Study	Experimental Mean SD T		Control Total Mean SD		Total Weight		Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI					
											:		
Babu 2022	6.30	0.7000	20	6.10	0.7000	22	14.1%	0.20 [-0.22; 0.62]					
Cheng 2017	7.70	2.1400	22	8.10	2.0100	18	4.5%	-0.40 [-1.69; 0.89]			-		
Hallsworth 2011	5.20	0.9000	11	6.40	3.3000	8	1.6%	-1.20 [-3.55; 1.15]	_				
Hallsworth 2015	8.80	3.8000	22	7.50	3.9000	12	1.2%	1.30 [-1.42; 4.02]			:		
Houghton 2017	6.60	1.6000	12	5.80	1.8000	12	4.2%	0.80 [-0.56; 2.16]					
Keating 2023	7.60	2.3000	7	7.60	1.4000	5	2.0%	0.00 [-2.10; 2.10]					
Pugh 2013	4.70	0.5700	6	5.70	0.4800	5	10.9%	-1.00 [-1.62; -0.38]		-	- [
Pugh 2014	4.70	0.5800	13	5.50	0.7200	8	11.4%	-0.80 [-1.39; -0.21]		-	⊢ il		
Reljic 2021	5.50	0.7000	29	5.60	1.1000	17	11.5%	-0.10 [-0.68; 0.48]			-		
Rezende 2016	6.60	1.1000	19	6.10	1.1000	21	10.0%	0.50 [-0.18; 1.18]					
Stine 2022	6.50	1.6000	18	8.70	4.9000	18	1.6%	-2.20 [-4.58; 0.18]					
Takahashi 2015	6.00	0.9000	31	6.20	1.4000	22	10.3%	-0.20 [-0.87; 0.47]		_			
Zelber-Sagi 2014	4.70	0.5000	33	4.70	0.6000	31	16.7%	0.00 [-0.27; 0.27]			=		
Total (95% CI)			243			199	100.0%	-0.18 [-0.55; 0.19]			•		
Prediction interval [-1.06; 0.71]										-			
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.1350$; $Chi^2 = 25.72$, $df = 12$ (P = 0.01); $I^2 = 53\%$								1	- -	1	I _	1	
									-4	-2	0	2	4