

APPENDIX D

STANDARDS AND STANDARD- SETTING ORGANIZATIONS

William Stallings

Copyright 2013

D.1	THE IMPORTANCE OF STANDARDS	2
D.2	INTERNET STANDARDS AND THE INTERNET SOCIETY	3
	The Internet Organizations and RFC Publication	4
	The Standardization Process	5
	Internet Standards Categories	8
	Other RFC Types	8
D.3	THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY	9
D.4	THE INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION	10
	ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector	10
	Schedule	11
D.5	THE INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION	12
D.6	SIGNIFICANT SECURITY STANDARDS AND DOCUMENTS	15
	International Organization for Standardization (ISO)	15
	National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)	16
	International Telecommunication Union Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T)	17
	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation	17
	Internet Standards and the Internet Society	18

Supplement to

Cryptography and Network Security, Sixth Edition

Prentice Hall 2013

ISBN: 0133354695

<http://williamstallings.com/Cryptography>

An important concept that recurs frequently in this book is standards. This appendix provides some background on the nature and relevance of standards and looks at the key organizations involved in developing standards for networking and communications.

D.1 THE IMPORTANCE OF STANDARDS

It has long been accepted in the telecommunications industry that standards are required to govern the physical, electrical, and procedural characteristics of communication equipment. In the past, this view has not been embraced by the computer industry. Whereas communication equipment vendors recognize that their equipment will generally interface to and communicate with other vendors' equipment, computer vendors have traditionally attempted to monopolize their customers. The proliferation of computers and distributed processing has made that an untenable position. Computers from different vendors must communicate with each other and, with the ongoing evolution of protocol standards, customers will no longer accept special-purpose protocol conversion software development. The result is that standards now permeate all the areas of technology discussed in this book.

There are a number of advantages and disadvantages to the standards-making process. The principal advantages of standards are:

- A standard assures that there will be a large market for a particular piece of equipment or software. This encourages mass production and, in some cases, the use of large-scale-integration (LSI) or very-large-scale-integration (VLSI) techniques, resulting in lower costs.
- A standard allows products from multiple vendors to communicate, giving the purchaser more flexibility in equipment selection and use.

The principal disadvantages of standards are:

- A standard tends to freeze the technology. By the time a standard is developed, subjected to review and compromise, and promulgated, more efficient techniques are possible.
- There are multiple standards for the same thing. This is not a disadvantage of standards per se, but of the current way things are done. Fortunately, in recent years the various standards-making organizations have begun to cooperate more closely. Nevertheless, there are still areas where multiple conflicting standards exist.

Various organizations have been involved in the development of standards related to data and computer communications. The remainder of this document provides an overview of some of the most important of these organizations:

- Internet Society
- NIST
- ITU-T
- ISO
- IEEE

D.2 INTERNET STANDARDS AND THE INTERNET SOCIETY

Many of the protocols that make up the TCP/IP protocol suite have been standardized or are in the process of standardization. By universal agreement, an organization known as the Internet Society is responsible for the development and publication of these standards. The Internet Society is

a professional membership organization that oversees a number of boards and task forces involved in Internet development and standardization.

This section provides a brief description of the way in which standards for the TCP/IP protocol suite are developed.

The Internet Organizations and RFC Publication

The Internet Society is the coordinating committee for Internet design, engineering, and management. Areas covered include the operation of the Internet itself and the standardization of protocols used by end systems on the Internet for interoperability. Three organizations under the Internet Society are responsible for the actual work of standards development and publication:

- **Internet Architecture Board (IAB):** Responsible for defining the overall architecture of the Internet, providing guidance and broad direction to the IETF
- **Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF):** The protocol engineering and development arm of the Internet
- **Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG):** Responsible for technical management of IETF activities and the Internet standards process

Working groups chartered by the IETF carry out the actual development of new standards and protocols for the Internet. Membership in a working group is voluntary; any interested party may participate. During the development of a specification, a working group will make a draft version of the document available as an Internet Draft, which is placed in the IETF's "Internet Drafts" online directory. The document may remain as an Internet Draft for up to six months, and interested parties may review and comment

on the draft. During that time, the IESG may approve publication of the draft as an RFC (Request for Comment). If the draft has not progressed to the status of an RFC during the six-month period, it is withdrawn from the directory. The working group may subsequently publish a revised version of the draft.

