
CPM	- Critical Path Method
CPMIU	- City Project Management Implementing Unit
CSO	- Civil Society Organizations
CSS	- Context Sensitive Solutions
DA	- Department of Agriculture
DAR	- Department of Agrarian Reform
DC	- Direct Cost
DED	- Detailed Engineering Design
DENR	- Department of Environmental and Natural Resources
DEO	- District Engineering Office
DILG	- Department of the Interior and Local Government
DO	- Department Order
DOH	- Department of Health
DOLE	- Department of Labor and Employment
DOST	- Department of Science and Technology
DPD	- Deputy Project Director
DPWH	- Department of Public Works and Highways
DSWD	- Department of Social Welfare and Development
DTI	- Department of Trade and Industry
DUPA	- Detailed Unit Price Analysis
e-VSA	- expanded Vulnerability and Suitability Assessments
ECC	- Environmental Compliance Certificate
Econ	- Economics
EDC	- Estimated Direct Cost
EPC	- Estimated Project Cost
ESF	- Environmental and Social Framework
ESMP	- Environmental and Social Management Plan
ESSF	- Environmental and Social Safeguards Framework
EU	- European Union
FCA	- Farmers Cooperatives/Associations
FMR	- Farm to Market Road
FPIC	- Free and Prior Inform Consent

FS	- Feasibility Study
GGU	- Geo-mapping and Governance Unit
GOP	- Government of the Philippines
I-BUILD	- Intensified Building-Up of Infrastructure and Logistics for Development - Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage
I-REAP	- Enterprise Development
I-PLAN	- National and Local Level Planning
I-SUPPORT	- Project Implementation Support
IA	- Irrigators Association
IDC	- Indirect Cost
IDO	- Institutional Development Officer
IEC	- Information, Education and Communication
IMA	- Implementation Management Agreement
INFRES	- Infrastructure for the Rural Productivity Enhancement Sector
IP	- Indigenous People
IQMDS	- Infrastructure Quality Monitoring and Durability System
ITP	- Inspection and Test Plan
JBIC	- Japan Bank for International Cooperation
JIT	- Joint Inspectorate Team
JTR	- Joint Technical Review
LB/ES	- Labor Based Equipment Supported
LGU	- Local Government Units
LOI	- Letter of Intent
LP	- Loan Proceed
LWUA	- Local Water Utilities Administration
MAFAR	- Ministry of Agriculture-Fishery and Agrarian Reform
MAO	- Municipal Agriculture Office
MEO	- Municipal Engineering Office
MENRO	- Municipal Environment and Natural Resources Office
MLGU	- Municipal Local Government Unit
MMTR	- Minimum Materials Testing Requirement
MOA	- Memorandum of Agreement
MPDO	- Municipal Planning and Development Office

MPMC	- Municipal Project Monitoring Council
MPMIU	- Municipal Project Management Implementing Unit
MRDP	- Mindanao Rural Development Program
NAFMIP	- National Agriculture and Fisheries Modernization and Industrialization Plan
NCIP	- National Commission on Indigenous Peoples
NGO	- None Government Organization
NIA	- National Irrigation Administration
NMIS	- National Meat Inspection Service
NOL	- No Objection Letter
NOL-1	- No Objection Letter one (1)
NOL-2	- No Objection Letter two (2)
NPCO	- National Project Coordination Office
NPSC	- National Project Steering Committee
NTP	- Notice to Proceed
NWRB	- National Water Resources Board
O & M	- Operations and Maintenance
OCM	- Overhead, Contingencies and Miscellaneous
OHSP	- Occupational Health and Safety Program
OL	- Original Loan
OM	- Operations Manual
OMAS	- Operation and Maintenance Audit System
PA	- Provincial Agriculturist
PABES	- Philippine Agricultural and Bio-systems Engineering Standard
PAES	- Philippine Agriculture Engineering Standard
PAO	- Provincial Agriculture Office
PAP	- Project Affected Person
PBD	- Philippine Bidding Documents
PCCP	- Portland Cement Concrete Pavement
PCIP	- Provincial Commodity Investment Plan
PD	- Project Director
PDC	- Provincial Development Council
PDM	- Precedence Diagram Method

