FEED Pulse

👤 My feedback   👥 Group feedback   👥 Peer feedback

Group 1 ⌄

Aleksiev, Kaloyan K.G. - Eckert, Tamás T. - Koitchev, Ivan I.G. - Ven, Eric E. van der - Verheijen, Nino N.

Checkpoint 5   24-12-2021

⚠ No feedback submitted.

Write a summary of what you discussed with your teacher...

Post Feedback

Checkpoint 4   03-12-2021   ☹

Ven, Eric E. van der   a month ago
Today we had our Sprint D review. The PO's thought we gave a good demo. Some feedback we received from them:
- Sending an email to the manager once a client has arrived
- Optional: Desktop notification for the visitor registration app
- Fixing the errors from the visitor registration app

Furthermore, we will send the fixed visitor registration app, CI/CD reports, and a burndown chart by next week.

**Koehorst, Michiel M.W.** (Teacher)   22 days ago
Sprint D review and Sprint E planning meeting was held on December 17th. The progress on the different work streams was demonstrated, including demo with LPR and SMS integrated. The working of all the components integrated could not be shown yet. Some issues with the registration application which could not be demo'd. Individual and peer reflections were done by 4 team members in FeedPulse. A start has been made with a project scope overview document. Further documentation updates were not delivered. TICT document is still missing. Document on multi-cultural awareness has also not been delivered. Test plan and report are missing. Make sure that all the required document updates are done during Sprint E.

Privacy Policy - Developed by DR︎EAM

Checkpoint 3   01-12-2021   ☺

⚠ No feedback submitted.

Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher)   a month ago
Good sprint C review and Sprint D planning meeting was held on November 26th. Progress on the different work streams was demonstrated, including demo with LPR and SMS integrated. Aim is to have all the components working and integrated in the next sprint. Individual and peer reflections were done by 4 team members in FeedPulse. Burndown chart, roadmap and Sprint D planning will be given in week 13's status meeting. Documentation updates were not delivered. TICT document still missing. Document on multi-cultural awareness has also not been delivered. Make sure the document updates are also part of the Sprint D planning.

Checkpoint 2   15-10-2021   ☺

⚠ No feedback submitted.

Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher)   2 months ago
Good sprint B review and Sprint C planning meeting was held on November 5th. Presentation prepared this time. Next time start with an overview what was agreed upon about the sprint to be reviewed (in the sprint planning meeting of that sprint). The client had a number of feedback items amongst others, sprint C planning was missing, delivery to client 2 days before sprint review meeting, improve use of story points and make sprint planning based upon the story points, set up/improve CI/CD. Additional feedback points: think about which documents you want to deliver in the end, make sure it is a consistent set of documents and shortly describe all documents in the project plan, ensure all updated documents are part of the next sprint deliverables. TICT document was missing in the deliverables. Peer and self reviews in Feedpulse are missing for Sprint B (and also some still for Sprint A). Regarding 'license plate recognition document': good start, explain more about how you are using the software and how the solution works. Test Plan: good document, but refers to use cases where in your analysis document you are talking about user stories.

Checkpoint 1   06-10-2021   ☺

Ven, Eric E. van der   3 months ago
Feedback about the project plan:
- Add the problem we have to solve to the context paragraph
- Add a C1 diagram for clarifying the goal of the project
- Explain what strategy we are going to use, how we are going to use it, division of roles, meetings, etc
- Finances aren't really necessary
- Discuss what the risks are and how we can prevent them

Aleksiev, Kaloyan K.G.   3 months ago
The clients and the teacher were satisfied with the meeting and diagrams/documentation that we delivered. We had a discussion and made some improvements to our Project plan and the scope of the project.

Feedback from the teacher:
- Add our scrum process and our research questions to the Project plan
- Add story points to the User stories document

Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher)   3 months ago
Successful sprint A review and Sprint B planning meeting was held on October 8th. Good discussions with the client based upon presented materials, i.e. C4 model diagrams, various sensor options and user stories. Some additional feedback points: next time it is recommended to use a presentation. Various documents were delivered, think about which documents you want to deliver in the end and indicate that in the project plan. Very promising start!

Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher)   3 months ago
Peer and self reviews in Feedpulse still need to be added for Sprint A.