The IETF is responsible for publishing the RFCs, with approval of the IESG. The RFCs are the working notes of the Internet research and development community. A document in this series may be on essentially any topic related to computer communications and may be anything from a meeting report to the specification of a standard.

The work of the IETF is divided into eight areas, each with an area director and each composed of numerous working groups. Table D.1 shows the IETF areas and their focus.

The Standardization Process

The decision of which RFCs become Internet standards is made by the IESG, on the recommendation of the IETF. To become a standard, a specification must meet the following criteria:

- Be stable and well understood
- Be technically competent
- Have multiple, independent, and interoperable implementations with substantial operational experience
- Enjoy significant public support
- Be recognizably useful in some or all parts of the Internet

The key difference between these criteria and those used for international standards from ITU is the emphasis here on operational experience.

Table D.1 IETF Areas

IETF Area	Theme	Example Working Groups
Applications	Internet applications	Web-related protocols (HTTP) EDI-Internet integration LDAP
General	IETF processes and procedures	Policy Framework Process for Organization of Internet Standards
Internet	Internet infrastructure	IPv6 PPP extensions
Operations and management	Standards and definitions for network operations	SNMPv3 Remote Network Monitoring
Real-time applications and infrastructure	Protocols and applications for real-time requirements	Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)
Routing	Protocols and management for routing information	multicast routing OSPF QoS routing
Security	Security protocols and technologies	Kerberos IPSec X.509 S/MIME TLS
Transport	Transport layer protocols	Differentiated services IP telephony NFS RSVP

The left-hand side of Figure D.1 shows the series of steps, called the *standards track*, that a specification goes through to become a standard; this process is defined in RFC 6410. The steps involve increasing amounts of scrutiny and testing. At each step, the IETF must make a recommendation for advancement of the protocol, and the IESG must ratify it. The process begins when the IESG approves the publication of an Internet Draft document as an RFC with the status of Proposed Standard.




Figure D.1 Internet RFC Publication Process

The white boxes in the diagram represent temporary states, which should be occupied for the minimum practical time. However, a document must remain a Proposed Standard for at least six months to allow time for review and comment. The shaded boxes represent long-term states that may be occupied for years.

For a specification to be advanced to Internet Standard status, there must be at least two independent and interoperable implementations from which adequate operational experience has been obtained. There must also have been significant implementation and operational experience has been obtained. At this point, the Specification is assigned an STD number as well as an RFC number.

Finally, when a protocol becomes obsolete, it is assigned to the Historic state.

Internet Standards Categories

All Internet standards fall into one of two categories:

- **Technical specification (TS):** A TS defines a protocol, service, procedure, convention, or format. The bulk of the Internet standards are TSs.
- **Applicability statement (AS):** An AS specifies how, and under what circumstances, one or more TSs may be applied to support a particular Internet capability. An AS identifies one or more TSs that are relevant to the capability, and may specify values or ranges for particular parameters associated with a TS or functional subsets of a TS that are relevant for the capability.

Other RFC Types

There are numerous RFCs that are not destined to become Internet standards. Some RFCs standardize the results of community deliberations about statements of principle or conclusions about what is the best way to perform some operations or IETF process function. Such RFCs are designated as Best Current Practice (BCP). Approval of BCPs follows essentially the same process for approval of Proposed Standards. Unlike standards-track documents, there is not a three-stage process for BCPs; a BCP goes from Internet draft status to approved BCP in one step.