PE	- Provincial Engineer
PEO	- Provincial Engineering Office
PENRO	- Provincial Environment and Natural Resources Office
PERT	- Project Evaluation and Review Technique
PhilFIDA	- Philippine Fiber Industry Development Authority
PhilMech	- Philippine Center for Postharvest Development and Mechanization
PLGU	- Provincial Local Government Unit
PMIU	- Project Management Implementing Units
PO	- Private Organization
POW	- Program of Works
PPDC	- Provincial Planning Development Council
PPDO	- Provincial Planning and Development Office
PPMC	- Provincial Project Monitoring Council
PPMIU	- Provincial Project Management Implementing Unit
PRA-PSA	- Participatory Rural Appraisal - Participatory Social Analysis
PRDP	- Philippine Rural Development Project
PRDP SU	- Philippine Rural Development Project Scale Up
PSO	- Project Support Office
PWS	- Potable Water Supply
QP	- Quality Plan
RAED	- Regional Agricultural Engineering Division
RAFIP	- Regional Agriculture and Fisheries Investment Portfolio
RBME	- Results Based Monitoring and Evaluation
RCBC	- Reinforced Concrete Box Culvert
RED	- Regional Executive Director
RFO	- Regional Field Office
RFU	- Regional Field Unit
RIA	- Road Influence Area
RMA	- Rapid Marketing Analysis
ROMAT	- Regional Operations and Maintenance Audit Team
ROW	- Right of Way
RPAB	- Regional Project Advisory Board
RPCO	- Regional Project Coordination Office

RPMC	- Regional Project Monitoring Council
RROW	- Road Right of Way
RTD	- Regional Technical Director
SES	- Social and Environmental Safeguards
SP	- Subproject
SPAR	- Subproject Appraisal Report
SPIS	- Solar Powered Irrigation Systems
STP	- Standard Penetration Test
SWA	- Statement of Work Accomplishment
SWIP	- Small Water Impounding Project
VAT	- Value Added Tax
VCA	- Value Chain Analysis
VCRI	- Value Chain Rural Infrastructure
VPD	- Vehicles per Day
VSA	- Vulnerability and Suitability Assessment
WB	- World Bank

1.0 The Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) Operations Manual

1.1 Rationale and Purpose

The Philippine Rural Development Project Scale Up (PRDP SU) is an expanded response to the persistent challenges confronting the agri-fishery sector and the rural communities in the country producing priority commodities that are within the Provincial Commodity Investment Plans (PCIPs) and aligned with the National Agriculture and Fisheries Modernization and Industrialization Plan (NAFMIP). The Project aims to improve farmers and fisher folk access to markets and increase income from agri-fishery activities.

PRDP Scale Up envisioned to introduce a more holistic design and strategies by looking at a broader agri-fisheries food/commodity system to address the gaps in the whole commodity value chains, level up approaches and innovations based on lessons learned, contribute to improving climate resilience and modernizing the agriculture and fisheries sector. Interventions include the emphasis on rebuilding the whole value chain, improving the food supply chain and logistics, prioritizing farm to market roads (FMRs) with value chain infrastructure support, the inclusion of rice and corn focusing on value addition, and mainstreaming of institutional reforms in the Department of Agriculture (DA) programs and projects.

The Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage - Intensified Building-Up of Infrastructure and Logistics for Development (I-BUILD) component will focus on delivering climate-resilient access and value chain infrastructure support with the end goal of building up food distribution hubs and logistics systems to provide unhampered mobility, access and stable supply of food commodities and other agri-fishery products with reduced transport, handling, and hauling costs thereby improving product quality and prices in target markets. It will take off from I-PLAN's strategic overlay of criteria and parameters in coming up with key investment areas in the value and supply chains from the regional perspective. To further ensure more climate-resilient and sustainable rural infrastructure investments, hazard mapping analysis will be considered in the design and planning of infrastructure subprojects with the incorporation of the Philippine Agricultural and Bio-systems Engineering Standard (PABES), Green Building Code and the compliance with the new Environmental and Social Framework.

