

Course Code : BTE7400E

Course Title : Blockchain Technology

AgriTrace Ledger

*A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
award of the degree of*

B.Tech. in Computer Science/M.B.A

by

Tridibesh Misra | Ayush Sharma | Ammu Kurien | Priyanshi Jain
Anjali Kumari | Abhishek Dubey

LCB2021002 | LCB2021007 | MDB23025 | MDB23022 |
MDB23028 | MDB23027 |

Under the guidance of

Prof. Vinaya Sathyanarayana



Indian Institute of Information Technology, Lucknow

December 2024

©Indian Institute of Information Technology, Lucknow 2024.

Declaration of Authorship

We, **Tridibesh Misra, Ayush Sharma, Ammu Kurien, Priyanshi Jain, Anjali Kumari and Abhishek Dubey**, declare that the work presented in **AgriTrace Ledger** is our own. We confirm that:

- This work was completed entirely while in candidature for B.Tech. , M.B.A degree at Indian Institute of Information Technology, Lucknow.
- Where we have consulted the published work of others, it is always cited.
- Wherever we have cited the work of others, the source is always indicated. Except for the aforementioned quotations, this work is solely our work.
- We have acknowledged all major sources of information.

Signed:

Tridibesh	Ayush	Ammu
12 12 2024	12 12 2024	12 12 2024

Priyanshi	Anjali	Abhishek
12 12 2024	12 12 2024	12 12 2024

Certificate

This is to certify that the work entitled "**AgriTrace Ledger**" submitted by **Tridibesh Misra, Ayush Sharma** who got their names registered on **Dec 2021** for the award of B.Tech degree and **Ammu Kurien, Priyanshi Jain, Anjali Kumari and Abhishek Dubey** who got their names registered on **Sep 2023** for the award of M.B.A degree at Indian Institute of Information Technology, Lucknow, is absolutely based upon their own work under the supervision of **Prof. Vinaya Sathyanarayana**, Department of Computer Science, Indian Institute of Information Technology, Lucknow - 226 002, U.P., India and that neither this work nor any part of it has been submitted for any degree/diploma or any other academic award anywhere before.

Prof. Vinaya Sathyanarayana
Department of Computer Science
Indian Institute of Information Technology, Lucknow
Pin - 226002, INDIA

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all those who have contributed to the successful completion of this project on the food chain supply transparency system. First and foremost, we would like to thank our project supervisor **Prof. Vinaya Sathyanarayana**, for his invaluable guidance, support and encouragement throughout the project. His insights and expertise were crucial in shaping the direction of the project.

We are grateful to our institute **IIIT LUCKNOW** for providing us with an opportunity to undertake this project. The knowledge and skills we have acquired during this project will undoubtedly be invaluable in our future endeavors.

We extend our heartfelt thanks to all those who have played a part in making this project a success.

Lucknow
December 2024

Tridibesh | Ayush | Ammu
Priyanshi | Anjali | Abhishek

Abstract

This project focuses on using blockchain technology to make the food supply chain more transparent and trustworthy. It ensures that every step of the process, from the farm to the consumer, is recorded in a secure and unchangeable way. A smart contract written in Solidity is used to store details like farm information, processing dates, quality scores, and the identities of distributors and retailers.

This project **AgriTrace Ledger** helps farmers, distributors, retailers, and consumers track the journey of food and build trust in its quality. The project includes sample data for testing and clear instructions for setting up and using the system. By solving issues like lack of transparency and improving consumer confidence, this blockchain-based solution aims to improve how the food industry operates.

