

# General Relativity Fall 2019

## Homework 5 solution

### Exercise 1: Parallel transport on a sphere

The 2-sphere of radius  $r$  has line element  $ds^2 = r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$ .

(i) Compute the Christoffel symbols of this metric.

The inverse metric is diagonal, with components  $g^{\theta\theta} = 1/r^2$ ,  $g^{\varphi\varphi} = 1/(r \sin \theta)^2$ ,  $g^{\theta\varphi} = 0$ . There are only 6 independent Christoffel symbols to compute:

$$\Gamma_{\theta\theta}^{\theta} = \frac{1}{2r^2} g_{\theta\theta,\theta} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma_{\theta\varphi}^{\theta} = \frac{1}{2r^2} g_{\theta\theta,\varphi} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^{\theta} = \frac{1}{2r^2} (2g_{\theta\varphi,\varphi} - g_{\varphi\varphi,\theta}) = -\sin \theta \cos \theta, \quad (3)$$

$$\Gamma_{\theta\theta}^{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2r^2 \sin^2 \theta} (2g_{\theta\varphi,\theta} - g_{\theta\theta,\varphi}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma_{\theta\varphi}^{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2r^2 \sin^2 \theta} g_{\varphi\varphi,\theta} = \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}, \quad (5)$$

$$\Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}^{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2r^2 \sin^2 \theta} g_{\varphi\varphi,\varphi} = 0. \quad (6)$$

(ii) Write the equations of parallel transport of a tangent vector  $\bar{W} = W^{\theta} \partial_{\theta} + W^{\varphi} \partial_{\varphi}$  along a small circle with constant  $\theta = \theta_0$  – the first thing you will need is to do is find a vector  $\bar{V}$  tangent to this curve.

Suppose we parametrize the curve by some parameter  $\lambda$ . The tangent vector  $d/d\lambda = \bar{V} = V^{\theta} \partial_{\theta} + V^{\varphi} \partial_{\varphi}$  has components  $V^{\theta} = \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda}$  and  $V^{\varphi} = d\varphi/d\lambda$ . Since the curve has constant  $\theta$ , we find that  $V^{\theta} = 0$ . Keeping the parametrization of the curve general for now, thus we have

$$\bar{V} = \frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda} \partial_{\varphi}. \quad (7)$$

The equations of parallel transport are

$$V^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} W^{\nu} = 0 = \frac{dW^{\nu}}{d\lambda} + \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^{\nu} V^{\mu} W^{\sigma} = \frac{dW^{\nu}}{d\lambda} + \Gamma_{\varphi\sigma}^{\nu} \frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda} W^{\sigma}. \quad (8)$$

We see that we can get rid of  $\lambda$  and write the parallel transport equation in terms of  $\varphi$  directly:

$$\frac{dW^{\nu}}{d\varphi} = -\Gamma_{\varphi\sigma}^{\nu} W^{\sigma}. \quad (9)$$

We thus have two coupled equations:

$$\frac{dW^{\theta}}{d\varphi} = -\Gamma_{\varphi\sigma}^{\theta} W^{\sigma} = \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_0 W^{\varphi}, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{dW^{\varphi}}{d\varphi} = -\Gamma_{\varphi\sigma}^{\varphi} W^{\sigma} = -\frac{\cos \theta_0}{\sin \theta_0} W^{\theta}, \quad (11)$$

where I used the expressions for the Christoffel symbols derived above, evaluated on the curve of constant  $\theta = \theta_0$ .

(iii) Solve these equations, starting with some initial conditions  $W^{\theta}|_0$  and  $W^{\varphi}|_0$  at  $\varphi = 0$ . How does the vector compare to itself after being parallel-transported once around a small circle?