This operations manual is specifically prepared for the implementation of the Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) component. It will aid how subprojects in PRDP Scale Up will be identified, prioritized, validated, prepared, reviewed, evaluated, approved, implemented until its operation and maintenance. The subprojects that will be implemented under the loan are those that will contribute to the following key result areas:

1. Improving farmers and fisher folks access to markets and increase income from agri-fishery activities.
2. Higher productivity as a result of increased cropping intensity, cropped areas and average yields,
3. Food security and improved health from readily available potable water,

4. Increase in market value of agri-fishery products traded or processed, reduction in transport / post-harvest losses and reduction in hauling cost resulting in higher volume of outputs, better quality and more efficient support facilities,
5. Improving flow, distribution and prepositioning of agri-fishery foods, products and services even in times of calamity and epidemic/pandemic.

Project implementation will be further strengthened with the institutionalization of the new Environmental and Social Safeguards Framework (ESSF) and protocols, including adoption of latest guidelines on infrastructure development and enhancement of digitalization efforts, to ensure accountability, transparency and efficiency of all activities inherent to the project operation.

This manual will serve as a source book of information and procedures that can be used by the Department of Agriculture (DA), the Local Government Units (LGUs) and Farmers Cooperatives/Associations (FCA's) along the project development cycle.

1.2 Structure of the Manual

The manual is divided into 6 parts. **Part 1** is about the Rural Infrastructure Market linkage (I-BUILD) operation's manual, **Part 2** is about PRDP Scale-Up which includes the component description, the types of eligible rural infrastructure, the objectives and target outputs, the subproject development processes, the organizational set-up and implementation arrangements and policies, **Part 3** is the Rural Roads and Bridges, **Part 4** is the Irrigation System Facilities, **Part 5** is the Potable Water Supply and **Part 6** is the Value Chain Rural Infrastructure.

There are details in the manual that are placed in the appendices and annexes and the others are referred to the other operations manual on procurement, financial management, Geotagging and Good Governance, Social and Environmental Safeguards framework and monitoring and evaluation.

The annexes pertain to the various sample forms and pre-formatted documents to be used from pre-implementation to post-implementation of subprojects such as survey forms, validation forms, feasibility study formats, program of works, typical drawings, implementation progress monitoring forms, completion report format and other templates.

1.3 Scope of the Manual

The manual applies to rural infrastructure subprojects that are proposed for implementation under Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) component and infrastructure support of the Enterprise Component (I-REAP Civil Works) of PRDP Scale Up. It provides the guidelines on subproject Identification and Prioritization, subproject Validation, subproject Preparation and Packaging of feasibility and detailed engineering design, subproject Review, Evaluation and Approval, subproject Implementation and subproject Operation and Maintenance.

The infrastructure subproject types are farm to market roads and bridges, irrigation system facilities, potable water supply, value chain rural infrastructures and the civil works of the enterprise component (I-REAP).

1.4 Use and Target Users

The intended use of the manual will hope to harmonize the understanding of the different levels of Project management and LGU Proponents. The primary users are the DA line agencies and offices involved in the Project as well as the implementing LGUs and FCA's and participating POs and NGOs.

2.0 The Philippine Rural Development Project (PRDP) Scale Up

2.1 Background

Original Loan (OL)

Eight years into its implementation, the Philippine Rural Development Project (PRDP) has gained a wealth of experience in its engagement with various partner agencies, particularly with the Local Government Units (LGUs), in the delivery of the needed agriculture and fisheries services for rural development. Building on the good practices, experiences, and lessons learned from the Mindanao Rural Development Program (MRDP), the PRDP has significantly leveled up in strengthening this partnership by espousing relevant institutional and operational reforms in project implementation. The Project has become a viable platform of engagement with local governments in terms of integrating the national development agenda with local investment priorities through the commodity value chain approach, which is expressed in the Provincial Commodity Investment Plans (PCIPs) approved by all 81 provinces in the country.