Contents

1	Area Of Focus	7
1.1	Food Supply Chain Transparency	7
2	Idea Description	7
3	Domain Understanding	8
3.1	Detail of the value chain and where our Blockchain Tech Startup fits within it:	8
3.2	Where Our Blockchain Startup Fits In:	8
3.3	The current value chain and its existing pain points:	9
3.3.1	How it Works Today (Without Blockchain):	9
3.3.2	Pain Points (Problems):	9
3.4	Steps in the value chain that our Blockchain Tech Startup will address or disrupt:	9
4	Geography and Regulation	11
4.1	Geography/country where our Blockchain Tech Startup will operate	11
4.2	Regulatory bodies (with URLs) overseeing our Blockchain Tech Startup area.	11
4.3	Regulations that support or restrict our Blockchain Tech Startup.	12
4.4	Links to the documents from the regulator as applicable.	12
5	Technology	14
5.1	The technologies we will use to disrupt the existing value chain	14
5.2	Architecture	15
5.3	GPT-Prompt we used for sample data generation:	16
6	Customer and User Behavior	17
6.1	Target users of our service :	17
6.2	Why users will find value in our Blockchain Tech Startup offering :	17
6.3	Entities who will pay for our FinTech services :	18
6.4	Pricing structure for our FinTech services (in terms of per order or per user).	18

7	Market and Strategic Analysis	19
7.1	Existing competitors with similar offerings:	19
7.2	Porter Analysis for the current market and also the forecasted Porter Analysis for two years from now.	19
7.3	Estimation of the overall potential market size for our Blockchain Tech Startup offerings:	20
7.4	Estimation of market share that our Blockchain Tech Startup can capture in 2025, 2026, and 2027:	20
7.5	SWOT analysis for our startup:	21
8	Funding and Risks	23
9	Appendix A:References	26
10	Appendix B: Chatgpt Usage	29

1 Area Of Focus

1.1 Food Supply Chain Transparency

This solution aims to track and verify each step of how food moves from farms to consumers. The goal is to provide a trustworthy, tamper-proof record of where the food came from, how it was processed, and how it reached the store shelves. This makes it easier for consumers to trust the quality and origin of the products they buy.

2 Idea Description

Our blockchain company will create a clear and trusted way to track food from the farm all the way to the store where you buy it. Here's how it works:

- When farmers grow and harvest their crops, they record this information on our system.
- As the food moves through processing plants and distributors, each step is also recorded.
- By the time the food reaches the supermarket, there is a complete, unchangeable record of where it came from, who handled it, and how it was treated.

Because this information is stored on a blockchain, no one can secretly change the details. Customers can scan a simple code (like a QR code) on the product's package to see its entire journey. This helps people trust the quality and origin of the food they eat. It also helps stores and suppliers prove that their products are genuine, safe, and properly sourced.

3 Domain Understanding

3.1 Detail of the value chain and where our Blockchain Tech Startup fits within it:

Think of the journey food takes from the farm to your dinner plate as a chain made of several links. Here are the main steps in that chain (the “value chain”):

1. Farmers: They grow and harvest the crops or produce.
2. Local Collectors or Traders: They buy the produce from farmers in bulk.
3. Processing/Packaging Plants: They clean, package, or process the produce so it’s ready for transport.
4. Distributors/Wholesalers: They move the goods from processing plants to markets or stores.
5. Retailers (like Supermarkets): They sell the final products to consumers.
6. Consumers (You and Me): We buy the products and consume them.

3.2 Where Our Blockchain Startup Fits In:

Our startup will provide a secure, online record-keeping system that connects all these steps. Each player in the chain will use our blockchain platform to update information about the product at their stage. For example, the farmer inputs harvest details, the processor records packaging data, and so on. This makes the entire journey visible and trustworthy to everyone else in the chain and, eventually, the consumers.

3.3 The current value chain and its existing pain points:

3.3.1 How it Works Today (Without Blockchain):

Right now, much of the food tracking process is done on paper or through separate computer systems that don't talk to each other. Farmers might note their yields in a logbook. Processors might have their own databases. Retailers might only know the name of the supplier, not the farm. Information doesn't flow smoothly or reliably between all these steps.

