

# Brute force and backtracking

...

If all you have is a hammer, everything looks like a nail

# Brute force - introduction

Example: I want to find all subsets of a set which sum is 42

I can:

- make a list of all subsets
- for each subset, calculate the sum and check if it is 42

*Search space:*      all subsets of the array

*Test:*              the sum is 42



*A brute force search has a  
search space and a test*

# Brute force - construct the search space

The python module `itertools` provides useful tools to construct the search space.

See <https://docs.python.org/3/library/itertools.html>

```
>>> list(product("ab", "cd"))
[('a', 'c'), ('a', 'd'), ('b', 'c'), ('b', 'd')]
>>> list(permutations("abc", 2))
[('a', 'b'), ('a', 'c'), ('b', 'a'), ('b', 'c'), ('c', 'a'), ('c', 'b')]
>>> list(combinations("abc", 2))
[('a', 'b'), ('a', 'c'), ('b', 'c')]
>>> list(combinations_with_replacement("abc", 2))
[('a', 'a'), ('a', 'b'), ('a', 'c'), ('b', 'b'), ('b', 'c'), ('c', 'c')]
```

*Note:* we use `list()` because the return values are *lazy-evaluated* iterables

→ these are very useful to save memory

# Brute force - let's solve our example

```
from itertools import combinations

arr = [int(n) for n in input().split()]

gen = (sub for size in range(len(arr))
        for sub in combinations(arr, size))

for sub in gen:
    if sum(sub) == 42:
        print(sub)
```

IN:

10 12 25 30 17 8 14 9 6

OUT:

(12, 30)

(25, 17)

(25, 8, 9)

(10, 12, 14, 6)

(10, 17, 9, 6)

gen is Python magic called a *generator expression*.

Ask me if you want to know more.

# Why not to use brute force

There is a problem called *combinatorial explosion*

Cf [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Combinatorial\\_explosion](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Combinatorial_explosion)

Tl; dr: the search space is often a lot bigger than the input size (e.g exponential)

Previous example: for an array of size  $n$ , there are  $2^n - 1$  non-empty subsets...

# Why ~~not~~ to use brute force

- Brute force is a good way to **test** if more complicated algorithms are correct (you compare their results on small sets)
- Sometimes you just don't know a better algorithm

But, can't we reduce the search space a little bit?

→ often you can use *backtracking*

# Backtracking - introduction

We need to reduce the *search space*

Example: sudoku

- Try to fill the sudoku
  - Everytime you encounter a conflict, change the last number
  - If no number is ok, erase and change the previous one
- etc

5	3	4	6	7	8	9	1	2
6	2	7	1	9	5	3	4	8
1	9	8	3	4	2	5	6	7
8	5	9	1	6				3
4			8		3			1
7				2				6
	6					2	8	
			4	1	9			5
				8			7	9

# Backtracking - concepts

The idea of backtracking is to:

- build the elements of the search space incrementally
- eliminate wrong partial solutions → and therefore all solutions that contain them

You can think of the search space as a *tree*, you will often use a *recursive function*

E.g for sudoku, each level of the tree corresponds to a empty cell in the grid

→ the size of the search space is  $9^n$  but many cases can be discarded



# Implementation of a backtracking sudoku solver in Python

```
def is_valid(grid, i, j, val):
    line = grid[i]
    column = [grid[k][j] for k in range(9)]
    square = [grid[3 * (i // 3) + k][3 * (j // 3) + l]
               for k in range(3) for l in range(3)]
    return not (val in line or val in column or val in square)

def backtracking(grid, i, j):
    if i == 9: return True
    nexti, nextj = (i if j < 8 else i + 1), (j + 1) % 9
    if grid[i][j] != 0:
        return backtracking(grid, nexti, nextj)
    for val in range(1, 10):
        if is_valid(grid, i, j, val):
            grid[i][j] = val
            if backtracking(grid, nexti, nextj): return True
            grid[i][j] = 0
    return False
```

```
grid = [list(map(int, input().split()))
         for _ in range(9)]

if not backtracking(grid, 0, 0):
    print("Impossible")
else:
    print("\n".join(" ".join(
        map(str, grid[i]))
        for i in range(9)))
```

# Implementation of a backtracking sudoku solver in Python

IN:

5	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	1	9	5	0	0	0
0	9	8	0	0	0	0	6	0
8	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	3
4	0	0	8	0	3	0	0	1
7	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6
0	6	0	0	0	0	2	8	0
0	0	0	4	1	9	0	0	5
0	0	0	0	8	0	0	7	9

OUT:

5	3	4	6	7	8	9	1	2
6	7	2	1	9	5	3	4	8
1	9	8	3	4	2	5	6	7
8	5	9	7	6	1	4	2	3
4	2	6	8	5	3	7	9	1
7	1	3	9	2	4	8	5	6
9	6	1	5	3	7	2	8	4
2	8	7	4	1	9	6	3	5
3	4	5	2	8	6	1	7	9

Partial candidates explored: 6428

Total size of the workspace:  $8,862,938,12 \times 10^{21}$

# Credits

Slides: Louis Sugy

Sudoku sample: Wikipedia