Common tools for writing lints

You may need following tooltips to catch up with common operations.

- Common tools for writing lints
 - Retrieving the type of an expression
 - Checking if an expr is calling a specific method
 - Checking for a specific type
 - Checking if a type implements a specific trait
 - Checking if a type defines a specific method
 - Dealing with macros

Useful Rustc dev guide links:

- Stages of compilation
- <u>Diagnostic items</u>
- Type checking
- Ty module

Retrieving the type of an expression

Sometimes you may want to retrieve the type Ty of an expression Expr, for example to answer following questions:

- which type does this expression correspond to (using its <u>TyKind</u>)?
- is it a sized type?
- is it a primitive type?
- does it implement a trait?

This operation is performed using the $\underbrace{\text{expr} \text{ty}()}$ method from the $\underbrace{\text{TypeckResults}}$ struct, that gives you access to the underlying structure $\underbrace{\text{Ty}}$.

Example of use:

```
impl LateLintPass<'_> for MyStructLint {
    fn check_expr(&mut self, cx: &LateContext<'_>, expr: &Expr<'_>) {
        // Get type of `expr`
        let ty = cx.typeck_results().expr_ty(expr);
        // Match its kind to enter its type
        match ty.kind {
            ty::Adt(adt_def, _) if adt_def.is_struct() => println!("Our `expr` is a struct!"),
            _ => ()
        }
    }
}
```

Similarly in TypeckResults methods, you have the pat ty() method to retrieve a type from a pattern.

Two noticeable items here:

• cx is the lint context <u>LateContext</u>. The two most useful data structures in this context are tcx and the TypeckResults returned by LateContext::typeck results, allowing us to jump to type

definitions and other compilation stages such as HIR.

• typeck_results 's return value is <u>TypeckResults</u> and is created by type checking step, it includes useful information such as types of expressions, ways to resolve methods and so on.

Checking if an expr is calling a specific method

Starting with an expr , you can check whether it is calling a specific method some method :

Checking for a specific type

There are three ways to check if an expression type is a specific type we want to check for. All of these methods only check for the base type, generic arguments have to be checked separately.

Prefer using diagnostic items and lang items where possible.

Checking if a type implements a specific trait

There are three ways to do this, depending on if the target trait has a diagnostic item, lang item or neither.

```
use clippy_utils::{implements_trait, is_trait_method, match_trait_method, paths};
use rustc span::symbol::sym;
impl LateLintPass<' > for MyStructLint {
    fn check expr(&mut self, cx: &LateContext<' >, expr: &Expr<' >) {
       \ensuremath{//} 1. Using diagnostic items with the expression
        // we use `is trait method` function from Clippy's utils
        if is trait method(cx, expr, sym::Iterator) {
            // method call in `expr` belongs to `Iterator` trait
        // 2. Using lang items with the expression type
        let ty = cx.typeck results().expr ty(expr);
        if cx.tcx.lang items()
            // we are looking for the `DefId` of `Drop` trait in lang items
            .drop trait()
            // then we use it with our type 'ty' by calling 'implements trait' from
Clippy's utils
            .map or(false, |id| implements trait(cx, ty, id, &[])) {
               // `expr` implements `Drop` trait
        // 3. Using the type path with the expression
        // we use `match trait method` function from Clippy's utils
        // (This method should be avoided if possible)
        if match trait method(cx, expr, &paths::INTO) {
           // `expr` implements `Into` trait
   }
}
```

Prefer using diagnostic and lang items, if the target trait has one.

We access lang items through the type context tox. tox is of type \underline{tyCtxt} and is defined in the rusto middle crate. A list of defined paths for Clippy can be found in $\underline{paths.rs}$

Checking if a type defines a specific method

To check if our type defines a method called <code>some_method</code>:

```
use clippy_utils::{is_type_diagnostic_item, return_ty};
impl<'tcx> LateLintPass<'tcx> for MyTypeImpl {
   fn check impl item(&mut self, cx: &LateContext<'tcx>, impl item: &'tcx
ImplItem<' >) {
        if chain! {
            // Check if item is a method/function
           if let ImplItemKind::Fn(ref signature, _) = impl_item.kind;
            // Check the method is named `some_method`
            if impl item.ident.name == sym! (some method);
            // We can also check it has a parameter `self
            if signature.decl.implicit self.has implicit self();
            // We can go further and even check if its return type is `String`
            if is type diagnostic item(cx, return ty(cx, impl item.hir id), sym!
(string_type));
           then {
            }
       }
   }
}
```

Dealing with macros and expansions

Keep in mind that macros are already expanded and desugaring is already applied to the code representation that you are working with in Clippy. This unfortunately causes a lot of false positives because macro expansions are "invisible" unless you actively check for them. Generally speaking, code with macro expansions should just be ignored by Clippy because that code can be dynamic in ways that are difficult or impossible to see. Use the following functions to deal with macros:

 span.from_expansion(): detects if a span is from macro expansion or desugaring. Checking this is a common first step in a lint.

```
if expr.span.from_expansion() {
    // just forget it
    return;
}
```

span.ctxt(): the span's context represents whether it is from expansion, and if so, which macro call
expanded it. It is sometimes useful to check if the context of two spans are equal.

```
// expands to `1 + 0`, but don't lint
1 + mac!()
```

```
if left.span.ctxt() != right.span.ctxt() {
    // the coder most likely cannot modify this expression
    return;
}
```

Note: Code that is not from expansion is in the "root" context. So any spans where from_expansion returns true can be assumed to have the same context. And so just using span.from_expansion() is often good enough.

in_external_macro (span): detect if the given span is from a macro defined in a foreign crate. If you
want the lint to work with macro-generated code, this is the next line of defense to avoid macros not
defined in the current crate. It doesn't make sense to lint code that the coder can't change.

You may want to use it for example to not start linting in macros from other crates

```
#[macro_use]
extern crate a_crate_with_macros;

// `foo` is defined in `a_crate_with_macros`
foo!("bar");

// if we lint the `match` of `foo` call and test its span
assert_eq!(in_external_macro(cx.sess(), match_span), true);
```

• span.ctxt(): the span's context represents whether it is from expansion, and if so, what expanded it

One thing SpanContext is useful for is to check if two spans are in the same context. For example, in a == b, a and b have the same context. In a $macro_rules!$ with a == \$b, \$b is expanded to some expression with a different context from a.

```
macro_rules! m {
    ($a:expr, $b:expr) => {
        if $a.is_some() {
            $b;
        }
    }
}

let x: Option<u32> = Some(42);
m!(x, x.unwrap());

// These spans are not from the same context
// x.is_some() is from inside the macro
// x.unwrap() is from outside the macro
assert_eq!(x_is_some_span.ctxt(), x_unwrap_span.ctxt());
```