3.3.2 Pain Points (Problems):

- **Lack of Trust and Transparency:** It's hard for buyers or consumers to know if the "organic" label is real or if the produce really came from the stated farm.
- **Inaccurate or Missing Records:** Paper documents can be lost or changed, and different players in the chain might not share data easily.
- **Slow Responses to Problems:** If there's a quality issue or contamination, it can take a long time to find out where the problem started.

3.4 Steps in the value chain that our Blockchain Tech Startup will address or disrupt:

Our startup will focus on making the record-keeping at each stage trustworthy and easy to share. Specifically:

- **Farming Stage:** Recording the origin and growing methods of the produce as soon as it's harvested.
- **Processing/Packaging Stage:** Logging how the produce is processed, packaged, and stored, ensuring the conditions meet safety standards.
- **Distribution Stage:** Tracking shipping conditions (like temperature) and locations in real-time, ensuring the produce arrives fresh and safely.

- Retail Stage: Allowing retailers to show verified product history to consumers with a simple scan.

By making sure all these steps share information on a single, unchangeable system, we disrupt the old, unreliable way of tracking and replace it with a transparent, efficient method that everyone can trust.

4 Geography and Regulation

Country of Operation: India

4.1 Geography/country where our Blockchain Tech Startup will operate

We will launch our Blockchain Tech Startup in India. India is a large agricultural economy with many different farms, markets, and stores spread out across the country. This makes it a good place to show how our system can manage information smoothly across a big, complex network.

4.2 Regulatory bodies (with URLs) overseeing our Blockchain Tech Startup area.

In India, food safety and agricultural practices are watched over by a few key organizations:

- **Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI)** [Website](#)

FSSAI sets the rules for food safety and labeling, which is important for the products our blockchain tracks.

- **Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare** [Website](#)

This ministry looks after policies to improve agriculture in India, including guidelines that could affect the way farms record and share data.

- **Ministry of Electronics Information Technology (MeitY)** [Website](#)

This ministry supports policies for technology and digital governance, which could include the use of blockchain in business.

4.3 Regulations that support or restrict our Blockchain Tech Startup.

- **Supporting Regulations:** India is encouraging the use of new digital technologies, including blockchain. Government initiatives and pilot projects have shown interest in using blockchain for supply chains and transparency. This positive outlook can help our startup gain acceptance.
- **Restrictive or Challenging Regulations:** While there are no direct laws banning blockchain use in supply chains, we must follow food safety standards set by FSSAI. We also need to respect data protection laws, ensuring that any sensitive information (like farmer data) is handled securely and does not break privacy rules.

4.4 Links to the documents from the regulator as applicable.

Here are a few documents that were helpful in making our proposal :

- **FSSAI Regulations and Guidelines:**

General Regulations: [Website](#)

This page lists various regulations and guidelines related to food safety, labeling, and standards.

- **Ministry of Agriculture Farmers Welfare Policies:**

Official Policies: [Website](#)

This page lists programs and policies for improving agriculture, which might be relevant as we show how blockchain can help with data accuracy and transparency.

- **Ministry of Electronics Information Technology (MeitY) Guidelines:**

Frameworks and Policies: [Website](#)

While this is about e-Governance, it gives insights into how India supports digital solutions and secure data management. Although not blockchain-specific, it shows the government's stance on adopting new digital methods.

By following these regulations and guidelines, and by ensuring our platform respects all rules about food safety and data protection, we can operate smoothly and help improve trust in the country's food supply chain.

5 Technology

Below, we break down the technology part of our solution step-by-step, showing how we'll use blockchain and related tools, how the system works, and how to create and test sample data.

5.1 The technologies we will use to disrupt the existing value chain

- **Blockchain Platform (e.g., Hyperledger Fabric or Ethereum):**

We will use a blockchain platform that lets only trusted participants add data. This type of blockchain is often called “permissioned,” meaning that not everyone in the world can write data to it—only approved members like farmers, processors, distributors, and retailers.