We can combine these equations into the second-order linear ODE:

$$\frac{d^2 W^{\theta}}{d\varphi^2} + \cos^2 \theta_0 W^{\theta} = 0, \quad (12)$$

and similarly for  $W^\varphi$ . These are just harmonic oscillators with frequency  $\omega_0 = \cos \theta_0$ , whose solution is

$$W^\theta(\varphi) = W^\theta|_0 \cos[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi] + \frac{1}{\cos \theta_0} \frac{dW^\theta}{d\varphi} \Big|_0 \sin[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi], \quad (13)$$

$$W^\varphi(\varphi) = W^\varphi|_0 \cos[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi] + \frac{1}{\cos \theta_0} \frac{dW^\varphi}{d\varphi} \Big|_0 \sin[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi]. \quad (14)$$

Now using the original equations, we can relate the first derivative of  $W^\theta$  to  $W^\varphi$  and vice-versa. Thus we obtain, finally,

$$W^\theta(\varphi) = W^\theta|_0 \cos[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi] + \sin \theta_0 W^\varphi|_0 \sin[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi], \quad (15)$$

$$W^\varphi(\varphi) = W^\varphi|_0 \cos[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi] - \frac{1}{\sin \theta_0} W^\theta|_0 \sin[(\cos \theta_0)\varphi]. \quad (16)$$

Let us moreover define  $W^{\hat{\varphi}} = \sin \theta W^\varphi$ . This is the component of  $\bar{W}$  along  $e_{\hat{\varphi}} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi$ , which has norm  $r$ , like  $\partial_\theta$ . Thus, after being transported once, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} W^\theta(2\pi) \\ W^{\hat{\varphi}}(2\pi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi \cos \theta_0) & \sin(2\pi \cos \theta_0) \\ -\sin(2\pi \cos \theta_0) & \cos(2\pi \cos \theta_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W^\theta(0) \\ W^{\hat{\varphi}}(0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

We see that the vector  $W$  is **rotated by an angle  $2\pi \cos \theta_0$**  after being parallel-transported once around the small circle.

Let us consider a small circle near the pole, so  $\theta_0 \ll 1$ . We then have  $\cos \theta_0 \approx 1 - \theta_0^2/2$ , thus

$$\cos(2\pi \cos \theta_0) - 1 \approx \cos(\pi \theta_0^2) - 1 = \mathcal{O}(\theta_0^4), \quad (18)$$

$$\sin(2\pi \cos \theta_0) \approx -\sin(\pi \theta_0^2) \approx -\pi \theta_0^2. \quad (19)$$

We then get

$$W^\theta(2\pi) - W^\theta(0) \approx -\pi \theta_0^2 \times W^{\hat{\varphi}}|_0, \quad (20)$$

$$W^{\hat{\varphi}}(2\pi) - W^{\hat{\varphi}}(0) \approx \pi \theta_0^2 \times W^\theta|_0. \quad (21)$$

Therefore the difference is proportional to the area enclosed by the small circle.

## Exercise 2: Killing vector fields and conservation laws

(i) Suppose that, in some coordinate system  $\{x^\mu\}$ , the metric components do not depend on a specific coordinate  $x^{\sigma*}$ , i.e.  $\partial_{\sigma*} g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  for any  $\mu, \nu$ . Show that this implies that  $P_{\sigma*}$  (with the index downstairs!) is constant along geodesics with tangent vector  $P^\alpha$ .

Suppose  $P = d/d\lambda$ , i.e. that  $\lambda$  is the parameter along the geodesic (proper time or affine parameter for null geodesics). Since  $P^\mu \nabla_\mu P^\nu = 0$ , and the covariant derivative is metric-compatible, we also automatically have  $P^\mu \nabla_\mu P_\nu = 0$ . Applying this to  $\nu = \sigma*$ , we have

$$0 = P^\mu \nabla_\mu P_{\sigma*} = \frac{dP_{\sigma*}}{d\lambda} - \Gamma_{\mu\sigma*}^\delta P^\mu P_\delta. \quad (22)$$

Let's compute the relevant Christoffel symbol:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\sigma*}^\delta = \frac{1}{2} g^{\delta\rho} (g_{\rho\mu, \sigma*} + g_{\sigma*\rho, \mu} - g_{\sigma*\mu, \rho}) = g^{\delta\rho} g_{\sigma*[\rho, \mu]}, \quad (23)$$

since  $g_{\rho\mu, \sigma*} = 0$ . Thus we have

$$\frac{dP_{\sigma*}}{d\lambda} = g^{\delta\rho} g_{\sigma*[\rho, \mu]} P^\mu P_\delta = g_{\sigma*[\rho, \mu]} P^\mu P^\rho = 0, \quad (24)$$

as the last term is the contraction of a pair of symmetric indices with a pair of antisymmetric indices. We thus showed that  $P_{\sigma*}$  is constant along the geodesic. **Important:**  $P^\mu \nabla_\mu P_{\sigma*}$  really means  $(\nabla P)^\mu_{\sigma*\mu}$ , and is **not** equal to  $dP_{\sigma*}/d\lambda$ , which is the quantity that must be zero for  $P_{\sigma*}$  to be constant along the geodesic.

