

record forms of sixteen pregnant women who had received the rabies PEP at our clinic during the preceding five year period from January 2001 to January 2006. Using trained medical investigators and a predesigned proforma, telephone calls and house visits were made to these women to know the outcome of pregnancy with regard to health of the mother and the child born, type of delivery and any adverse events to vaccine and RIGs. Wherever relevant, cross verification of details of delivery at the maternity hospitals was also done. As this was a retrospective study, there was no spontaneous reporting of local or systemic adverse events. The mothers were enquired about any obvious congenital anomalies in their children who were born after rabies PEP. In three instances where the children were available at home during the house visits by the medical investigators the children could be examined for any obvious congenital anomalies.

RESULTS

Of the sixteen pregnant women who had received rabies PEP during this reference five year period, only fourteen could be contacted as the remaining two were not traceable. These women were in the age range of 18-28 yrs at the time of exposure and ten (71%) were in gestational age of twenty or more weeks. Only four (29%) pregnant women were in the period vulnerable to teratogenicity (< 20 weeks) of which three (21%) were in the first trimester at the time of exposure and administration of first dose of vaccine and ERIG. Nine(64%) were from urban areas and 5(36%) from rural areas. Twelve (86%) women were exposed to dog bites and the remaining two (14%) women were bitten by monkeys. All biting animals were only suspect rabid and not confirmed rabid.

A thorough wound wash was done in six (42%) cases and in four (29%) cases it was partial. All had received CCVs namely PVRV (Verorab-8 (57%) women and thirty-eight doses; Abhayrab-4 (29%) women and twenty doses); and PCEC vaccine Rabipur-2 (14%) women and ten doses. The vaccines were administered by Essen regimen, intramuscularly in deltoid, one dose each on days 0, 3, 7, 14 and 28. In one case only three doses were administered as the biting dog was observable and remained healthy and alive after ten days.

Eight (57%) women had received ERIG (Equirab-7 and Pasteur antirabies serum-1) following WHO category III exposure to rabies. The ERIG was administered in dose of 40 IU per kg body weight and all the wounds were infiltrated and any left over volume was administered intramuscularly in the gluteal region (Table 1). None had complained of any systemic or local adverse events to both vaccine and ERIG.

All had safe vaginal delivery, and all the mothers and the babies born were healthy. Incidentally and interestingly all the babies delivered were female which may be coincidental.

Table 1. Details of post-exposure rabies immunization (N=14)

Case No.	Gestational Age of the Time of Exposure to Rabies (Weeks)	Type of Vaccine	No. of Doses	ERIG
1.	16	Verorab	5	Not given
2.	36	Verorab	3	Not given
3.	20	Verorab	5	Pasteur anti rabies serum
4.	24	Abhayrab	5	Not given
5.	32	Verorab	5	Not given
6.	24	Verorab	5	Not given
7.	28	Abhayrab	5	Not given
8.	28.	Abhayrab	5	Equirab
9.	12	Rabipur	5	Equirab
10.	28	Verorab	5	Equirab
11.	32	Verorab	5	Equirab
12.	28	Verorab	5	Equirab
13.	12	Abhayrab	5	Equirab
14	12	Rabipur	5	Equirab

Equirab & Pasteur Antirabies Serum = Equine Rabies Immunoglobulin (ERIG); Verorab and Abhayrab= Purified Verocell Rabies Vaccine (PVRV); Rabipur = Purified Chick Embryocell Rabies Vaccine (PCECV)

DISCUSSION

Human rabies is practically a 100% fatal disease and there are no contraindications to rabies PEP including pregnancy. In clinical practice, live viral vaccines are contraindicated in pregnancy for their possible teratogenic effect. But all modern rabies vaccines are inactivated by beta propiolactone (BPL) and are generally considered safe. Similarly the currently available ERIGs are highly purified (enzyme refined and heat treated) and are known to be safe in pregnancy. Besides, the potential benefit of anti-rabies immunization in pregnancy as a life saving treatment is clearly justified despite certain potential risks perceived by lay people and shared to some extent by treating physicians including some obstetricians. There are rare and occasional instances of medical termination of pregnancies performed following post exposure rabies immunization in pregnant women. This is mostly because of ignorance amongst medical profession and to some extent due to lack of adequate and concrete evidence of safety of rabies biologicals in pregnancy. Hence, may medical professionals including obstericians are reluctant to administer anti-rabies immunization to pregnant women.

In this study none of the pregnant women reported any adverse events to either the vaccine or ERIG. About one-fourth of them were in the gestational period of less than twenty weeks. vulnerable to potential teratogenic effects at the time of rabies exposure or administration of first dose of vaccine and ERIG. However, all had safe vaginal deliveries and both the mother and child were found to be healthy and normal. The children did not have any obvious congenital anomalies. In conclusion, PVRV & PCECV and ERIG were found to be safe in pregnancy.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Drs. Asif Muzzamil, Aditya, Anirudh Kohli, Ms. B. Archana, Ms. B.R. Deepti and. Ms. Deepti Nagesh for assisting in collecting data from the subjects/ respondents at their doorsteps.

**Declaration of Interest.** M.K.S., B.J.M. and D.H.A. have received travel and registration sponsorship for major regional, national and international rabies conferences and seminars by Indian and Global manufacturers of rabies immunobiologicals. M.K.S., B.J.M., and D.H.A. are office bearers of Rabies in Asia (RIA) foundation. B.J.M. and D.H.A. are office bearers of Association for Prevention and Control of Rabies in India (APCRI).

**Sponsorship.** This study was conducted solely from funds available at the Department of Community Medicine, KIMS and no financial assistance was obtained from any other sources for the conduct of this study.

References

1. Association for prevention and control of rabies in India. Assessing burden of rabies in India; WHO- APCRI national multi-centric rabies survey, a report. KIMS Bangalore 2004, (Available at www.apcri.org accessed on 9.11.2006).
2. Chutivongse S, Wilde H. Post exposure rabies vaccination during pregnancy: Experience with 21 patients. Vaccine 1989; 7(6):546-8.
3. Chabala S, Williams M, Amenta R Ognjan AF. Confirmed rabies exposure during pregnancy: Treatment with human rabies immunoglobulin and human diploid cell vaccine. Amj Med 1991; 91(4):23-4.
4. Figueroa Damian R, Ortiz Ibarra Fj, Arredondo Garcia JL. Post-exposure anti-rabies prophylaxis in pregnant women. Ginecol Obstet Mex 1994; 62:13-6, (Article in Spanish).
5. Chutivongse S, Wilde H, Benjavongkulchai M, Chomchey P, Punthawong S. Post exposure rabies vaccination during pregnancy: Effect on 202 women and their infants. Clin Infect Dis 1995;20(4):818-20.
6. Sudarshan MK, Madhusudana SN, Mahendra BJ, Ashwath Narayana DH, Jayakumary M, Gangaboraiah. Post exposure rabies prophylaxis with Purified Verocell Rabies Vaccine: A study of immunoresponse in pregnant women and their matched controls. Indian J Public Health 1999; 43(2):76-8.
7. Sudarshan MK, Madhusudana SN, Mahendra BJ. Post-exposure prophylaxis with Purified Verocell Rabies Vaccine during pregnancy safety and immunogencity. J Commun Dis 1999;31(4):229-36.
8. Sudarshan MK, Mahendra BJ, Madhusudana SN, Ashwath Narayana DH. Administration of purified verocell rabies vaccine (PVRV) during pregnancy-Results of a controlled clinical trial. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India 2002; 52(2):48-52.