

DS707 Data Analytics
Project Report

SSLC Data Analysis

Masters of Technology in Information Technology

Submitted by

Roll No	Names of Students
---------	-------------------

MT2013025	Apoorwa Mishra
-----------	----------------

MT2013026	Arjun S Bharadwaj
-----------	-------------------

MT2013140	Ankit Shah
-----------	------------

Under the guidance of
Prof. Chandrashekar R



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
Bangalore, Karnataka, India – 560 100

Fall Semester 2014

Contents

1	Discretizaion + Classification	1
2	Regression + Classification	2
3	Regression + Classification	4
4	Regression + Classification	5
5	Urban / Rural Characterization	6
6	Regression + Classification	8
7	Regression + Classification	9
8	Cross - cluster analysis	10

Experiment 1

Discretizaion + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Discretize subject marks into discrete attributes S (use NRC_CLASS as domain)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 2

Regression + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Determine the least number of attributes S that give the best possible regression equation (least error)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Data is loaded and cleansed by removing invalid and missing rows.
 - Regression analysis is performed on the data by using the marks data.
 - Synergy/Interaction effect of all the marks are observed and the combination of marks having least p-value is chosen for classification.
 - Marks are rounded off for improving the speed of classification.
 - Classification is performed on the data based on the class variable combination having least p-value.
 - The results of confusion matrices are compared.
- Results Obtained:
 - All Subjects are used for classification:
 - * Accuracy: 90.2%

- * 95% CI: (0.8962, 0.9076)
- Best case:
L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS (p-value = 0.0732) are used for classification:
 - * Accuracy: 84.03%
 - * 95% CI: (0.8332, 0.8472)
- Worst case:
L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS (p-value = 0.94523) are used for classification:
 - * Accuracy: 69.46%
 - * 95% CI: (0.6857, 0.7033)
- Conclusion:
 - Taking all the subjects marks for classification gives the highest accuracy.
 - Taking the combination of the subjects having low p-value offers the next highest accuracy for classification.
 - Conversely, the combination of subjects having highest p-value gives the least accuracy.

Experiment 3

Regression + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Determine the least number of attributes S that give the best possible regression equation (least error)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 4

Regression + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Determine the least number of attributes S that give the best possible regression equation (least error)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 5

Urban / Rural Characterization

What are the characteristics of students from urban and rural areas, respectively? For antecedent, try with demographic info (SCHOOL_TYPE, URBAN_RURAL, NRC_CASTE_CODE, NRC_GENDER_CODE, NRC_MEDIUM, NRC_PHYSICAL_CONDITION, CANDIDATE_TYPE) Also try with subject performance in the antecedent

- Objective:
Identify association rules with URBAN_RURAL in the consequent of the rule
- Procedure followed:
 - Data is loaded and cleansed by removing invalid and missing rows.
 - The values of all the columns are factored so that it's suitable for association rules analysis.
 - Apriori algorithm is run on the data by forcing URBAN_RURAL=Rural rule in consequent.
 - Apriori algorithm is run on the data by forcing URBAN_RURAL=Urban rule in consequent.
 - The rules generated with high confidence and lift are compared for both the cases.
- Results Obtained:
For URBAN_RURAL = Urban in the consequent, the following were the results:

Antecedant	Support	Confidence	Lift
SCHOOL_TYPE = Unaided, NRC_MEDIUM = English	0.1305375	0.8029823	1.883977
SCHOOL_TYPE = Unaided, NRC_MEDIUM = English, NRC_PHYSICAL_CONDITION = Normal	0.1297800	0.8025108	1.882871
SCHOOL_TYPE = Unaided, NRC_MEDIUM = English, NRC_CASTE_CODE = General	0.1130235	0.8002575	1.877584

For URBAN_RURAL = Rural in consequent, the following were the top three results:

