

DS707 Data Analytics
Project Report

SSLC Data Analysis

Masters of Technology in Information Technology

Submitted by

Roll No	Names of Students
MT2013025	Apoorwa Mishra
MT2013026	Arjun S Bharadwaj
MT2013140	Shah Ankitkumar

Under the guidance of
Prof. Chandrashekar R



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
Bangalore, Karnataka, India – 560 100
Fall Semester 2014

Contents

1	Discretizaion + Classification	1
2	Regression + Classification	2
3	Clustering + Association Rules	4
4	Confidence Interval	5
5	Urban / Rural Characterization	6
6	Performance characteristics	8
7	Decision tree vis-a-vis A-rules	9
8	Cross - cluster analysis	10
9	Score Prediction - Additional Experiment	12

Experiment 1

Discretizaion + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Discretize subject marks into discrete attributes S (use NRC_CLASS as domain)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 2

Regression + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Determine the least number of attributes S that give the best possible regression equation (least error)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Data is loaded and cleansed by removing invalid and missing rows.
 - Regression analysis is performed on the data by using the marks data.
 - Synergy/Interaction effect of all the marks are observed and the combination of marks having least p-value is chosen for classification.
 - Marks are rounded off for improving the speed of classification.
 - Classification is performed on the data based on the class variable combination having least p-value.
 - The results of confusion matrices are compared.
- Results Obtained:
 - All Subjects are used for classification:
 - * Accuracy: 90.2%
 - * 95% CI: (0.8962, 0.9076)

- Best case:
L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS (p-value = 0.0732) are used for classification:
 - * Accuracy: 84.03%
 - * 95% CI: (0.8332, 0.8472)
- Worst case:
L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS (p-value = 0.94523) are used for classification:
 - * Accuracy: 69.46%
 - * 95% CI: (0.6857, 0.7033)
- Conclusion:
 - Taking all the subjects marks for classification gives the highest accuracy.
 - Taking the combination of the subjects having low p-value offers the next highest accuracy for classification.
 - Conversely, the combination of subjects having highest p-value gives the least accuracy.

Experiment 3

Clustering + Association Rules

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

- Objective:
 - Create clusters based on M
 - Characterize each cluster individually by creating association rules
(Use discretized subject marks as ITEMS)
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 4

Confidence Interval

Calculate the confidence intervals.

- Objective:
 - On pass percentage across different districts (DIST_CODE)
 - Repeat the above for school type (SCHOOL_CODE)
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 5

Urban / Rural Characterization

What are the characteristics of students from urban and rural areas, respectively? For antecedent, try with demographic info (SCHOOL_TYPE, URBAN_RURAL, NRC_CASTE_CODE, NRC_GENDER_CODE, NRC_MEDIUM, NRC_PHYSICAL_CONDITION, CANDIDATE_TYPE) Also try with subject performance in the antecedent

- Objective:
Identify association rules with URBAN_RURAL in the consequent of the rule
- Procedure followed:
 - Data is loaded and cleansed by removing invalid and missing rows.
 - The values of all the columns are factored so that it's suitable for association rules analysis.
 - Apriori algorithm is run on the data by forcing URBAN_RURAL=Rural rule in consequent.
 - Apriori algorithm is run on the data by forcing URBAN_RURAL=Urban rule in consequent.
 - The rules generated with high confidence and lift are compared for both the cases.
- Results Obtained:
For URBAN_RURAL = Urban in the consequent, the following were the results:

Antecedant	Support	Confidence	Lift
SCHOOL_TYPE = Unaided, NRC_MEDIUM = English	0.1305375	0.8029823	1.883977
SCHOOL_TYPE = Unaided, NRC_MEDIUM = English, NRC_PHYSICAL_CONDITION = Normal	0.1297800	0.8025108	1.882871
SCHOOL_TYPE = Unaided, NRC_MEDIUM = English, NRC_CASTE_CODE = General	0.1130235	0.8002575	1.877584

For URBAN_RURAL = Rural in consequent, the following were the top three results:

Antecedant	Support	Confidence	Lift
SCHOOL_TYPE = Government, NRC_GENDER_CODE=Boy, NRC_MEDIUM = Kannada, CANDIDATE_TYPE=Regular Fresher, L1_RESULT = Pass, L2_RESULT = Pass, S2_RESULT = Pass, S3_RESULT = Pass	0.1018423	0.8611325	1.500797
SCHOOL_TYPE = Government, NRC_GENDER_CODE = Boy, NRC_MEDIUM = Kannada, CANDIDATE_TYPE = Regular Fresher, L1_RESULT = Pass, L2_RESULT = Pass, L3_RESULT = Pass, S1_RESULT = Pass, S3_RESULT = Pass	0.1015393	0.8609969	1.500561
SCHOOL_TYPE = Government, NRC_GENDER_CODE = Boy, NRC_MEDIUM = Kannada, CANDIDATE_TYPE = Regular Fresher, L1_RESULT = Pass, L2_RESULT = Pass, L3_RESULT = Pass, S1_RESULT = Pass, S2_RESULT = Pass	0.1012969	0.8609323	1.500448

- Conclusion:
 - Students in Urban area mainly belong to Unaided English medium schools.
 - Students in Rural area are mainly boys who belong to Government Kannada medium schools.