Each time the product moves from one step to the next (farm to processor, processor to distributor, etc.), a new “block” of information is added, creating a continuous, tamper-proof record.

- **Smart Contracts:**

Smart contracts are like digital rules stored on the blockchain. They automatically do things when certain conditions are met. For example, a smart contract could release payment to a farmer only after the delivery details are confirmed on the blockchain.

- **Web or Mobile App Interface:**

We will build a simple, user-friendly application that farmers, distributors, and retailers can use. They can input information (like harvest date, processing details) without needing to understand how the blockchain works behind the scenes.

- **QR Codes for Consumers:**

Each product batch will have a QR code. When scanned by a consumer, it shows the entire history of that product (where it was grown, processed, and so on) in a clear, easy-to-understand format.

- **(Optional) IoT Devices:**

If needed, IoT sensors (like temperature sensors in a truck) can automatically send data to the blockchain. This ensures that conditions like temperature or humidity during transit are also recorded accurately.

5.2 Architecture

How the System Works (Step-by-Step):

1. **Farmer Records Data:** A farmer uses the app to enter details about the produce (e.g., “Tomatoes harvested on June 10 from Farm #123, certified organic”). This information is added as a transaction on the blockchain.
2. **Processing and Packaging:** When the produce is cleaned, sorted, or packaged, the processor adds details: “Packaged in Batch #456 on June 12.” This creates another transaction on the blockchain linked to the original farmer record.
3. **Distribution:** The distributor enters shipping details (“Shipped Batch #456 on June 13, kept at 5°C”). The blockchain now knows where the batch came from, how it was processed, and how it traveled.
4. **Retailer Receives Goods:** When the retailer receives the batch, they confirm it on the blockchain. At this point, the chain of records is complete.

Consumer View: A customer in the supermarket scans the QR code on the product. The app shows them the entire history: the farm name, harvest date, processing details, shipping conditions, and arrival date.

Behind the Scenes:

- The blockchain nodes (computers) run the network and keep the data safe and unchangeable.
- Smart contracts ensure that no one can cheat or leave out steps. If data is missing, the next steps might not be processed until everything is correct.

5.3 GPT-Prompt we used for sample data generation:

We have a simple algorithm to check product quality scores or to filter out low-quality produce entries. To test this, we need sample data.

Guidelines for Sample Data Generation:

- We want a small dataset that looks like real records from our supply chain.
- Each record should include:
 - **FarmID:** A unique code for each farm (e.g., F001, F002).
 - **ProduceType:** The type of product (e.g., “Tomatoes,” “Mangoes”).
 - **HarvestDate:** The date the produce was harvested (e.g., “2024-06-10”).
 - **QualityScore:** A number rating the quality of produce (e.g., 1–10, with 10 being the best).
 - **ProcessingDate:** The date the produce was processed/packaged.
 - **DistributorID:** The code of the distributor handling the product.
 - **RetailerID:** The code of the retailer selling the product.

Example Prompt for ChatGPT to Generate Data:

“Generate 10 sample records of produce data with the following fields: FarmID, ProduceType, HarvestDate, QualityScore, ProcessingDate, DistributorID, RetailerID. Use realistic dates and vary the quality scores from 5 to 10. ProduceType can be ‘Tomatoes’ or ‘Mangoes’. FarmID should be from F001 to F005, DistributorID from D001 to D003, RetailerID from R001 to R002.”

6 Customer and User Behavior

6.1 Target users of our service :

Our main users include:

- **Farmers:** They grow and supply produce.
- **Distributors and Processors:** They handle, package, and prepare the produce for market.
- **Retailers (Supermarkets and Grocery Stores):** They sell the produce directly to consumers.
- **Consumers (Shoppers):** They buy the final products and want to know if they are fresh, safe, and sourced responsibly.

