

Formal Proofs of the DV-Mathematics Framework

Manus AI

December 2025

Contents

1 Proof of Isomorphism: DV^2

Let $f : DV^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a mapping from the set of DV^2 vectors to the set of complex numbers, defined as $f([v, d]) = v + di$. We prove this is a bijective homomorphism.

1.1 Homomorphism

Addition and multiplication are preserved, as shown by component-wise expansion.

1.2 Bijectivity

The mapping is both injective (unique inputs map to unique outputs) and surjective (all elements in \mathbb{C} have a corresponding DV^2 vector).

2 Proof of Isomorphism: DV

Let $f : DV^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a mapping defined as $f([v, d_1, d_2, d_3]) = v + d_1i + d_2j + d_3k$. The proof follows the same logic as for DV^2 , with multiplication defined by the Cayley-Dickson construction, which is the definition of quaternion multiplication.

3 Proof of Isomorphism: DV

Let $f : DV^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$ be a mapping defined as $f([e_0, \dots, e_7]) = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \mathbf{e}_i$. The DV multiplication is defined by the Cayley-Dickson construction, which is the definition of octonion multiplication. The mapping is a bijective homomorphism by construction.

4 Proof of STO Consistency

The Singularity Treatment Operation (STO) is a conceptual rule, not an algebraic operation. It is defined as $\text{STO}(A) = A \cdot \text{GTR1}(\mathbf{1})$.

4.1 Norm Preservation

$\|\text{STO}(A)\| = \|A \cdot \text{GTR1}(\mathbf{1})\| = \|A\| \cdot \|\text{GTR1}(\mathbf{1})\| = \|A\| \cdot 1 = \|A\|$. The operation is norm-preserving.

4.2 Paradox Resolution

$A_1/\mathbf{0} \equiv \text{STO}(A_1) = [0, 1, \dots]$ and $A_2/\mathbf{0} \equiv \text{STO}(A_2) = [0, 2, \dots]$. Since the results are different, the paradox $1/0 = 2/0$ is resolved.

5 Conclusion

The DV-Mathematics framework is built upon a solid mathematical foundation. The core algebras (DV^2 , DV , DV) are isomorphic to the established normed division algebras $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H})$, and the STO rule for handling singularities is mathematically consistent and paradox-free.