

# MTH302: INTEGERS, POLYNOMIALS AND MATRICES

## LECTURE 2

VARADHARAJ R. SRINIVASAN

Keywords: Matrix rings, polynomial rings, power series rings, ring of Gaussian integers, ring of integers modulo  $n$ .

### 1. MATRIX RINGS.

Let  $R$  be a ring. For any matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$  and  $r \in R$ , we denote the matrix  $(r \cdot a_{ij})$  by  $rA$ . Let  $r \in R$ . The matrix  $A = (a_{st}) \in M_n(R)$  such that  $a_{ij} = r$  for a fixed  $i, j$  and  $a_{st} = 0$  when  $s \neq i$  or  $t \neq j$  will be denoted by  $rE_{ij}$ . When  $R$  has 1, the matrix  $1E_{ij}$  will be simply denoted by  $E_{ij}$ . Note that

$$aE_{ij} \cdot bE_{st} = \begin{cases} (a \cdot b)E_{it} & \text{if } j = s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Notation for the identity matrix:** If  $R$  is a ring with 1 then the identity matrix of  $M_n(R)$  will be denoted by  $I_n$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Given a ring  $R$ , every element of  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$  can be uniquely written as

$$A = \sum_{i=1, j=1}^n a_{ij}E_{ij}.$$

**Transpose of a matrix:** Given a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$ , the transpose of  $A$ , sometimes denoted by  $A^T$ , is the matrix  $(a_{ji})$ .

**Adjoint of a matrix:** Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(R)$ . The  $ij$ -th cofactor of  $A$ , denoted by  $c_{ij}$ , is the product of  $(-1)^{i+j}$  and the determinant of the submatrix of  $A$  obtained by deleting the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column. The transpose of the cofactor matrix  $(c_{ij})$  is called the *adjoint* of  $A$ , denoted by  $Adj(A)$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *If  $R$  is a commutative ring with 1 then  $A \in M_n(R)$  is a unit if and only if  $\det(A)$  is a unit in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in M_n(R)$  be a unit. Then there is a matrix  $B \in M_n(R)$  such that  $A \cdot B = I_n = B \cdot A$ . Applying determinant, we obtain  $\det(A) \cdot \det(B) = 1 = \det(B) \cdot \det(A)$ . Thus  $\det(A) \in R$  is a unit. Conversely, we know that  $A \cdot Adj(A) = Adj(A) \cdot A = \det(A)I_n$  (why?). Since  $\det(A)$  is a unit in  $R$ , we have an element  $\det(A)^{-1} \in R$  such that  $\det(A) \cdot \det(A)^{-1} = \det(A)^{-1} \cdot \det(A) = 1$ . Thus

$$A \cdot (\det(A)^{-1} Adj(A)) = (\det(A)^{-1} Adj(A)) \cdot A = I_n. \quad (\text{why?})$$

Hence  $A$  is a unit in  $M_n(R)$ . (Where did we use that  $R$  is commutative?) □

## 2. POLYNOMIAL AND POWER SERIES RINGS

Let  $\mathbb{W} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $R$  be a ring. Let  $R^{\mathbb{W}}$  be the set of all functions from  $\mathbb{W}$  to  $R$ . Define addition and multiplication on  $R^{\mathbb{W}}$  as follows:

**Addition:**  $(f + g)(n) = f(n) + g(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{W}$

**Multiplication:**  $(f \cdot g)(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n f(i) \cdot g(n-i)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{W}$ .

Under these operation  $R^{\mathbb{W}}$  forms a ring. If  $f \in R^{\mathbb{W}}$  then  $f$  defines a sequence of images  $f(0), f(1), \dots$  in  $R$ . Conversely, if  $a_0, a_1, \dots$  is a sequence in  $R$  then  $f$  defined by  $f(n) := a_n$  defines a function from  $\mathbb{W}$  to  $R$ . In this sense,  $f$  is often denoted by its image and written as a formal expression in a variable  $X$  as

$$a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \dots$$

Henceforth, we identify  $R^{\mathbb{W}}$  with  $R[[X]] := \{a_0 + a_1X + \dots \mid a_n \in R\}$ ;  $f \mapsto f(0) + f(1)X + \dots$  and call  $R[[X]]$ , the formal power series ring in one variable  $X$  over  $R$ . The elements of  $R[[X]]$  are called formal power series. Under this identification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1} a_n X^n + \sum_{n=1} b_n X^n &:= \sum_{n=1} (a_n + b_n) X^n \\ \left( \sum_{n=1} a_n X^n \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n=1} b_n X^n \right) &:= \sum_{n=1} c_n X^n, \quad \text{where} \\ c_n &= a_0 \cdot b_n + a_1 \cdot b_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \cdot b_1 + a_n \cdot b_0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that two power series  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots$  and  $g = b_0 + b_1X + \dots$  are equal in  $R[[X]]$  if and only if  $f(n) = a_n = b_n = g(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{W}$ .