6.2 Why users will find value in our Blockchain Tech Startup offering :

- **Consumers:** By scanning a simple QR code, they see the complete journey of their food—where it was grown, when it was harvested, how it was processed, and who handled it. This transparency builds trust. Consumers can feel safer about the quality of what they eat. For example, a recent survey found that about 75% of consumers value information about food origin. If our service provides that easily, it can boost consumer confidence and may lead to higher sales for retailers.
- **Retailers:** By using our platform, retailers reduce the risk of selling low-quality or misrepresented products. This can lower the chances of costly recalls. If a quality issue arises, retailers can quickly trace the source and fix the problem, saving time and money. For instance, quickly identifying a bad batch might reduce recall costs by up to 30% compared to traditional methods.
- **Farmers and Distributors:** They gain a better reputation by proving the quality and authenticity of their produce. This can help them negotiate better prices since their products are verified as genuine and safe. If they can show consistent high quality, they might be able to sell at a 10-15% premium compared to unverified goods.

6.3 Entities who will pay for our FinTech services :

- **Primary Payers:** Retailers and large distributors will pay the service fees because they benefit most from ensuring product quality, reducing recall costs, and increasing customer trust. They have the financial capability and incentive to invest in transparency tools.
- **Users vs. Payers:**
 - **Users:** Consumers and farmers use the platform information but usually won't pay directly. Consumers get transparency as part of their purchase experience, and farmers gain the advantage of improved reputation indirectly.
 - **Payers:** Retailers and distributors pay because it helps them improve their brand reputation and reduces business risk.

6.4 Pricing structure for our FinTech services (in terms of per order or per user).

We can structure our pricing in a few ways:

- **Per Transaction (Per Batch Recorded):** Each time a retailer or distributor adds a new batch of produce to the blockchain, they pay a small fee. For example, \$0.02 per recorded batch. This makes it fair because the more they use the system, the more they pay.
- **Subscription Model (Monthly Fee):** Larger retailers with many transactions may choose a monthly subscription (e.g., \$100/month) for unlimited or discounted batch records. This is simpler for bigger clients who process large volumes.
- **Premium Analytics Package (Optional Add-On):** Retailers can pay extra for advanced analytics and reporting tools, such as detailed quality trend reports, supplier performance dashboards, or consumer engagement stats. This could be an additional \$50-\$200 per month depending on the complexity.

In short, retailers and distributors shoulder the cost because they gain the most measurable benefits, while farmers and consumers benefit from improved trust and transparency without direct payment.

7 Market and Strategic Analysis

7.1 Existing competitors with similar offerings:

Some companies are already using blockchain or similar technologies to bring transparency to the food supply chain. For example:

- **IBM Food Trust:** A well-known platform where large companies (like Walmart) trace their products.
- **Provenance.org:** A service that helps brands show verified supply chain information.
- **TE-Food:** Focuses on farm-to-table traceability, especially in developing countries.

These competitors share the goal of making supply chains more transparent and reliable.

7.2 Porter Analysis for the current market and also the forecasted Porter Analysis for two years from now.

Porter's Five Forces Analysis:

Current Market:

1. **Threat of New Entrants:** Medium. Blockchain is becoming easier to adopt, so new players could appear, but building trust and partnerships takes time.
2. **Bargaining Power of Suppliers:** Low to Medium. Farmers and small suppliers usually have less power since they need the platform's credibility.
3. **Bargaining Power of Buyers (Retailers):** High. Big retailers choose which platform to use. If they don't see enough value, they can easily switch or pick competitors.
4. **Threat of Substitutes:** Low. Traditional record-keeping (paper, spreadsheets) is less transparent and reliable. No easy substitute matches the blockchain's trust level.

5. **Competitive Rivalry:** Medium. A few big names are in the space, but it's still growing, and no single player has complete dominance.

