@name NgModule.id Set to module.id anti-pattern @category compiler @short-Description Setting NgModule.id to module.id is a common anti-pattern

@description Using module.id as an NgModule id is a common anti-pattern and is likely not serving a useful purpose in your code.

NgModules can be declared with an id:

```
@NgModule({
   id: 'my_module'
})
export class MyModule {}
```

Declaring an id makes the NgModule available for lookup via the getNgModuleById() operation. This functionality is rarely used, mainly in very specific bundling scenarios when lazily loading NgModules without obtaining direct references to them. In most Angular code, ES dynamic import() (import('./path/to/module')) should be used instead, as this provides a direct reference to the NgModule being loaded without the need for a global registration side-effect.

If you are not using getNgModuleById, you do not need to provide ids for your NgModules. Providing one has a significant drawback: it makes the NgModule non-tree-shakable, which can have an impact on your bundle size.

In particular, the pattern of specifying id: module.id results from a misunderstanding of <code>QNgModule.id</code>. In earlier versions of Angular, it was sometimes necessary to include the property moduleId: module.id in <code>QComponent</code> metadata.

Using module.id for @NgModule.id likely results from confusion between @Component.moduleId and @NgModule.id. module.id would not typically be useful for getNgModuleById() operations as the id needs to be a well-known string, and module.id is usually opaque to consumers.

@debugging You can remove the id: module.id declaration from your NgModules. The compiler ignores this declaration and issues this warning instead.