First Additional Financing (AF-1)

This prompted the Project to request the US\$ 450 million additional financing in 2016 to address the excess demand underpinned by the LGUs' strong interest and confidence to partner with the DA in pursuing their infrastructure development priorities. The US\$ 170 million first additional financing (AF-1) which became available in 2018 focused mainly on additional rural infrastructures (I-BUILD) with 87% (PhP 8.6 billion) of the allocation. The Project has since employed prioritization criteria to strategically rationalize the types of investments to be funded, giving priority to LGUs that have not received PRDP interventions yet and to LGUs with good implementation performance. An additional selection and prioritization criteria for FMRs have been established as the subproject pipeline points to FMRs and FMRs with bridges being the most in-demand in terms of the type of investments comprising 94% of the I-BUILD allocation. This has further placed the DA, through the PRDP, as the lead for providing rural access in the countryside. Already, around 78 out of the 81 provinces with PCIPs have availed of funding with AF-1.

Second Additional Financing (AF-2)

The timing of the US\$ 280 million second additional financing (AF-2), which only started in September 2021, has made the Project responsive to the impacts brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic. The PRDP has retrofitted the interventions under AF-2. Infrastructure investments focus on rural access to address gaps in the movement of agri-fishery products as well as on pre and post-harvest facilities to preposition and shore up the supply of primary commodities in strategic areas.

The addition of the EU co-financing grant to the AF-2 (or AF2-EU) has further broadened the Project's engagement with the more vulnerable sectors in Mindanao by focusing on LGUs with low-income and capacities, conflict-affected areas, Indigenous Peoples, and the BARMM.

The midterm evaluation study for PRDP conducted in 2018 underscored the importance of FMRs in reducing transport and hauling costs of farm and fishery produce and lowering production costs enabling farmers and fisher folks to offer better quality produce and enjoy bigger profits. The FMRs have proven to yield positive spillover effects stimulating economic and activities outside of the agriculture sector by bringing in more livelihood opportunities in rural areas.

Lessons Learned in Implementing the PRDP

The valuable lessons learned from the implementation experiences of the component have paved for developing and updating approaches and innovations to adhere to the development goals of the original Project.

Learning-by-doing as an approach to capability building of Project implementers on feasibility study preparation. This is perceived as an effective approach from the start of the engagement until the completion of activities and sub-projects. This ensures that all the stakeholders understand the process and the Project requirements, which allows a faster preparation of documents and approval of subprojects. Progressive capacity-building activities may be undertaken according to the level of competence and technical capacities to progress from one competency to another without being overwhelmed with the technicalities of information and knowledge.

The conduct of a Joint Technical Review (JTR) by the NPCO, PSO, and RPCO in the review of subproject proposals is an effective approach to fast-track the subproject review and approval process. It also prevents LGUs from a frequent revision of the project documents like the Feasibility Studies (FS) and Detailed Engineering Designs (DEDs) as the project review team (NPCO, PSO, and RPCO) provide collective and harmonized comments and agreements.

Involvement of the communities in monitoring the implementation and Operation and Maintenance (O&M) of subprojects encourages increased ownership of development projects. Through the Citizens Monitoring Teams organized by the PRDP, communities are able to obtain a higher sense of ownership of the subprojects in their localities, which is an important element to the sustainability of the subproject. Instead of merely beneficiaries, the citizens become partners of the DA and the proponent LGUs in ensuring the quality of the subprojects.

Mainstreaming of the Infrastructure Quality Monitoring and Durability System (IQMDS) to DA and LGU in the implementation of infrastructure SPs ensures road quality. Apart from close supervision and monitoring of the works during implementation, the IQMDS is proven to be effective in ensuring the quality of roads implemented. Project development in infrastructure is multi-disciplinary, thus, it is ensured that the other dimensions of subprojects aside from engineering aspects are taken into consideration, which are vital during implementation and during the O&M stage where impacts are expected.