Forecasted in Two Years:

1. **Threat of New Entrants:** Higher. More companies will understand blockchain and try to enter the market, making it more crowded.
2. **Bargaining Power of Suppliers:** Likely stays Low to Medium. They remain dependent on these platforms to prove their quality.
3. **Bargaining Power of Buyers (Retailers):** Still High, as large retailers will have more options and demand better features or lower prices.
4. **Threat of Substitutes:** Still Low. While other tech solutions might emerge, blockchain's transparency and immutability remain unique.
5. **Competitive Rivalry:** High. More players in the market mean tougher competition, possibly driving innovation and lower prices.

7.3 Estimation of the overall potential market size for our Blockchain Tech Startup offerings:

Consider the global food supply chain market which is worth trillions of dollars. If we focus on the segment that requires transparency and traceability solutions, estimates suggest this niche could be in the billions. For instance, if just 1% of global food transactions use blockchain-based traceability and each transaction pays a small fee, the market could reach hundreds of millions of dollars annually.

A rough estimate:

If our fee model leads to \$0.02 per batch recorded, and we imagine billions of batches tracked globally, we can see potential revenues reaching tens or even hundreds of millions of dollars across all similar platforms. Our piece of that depends on how quickly we grow and differentiate ourselves.

7.4 Estimation of market share that our Blockchain Tech Startup can capture in 2025, 2026, and 2027:

If we start with a small presence and gradually expand:

- **2025:** Maybe we capture about 0.5% of the niche global market. We are a newcomer building trust and partnerships.
- **2026:** With more partnerships and a proven record, we might grow to 1–2%. Our platform becomes recognized, and retailers see value.
- **2027:** By providing better features and possibly partnering with large industry players, we might reach 3–5% market share. This is still modest, but shows steady growth in a competitive market.

These numbers are hypothetical, giving a sense of cautious optimism as we gain users and recognition.

7.5 SWOT analysis for our startup:

Strengths:

- **High Transparency & Security:** Our blockchain solution provides an unchangeable record, building trust.
- **User-Friendly Interface:** Easy data entry and retrieval encourage adoption.
- **Niche Expertise:** We focus specifically on food supply chains, tailoring our features to industry needs.

Weaknesses:

- **Limited Brand Recognition Initially:** Being new, we need to earn trust and credibility.
- **Educating Users:** Farmers and small suppliers may not understand blockchain easily, requiring training.

Opportunities:

- **Growing Consumer Demand for Transparency:** More customers want to know the story behind their food.
- **Regulatory Push for Traceability:** Governments may require safer, more traceable food systems.

- **Expansion into Related Markets:** The same technology could apply to other goods like coffee, cocoa, or seafood.

Threats:

- **Strong Competitors:** Big names like IBM Food Trust can dominate.
- **Market Saturation:** More startups entering the space, making it harder to stand out.
- **Regulatory Uncertainty:** Changes in laws or data handling rules could complicate operations.

By understanding these factors, we can focus on our strengths (clear transparency, specialized features) and opportunities (increasing consumer demand) to overcome weaknesses (brand unknown) and threats (strong competition) and steadily grow our market position.

8 Funding and Risks

a) Funding required to launch our Blockchain Tech Startup offerings (*Estimated*):

To get started, we need money for:

- **Technical Development:** Building the blockchain platform, smart contracts, and user interface. Estimated initial cost: around \$50,000 - \$100,000.
- **Infrastructure:** Servers, hosting, and data storage. Another \$10,000 - \$20,000 initially.
- **Marketing and Education:** Teaching farmers and retailers how to use the system, attending industry events, and running online campaigns. Estimated at \$20,000 - \$30,000.
- **Operations and Team Costs:** Salaries for a small team (developers, a business lead, support staff) for at least 6–12 months. About \$100,000 - \$200,000 depending on team size and skill level.

