Dan Jurafsky and James Martin

Speech and Language Processing

Chapter 6:
Vector Semantics

Ludwig Wittgenstein

PI #43:

"The meaning of a word is its use in the language"

Let's define words by their usages

In particular, words are defined by their environments (the words around them)

Zellig Harris (1954): **If A and B have almost identical environments we say that they are synonyms**.

What does ongchoi mean?

Suppose you see these sentences:

- Ong choi is delicious **sautéed with garlic**.
- Ong choi is superb **over rice**
- Ong choi **leaves** with salty sauces

And you've also seen these:

- …spinach **sautéed with garlic over rice**
- Chard stems and **leaves** are **delicious**
- Collard greens and other **salty** leafy greens

Conclusion:

- Ongchoi is a leafy green like spinach, chard, or collard greens

Ong choi: *Ipomoea aquatica "Water Spinach"*

Yamaguchi, Wikimedia Commons, public domain

We'll build a new model of meaning focusing on similarity

Each word = a vector

- Not just "word" or word45.

Similar words are "nearby in space"



We define a word as a vector

Called an "embedding" because it's embedded into a space

The standard way to represent meaning in NLP

Fine-grained model of meaning for similarity

- NLP tasks like sentiment analysis
  - With words, requires **same** word to be in training and test
  - With embeddings: ok if **similar** words occurred!!!
- Question answering, conversational agents, etc

We'll introduce 2 kinds of embeddings

Tf-idf

- A common baseline model
- Sparse vectors
- Words are represented by a simple function of the counts of nearby words

Word2vec

- Dense vectors
- Representation is created by training a classifier to distinguish nearby and far-away words

Review: words, vectors, and co-occurrence matrices

Term-document matrix

Each document is represented by a vector of words

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	0	7	13
good	114	80	62	89
fool	36	58	1	4
wit	20	15	2	3

Visualizing document vectors



Vectors are the basis of information retrieval

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	0	7	13
good	114	80	62	89
fool	36	58	1	4
wit	20	15	2	3

Vectors are similar for the two comedies
Different than the history

Comedies have more fools and wit and fewer battles.

Words can be vectors too

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	0	7	13
good	114	80	62	89
fool	36	58	1	4
wit	20	15	2	3

battle is "the kind of word that occurs in Julius Caesar and Henry V"

fool is "the kind of word that occurs in comedies, especially Twelfth Night"

More common: word-word matrix (or "term-context matrix")

Two **words** are similar in meaning if their context vectors are similar

sugar, a sliced lemon, a tablespoonful of	**apricot**	jam, a pinch each of,
their enjoyment. Cautiously she sampled her first	**pineapple**	and another fruit whose taste she likened
well suited to programming on the digital	**computer**.	In finding the optimal R-stage policy from
for the purpose of gathering data and	**information**	necessary for the study authorized in the

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	…
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	


4
3
2
1
result
digital
[1,1]
information
[6,4]
1
2
3
4
5
6
data

Reminders from linear algebra

$$\text{dot-product}(\vec{v},\vec{w}) = \vec{v}\cdot\vec{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i w_i = v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + \ldots + v_N w_N$$

$$\text{vector length} \quad |\vec{v}| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i^2}$$

Cosine for computing similarity

$$\text{cosine}(\vec{v},\vec{w}) = \frac{\vec{v}\cdot\vec{w}}{|\vec{v}||\vec{w}|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i w_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i^2}\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^2}}$$

v_i is the count for word v in context i

w_i is the count for word w in context i.

$\vec{v}$ $\vec{w}$ $\vec{v}$ $\vec{w}$

Cos(v,w) is the cosine similarity of v and w

$$\vec{a}\cdot\vec{b} = |\vec{a}||\vec{b}|\cos\theta$$

$$\frac{\vec{a}\cdot\vec{b}}{|\vec{a}||\vec{b}|} = \cos\theta$$

Cosine as a similarity metric

-1: vectors point in opposite directions

+1: vectors point in same directions

0: vectors are orthogonal



Frequency is non-negative, so cosine range 0-1

$$\cos(\vec{v},\vec{w}) = \frac{\vec{v}\bullet\vec{w}}{|\vec{v}||\vec{w}|} = \frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|}\bullet\frac{\vec{w}}{|\vec{w}|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i w_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i^2}\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^2}}$$

	large	data	computer
apricot	1	0	0
digital	0	1	2
information	1	6	1

Which pair of words is more similar?

cosine(apricot,information) =

$$\frac{1+0+0}{\sqrt{1+0+0}\ \sqrt{1+36+1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{38}} = .16$$

cosine(digital,information) =

$$\frac{0+6+2}{\sqrt{0+1+4}\ \sqrt{1+36+1}} = \frac{8}{\sqrt{38}\sqrt{5}} = .58$$

cosine(apricot,digital) =

$$\frac{0+0+0}{\sqrt{1+0+0}\ \sqrt{0+1+4}} = 0$$

Visualizing cosines (well, angles)



But raw frequency is a bad representation

Frequency is clearly useful; if *sugar* appears a lot near *apricot*, that's useful information.

But overly frequent words like *the*, *it,* or *they* are not very informative about the context

Need a function that resolves this frequency paradox!

tf-idf: combine two factors

tf: term frequency. frequency count (usually log-transformed):

$$\mathrm{tf}_{t,d} = \begin{cases} 1 + \log_{10} \mathrm{count}(t,d) & \text{if } \mathrm{count}(t,d) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Idf: inverse document frequency: tf-

$$\mathrm{idf}_i = \log\left(\frac{N}{\mathrm{df}_i}\right)$$

Total # of docs in collection

# of docs that have word i

Words like "the" or "good" have very low idf

tf-idf value for word t in document d:

$$w_{t,d} = \mathrm{tf}_{t,d} \times \mathrm{idf}_t$$

Summary: tf-idf

Compare two words using tf-idf cosine to see if they are similar

Compare two documents

- Take the centroid of vectors of all the words in the document
- Centroid document vector is:

$$d = \frac{w_1 + w_2 + \ldots + w_k}{k}$$

An alternative to tf-idf

Ask whether a context word is **particularly informative** about the target word.