2.2 Salient Features of PRDP Scale Up

The following are some of the enhancements from PRDP to PRDP Scale Up and features that the component will continue to pursue.

1. It will cover 82 PLGUs, MLGUs and independent component cities in 16 regions. The Proponent LGUs (Province/Municipalities/Cities) is the focal point of PRDP Scale Up investment planning and implementation.
2. The DA-RFUs/RPCOs are given more responsibility for overseeing the implementation of PRDP SU initiatives and the main source of support to LGUs. This in effect mainstreams the PRDP approaches within permanent government structures, i.e., the DA-RFUs. The PSO will provide technical support to the DA RFUs/RPCOs while the NPCO will facilitate coordination with the different oversight agencies and World Bank.
3. More capability building interventions – The planning and implementation capacities of the participating agencies and implementing units of PRDP SU will be strengthened especially in the planning approach using i) science-based tools in the subproject identification and prioritization stage to include the vulnerability and suitability assessment prepared by BSWM (VSA), expanded vulnerability and suitability assessments (e-VSA) and value chain analysis (VCA); and (ii) aligning rural infrastructure prioritization to local needs as prescribed in the provincial commodity investment plans (PCIPs). The basis for prioritization and selection of local infrastructure would be the regional AFMPs and the corresponding PCIPs. Concepts of climate change infrastructure resiliency and geo-tagging will be pursued in PRDP Scale Up.
4. Sustainability measures - the LGUs shall be required to submit a workable operation and maintenance plan (O&M) together with proof of yearly budget allocation for operation & maintenance activities during the submission of the feasibility study for approval.
5. Emphasis to be placed on transparency and accountability through the Results Based Monitoring and Evaluation (RBME) system highlighting the use of real time information gathering through geo-tagging. This aids implementers assess performance and make immediate adjustments as the Project progresses. Citizens participation through Civil Society Organizations' (CSOs) engagement in support to the Open Government Partnership will be pursued in PRDP SU.

6. Safer and more climate resilient Farm to Market Roads, Bridges, Irrigation Systems Facilities, Potable Water Supply and Value Chain Rural Infrastructures.

2.3 Goals, Objectives and Expected Outcomes

1. The Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) component aims to continue to develop a strategic network of safe and climate-resilient rural infrastructure and facilities supportive of the value chain in the Project areas.
2. From the pipeline and from the newly proposed subprojects by the LGUs, the types of infrastructure needed for PRDP scale up are farm-to-market roads, bridges, Irrigation System Facilities, potable water supply, and value chain rural infrastructure facilities.
3. At the end of PRDP Scale Up, the Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) component envisions to attain the following of which are stated in the Project’s Development Objectives:
- ✓ 30% reduction in transport costs in roads linking production areas to markets.
 - ✓ 40% reduction in travel time of farmer/ fisher folks from farm to market.
 - ✓ 180% Cropping intensity increased in areas served with new/improved irrigation services
 - ✓ 90% of completed climate-smart value chain infrastructure facilities operating as designed

Table 2-1 IBUILD Indicative Physical and Financial Targets:

Subproject Type	No. SPs	Unit	Physical Target	Total Cost (EPC)
Farm to Market Roads	141	kms.	931.09	20,475,205,384.27
FMR with Bridge	29	kms.- l.m.		6,234,558,987.95
Road Component		kms.	243.31	
Bridge Component		l.m.	1,173	
Bridges	12	l.m.	789	1,041,400,000.00
Irrigation	36	Ha.	2,517.64	803,539,026.74
Potable Water Supply	46	No. of HH.	64,512.00	2,456,930,517.75

Philippine Rural Development Project Scale-Up • Operations Manual
Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) Component

Value Chain Rural Infrastructure	62	No. of SPs.	62	3,221,750,812.71
Total	326			34,233,384,729.42

2.4 Eligible Infrastructure Subprojects under PRDP Scale Up

The eligible rural infrastructure subprojects for PRDP Scale Up are *construction, upgrading, rehabilitation, repair, retro-fitting and completion* of: (a) Farm to Market Roads and Bridges; (b) Irrigation system facilities such as: CIP, CIS, SWIP, SPIS, Ram Pump, Spring Development Irrigation, Drip Irrigation System, shallow Tube Wells and other pressurized irrigation system (c) Potable Water Supply (Level 1 & 2) including desalination facility; and (d) “Value Chain Rural Infrastructures”.