A protocol or other specification that is not considered ready for standardization may be published as an Experimental RFC. After further work, the specification may be resubmitted. If the specification is generally stable, has resolved known design choices, is believed to be well understood,

has received significant community review, and appears to enjoy enough community interest to be considered valuable, then the RFC will be designated a Proposed Standard.

Finally, an Informational Specification is published for the general information of the Internet community.

D.3 THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), part of the U.S. Commerce Department, issues standards and guidelines for use by U.S. government departments and agencies. These standards and guidelines are issued in the form of Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS). NIST develops FIPS when there are compelling federal government requirements such as for security and interoperability and there are no acceptable industry standards or solutions.

- NIST announces the proposed FIPS in the *Federal Register* for public review and comment. At the same time that the proposed FIPS is announced in the *Federal Register*, it is also announced on NIST's Web site. The text and associated specifications, if applicable, of the proposed FIPS are posted on the NIST Web site.
- A 90-day period is provided for review and for submission of comments on the proposed FIPS to NIST. The date by which comments must be submitted to NIST is specified in the *Federal Register* and in the other announcements.
- Comments received in response to the *Federal Register* notice and to the other notices are reviewed by NIST to determine if modifications to the proposed FIPS are needed.

- A detailed justification document is prepared, analyzing the comments received and explaining whether modifications were made, or explaining why recommended changes were not made.
- NIST submits the recommended FIPS, the detailed justification document, and recommendations as to whether the standard should be compulsory and binding for Federal government use, to the Secretary of Commerce for approval.
- A notice announcing approval of the FIPS by the Secretary of Commerce is published in the *Federal Register*, and on NIST's Web site.

Although NIST standards are developed for U.S. government use, many of them are widely used in industry. AES and SHA are prime examples.

D.4 THE INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is a United Nations specialized agency. Hence the members of ITU-T are governments. The U.S. representation is housed in the Department of State. The charter of the ITU is that it "is responsible for studying technical, operating, and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis." Its primary objective is to standardize, to the extent necessary, techniques and operations in telecommunications to achieve end-to-end compatibility of international telecommunication connections, regardless of the countries of origin and destination.

ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector

The ITU-T was created on 1 March 1993 as one consequence of a reform process within the ITU. It replaces the International Telegraph and

Telephone Consultative Committee (CCITT), which had essentially the same charter and objectives as the new ITU-T. The ITU-T fulfils the purposes of the ITU relating to telecommunications standardization by studying technical, operating and tariff questions and adopting Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

ITU-T is organized into 14 study groups that prepare Recommendations, numbered as follows:

- 2.** Network and service operation
- 3.** Tariff and accounting principles
- 4.** Telecommunications management network and network maintenance
- 5.** Protection against electromagnetic environment effects
- 6.** Outside plant
- 9.** Integrated broadband cable networks and television and sound transmission
- 11.** Signaling requirements and protocols
- 12.** Performance and quality of service
- 13.** Next generation networks
- 15.** Optical and other transport networks infrastructures
- 16.** Multimedia terminals, systems, and applications
- 17.** Security, languages, and telecommunication software
- 19.** Mobile telecommunications networks

Schedule

Work within ITU-R and ITU-T is conducted in four-year cycles. Every four years, a World Telecommunications Standardization Conference is held. The work program for the next four years is established at the assembly in the form of questions submitted by the various study groups, based on requests made to the study groups by their members. The conference assesses the

questions, reviews the scope of the study groups, creates new or abolishes existing study groups, and allocates questions to them.

Based on these questions, each study group prepares draft Recommendations. A draft Recommendation may be submitted to the next conference, four years hence, for approval. Increasingly, however, Recommendations are approved when they are ready, without having to wait for the end of the four-year study period. This accelerated procedure was adopted after the study period that ended in 1988. Thus, 1988 was the last time that a large batch of documents was published at one time as a set of Recommendations.