In total, we might need roughly \$200,000 - \$350,000 as initial funding to comfortably cover development, infrastructure, marketing, and team expenses until we start earning revenue.

b) timeline for launching our offerings:

- **0–3 Months:** Finalize core development of the blockchain platform, set up the testing environment, and begin internal testing.
- **3–6 Months:** Run a pilot with a small group of farmers and one retailer. Collect feedback, fix issues, improve user experience.
- **6–9 Months:** Officially launch the platform to a broader market—more retailers and distributors. Start marketing campaigns.
- **9–12 Months:** Expand features, integrate with more partners, and begin seeking larger clients.

By about one year, we'd expect to have a stable product, some steady customers, and be ready to scale.

c) Potential partners needed for our Blockchain Tech Startup:

- **Technology Partners:** Cloud service providers (e.g., AWS, Google Cloud) for hosting and storage.
- **Hardware/IoT Partners (Optional):** Companies that make sensors for tracking temperature or humidity if we add IoT features.
- **Industry Associations or Co-ops:** Partnering with farmer cooperatives can help us sign up multiple farms at once.
- **Logistics and Distribution Companies:** Partnering with known distributors helps ensure real-world validation and trust.
- **Retail Chains:** Partnering with at least one well-known supermarket chain adds credibility and brings immediate consumer exposure.

d) Listing initial customers and explanation of why they would be agree to be our beta/pilot customers:

- **Local Organic Farm Co-op:** They value showing customers that their produce is genuinely organic. Participating in the pilot helps them stand out in a crowded market.
- **A Mid-Sized Distributor Known for Quality Produce:** They want to prove that their handling and shipping maintain high standards, so joining early lets them shape the platform's features to meet their needs.
- **A Small Chain of Specialty Grocery Stores:** They are eager to build trust with customers seeking premium products. Testing the platform early allows them to advertise transparency and attract health-conscious shoppers.

These initial customers join as beta testers because they gain competitive advantages:

- They get early access to new features at low or no cost.
- They help shape the product to fit their real-life needs.
- They enjoy being seen as innovators who care about quality and transparency.

This cooperation benefits both sides: the startup gets feedback and credibility, while the beta customers get early, influential access to a cutting-edge tool.

9 Appendix A:References

Below is a list of sources and documents we used to gather information and understand the industry, and give shape to our Blockchain Tech Startup proposal.

1. Regulatory and Government Websites:

- Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI - [Website](#)): Regulations and guidelines regarding food safety, labeling, and traceability.
- Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare (India) - [Website](#)
Policies, programs, and initiatives in agriculture sector development and modernization.
- Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology (India) - [Website](#)
Information on digital governance, emerging technologies, and innovation frameworks.

2. Industry Reports and Articles:

- IBM Food Trust Documentation and Case Studies:
<https://www.ibm.com/blockchain/solutions/food-trust>
Insight into how blockchain is used to improve food traceability and quality assurance.
- Provenance.org Website:
<https://www.provenance.org/>
Understanding best practices for transparent supply chains and consumer trust-building.
- TE-Food Platform:
<https://te-food.com/>
Case studies and resources on end-to-end traceability using blockchain technology.

3. Academic and Industry Literature:

- World Economic Forum Report: "Innovation with a Purpose: Improving Traceability in Food Value Chains through Technology"
[Link](#)
Provides insights into how blockchain and other digital technologies improve transparency and trust.
- Deloitte Insights: "Using blockchain to drive supply chain transparency"
[Link](#)
Offers data points on how blockchain addresses supply chain inefficiencies.
- Academic Paper: Tian, F. (2017). "A supply chain traceability system for food safety based on HACCP, blockchain & Internet of things." 2017 International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management.
[Link](#)
Discusses the theoretical framework for blockchain-based food safety traceability.

4. Consumer Survey Reports and Market Research:

- Food Marketing Institute (FMI) and Nielsen study on food transparency and consumer trust:
<https://www.fmi.org/> (Search for consumer transparency reports)
Industry reports indicating increasing consumer demand for origin and quality information.
- McKinsey & Company Reports on Agriculture and Supply Chain Digitalization:
<https://www.mckinsey.com/> (Search "agriculture digitization" and "food supply chain")
Insights on market size, digitization trends, and potential revenue opportunities in agri-supply chain technologies.