Value Chain Rural Infrastructures needed in the value chain to enhance the productivity and give value added quantities to products in agriculture and fishery industries such as production facilities, pre and post-harvest facilities, marketing facilities, consolidation facilities, processing facilities are eligible for funding for PRDP Scale Up.

Specific eligible facilities for Value Chain Rural Infrastructure under IBUILD funds are slaughterhouse / abattoir, poultry dressing plants, fish landing and facilities, feeder ports, tramlines, watch towers, slope stabilization works, silos, warehouses, warehouse with solar dryers/MPDP/mechanical dryers, cold storage facilities, agriculture composting facility, livestock auction facility, fish hatcheries and fishponds facilities, trading posts/centers and other infrastructure fully operated and managed by the LGU.

Other Value Chain rural infrastructures such as silos, warehouses, warehouse with solar dryers, solar dryers, cold storage facilities, fish hatcheries and fishponds facilities, trading posts/centers, food terminals equipped with cold or dry storage facilities, greenhouses, corn drying to milling centers/facilities with warehouses, silos, rice processing centers (for drying, milling, and packaging) with logistics facilities, fish trading centers with pre-processing and cold storage facilities, livestock breeding and grow-out facilities, dairy barns / production facilities, meat processing plants, dairy processing plants / centers with testing facilities, feed mill and other facilities managed by FCAs, co-managed by the LGU and FCAs and co-managed by FCAs and private entities are eligible IREAP funds.

The I-BUILD component will fund the subprojects identified under I-BUILD. These are value chain rural Infrastructure types categorized as public infrastructure and are those included in the I-BUILD identification and prioritization processes. I-REAP component will fund the value chain rural infrastructure support facilities identified under I-REAP procedures and processes to be operated by the LGUs and FCAs under the IREAP guidelines. However, the design, review, approval and implementation is under the I-BUILD component.

The following lists of items or activities are not eligible for financing out of the proceeds of the Loan through Sub-projects: (a) use of pesticides, herbicides, insecticides, and asbestos; (b) purchase of land, ammunitions; (c) construction of school buildings; (d) construction of health centers; (e) construction of dam with height of 15 meters and above; (f) construction of roads within protected areas and national highways; (g) construction of national irrigation systems; (h) repair of government offices and (i) activities for fiestas, religious and other cultural activities.

2.5 Selection and Prioritization Criteria

The infrastructure development needs in the PRDP Scale Up project areas are numerous and varied. There is a high demand for IBUILD subprojects and its cost based from the pipelined subprojects is much higher than the IBUILD allocated budget and need to be prioritized. The project will continue to adopt the mechanism to prioritize the funding of subprojects based on key socio-economic indicators that have the greatest impact and are aligned with the development objectives and thrusts of the new project. The selection and prioritization criteria shall guide the Rural Infrastructure Market Linkage (I-BUILD) component to properly select and prioritize the most beneficial subprojects from several infrastructure value chain options or combinations. The main objective of such selection and prioritization criteria is to ensure that the selected subprojects will contribute optimally to the attainment of the objectives of PRDP Scale Up.

1. General Selection Criteria

- ✓ Relevance – The subproject must be relevant to the PRDP Scale Up goal of increasing rural incomes and enhancing farm and fishery productivity in the targeted project areas.
- ✓ Importance – The subproject must be a felt need of the LGUs that will benefit from the subproject.
- ✓ Urgency – The subproject must bring immediate results in terms of alleviating the plight of the rural agricultural poor by contributing to their increase in productivity and income.
- ✓ Viability – The subproject must be viable in the medium- and long-term not only from an economic, but from the social and environmental viewpoints as well.

