sanitizer

The tracking issues for this feature are:

- #39699.
- #89653

This feature allows for use of one of following sanitizers:

- AddressSanitizer a fast memory error detector.
- <u>ControlFlowIntegrity</u> LLVM Control Flow Integrity (CFI) provides forward-edge control flow protection.
- <u>HWAddressSanitizer</u> a memory error detector similar to AddressSanitizer, but based on partial hardware assistance.
- <u>LeakSanitizer</u> a run-time memory leak detector.
- MemorySanitizer a detector of uninitialized reads.
- [MemTagSanitizer][clang-memtag] fast memory error detector based on Armv8.5-A Memory Tagging Extension.
- <u>ThreadSanitizer</u> a fast data race detector.

To enable a sanitizer compile with -Zsanitizer=address, -Zsanitizer=cfi, -Zsanitizer=hwaddress, -Zsanitizer=leak, -Zsanitizer=memory, -Zsanitizer=memtag, or -Zsanitizer=thread.

AddressSanitizer

AddressSanitizer is a memory error detector. It can detect the following types of bugs:

- Out of bound accesses to heap, stack and globals
- Use after free
- Use after return (runtime flag ASAN OPTIONS=detect stack use after return=1)
- Use after scope
- · Double-free, invalid free
- Memory leaks

The memory leak detection is enabled by default on Linux, and can be enabled with runtime flag ASAN OPTIONS=detect leaks=1 on macOS.

AddressSanitizer is supported on the following targets:

- aarch64-apple-darwin
- aarch64-fuchsia
- aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu
- x86_64-apple-darwin
- x86 64-fuchsia
- x86_64-unknown-freebsd
- x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu

AddressSanitizer works with non-instrumented code although it will impede its ability to detect some bugs. It is not expected to produce false positive reports.

Examples

Stack buffer overflow:

```
fn main() {
  let xs = [0, 1, 2, 3];
  let y = unsafe { *xs.as ptr().offset(4) };
$ export RUSTFLAGS=-Zsanitizer=address RUSTDOCFLAGS=-Zsanitizer=address
$ cargo run -Zbuild-std --target x86 64-unknown-linux-gnu
==37882==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: stack-buffer-overflow on address 0x7ffe400e6250 at
pc 0x5609a841fb20 bp 0x7ffe400e6210 sp 0x7ffe400e6208
READ of size 4 at 0x7ffe400e6250 thread T0
   #0 0x5609a841fb1f in example::main::h628ffc6626ed85b2 /.../src/main.rs:3:23
Address 0x7ffe400e6250 is located in stack of thread TO at offset 48 in frame
   #0 0x5609a841f8af in example::main::h628ffc6626ed85b2 /.../src/main.rs:1
 This frame has 1 object(s):
  [32, 48) 'xs' (line 2) <== Memory access at offset 48 overflows this variable
HINT: this may be a false positive if your program uses some custom stack unwind
mechanism, swapcontext or vfork
    (longjmp and C++ exceptions *are* supported)
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: stack-buffer-overflow /.../src/main.rs:3:23 in
example::main::h628ffc6626ed85b2
Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
 =>0x100048014c40: 00 00 00 00 f1 f1 f1 f1 00 00[f3]f3 00 00 00 00
 0x100048014c70: f1 f1 f1 f1 00 00 f3 f3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
 0x100048014c80: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 f1 f1 f1 f1
 0x100048014c90: 00 00 f3 f3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
 Addressable:
                  0.0
 Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
 Heap left redzone:
                   fa
 Freed heap region:
 Stack left redzone:
                   f1
 Stack mid redzone:
                   f2
 Stack right redzone:
 Stack after return:
                   f5
 Stack use after scope: f8
```

Global redzone:

Array cookie:

Global init order: Poisoned by user:

Container overflow:

f9

£7

fc

```
Intra object redzone: bb

ASan internal: fe

Left alloca redzone: ca

Right alloca redzone: cb

Shadow gap: cc

==37882==ABORTING
```