(ii) A Killing vector field  $K^\alpha$  is a vector field that satisfies Killings' equation,  $\nabla_{(\alpha} K_{\beta)} = 0$ . Show that, if  $K^\alpha$  is a Killing vector field, then  $K_\alpha P^\alpha$  is constant along geodesics with tangent vector  $P^\alpha$ .

For an arbitrary vector field  $K^\alpha$ ,  $P^\alpha K_\alpha$  is a scalar field, thus

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}(K_\alpha P^\alpha) = P^\mu \nabla_\mu (K_\alpha P^\alpha) = P^\mu (\nabla_\mu K_\alpha) P^\alpha + P^\mu (\nabla_\mu P^\alpha) K_\alpha = (\nabla_\mu K_\alpha) P^\mu P^\alpha = (\nabla_{(\mu} K_{\alpha)}) P^\mu P^\alpha \quad (25)$$

since  $P^\mu \nabla_\mu P^\alpha = 0$ , and the tensor product  $P^\mu P^\alpha$  is symmetric. Thus, if  $K^\alpha$  is a Killing vector field, this vanishes, thus  $K^\alpha P_\alpha$  is constant along geodesics.

(iii) Show that, given a conserved stress-energy tensor  $T^{\alpha\beta}$ , i.e. such that  $\nabla_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ , and a Killing vector field  $K^\alpha$ , the current  $J^\alpha \equiv K_\beta T^{\beta\alpha}$  is conserved (i.e. divergence-free).

$$\nabla_\alpha J^\alpha = \nabla_\alpha (K_\beta T^{\beta\alpha}) = (\nabla_\alpha K_\beta) T^{\beta\alpha} + K_\beta \nabla_\alpha T^{\beta\alpha} = (\nabla_{(\alpha} K_{\beta)}) T^{\beta\alpha}, \quad (26)$$

since  $T^{\alpha\beta}$  is divergence-free, and symmetric (so contractions with it only pick the symmetric part). Thus, if  $K^\alpha$  is a Killing vector field, this vanishes.

(iv) In a coordinate system  $\{x^\mu\}$ , define  $\bar{K} \equiv \partial_{\sigma^*}$ , for some specific coordinate  $x^{\sigma^*}$ . Show that  $K^\alpha$  satisfies Killing's equation if and only if  $\partial_{\sigma^*} g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  for all  $\mu, \nu$ .

Let us write explicitly the symmetric part of the gradient of  $K_\alpha$ :

$$\nabla_{(\alpha} K_{\beta)} = \partial_{(\alpha} K_{\beta)} - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\delta K_\delta, \quad (27)$$

where the second term is automatically symmetric. Now since  $\bar{K} = \partial_{\sigma^*}$ , we have

$$K^\mu = \delta_{\sigma^*}^\mu, \quad K_\beta = g_{\beta\mu} K^\mu = g_{\beta\sigma^*}. \quad (28)$$

So we found

$$\nabla_{(\alpha} K_{\beta)} = \partial_{(\alpha} g_{\beta)\sigma^*} - g_{\delta\sigma^*} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\delta = \partial_{(\alpha} g_{\beta)\sigma^*} - \frac{1}{2} (g_{\alpha\sigma^*,\beta} + g_{\beta\sigma^*,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta,\sigma^*}), \quad (29)$$

where we used the expression for the Christoffel symbol and simplified the contraction with the inverse metric. The first two terms in the parenthesis are exactly  $\partial_{(\alpha} g_{\beta)\sigma^*}$ . Thus we have

$$\nabla_{(\alpha} K_{\beta)} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta,\sigma^*}. \quad (30)$$

Hence we see that  $\bar{K} = \partial_{\sigma^*}$  is a Killing vector field (i.e. the left-hand-side vanishes for any pair  $\alpha, \beta$ , by definition) if and only if the metric components do not depend on  $\sigma^*$ .