Experiment 6

Performance characteristics

Use D (Distinction) and FAIL in the consequent of association rule. For antecedent, try with demographic info (SCHOOL_TYPE, URBAN_RURAL, NRC_CASTE_CODE, NRC_GENDER_CODE, NRC_MEDIUM, NRC_PHYSICAL_CONDITION, CANDIDATE_TYPE). Also try with subject performance in the antecedent.

- Objective:
 - What properties characterize high and poor performers?
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 7

Decision tree vis-a-vis A-rules

Can we use decision trees to validate association rules or vice-versa?

- Objective:
 - Note the strongest rules that have been found
 - Then induce a decision tree based on those attributes
 - Validate the decision tree using standard metrics
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 8

Cross - cluster analysis

Create a clustering C1 of the overall population. Then create a clustering C2 of partitioned population separately (e.g., gender-based)

- Objective:
 - Compare cluster C1 with C2.
 - Are the characteristics same? Show it by statistical analysis.
- Procedure followed:
 - The data file is loaded, the invalid data is removed and the L1_MARKS is normalised to 100.
 - The data is split into three parts: Overall population data, Boys data, Girls data.
 - The value of $k = 5$ is selected and k-means is run of all the three datasets.
- Results Obtained:
 - The range of mean of marks for all the subjects across the three datasets are as follows:

Dataset \ Cluster	1	2	3	4	5
Overall population data	54.6 - 75.79	43.38 - 62.23	73.36 - 87.19	19.39 - 27.20	35.05 - 43.52
Boys data	72.66 - 85.43	16.86 - 24.90	32.93 - 41.34	42.26 - 58.07	54.01 - 72.40
Girls data	21.11 - 29.24	74.6 - 88.71	36.53 - 47.63	44.61 - 67.27	55.74 - 79.22

- When the cluster are analysed with NRC_CLASS, the following matrix is obtained:

* Overall Population data:

Class \ Cluster	Distinction	Fail	First	Pass	Second
1	0	0	6065	9	677
2	0	129	95	2992	4967
3	1356	0	2927	0	0
4	0	4164	0	0	0
5	0	2502	0	6120	0

* Boys data:

Class \ Cluster	Distinction	Fail	First	Pass	Second
1	597	0	1485	0	0
2	0	2139	0	0	0
3	0	2048	0	2692	0
4	0	96	0	2399	1961
5	0	2	2646	8	842

* Girls data:

Class \ Cluster	Distinction	Fail	First	Pass	Second
1	0	1821	0	0	0
2	759	0	1327	0	0
3	0	651	0	3296	0
4	0	38	470	725	2761
5	0	0	3159	1	80

- Conclusion:

The characteristics are slightly different, however the pattern across clusters are similar.

- The average score range is lesser in boys data compared to overall population data and girls data indicating girls performing better in every cluster.
- The width of the range of average scores is more in boys data and overall population data than girls data indicating the standard deviation is low for boys in every cluster.
- In the boys data, the fail and pass class are almost equally distributed in the clusters.
- In the girls data, the pass class has more distribution than fail class in the clusters.

Experiment 9

Score Prediction - Additional Experiment

Prediction of the score using regression equation.

- Objective:
 - Predict the total marks of the candidate from the regression equation.
- Procedure followed:
 - The data file is loaded and the invalid data is removed.
 - The regression formulation is done by specifying the class variables and the predictors.
 - The data is passed to the lm function and the equation is obtained based on the training data.
 - The equation is now used to predict TOTAL MARKS of the test data.
- Results Obtained:

The topper data is given to the model for prediction. The following result is obtained:

Actual Score	Predicted Score	Actual Score - Predicted Score
610	610.2218	-0.2217667
610	610.2105	-0.2105289
612	612.2313	-0.2313323
611	611.2340	-0.2339893
613	613.2204	-0.2203704
619	619.2363	-0.2362730
611	611.2160	-0.2160255
612	612.2188	-0.2187575
620	464.1491	155.8508606
615	447.1857	167.8142784

- Conclusion:
 - The generated regression equation is an accurate equation and it can be seen with the predicted data.
 - The predicted data in the last two observations indicate a large difference, this shows that the total marks was tampered artificially.
 - The co-efficients of all the intercepts are almost equal to 1. This makes it highly accurate.