1. What motivates mobile operators to adopt C-RAN? (Check all that apply)

- [ ] Cost savings

- [ ] Agility

- [ ] Better interference management

- [ ] More effective traffic management

- [ ] Lower power consumption

Other (please specify)

[   ]

2. How fast do you expect C-RAN to be deployed in each geographical areas?

	Asia	Australia	Latin America	Middle East, North Africa	North America	Sub-Saharan Africa	Western Europe
Already happening	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Starting next year	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2-5 years	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Over 5 years	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Never in large scale	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

3. What type of size of C-RAN do you think will dominate?

○ Local C-RAN (small cells within the area covered by one macro cell)

○ Small number of macro cells and, if available, small cells, with processing close to the cell sites

○ Large number of macro cells in a centralized data center

○ No dominant size, a mix of the above

○ None

4. What may slow down or accelerate C-RAN deployments (rank answers)

	Fiber availability

	Wireless fronthaul solutions

	Need to manage interference from small cells

	Inclusion of C-RAN within the operator broader virtualization strategy

	Evidence of cost savings

	Improved performance

5 . **What type of functional split offers the best tradeoff in terms cost, fronthaul availability and performance in your network or in your clients?**

- ○ Split PHY
- ○ Split between MAC and PHY
- ○ Split MAC
- ○ Split between RLC and MAC
- ○ Split between PDCP and RLC