Use of a stack object after its scope has already ended:

```
static mut P: *mut usize = std::ptr::null_mut();

fn main() {
    unsafe {
        let mut x = 0;
        P = &mut x;
     }
        std::ptr::write_volatile(P, 123);
    }
}
```

```
$ export RUSTFLAGS=-Zsanitizer=address RUSTDOCFLAGS=-Zsanitizer=address
$ cargo run -Zbuild-std --target x86 64-unknown-linux-gnu
_____
==39249==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: stack-use-after-scope on address 0x7ffc7ed3e1a0 at
pc 0x55c98b262a8e bp 0x7ffc7ed3e050 sp 0x7ffc7ed3e048
WRITE of size 8 at 0x7ffc7ed3e1a0 thread T0
   #0 0x55c98b262a8d in core::ptr::write volatile::he21f1df5a82f329a
/.../src/rust/src/libcore/ptr/mod.rs:1048:5
   #1 0x55c98b262cd2 in example::main::h628ffc6626ed85b2 /.../src/main.rs:9:9
   . . .
Address 0x7ffc7ed3e1a0 is located in stack of thread TO at offset 32 in frame
   #0 0x55c98b262bdf in example::main::h628ffc6626ed85b2 /.../src/main.rs:3
 This frame has 1 object(s):
   [32, 40) 'x' (line 6) <== Memory access at offset 32 is inside this variable
HINT: this may be a false positive if your program uses some custom stack unwind
mechanism, swapcontext or vfork
     (longjmp and C++ exceptions *are* supported)
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: stack-use-after-scope
/.../src/rust/src/libcore/ptr/mod.rs:1048:5 in
core::ptr::write_volatile::he21f1df5a82f329a
Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
 0x10000fd9fc00: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 f1 f1 f1 f1
 0x10000fd9fc10: f8 f8 f3 f3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
 =>0x10000fd9fc30: f1 f1 f1 f1[f8]f3 f3 f3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
```

```
0x10000fd9fc40: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 f1 f1 f1 f1
 0x10000fd9fc50: 00 00 f3 f3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
 0x10000fd9fc60: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 f1 f1 f1 f1 00 00 f3 f3
 0x10000fd9fc80: 00 00 00 01 f1 f1 f1 f1 00 00 f3 f3 00 00 00 00
Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
 Addressable:
                    00
 Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
 Heap left redzone: fa
 Freed heap region:
                     fd
 Stack left redzone:
 Stack mid redzone:
 Stack right redzone: f3
Stack after return: f5
 Stack use after scope: f8
 Global redzone: f9
 Global init order:
                     f6
 Poisoned by user:
 Container overflow:
 Array cookie:
 Intra object redzone: bb
 ASan internal: fe
 Left alloca redzone: ca
 Right alloca redzone: cb
 Shadow gap:
==39249==ABORTING
```

ControlFlowIntegrity

The LLVM Control Flow Integrity (CFI) support in the Rust compiler initially provides forward-edge control flow protection for Rust-compiled code only by aggregating function pointers in groups identified by their number of arguments.

Forward-edge control flow protection for C or C++ and Rust -compiled code "mixed binaries" (i.e., for when C or C++ and Rust -compiled code share the same virtual address space) will be provided in later work by defining and using compatible type identifiers (see Type metadata in the design document in the tracking issue #89653).

LLVM CFI can be enabled with -Zsanitizer=cfi and requires LTO (i.e., -Clto).