2. Specific Selection Criteria

In furtherance of the above general criteria, the following specifics are prescribed:

a. First Tier Criteria:

- ✓ The proponent LGU must be willing and capable to contribute the required equity.
- ✓ The proponent LGU and FCA must have the technical capability to plan and implement the subproject.
- ✓ The proponent LGU must have an Agricultural Bio-system Engineering Office. (LGUs to create an ABE Office if they do not have one, in compliance to the CSC Resolution No. 2200373 and Memorandum Circular No. 12, series of 2022). Until such time that ABE Office is created the LGU has an option to hire AB Engineers. (refer to DA Memo No. 17 s. 2023)
 - The Project will suspend the implementation of the criteria on the LGU's ABE office or its creation for two (2) years starting from the loan effectiveness of the project.

- LGUs that already have an ABE office shall include the office in the structure of their P/M/C PMIU.
 - LGUs that do not have an ABE office should provide a commitment to hire an Agricultural and Bio-system Engineer and engage the ABE during the implementation of the subproject as a member of the P/M/C PMIU.
 - LGUs that have an ABE employed under the P/M/C Agricultural Office should engage the ABE during the preparation of the proposal documents and during implementation as a member of the P/M/C PMIU.
- ✓ LGUs that participated in DA Projects (eg. PRDP, MRDP, INFRES, CHARM) should have had satisfactory performance (physical and financial) during the implementation and in the O&M of completed SPs. There should be no DA Projects' policies violated like: i) fund diversion or unliquidated funds for a period of 2 years from its due and non-payment of obligations; ii) not completed and abandoned subprojects due to the LGU's negligence; and iii) O&M rating of "severe" or "bad" at the latest assessment period which connotes failure of maintenance by the proponent.

b. Second Tier Criteria:

- ✓ The subproject must be a part of a priority value chain both in terms of the type of agri-fisheries product and the geographical location of the value chain.
- ✓ The subproject must generate economic and social benefits.
- ✓ The subproject must not encroach on protected areas and shall not in any case have adverse impacts on the environment and in consideration of the ADSDPP.
- ✓ The subproject except PWS must be in consonance with the provincial commodity investment plan (PCIP) of the PLGU and the CCIP of the CLGU, the DA regional Agriculture and Fisheries Modernization Plan (AFMP), National Agriculture and Fisheries Modernization and Industrialization Plan (NAFMIP), Regional Agriculture and Fisheries Investment Portfolio (RAFIP) containing key inter-provincial investment areas as output of regional perspective planning based on PCIPs, commodity roadmaps and Cluster Development Plans.
- ✓ The SP should serve an influence area that has significant agricultural potential within the agriculture and fisheries production areas, coastal landing points, and pre-postharvest and processing facilities.
- ✓ FMR SP must be included in the FMR Network Plan and irrigation SP must be included in the Irrigation / SSIP masterplan.

3. Prioritization Criteria

Even after the number of proposed subprojects were screened on the basis of the above criteria, based from the pipelined subproject, there are more sub-projects than the funds to implement them. This is where prioritization needs to be done and the following should be the prioritization guide:

- ✓ Number of beneficiaries – the bigger the higher the priority.
- ✓ EIRR – at least 10%; the higher the EIRR, the higher the priority.
- ✓ Per capita subproject cost – the lower the cost per beneficiary, the higher the priority.
- ✓ Subproject location – higher priority shall be given to subprojects located in LGUs that had no or limited government assistance similar to the type of subprojects being proposed.
- ✓ Gender and/or children sensitivity – all other things being equal, a subproject with more women and/or children to be benefited shall have higher priority.
- ✓ Level of poverty – if reliable statistics are available, the higher the poverty level, the higher the priority.
- ✓ SPs with established right-of-way (ROW) have higher priority

The IBUILD prioritization scheme for PRDP SU is attached as Appendix A