D.5 THE INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION

The International Organization for Standardization, or ISO,¹ is an international agency for the development of standards on a wide range of subjects. It is a voluntary, nontreaty organization whose members are designated standards bodies of participating nations, plus nonvoting observer organizations. Although ISO is not a governmental body, more than 70 percent of ISO member bodies are governmental standards institutions or organizations incorporated by public law. Most of the remainder have close links with the public administrations in their own countries. The United States member body is the American National Standards Institute.

ISO was founded in 1946 and has issued more than 12,000 standards in a broad range of areas. Its purpose is to promote the development of standardization and related activities to facilitate international exchange of

¹ ISO is not an acronym (in which case it would be IOS), but a word, derived from the Greek *isos*, meaning "equal."

goods and services and to develop cooperation in the sphere of intellectual, scientific, technological, and economic activity. Standards have been issued to cover everything from screw threads to solar energy. One important area of standardization deals with the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) communications architecture and the standards at each layer of the OSI architecture.

In the areas of data communications and networking, ISO standards are actually developed in a joint effort with another standards body, the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). IEC is primarily concerned with electrical and electronic engineering standards. In the area of information technology, the interests of the two groups overlap, with IEC emphasizing hardware and ISO focusing on software. In 1987, the two groups formed the Joint Technical Committee 1 (JTC 1). This committee has the responsibility of developing the documents that ultimately become ISO (and IEC) standards in the area of information technology.

The development of an ISO standard from first proposal to actual publication of the standard follows a six-step process. The objective is to ensure that the final result is acceptable to as many countries as possible. Briefly, the steps are:

- 1. Proposal stage:** A new work item is assigned to the appropriate technical committee, and within that technical committee, to the appropriate working group.
- 2. Preparatory stage:** The working group prepares a working draft. Successive working drafts may be considered until the working group is satisfied that it has developed the best technical solution to the problem being addressed. At this stage, the draft is forwarded to the working group's parent committee for the consensus-building phase.

- 3. Committee stage:** As soon as a first committee draft is available, it is registered by the ISO Central Secretariat. It is distributed among interested members for balloting and technical comment. Successive committee drafts may be considered until consensus is reached on the technical content. Once consensus has been attained, the text is finalized for submission as a Draft International Standard (DIS).
- 4. Enquiry stage:** The DIS is circulated to all ISO member bodies by the ISO Central Secretariat for voting and comment within a period of five months. It is approved for submission as a Final Draft International Standard (FDIS) if a two-thirds majority is in favor and not more than one-quarter of the total number of votes cast are negative. If the approval criteria are not met, the text is returned to the originating working group for further study and a revised document will again be circulated for voting and comment as a DIS.
- 5. Approval stage:** The Final Draft International Standard (FDIS) is circulated to all ISO member bodies by the ISO Central Secretariat for a final yes/no vote within a period of two months. If technical comments are received during this period, they are no longer considered at this stage, but registered for consideration during a future revision of the International Standard. The text is approved as an International Standard if a two-thirds majority is in favor and not more than one-quarter of the total number of votes cast are negative. If these approval criteria are not met, the standard is referred back to the originating working group for reconsideration in the light of the technical reasons submitted in support of the negative votes received.
- 6. Publication stage:** Once a Final Draft International Standard has been approved, only minor editorial changes, if and where necessary, are introduced into the final text. The final text is sent to the ISO Central Secretariat, which publishes the International Standard.

The process of issuing an ISO standard can be a slow one. Certainly, it would be desirable to issue standards as quickly as the technical details can be worked out, but ISO must ensure that the standard will receive widespread support.

D.6 SIGNIFICANT SECURITY STANDARDS AND DOCUMENTS

There is an overwhelming amount of material, including books, papers, and online resources, on computer security. Perhaps the most useful and definitive source of information is a collection of standards and specifications from standards-making bodies and from other sources whose work has widespread industry and government approval. We list some of the most important sources in this appendix.