Example

```
#![feature(naked_functions)]

use std::arch::asm;
use std::mem;

fn add_one(x: i32) -> i32 {
    x + 1
}
```

```
#[naked]
pub extern "C" fn add two(x: i32) {
   // x + 2 preceded by a landing pad/nop block
       asm!(
             nop
             nop
             nop
             nop
             nop
             nop
             nop
             nop
             nop
            lea rax, [rdi+2]
            ret
           options (noreturn)
       );
   }
}
fn do_twice(f: fn(i32) -> i32, arg: i32) -> i32 {
   f(arg) + f(arg)
fn main() {
   let answer = do_twice(add_one, 5);
   println!("The answer is: {answer}");
   println!("With CFI enabled, you should not see the next answer");
   let f: fn(i32) -> i32 = unsafe {
       // Offsets 0-8 make it land in the landing pad/nop block, and offsets 1-8
are
       // invalid branch/call destinations (i.e., within the body of the function).
       mem::transmute::<*const u8, fn(i32) -> i32>((add two as *const
u8).offset(5))
   };
   let next_answer = do_twice(f, 5);
   println!("The next answer is: {next answer}");
```

Fig. 1. Modified example from the <u>Advanced Functions and Closures</u> chapter of the <u>The Rust Programming Language</u> book.

```
$ rustc rust_cfi.rs -o rust_cfi
$ ./rust_cfi
The answer is: 12
```

```
With CFI enabled, you should not see the next answer
The next answer is: 14
$
```

Fig. 2. Build and execution of the modified example with LLVM CFI disabled.

```
$ rustc -Clto -Zsanitizer=cfi rust_cfi.rs -o rust_cfi
$ ./rust_cfi
The answer is: 12
With CFI enabled, you should not see the next answer
Illegal instruction
$
```

Fig. 3. Build and execution of the modified example with LLVM CFI enabled.

When LLVM CFI is enabled, if there are any attempts to change/hijack control flow using an indirect branch/call to an invalid destination, the execution is terminated (see Fig. 3).

```
use std::mem;
fn add one(x: i32) -> i32 {
   x + 1
fn add_two(x: i32, _y: i32) -> i32 {
   x + 2
fn do_twice(f: fn(i32) -> i32, arg: i32) -> i32 {
   f(arg) + f(arg)
fn main() {
   let answer = do_twice(add_one, 5);
   println!("The answer is: {answer}");
   println!("With CFI enabled, you should not see the next answer");
    let f: fn(i32) \rightarrow i32 =
       unsafe { mem::transmute::<*const u8, fn(i32) -> i32>(add_two as *const u8)
};
   let next answer = do twice(f, 5);
   println!("The next answer is: {next answer}");
```

Fig. 4. Another modified example from the <u>Advanced Functions and Closures</u> chapter of the <u>The Rust Programming</u> <u>Language</u> book.

```
$ rustc rust_cfi.rs -o rust_cfi
$ ./rust_cfi
The answer is: 12
With CFI enabled, you should not see the next answer
The next answer is: 14
$
```

Fig. 5. Build and execution of the modified example with LLVM CFI disabled.

```
$ rustc -Clto -Zsanitizer=cfi rust_cfi.rs -o rust_cfi
$ ./rust_cfi
The answer is: 12
With CFI enabled, you should not see the next answer
Illegal instruction
$
```

Fig. 6. Build and execution of the modified example with LLVM CFI enabled.

When LLVM CFI is enabled, if there are any attempts to change/hijack control flow using an indirect branch/call to a function with different number of arguments than intended/passed in the call/branch site, the execution is also terminated (see Fig. 6).

Forward-edge control flow protection not only by aggregating function pointers in groups identified by their number of arguments, but also their argument types, will also be provided in later work by defining and using compatible type identifiers (see Type metadata in the design document in the tracking issue #89653).

HWAddressSanitizer

HWAddressSanitizer is a newer variant of AddressSanitizer that consumes much less memory.

