

# Algebra

## I. Set Theory and Categories

### 1. Sets and Categories

#### 1.1

Suppose that for any property, we can define a set whose members are items that satisfy that property. Then let  $r = \{x : x \notin x\}$ . Then  $r \in r \iff r \notin r$ .

In naive set theory and ZFC, this is avoided as the axiom schema of specification (for any property  $P$  and set  $S$  the set  $\{s \in S : s \text{ satisfies } P\}$  exists) requires an existing set  $S$ . Also, a set of all sets cannot exist, as otherwise we can take  $S$  to be such a set.

#### 1.2

Nonempty: any equivalence class  $[a]$  contains  $a$ .

Disjoint: we wish to show that  $[a] \neq [b] \implies [a] \cap [b] = \{\}$ . Equivalently,  $[a] \cap [b] \neq \{\} \implies [a] = [b]$ . Suppose  $c \in [a] \cap [b]$ , and let  $a' \in [a]$ . Then  $a' \sim a \sim c \sim b$ , hence  $[a] \subseteq [b]$ . Similarly  $[b] \subseteq [a]$ .

Union is  $S$ :  $S$  contains the union as it contains every equivalence class by construction. Let  $s \in S$ . Then  $s \in [s]$ , hence the union contains  $S$ .

#### 1.3

Define  $a \sim b$  when there exists  $p \in P$  such that  $a \in p, b \in p$ .

#### 1.4

We count partitions. A partition will have 1, 2 or 3 parts. If it has 1 part it must be the whole set and if it has 3 parts it must be  $\{[1], [2], [3]\}$ . If it has 2 parts, exactly one part will have 2 items and the other 1 item, and we have 3 choices for the singleton part. Hence there are 5 equivalence relations.

#### 1.5

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  define  $a \sim b$  when  $|a - b| < 2$ . Then  $0 \sim 1$  and  $1 \sim 2$  but  $0 \not\sim 2$ .

## 1.6

Reflexive: for  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $r \sim r$  since  $r - r = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Symmetric: suppose  $a \sim b$ . Then  $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $b - a = -(a - b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $b \sim a$ .

Transitive: suppose  $a \sim b, b \sim c$ . Then  $a - c = (a - b) + (b - c) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Every class in  $\mathbb{R}/\sim$  is represented by a unique real number  $r \in [0, 1)$ , which is equivalent to all real numbers with that fractional part.

Every class in  $\mathbb{R}^2/\approx$  is represented by a unique pair of real numbers  $(r_1, r_2)$  with each  $r_i \in [0, 1)$ , which is equivalent to all pairs of real numbers whose fractional parts are the representative.

# 1. Functions between sets

## 2.1

$n!$

## 2.2

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . We wish to show that  $f$  has a right-inverse iff it is surjective.

$\implies$  : let  $b \in B$ . Suppose the right inverse is  $g$ ; then  $f \circ g = id_B$  hence  $b = f(g(b)) \in f(A)$ .

$\impliedby$  : we define  $g : B \rightarrow A$  as follows. Let  $b \in B$ . Choose  $a \in f^{-1}(b)$ , which is nonempty since  $f$  is surjective, then set  $g(b) = a$ . Then  $g$  is a right inverse. Proof: for all  $b \in B$  we have  $f(g(b)) = f(a) = b$ .

## 2.3

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a bijection and  $f^{-1}$  its inverse. Since  $f^{-1}$  is a right inverse of  $f$ , we have  $f \circ f^{-1} = id_B$  hence  $f$  is a left inverse of  $f^{-1}$ . Similarly it is a right inverse as well; hence  $f^{-1}$  is a bijection.

Let  $g : A \rightarrow B, f : B \rightarrow C$  be bijections. Then  $(f \circ g) \circ (g^{-1} \circ f^{-1}) = id_C$ , hence  $f \circ g$  is surjective. Similarly, it is injective.

## 2.4

Reflexive: for any set  $A, |A| = |A|$  since  $id_A$  is a bijection.

Symmetric: suppose  $|A| = |B|$ , that is, there is a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Then  $f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$  is a bijection, hence  $|B| = |A|$ .

Transitive: suppose  $|A| = |B|, |B| = |C|$  with bijections  $f$  and  $g$ . Then  $f \circ g$  is a bijection between  $A$  and  $C$ .

## 2.5

A function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an epimorphism (is epic) if for all sets  $Z$  and all functions  $\alpha', \alpha'' : B \rightarrow Z$  we have  $\alpha' \circ f = \alpha'' \circ f \implies \alpha' = \alpha''$ . A function is surjective iff it is epic.

$\implies$  : Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be surjective and  $\alpha', \alpha'' : B \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\alpha' \circ f = \alpha'' \circ f$ . Then  $f$  has a right inverse  $g$  and  $\alpha' \circ f \circ g = \alpha'' \circ f \circ g$ . Hence  $\alpha' = \alpha''$ .