- Positive Pointwise Mutual Information (PPMI)

Pointwise Mutual Information

Pointwise mutual information:

Do events x and y co-occur more than if they were independent?

$$\text{PMI}(X,Y) = \log_2 \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)}$$

PMI between two words: (Church & Hanks 1989)

Do words x and y co-occur more than if they were independent?

$$\text{PMI}(word_1, word_2) = \log_2 \frac{P(word_1, word_2)}{P(word_1)P(word_2)}$$

Positive Pointwise Mutual Information

- PMI ranges from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$
- But the negative values are problematic
  - Things are co-occurring **less than** we expect by chance
  - Unreliable without enormous corpora
    - Imagine w1 and w2 whose probability is each 10^{-6}
    - Hard to be sure p(w1,w2) is significantly different than 10^{-12}
  - Plus it's not clear people are good at "unrelatedness"
- So we just replace negative PMI values by 0
- Positive PMI (PPMI) between word1 and word2:

$$\text{PPMI}(word_1, word_2) = \max\left(\log_2 \frac{P(word_1, word_2)}{P(word_1)P(word_2)}, 0\right)$$

Computing PPMI on a term-context matrix

Matrix F with W rows (words) and C columns (contexts)

f_{ij} is # of times w_i occurs in context c_j

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0
information	0	1	6	0	4	0

$$p_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{W}\sum_{j=1}^{C} f_{ij}} \qquad p_{i*} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{C} f_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{W}\sum_{j=1}^{C} f_{ij}} \qquad p_{*j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{W} f_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{W}\sum_{j=1}^{C} f_{ij}}$$

$$pmi_{ij} = \log_2 \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{i*}p_{*j}} \qquad ppmi_{ij} = \begin{cases} pmi_{ij} & \text{if } pmi_{ij} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$p_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{W}\sum_{j=1}^{C} f_{ij}}$$

Count(w,context)

	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar
apricot	0	0	1	0	1
pineapple	0	0	1	0	1
digital	2	1	0	1	0
information	1	6	0	4	0

p(w=information,c=data) = 6/19 = .32

p(w=information) = 11/19 = .58

p(c=data) = 7/19 = .37

$$p(w_i) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{C} f_{ij}}{N} \qquad p(c_j) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{W} f_{ij}}{N}$$

p(w,context)

	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	**p(w)**
apricot	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.11
pineapple	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.11
digital	0.11	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.21
information	0.05	0.32	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.58
p(context)	0.16	0.37	0.11	0.26	0.11	

$$pmi_{ij} = \log_2 \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{i*}p_{*j}}$$

	p(w,context)					p(w)
	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.11
pineapple	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.11
digital	0.11	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.21
information	0.05	0.32	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.58
p(context)	0.16	0.37	0.11	0.26	0.11	

pmi(information,data) = $\log_2$ (.32 / (.37*.58)) = .58

(.57 using full precision)

PPMI(w,context)

	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar
apricot	-	-	2.25	-	2.25
pineapple	-	-	2.25	-	2.25
digital	1.66	0.00	-	0.00	-
information	0.00	0.57	-	0.47	-

Weighting PMI

PMI is biased toward infrequent events

- Very rare words have very high PMI values

Two solutions:

- Give rare words slightly higher probabilities
- Use add-one smoothing (which has a similar effect)

Weighting PMI: Giving rare context words slightly higher probability

Raise the context probabilities to $\alpha = 0.75$:

$$\text{PPMI}_\alpha(w,c) = \max(\log_2 \frac{P(w,c)}{P(w)P_\alpha(c)}, 0)$$

$$P_\alpha(c) = \frac{count(c)^\alpha}{\sum_c count(c)^\alpha}$$

This helps because $P_\alpha(c) > P(c)$ for rare *c*

Consider two events, P(a) = .99 and P(b)=.01

$$P_\alpha(a) = \frac{.99^{.75}}{.99^{.75}+.01^{.75}} = .97 \quad P_\alpha(b) = \frac{.01^{.75}}{.01^{.75}+.01^{.75}} = .03$$

Use Laplace (add-1) smoothing

Add-2 Smoothed Count(w,context)

	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar
apricot	2	2	3	2	3
pineapple	2	2	3	2	3
digital	4	3	2	3	2
information	3	8	2	6	2

p(w,context) [add-2]

	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	**p(w)**
apricot	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.20
pineapple	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.20
digital	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.24
information	0.05	0.14	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.36
p(context)	0.19	0.25	0.17	0.22	0.17	

PPMI versus add-2 smoothed PPMI

PPMI(w,context)

	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar
apricot	-	-	2.25	-	2.25
pineapple	-	-	2.25	-	2.25
digital	1.66	0.00	-	0.00	-
information	0.00	0.57	-	0.47	-

PPMI(w,context) [add-2]

	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar
apricot	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.56
pineapple	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.56
digital	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
information	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.37	0.00

Summary for Part I

- Survey of Lexical Semantics
- Idea of Embeddings: Represent a word as a function of its distribution with other words
- Tf-idf
- Cosines
- PPMI

- Next lecture: sparse embeddings, word2vec