HWAddressSanitizer is supported on the following targets:

- aarch64-linux-android
- aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu

HWAddressSanitizer requires tagged-globals target feature to instrument globals. To enable this target feature compile with -C target-feature=+tagged-globals

Example

Heap buffer overflow:

```
fn main() {
   let xs = vec![0, 1, 2, 3];
   let _y = unsafe { *xs.as_ptr().offset(4) };
}
```

```
$ rustc main.rs -Zsanitizer=hwaddress -C target-feature=+tagged-globals -C
linker=aarch64-linux-gnu-gcc -C link-arg=-fuse-ld=lld --target
```

```
==241==ERROR: HWAddressSanitizer: tag-mismatch on address 0xefdeffff0050 at pc
READ of size 4 at 0xefdeffff0050 tags: 2c/00 (ptr/mem) in thread T0
      #0 0xaaaae0ae4a94 (/.../main+0x54a94)
[0xefdeffff0040,0xefdeffff0060) is a small allocated heap chunk; size: 32 offset: 16
Oxefdeffff0050 is located 0 bytes to the right of 16-byte region
[0xefdeffff0040,0xefdeffff0050)
allocated here:
      #0 0xaaaae0acb80c (/.../main+0x3b80c)
Thread: T0 0xeffe00002000 stack: [0xffffc28ad000,0xffffc30ad000) sz: 8388608 tls:
[0xffffaa10a020.0xffffaa10a7d0)
Memory tags around the buggy address (one tag corresponds to 16 bytes):
   0xfefcefffef90: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
                                                                                   0.0
                                                                                          0.0
                                                                                                 00
                                                                                                        0.0
                                                                                                               0.0
                                                                                                                     0.0
                                                                                                                            0.0
   00 00
    \texttt{Oxfefcefffefc0:} \ \ 00 \quad \ \ 00 \quad \ 00
   =>0xfefceffff000: d7 d7 05 00 2c [00] 00 00 00
                                                                                                00 00 00 00
                                                                                                                            0.0
                                                                                                                                   0.0
   0xfefceffff070: 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
                                                                                                 00
                                                                                                        00 00 00
                                                                                                                            0.0
   Tags for short granules around the buggy address (one tag corresponds to 16 bytes):
   Oxfefcefffeff0: .. .. .. .. .. .. .. ..
                                                                                                       . . . . .
See https://clang.llvm.org/docs/HardwareAssistedAddressSanitizerDesign.html#short-
granules for a description of short granule tags
Registers where the failure occurred (pc 0xaaaae0ae4a98):
      x0 2c00efdeffff0050 x1 00000000000004 x2 00000000000004 x3
0000000000000000
      x4 0000fffefc30ac37 x5 0000000000005d x6 00000ffffc30ac37 x7
0000efff00000000
      x8 2c00efdeffff0050 x9 0200efff00000000 x10 000000000000000 x11
0200efff00000000
      x12 0200effe00000310 x13 0200effe00000310 x14 00000000000000000 x15
5d00ffffc30ac360
```

```
x16 0000aaaae0ad062c x17 00000000000000 x18 0000000000000 x19

0000ffffc30ac658
    x20 4e00ffffc30ac6e0 x21 0000aaaae0ac5e10 x22 00000000000000 x23

0000000000000000
    x24 0000000000000 x25 00000000000000 x26 0000000000000 x27

0000000000000000 x29 0000ffffc30ac5a0 x30 0000aaaae0ae4a98

SUMMARY: HWAddressSanitizer: tag-mismatch (/.../main+0x54a94)
```

LeakSanitizer

LeakSanitizer is run-time memory leak detector.

LeakSanitizer is supported on the following targets:

- aarch64-apple-darwin
- aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu
- x86 64-apple-darwin
- x86 64-unknown-linux-gnu

MemorySanitizer

MemorySanitizer is detector of uninitialized reads.

MemorySanitizer is supported on the following targets:

- aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu
- x86 64-unknown-freebsd
- x86 64-unknown-linux-gnu

MemorySanitizer requires all program code to be instrumented. C/C++ dependencies need to be recompiled using Clang with -fsanitize=memory option. Failing to achieve that will result in false positive reports.