$\impliedby$  : Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be epic and let  $b \in B$ . We wish to show that  $b \in f(A)$ . Let  $Z = \{0, 1\}$  and suppose  $\alpha'$  and  $\alpha''$  disagree only on  $b$ , that is,  $\alpha'(c) = \alpha''(c) \iff c \neq b$ . Then  $\alpha' \neq \alpha''$ . Suppose  $b \notin f(A)$ . Then for all  $a \in A$   $\alpha' \circ f(a) = \alpha'' \circ f(a)$  (proof:  $f(a) \neq b$ , hence  $\alpha'(f(a)) = \alpha''(f(a))$ ), which contradicts  $f$  is an epimorphism.

## 2.6

For any  $f : A \rightarrow B$  define  $\phi_f : A \rightarrow A \times B$  by  $\phi_f(a) = (a, f(a))$ . Then  $\phi_f$  is a section (right inverse) of  $\pi_A$ . Proof: for all  $a \in A$  we have  $\pi_A \circ \phi_f(a) = \pi_A(a, f(a)) = a$ . In fact every section of  $\pi_A$  corresponds to a unique function, since the  $\pi_B(\pi_A^{-1}(x)) = B$ .

## 2.7

For all  $f : A \rightarrow B$  let  $\phi_f : A \rightarrow \Gamma_f$  be given by  $\phi(a) = (a, f(a))$ . Then  $\pi_A$  is a left inverse of  $\phi_f$  by exercise 2.6, hence  $\phi_f$  is injective. Also  $\phi_f$  is surjective. Proof: suppose  $y = (a, b) \in \Gamma_f$ . Then  $b = f(a)$ , hence  $y = \phi_f(a)$ .

## 2.8

The equivalence relation is:  $r_1 \sim r_2 \iff e^{2\pi i r_1} = e^{2\pi i r_2} \iff r_1 - r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence the surjection maps  $r$  to (the equivalence class represented by) its fractional part;  $\bar{f}$  maps  $[r]$  to  $e^{2\pi i r}$ , with the unit circle as codomain; the injection is the inclusion map (of the unit circle in the complex plane).

## 2.9

Let the bijection between  $A'$  and  $A''$  be  $f$  and the bijection between  $B'$  and  $B''$  be  $g$ . Define a bijection  $h : A' \cup B' \rightarrow A'' \cup B''$  as follows:  $h(x)$  is  $f(x)$  if  $x \in A'$  otherwise  $g(x)$ . This is well-defined as exactly one of  $x \in A', x \in B'$  is true. The inverse can be defined explicitly similarly.

To form the disjoint union of  $A$  and  $B$  we produce disjoint copies; suppose we do this in one way to get  $A'$  and  $B'$ , and another way to get  $A''$  and  $B''$ . Since they are copies,  $A' \cong A'', B' \cong B''$ , hence the disjoint unions are isomorphic (as sets).

## 2.10

Any function from  $A$  to  $B$  is defined by the value of  $f(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ ; the choice for each  $a$  is independent, there are  $|A|$  choices and for each choice we may choose  $|B|$  ways, so there are  $|A| \times |A| \times \dots \times |A|$  different functions.

For an explicit bijection, we can use the fact that any finite set can be well-ordered to order the elements of  $|B|^{|A|}$  lexicographically on their valuations  $(f(a_1), f(a_2) \dots)$ .

## 2.11

A subset  $S \subseteq A$  is determined uniquely by its indicator function  $f_S \in 2^A$  given by  $f_S(a) = 0 \iff a \in S$ .

### 3. Categories

#### 3.1

We compose two morphisms in  $C^{op}$  by composing the two underlying morphisms in  $C$  “the other way”. That is, suppose  $f \in \text{Hom}_{C^{op}}(A, B) = \text{Hom}_C(B, A)$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}_{C^{op}}(B, C) = \text{Hom}_C(C, B)$ . Let composition in  $C$  be denoted by  $\cdot$  and composition in  $C^{op}$  by  $\circ$ . Then define their composition  $g \circ f = f \cdot g$ .

1. The identity homomorphism exists because  $1_A \in \text{Hom}_C(A, A) = \text{Hom}_{C^{op}}(A, A)$ .
2. We explicitly constructed the composition in  $C^{op}$ , hence it exists.
3.  $(f \circ g) \circ h = h \cdot (g \cdot f) = (h \cdot g) \cdot f = f \circ (g \circ h)$ .
4.  $f \circ 1_A = 1_A \cdot f = f$ ; similar proof for left inverse.

#### 3.2

$$|\text{End}(A)| = |\text{Hom}(A, A)| = |A^A| = |A|^{|A|}.$$

#### 3.3

That means it is a left and right identity. Left identity means for all morphisms  $f : a \rightarrow b$ ,  $1_b f = f$ . Proof that  $1_b$  is a left identity: let  $f : a \rightarrow b$ . By our definition of composition,  $1_b f = (a, b)$  (let  $c = b$  on p21), which is identically  $f$ . The proof for right identity is similar.

#### 3.4

No, since  $n \not\prec n$ , so  $\text{Hom}(n, n)$  is empty.