**The concept of Killing vectors is important and you should remember it!**

### Exercise 3: Ideal fluid

**Preliminaries:** A projector is a tensor  $\mathcal{P}_\beta^\alpha$  which satisfies  $\mathcal{P}_\beta^\alpha \mathcal{P}_\gamma^\beta = \mathcal{P}_\gamma^\alpha$ .

A projector parallel to a vector  $X^\alpha$  is a projector  $(\mathcal{P}_\parallel)^\alpha_\beta$  satisfying  $(\mathcal{P}_\parallel)^\alpha_\beta Y^\beta \propto X^\alpha$  for any vector  $Y^\alpha$ .

A projector perpendicular to a vector  $X^\alpha$  is a projector  $(\mathcal{P}_\perp)^\alpha_\beta$  satisfying  $X_\alpha \mathcal{P}_\perp^\alpha_\beta Y^\beta = 0$ , for any vector  $Y^\alpha$ .

(i) Suppose an ideal fluid has 4-velocity  $u^\alpha$ , normalized as usual such that  $u^\alpha u_\alpha = -1$ . Construct the projectors parallel to and perpendicular to the fluid's 4-velocity. Rewrite the stress-energy tensor of the ideal fluid  $T^{\alpha\beta} = \rho_{\text{rf}} u^\alpha u^\beta + P_{\text{rf}} (g^{\alpha\beta} + u^\alpha u^\beta)$  in terms of these projectors.

The desired projectors are

$$(\mathcal{P}_\parallel)^\alpha_\beta = -u^\alpha u_\beta, \quad (\mathcal{P}_\perp)^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta + u^\alpha u_\beta. \quad (31)$$

Let us first prove that they are indeed projectors:

$$(\mathcal{P}_{||})^\alpha_\beta (\mathcal{P}_{||})^\beta_\gamma = u^\alpha u_\beta u^\beta u_\gamma = -u^\alpha u_\gamma = (\mathcal{P}_{||})^\alpha_\gamma, \quad (32)$$

since  $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$ .

$$(\mathcal{P}_\perp)^\alpha_\beta (\mathcal{P}_\perp)^\beta_\gamma = (\delta^\alpha_\beta + u^\alpha u_\beta)(\delta^\beta_\gamma + u_\beta u_\gamma) = \delta^\alpha_\gamma + 2u^\alpha u_\gamma + u^\alpha u_\beta u_\beta u_\gamma = \delta^\alpha_\gamma + u^\alpha u_\gamma = (\mathcal{P}_\perp)^\alpha_\gamma. \quad (33)$$

It should be clear that  $(\mathcal{P}_{||})^\alpha_\beta Y^\beta = -(Y^\beta u_\beta)u^\alpha$  is along  $u^\alpha$ , as it should. Moreover,

$$u_\alpha (\mathcal{P}_\perp)^\alpha_\beta Y^\beta = u_\alpha (\delta^\alpha_\beta + u^\alpha u_\beta) Y^\beta = u_\beta Y^\beta (1 + u_\alpha u^\alpha) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Recalling that  $g^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta$ , we have

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = -\rho_{\text{rf}} (\mathcal{P}_{||})^{\alpha\beta} + P_{\text{rf}} (\mathcal{P}_\perp)^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (35)$$

(ii) What physical quantity does the scalar field  $\dot{Q} \equiv -u_\alpha \nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta}$  represent? Show that  $\dot{Q} = \nabla_\alpha (\rho_{\text{rf}} u^\alpha) + P_{\text{rf}} \nabla_\alpha u^\alpha$ .