International Organization for Standardization (ISO)

An increasingly popular standard for writing and implementing security policies is **ISO 27002** (*Code of Practice for Information Security Management*). ISO 27002 is a comprehensive set of controls comprising best practices in information security. It is essentially an internationally recognized generic information security standard. The standard covers the following areas in some detail: risk assessment; policy; organization of information security; asset management; human resources security; physical security; communications security; access control; IS acquisition, development, and maintenance; security incident management; business continuity management; and compliance.

With the increasing interest in security, ISO 27002 certification, provided by various accredited bodies, has been established as a goal for

many corporations, government agencies, and other organizations around the world. ISO 27002 offers a convenient framework to help security policy writers structure their policies in accordance with an international standard.

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

NIST has produced a large number of Federal Information Processing Standards Publications (FIPS PUBs) and special publications (SPs) that are enormously useful to security managers, designers, and implementers. We mention here a few of the most significant and general. **FIPS PUB 200** (*Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems*) is a standard that specifies minimum security requirements in seventeen security-related areas with regard to protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of federal information systems and the information processed, stored, and transmitted by those systems. FIPS PUB 200 is discussed in Section 1.3.

NIST **SP 800-100** (*Information Security Handbook: A Guide for Managers*) provides a broad overview of information security program elements to assist managers in understanding how to establish and implement an information security program. Its topical coverage overlaps considerably with ISO 17799.

Several other NIST publications are of general interest. **SP 800-55** (*Security Metrics Guide for Information Technology Systems*) provides guidance on how an organization, through the use of metrics, identifies the adequacy of in-place security controls, policies, and procedures. **SP 800-27** [*Engineering Principles for Information Technology Security (A Baseline for Achieving Security)*] presents a list of system-level security principles to be considered in the design, development, and operation of an information system. **SP 800-53** (*Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems*) lists management, operational, and technical

safeguards or countermeasures prescribed for an information system to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the system and its information.

International Telecommunication Union

Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T)

ITU-T has issued the X.800 series of Recommendations covering security for data networks. Perhaps the most important is **X.800** (*Security Architecture for Open Systems Interconnection*), which provides a detailed overview of security threats, services, and mechanisms. X.800 is discussed in Section 1.4. **X.810** (*Security Frameworks for Open Systems: Overview*) provides more detail on the topics introduced in X.800 and introduces a framework for security services implementation.

There are currently 20 Recommendations in the X.800 series. In addition to the Recommendations just mentioned, there are Recommendations that cover authentication, access control, nonrepudiation, confidentiality, integrity, and audit and alarms.

Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation

The Common Criteria is a joint international effort by a number of national standards organizations and government agencies. U.S participation is by NIST and the National Security Agency (NSA). CC defines a set of IT requirements of known validity that can be used in establishing security requirements for prospective products and systems. The CC also defines the Protection Profile (PP) construct that allows prospective consumers or developers to create standardized sets of security requirements that will meet their needs. We discuss the Common Criteria in detail in Chapter 10 and reference these documents in a number of chapters.

Internet Standards and the Internet Society

Many of the protocols that make up the TCP/IP protocol suite have been standardized or are in the process of standardization. By universal agreement, an organization known as the Internet Society is responsible for the development and publication of these standards. The Internet Society is a professional membership organization that oversees a number of boards and task forces involved in Internet development and standardization.

All official publications from the Internet Society are issued as Requests for Comments (RFCs). Some are informational; others are Internet Standards or specifications that may become Internet Standards. **RFC 2196** (*Site Security Handbook*) covers some of the same ground as ISO 27002 and SP 800-100. It is a guide to developing computer security policies and procedures for sites that have systems on the Internet. **RFC 3552** (*Guidelines for Writing RFC Text on Security Considerations*) provides guidelines to RFC authors on how to include security considerations in the RFC. It discusses the goals of security, the Internet threat model, and common security issues.