#### 3.5

$\subseteq$  in 3.4 is the  $\sim$  relation in 3.3 (that is required to be reflexive and transitive).

#### 3.6

1. Let the identity in  $V$  be the identity matrix.
2. Let  $f : x \rightarrow y, g : y \rightarrow z$ . The composition  $gy$  is exactly the matrix product  $gy$ , which is the correct size ( $gy : x \rightarrow z$  is a  $z \times y$  matrix).
3. Associativity follows from associativity of matrix multiplication.
4. The identity matrix is an identity with respect to matrix multiplication.

An object  $n$  of this category can be thought of as  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the morphisms  $\text{Hom}(m, n)$  as linear functions  $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . (Eg use the standard basis on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to convert a linear function to an appropriately sized matrix).

### 3.7

Let us denote this category as  $C^A$ . Then the objects of  $C^A$  are morphisms of  $C$ . Given two objects in  $f, g \in \text{Obj}(C^A)$ , suppose  $f \in \text{Hom}_C(A, Z_1)$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}_C(A, Z_2)$ . Define a  $C^A$ -morphism from  $f$  to  $g$  to be a  $C$ -morphism  $h$  such that the diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & Z_1 \\ \downarrow g & \nearrow h & \\ Z_2 & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & Z_1 \\ | & & / \\ | & & / \\ g & & h \\ | & & / \\ | & & / \\ V & V & \\ Z_2 & & \end{array}$$

1. An identity  $C^A$ -morphism on the  $C^A$ -object  $f$  is a  $C$ -morphism  $h$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ | & & / \\ | & & / \\ f & & h \\ | & & / \\ | & & / \\ V & V & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

commutes;  $1_Z$  does the job.

2. Suppose  $f, g, h$  are  $C^A$ -objects and  $p$  is a  $C^A$ -morphism from  $f$  to  $g$  and  $q$  is a  $C^A$ -morphism from  $g$  to  $h$ . Then the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z_3 & \xleftarrow{h} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & Z_1 \\ \sim & & | & & / \\ & \backslash & | & & / \\ & q & g & & p \\ & \backslash & | & & / \\ & \backslash & V & V & \\ & & Z_2 & & \end{array}$$

By removing the vertical line and composing  $p$  and  $q$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_3 & \xleftarrow{h} & A \xrightarrow{f} Z_1 \\ \sim & & / \\ & \backslash & \\ & \backslash & \\ & \text{---qp---} & \end{array}$$

commutes; hence define composition in  $C^A$  as composition in  $C$ .

3. Associativity follows from associativity in  $C$ .

We will also verify the claim in example 3.8.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{*\} & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\
 | & & / \\
 | & & / \\
 g & & h \\
 | & & / \\
 | & & / \\
 v & v & \\
 t & & 
 \end{array}$$

The requirement is that  $h$  commutes, that is, considered as set-functions,  $g = h \circ f$ . Since  $g$  is completely determined by its value on  $*$ , this means  $g(*) = h(f(*))$ , or  $t = h(s)$ .

### 3.8

The objects of  $C$  are infinite sets and the morphisms in  $C$  are set-functions between them (that is we define  $\text{Hom}_C(A, B) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(A, B)$ , forcing  $C$  to be a full subcategory of  $\text{Set}$ ). Identity, composition and associativity all follow from  $\text{Set}$ .

### 3.9

WIP

A function maps between two sets; we must generalize this concept to allow for maps between two multisets. How we do this determines the structure of morphisms in  $\text{MSet}$ . (In all cases, though, members of  $\text{Obj}(\text{MSet})$  will be pairs  $(S, \sim)$  where  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ ).

1. We can treat  $(S, \sim)$  as a partitioned set; then mfunctions between them will be functions between partitions.
2. We can simply inherit the morphisms from  $S$ , allowing morphisms to ignore  $\sim$  altogether. The downside is that mfunctions are now sensitive to which representative of a given element you feed it.
3. We can generalize functions to "multivalued functions" (eg the  $z \rightarrow z^{1/3}$  operator on  $\mathbb{C}$ ); TBD
4. We can generalize functions to "regular functions whose image contain elements with multiplicities"; for finite multiplicities this is like a regular function  $x \rightarrow (y, n)$  where  $x, y$  are our conceptual domain and codomain and  $n$  is the multiplicity. A contrived example is: given a fixed function  $f$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we could map  $x$  to  $(y, n)$  where  $y = f(x)$  and  $n$  is the algebraic multiplicity of the root of the function  $f'(t) = f(t) - f(x)$  at  $y$  (hence  $n$  would mostly be 1, and at stationary points it would increase).

### 3.10

The object  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$  will do. A subobject (subset)  $A'$  of an object (set)  $A$  can be identified with a morphism (set-function)  $f : A \rightarrow \Omega$  by setting  $a \in A' \iff f(a) = 1$ .

### 3.11

TBD

## 4. Morphisms

### 4.1

No.

### 4.2

### 4.3

### 4.4

TBD

The nonmono version cannot work since the identity is a monomorphism (hence not a nonmonomorphism).

### 4.5

TBD