Example

Detecting the use of uninitialized memory. The <code>-Zbuild-std</code> flag rebuilds and instruments the standard library, and is strictly necessary for the correct operation of the tool. The <code>-Zsanitizer-memory-track-origins</code> enables tracking of the origins of uninitialized memory:

```
use std::mem::MaybeUninit;

fn main() {
    unsafe {
        let a = MaybeUninit::<[usize; 4]>::uninit();
        let a = a.assume_init();
        println!("{}", a[2]);
    }
}
```

```
$ export \
 RUSTFLAGS='-Zsanitizer=memory -Zsanitizer-memory-track-origins'
 RUSTDOCFLAGS='-Zsanitizer=memory -Zsanitizer-memory-track-origins'
$ cargo clean
$ cargo run -Zbuild-std --target x86 64-unknown-linux-gnu
==9416==WARNING: MemorySanitizer: use-of-uninitialized-value
    #0 0x560c04f7488a in core::fmt::num::imp::fmt u64::haa293b0b098501ca
$RUST/build/x86 64-unknown-linux-
gnu/stage1/lib/rustlib/src/rust/src/libcore/fmt/num.rs:202:16
 Uninitialized value was stored to memory at
    #0 0x560c04ae898a in __msan_memcpy.part.0 $RUST/src/llvm-project/compiler-
rt/lib/msan/msan_interceptors.cc:1558:3
    #1 0x560c04b2bf88 in memory::main::hd2333c1899d997f5 $CWD/src/main.rs:6:16
  Uninitialized value was created by an allocation of 'a' in the stack frame of
function ' ZN6memory4main17hd2333c1899d997f5E'
    #0 0x560c04b2bc50 in memory::main::hd2333c1899d997f5 $CWD/src/main.rs:3
```

MemTagSanitizer

MemTagSanitizer detects a similar class of errors as AddressSanitizer and HardwareAddressSanitizer, but with lower overhead suitable for use as hardening for production binaries.

MemTagSanitizer is supported on the following targets:

- aarch64-linux-android
- aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu

MemTagSanitizer requires hardware support and the <code>mte</code> target feature. To enable this target feature compile with <code>-C target-feature="+mte"</code>.

More information can be found in the associated LLVM documentation.

ThreadSanitizer

ThreadSanitizer is a data race detection tool. It is supported on the following targets:

- aarch64-apple-darwin
- aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu
- x86 64-apple-darwin
- x86 64-unknown-freebsd
- x86 64-unknown-linux-gnu

To work correctly ThreadSanitizer needs to be "aware" of all synchronization operations in a program. It generally achieves that through combination of library interception (for example synchronization performed through pthread_mutex_lock / pthread_mutex_unlock) and compile time instrumentation (e.g. atomic operations). Using it without instrumenting all the program code can lead to false positive reports.

ThreadSanitizer does not support atomic fences std::sync::atomic::fence , nor synchronization performed using inline assembly code.

Example

```
static mut A: usize = 0;

fn main() {
    let t = std::thread::spawn(|| {
        unsafe { A += 1 };
    });
    unsafe { A += 1 };

    t.join().unwrap();
}
```

Instrumentation of external dependencies and std

The sanitizers to varying degrees work correctly with partially instrumented code. On the one extreme is LeakSanitizer that doesn't use any compile time instrumentation, on the other is MemorySanitizer that requires that all program code to be instrumented (failing to achieve that will inevitably result in false positives).

It is strongly recommended to combine sanitizers with recompiled and instrumented standard library, for example using cargo_-Zbuild-std_functionality.

Build scripts and procedural macros

Use of sanitizers together with build scripts and procedural macros is technically possible, but in almost all cases it would be best avoided. This is especially true for procedural macros which would require an instrumented version of rustc.

In more practical terms when using cargo always remember to pass --target flag, so that rustflags will not be applied to build scripts and procedural macros.

Symbolizing the Reports

Sanitizers produce symbolized stacktraces when Ilvm-symbolizer binary is in PATH .

Additional Information

- Sanitizers project page
- AddressSanitizer in Clang
- <u>ControlFlowIntegrity in Clang</u>
- <u>HWAddressSanitizer in Clang</u>
- <u>LeakSanitizer in Clang</u>
- MemorySanitizer in Clang
- ThreadSanitizer in Clang