Antecedant	Support	Confidence	Lift
SCHOOL_TYPE = Government, NRC_GENDER_CODE=Boy, NRC_MEDIUM = Kannada, CANDIDATE_TYPE=Regular Fresher, L1_RESULT = Pass, L2_RESULT = Pass, S2_RESULT = Pass, S3_RESULT = Pass	0.1018423	0.8611325	1.500797
SCHOOL_TYPE = Government, NRC_GENDER_CODE = Boy, NRC_MEDIUM = Kannada, CANDIDATE_TYPE = Regular Fresher, L1_RESULT = Pass, L2_RESULT = Pass, L3_RESULT = Pass, S1_RESULT = Pass, S3_RESULT = Pass	0.1015393	0.8609969	1.500561
SCHOOL_TYPE = Government, NRC_GENDER_CODE = Boy, NRC_MEDIUM = Kannada, CANDIDATE_TYPE = Regular Fresher, L1_RESULT = Pass, L2_RESULT = Pass, L3_RESULT = Pass, S1_RESULT = Pass, S2_RESULT = Pass	0.1012969	0.8609323	1.500448

- Conclusion:
 - Students in Urban area mainly belong to Unaided English medium schools.
 - Students in Rural area are mainly boys who belong to Government Kannada medium schools.

Experiment 6

Regression + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Determine the least number of attributes S that give the best possible regression equation (least error)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 7

Regression + Classification

Consider the marks information:

L1_MARKS, L2_MARKS, L3_MARKS, S1_MARKS, S2_MARKS, S3_MARKS.

Consider TOTAL_MARKS as the dependent variable

- Objective:
 - Determine the least number of attributes S that give the best possible regression equation (least error)
 - Build a classification model based on S for NRC_CLASS class variable
- Procedure followed:
 - Step 1
 - Step 2
- Results Obtained:
 - Result 1
 - Result 2
- Conclusion:
 - Conclusion 1
 - Conclusion 2

Experiment 8

Cross - cluster analysis

Create a clustering C1 of the overall population. Then create a clustering C2 of partitioned population separately (e.g., gender-based)

- Objective:
 - Compare cluster C1 with C2.
 - Are the characteristics same? Show it by statistical analysis.
- Procedure followed:
 - The data file is loaded, the invalid data is removed and the L1_MARKS is normalised to 100.
 - The data is split into three parts: Overall population data, Boys data, Girls data.
 - The value of $k = 5$ is selected and k-means is run of all the three datasets.
- Results Obtained:
 - The range of mean of marks for all the subjects across the three datasets are as follows:

Dataset \ Cluster	1	2	3	4	5
Overall population data	54.6 - 75.79	43.38 - 62.23	73.36 - 87.19	19.39 - 27.20	35.05 - 43.52
Boys data	72.66 - 85.43	16.86 - 24.90	32.93 - 41.34	42.26 - 58.07	54.01 - 72.40
Girls data	21.11 - 29.24	74.6 - 88.71	36.53 - 47.63	44.61 - 67.27	55.74 - 79.22

- When the cluster are analysed with NRC_CLASS, the following matrix is obtained:

* Overall Population data:

Class \ Cluster	Distinction	Fail	First	Pass	Second
1	0	0	6065	9	677
2	0	129	95	2992	4967
3	1356	0	2927	0	0
4	0	4164	0	0	0
5	0	2502	0	6120	0

* Boys data:

Class \ Cluster	Distinction	Fail	First	Pass	Second
1	597	0	1485	0	0
2	0	2139	0	0	0
3	0	2048	0	2692	0
4	0	96	0	2399	1961
5	0	2	2646	8	842

* Girls data:

Class \ Cluster	Distinction	Fail	First	Pass	Second
1	0	1821	0	0	0
2	759	0	1327	0	0
3	0	651	0	3296	0
4	0	38	470	725	2761
5	0	0	3159	1	80

- Conclusion:

The characteristics are slightly different, however the pattern across clusters are similar.

- The average score range is lesser in boys data compared to overall population data and girls data indicating girls performing better in every cluster.
- The width of the range of average scores is more in boys data and overall population data than girls data indicating the standard deviation is low for boys in every cluster.
- In the boys data, the fail and pass class are almost equally distributed in the clusters.
- In the girls data, the pass class has more distribution than fail class in the clusters.