In the rest-frame of the fluid, the components of the 4-velocity are, by definition  $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ . Thus, taking inertial coordinates in that rest-frame, we have  $u_\mu = (-1, 0, 0, 0)$  in the fluid's rest frame. Thus,  $\dot{Q} = +\nabla_\beta T^{0\beta}$  in the fluid's rest-frame. This is the zero-th component of the 4-force density (see lecture 10). A 4-force is a rate of change of 4-momentum. The zero-th component of 4-momentum is energy. Thus,  **$\dot{Q}$  is the rate of heating per unit volume, in the fluid's rest-frame** – heating is just a rate of change of energy! In general, whenever we multiply a quantity by  $-u_\alpha$ , it represents the value of the zero-th component of this quantity in the rest-frame of the “observer” whose 4-velocity is  $u^\alpha$ .

Let us now compute this heating rate:

$$\dot{Q} = -u_\alpha \nabla_\beta T^{\beta\alpha} = -\nabla_\beta (u_\alpha T^{\beta\alpha}) + T^{\beta\alpha} \nabla_\beta u_\alpha. \quad (36)$$

The first term in parenthesis only picks the component of  $T^{\beta\alpha}$  parallel to  $u^\alpha$ . In addition,

$$u^\alpha \nabla_\beta u_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\beta (u^\alpha u_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\beta (-1) = 0. \quad (37)$$

Thus the second term only picks the components of  $T^{\alpha\beta}$  proportional to  $g^{\alpha\beta}$ . Hence we find the desired expression.

(iii) Suppose that the ideal fluid is made of particles with conserved number. This means that there exists a number 4-current  $N^\alpha$ , such that  $N^0$  is the number density and  $\vec{N}$  is the number flux, which satisfies  $\nabla_\alpha N^\alpha = 0$ . Denoting by  $n_{\text{rf}}$  the particle number density in the fluid's rest-frame, derive the expression for the particle number current  $N^\alpha$  in an arbitrary frame.

By definition, in the fluid's rest frame,  $N^0 = n_{\text{rf}}$  and  $N^i = 0$ , since this frame was defined as being *isotropic*, hence there is no preferred direction for the number flux. Now in the fluid's rest frame we have  $u^0 = 1$  and  $u^i = 0$ . So in that frame,  $N^\mu = n_{\text{rf}} u^\mu$ . This is a vector equality, which should hold in any frame – note that  $n_{\text{rf}}$  is a *scalar* field, defined as the fluid number density in the fluid's rest frame.

(iv) We define  $\epsilon_{\text{rf}} \equiv \rho_{\text{rf}}/n_{\text{rf}}$ . What physical quantity does this represent? Combining particle number conservation with question (ii), derive an equation involving  $\dot{Q}$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{rf}}$ , and explain why it represents the first law of thermodynamics.

$\epsilon_{\text{rf}}$  is the ratio of energy density in the fluid's rest frame to the number density in the fluid's rest frame. It is thus the **mean energy per particle in the fluid's rest frame**.

$$\dot{Q} = \nabla_\alpha (\epsilon_{\text{rf}} n_{\text{rf}} u^\alpha) + P_{\text{rf}} \nabla_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{n_{\text{rf}}} n_{\text{rf}} u^\alpha \right) = \nabla_\alpha (\epsilon_{\text{rf}} N^\alpha) + P_{\text{rf}} \nabla_\alpha \left( \frac{N^\alpha}{n_{\text{rf}}} \right) = n_{\text{rf}} u^\alpha (\nabla_\alpha \epsilon_{\text{rf}} + P_{\text{rf}} \nabla_\alpha (1/n_{\text{rf}})), \quad (38)$$

where we used  $\nabla_\alpha N^\alpha = 0$  and substituted  $N^\alpha = n_{\text{rf}} u^\alpha$ . Let us now consider a small volume  $V$  enclosing a fixed number of particles  $N_{\text{part}} \equiv n_{\text{rf}} V$ , where we work in the fluid's rest frame. We may thus replace  $1/n_{\text{rf}} = V/N_{\text{part}}$ . We also define the total energy of these particles by  $U = N_{\text{part}} \epsilon_{\text{rf}}$ . Multiplying the above equation by  $V$ , we get

$$V \dot{Q} = u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha U + P_{\text{rf}} u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha V = \frac{dU}{d\tau} + P_{\text{rf}} \frac{dV}{d\tau} \quad (39)$$

The left-hand side is the rate of heating of the volume  $V$ . This is exactly the first law of thermodynamics:  $\delta Q = dU + PdV$ , written in differential form.