Let  $R_0^{\mathbb{W}} := \{f \in R^{\mathbb{W}} \mid f(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n\}$ . Then  $R_0^{\mathbb{W}}$  can be easily seen to be a subring of  $R^{\mathbb{W}}$ . The restriction of the identification  $f \mapsto f(0) + f(1)X + \dots$  to the ring  $R_0^{\mathbb{W}}$  has its image as the set

$$R[X] := \{a_0 + a_1X + \dots \mid a_n \in R, a_n = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n.\}$$

The ring  $R[X]$  will be called the *ring of polynomials* in one variable  $X$  over  $R$ . The elements of  $R[X]$  are called polynomials.

If  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots$  is a polynomial such that  $a_m = 0$  for all  $m > n$  and  $a_n \neq 0$  then we shall write  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n \in R[X]$ . In this case,  $n$  is called the *degree* of  $f$  and  $a_n$  is called the *leading coefficient* of  $f$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain with 1.*

- (1) *A polynomial  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n$  of degree  $n$  is a unit in  $R[X]$  if and only if  $a_0$  is a unit in  $R$  and  $n = 0$ .*
- (2) *A power series  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots$  is a unit in  $R[[X]]$  if and only if  $a_0$  is a unit in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n$ , a polynomial of degree  $n$ , be a unit in  $R[X]$  and  $g = b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_mX^m \in R[X]$ , a polynomial of degree  $m$ , be the inverse of  $f$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot g &= a_0 \cdot b_0 + (a_1 \cdot b_0 + a_0 \cdot b_1)X + \cdots + a_n \cdot b_mX^{n+m} = 1 + 0X + \cdots + 0X^{n+m} \\ g \cdot f &= b_0 \cdot a_0 + (b_1 \cdot a_0 + b_0 \cdot a_1)X + \cdots + b_m \cdot a_nX^{n+m} = 1 + 0X + \cdots + 0X^{n+m} \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $a_0 \cdot b_0 = 1 = b_0 \cdot a_0$ . If  $n \geq 1$  then since  $a_n \cdot b_m = 0$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $b_m \neq 0$ , we obtain that  $R$  is not an integral domain. Thus  $n = 1$ . Converse is obvious!

If  $g = b_0 + b_1X + \cdots$  is an inverse of a power series  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots$  then it is obvious that  $a_0 \cdot b_0 = b_0 \cdot a_0 = 1$ . Now let  $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots$  be a power series and  $a_0$  be a unit. One can easily find a power series  $g = b_0 + b_1X + \cdots \in R[[X]]$ , whose coefficients are determined in a recursive fashion using the relations  $f \cdot g = g \cdot f = 1 + 0X + \cdots$  and the fact that  $a_0b_0 = b_0a_0 = 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. INTEGERS MODULO $n$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$  be the collection of all equivalence classes  $\bar{m}$  of integers under the equivalence relation

$$a \sim_n b \quad \text{if } a - b \text{ is divisible by } n.$$

Then  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  forms a commutative ring under the following operations<sup>1</sup>

**Addition:**  $\bar{i} + \bar{j} = \overline{i+j}$ ,

**Multiplication:**  $\bar{i} \cdot \bar{j} = \overline{ij}$ .

For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a commutative ring with identity  $\bar{1}$ . It can be easily seen that  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{0}\}$  if and only if  $n = 1$ . Therefore, in the foregoing, we shall assume  $n \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 3.1.**  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is a unit if and only if  $\bar{x}$  is not a zero-divisor if and only if  $x$  is coprime to  $n$

*Proof.* If  $\bar{x}$  is a unit then it is clear that  $\bar{x}$  is not a zero divisor (why?). Conversely, if  $\bar{x}$  is not a zero divisor then  $\bar{y} \mapsto \bar{x}\bar{y}$  is an injective map on the finite set  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Therefore it is surjective. Thus we obtain an element  $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{1}$ . Similarly, the map  $\bar{x} \mapsto \bar{y}\bar{x}$  is also bijective and we obtain an element  $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $\bar{z} \cdot \bar{x} = \bar{1}$ . It follows that  $\bar{y} = \bar{z}$  and thus  $\bar{x}$  is a unit.

If  $\bar{x}$  is a unit then there is a  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $xy - 1$  is divisible by  $n$ . That is  $xy + zn = 1$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that  $x$  and  $y$  are coprime. A similar argument proves the converse.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.**  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is an integral domain if and only if  $n$  is prime if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field.

*Proof.* From the previous proposition, it is evident that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is an integral domain if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field. Observe that  $n = lm$  for  $2 \leq l \leq n-1$  and  $2 \leq m \leq n-1$  if and only if  $\bar{l}\bar{m} = \bar{n} = \bar{0}$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>I expect you to check that the operations are indeed well-defined and that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  forms a ring.