5. Technical Documentation (Blockchain Platforms & Tools):

- Hyperledger Fabric Documentation:
[Link](#) Guidelines on setting up and using permissioned blockchains suitable for supply chain scenarios.
- Ethereum Developer Documentation:
[Link](#) Information on smart contracts and decentralized applications relevant to building traceability solutions.

These references collectively helped in understanding the current industry landscape, regulatory environment, technical possibilities, market size, consumer interests, and best practices for implementing a blockchain-based food supply chain transparency solution.

10 Appendix B: Chatgpt Usage

Usage of ChatGPT or any other LLM in developing our proposal:

Yes, ChatGPT was used as a research and brainstorming tool to refine ideas, structure the proposal, and provide guidance on key technical, market, and strategic aspects. ChatGPT served as an assistant to synthesize information, outline complex concepts, and present them in clear and professional language. All responses were reviewed, validated, and tailored to align with the specific goals of this proposal.

Prompts and responses we got :

Below are examples of the prompts and responses generated during the development of this proposal. We used ChatGPT as a *collaborative tool* rather than a replacement for original thought or effort.

1. Identifying the Idea and Value Proposition

Prompt:

"What is a unique and impactful blockchain use case for improving transparency in the food supply chain? Provide examples and explain the value it can offer to farmers, retailers, and consumers."

Response:

"A blockchain-based solution for food supply chain transparency can track and record every step of a product's journey, from farm to table. For farmers, it ensures they gain recognition for high-quality produce. For retailers, it provides a reliable method to verify and showcase the authenticity and quality of products, reducing recall costs. For consumers, it builds trust by offering a detailed view of the food's origin and handling, boosting their confidence in what they purchase."

How It Was Used: This response was used to clarify the idea and shape the value proposition section of the proposal.

2. Structuring the Technology Section

Prompt:

"What are the key components of a blockchain system for food traceability, and how can smart contracts and IoT devices enhance its functionality?"

Response:

"Key components include: (1) A permissioned blockchain to ensure secure, verifiable data entry at each step of the supply chain, (2) Smart contracts to automate verification processes and enforce data consistency, and (3) IoT devices, such as temperature or humidity sensors, to add real-time data tracking during transit. Together, these components create a robust and trustworthy system."

How It Was Used: This response helped outline the technological backbone of the solution.

3. Identifying Market Size and Competitors

Prompt:

"Can you list competitors in the blockchain-based supply chain transparency market and estimate the market potential for a food supply chain solution?"

Response:

"Competitors include IBM Food Trust, Provenance.org, and TE-Food. The market potential is significant given the global demand for food safety and traceability. According to Deloitte, blockchain adoption in supply chains is expected to grow at a CAGR of 50%, with food traceability forming a substantial segment."

How It Was Used: This response provided foundational insights for the market analysis and competitor identification sections.

4. Drafting Funding and Timeline Details

Prompt: *"What are realistic funding requirements and timelines for launching a blockchain startup focused on food traceability?"*

Response:

"Funding requirements depend on the scope of the platform but can range from \$200,000 to \$500,000 for initial development, marketing, and operations. A typical timeline includes: 0–3 months for platform development, 3–6 months for pilot testing, and 6–12 months for a public launch."

How It Was Used: The response was adapted to create a professional funding outline and timeline for the proposal.

5. Enhancing User Behavior and Pricing Models

Prompt:

"How do I differentiate between users and payers in a blockchain supply chain model, and what pricing models can I consider?"

Response:

"Users include farmers, distributors, and consumers who benefit from the transparency and features of the platform. Payers are typically retailers or large distributors who directly benefit from enhanced trust, reduced recalls, and analytics. Pricing can be based on per-transaction fees (e.g., \$0.02 per record), subscription models for high-volume users, or premium add-ons like detailed reporting."