

Linux kernel coding style

This is a short document describing the preferred coding style for the linux kernel. Coding style is very personal, and I won't **force** my views on anybody, but this is what goes for anything that I have to be able to maintain, and I'd prefer it for most other things too. Please at least consider the points made here.

First off, I'd suggest printing out a copy of the GNU coding standards, and NOT read it. Burn them, it's a great symbolic gesture.

Anyway, here goes:

1) Indentation

Tabs are 8 characters, and thus indentations are also 8 characters. There are heretic movements that try to make indentations 4 (or even 2!) characters deep, and that is akin to trying to define the value of PI to be 3.

Rationale: The whole idea behind indentation is to clearly define where a block of control starts and ends. Especially when you've been looking at your screen for 20 straight hours, you'll find it a lot easier to see how the indentation works if you have large indentations.

Now, some people will claim that having 8-character indentations makes the code move too far to the right, and makes it hard to read on a 80-character terminal screen. The answer to that is that if you need more than 3 levels of indentation, you're screwed anyway, and should fix your program.

In short, 8-char indents make things easier to read, and have the added benefit of warning you when you're nesting your functions too deep. Heed that warning.

The preferred way to ease multiple indentation levels in a switch statement is to align the `switch` and its subordinate `case` labels in the same column instead of double-indenting the `case` labels. E.g.:

```
switch (suffix) {
case 'G':
case 'g':
    mem <= 30;
    break;
case 'M':
case 'm':
    mem <= 20;
    break;
case 'K':
case 'k':
    mem <= 10;
    fallthrough;
default:
    break;
}
```

Don't put multiple statements on a single line unless you have something to hide:

```
if (condition) do_this;
do_something_everytime;
```

Don't use commas to avoid using braces:

```
if (condition)
    do_this(), do_that();
```

Always uses braces for multiple statements:

```
if (condition) {
    do_this();
    do_that();
}
```

Don't put multiple assignments on a single line either. Kernel coding style is super simple. Avoid tricky expressions.

Outside of comments, documentation and except in Kconfig, spaces are never used for indentation, and the above example is deliberately broken.

Get a decent editor and don't leave whitespace at the end of lines.

2) Breaking long lines and strings

Coding style is all about readability and maintainability using commonly available tools.

The preferred limit on the length of a single line is 80 columns.

Statements longer than 80 columns should be broken into sensible chunks, unless exceeding 80 columns significantly increases readability and does not hide information.

Descendants are always substantially shorter than the parent and are placed substantially to the right. A very commonly used style is to align descendants to a function open parenthesis.

These same rules are applied to function headers with a long argument list.

However, never break user-visible strings such as printf messages because that breaks the ability to grep for them.

3) Placing Braces and Spaces

The other issue that always comes up in C styling is the placement of braces. Unlike the indent size, there are few technical reasons to choose one placement strategy over the other, but the preferred way, as shown to us by the prophets Kernighan and Ritchie, is to put the opening brace last on the line, and put the closing brace first, thusly:

```
if (x is true) {
    we do y
}
```

This applies to all non-function statement blocks (if, switch, for, while, do). E.g.:

```
switch (action) {
case KOBJ_ADD:
    return "add";
case KOBJ_REMOVE:
    return "remove";
case KOBJ_CHANGE:
    return "change";
default:
    return NULL;
}
```

However, there is one special case, namely functions: they have the opening brace at the beginning of the next line, thus:

```
int function(int x)
{
    body of function
}
```

Heretic people all over the world have claimed that this inconsistency is ... well ... inconsistent, but all right-thinking people know that (a) K&R are **right** and (b) K&R are right. Besides, functions are special anyway (you can't nest them in C).

Note that the closing brace is empty on a line of its own, **except** in the cases where it is followed by a continuation of the same statement, ie a while in a do-statement or an else in an if-statement, like this:

```
do {
    body of do-loop
} while (condition);
```

and

```
if (x == y) {
    ..
} else if (x > y) {
    ...
} else {
    ....
}
```

Rationale: K&R.

Also, note that this brace-placement also minimizes the number of empty (or almost empty) lines, without any loss of readability. Thus, as the supply of new-lines on your screen is not a renewable resource (think 25-line terminal screens here), you have more empty lines to put comments on.

Do not unnecessarily use braces where a single statement will do.

```
if (condition)
    action();
```

and

System Message: WARNING/2 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\process\[linux-master] [Documentation] [process]coding-style.rst, line 206)

Cannot analyze code. No Pygments lexer found for "none".

```
.. code-block:: none

    if (condition)
        do_this();
    else
        do_that();
```

This does not apply if only one branch of a conditional statement is a single statement; in the latter case use braces in both branches:

```
if (condition) {
    do_this();
    do_that();
} else {
    otherwise();
}
```

Also, use braces when a loop contains more than a single simple statement:

```
while (condition) {
    if (test)
        do_something();
}
```

3.1) Spaces

Linux kernel style for use of spaces depends (mostly) on function-versus-keyword usage. Use a space after (most) keywords. The notable exceptions are `sizeof`, `typeof`, `alignof`, and `__attribute__`, which look somewhat like functions (and are usually used with parentheses in Linux, although they are not required in the language, as in: `sizeof info` after `struct fileinfo info;` is declared).

So use a space after these keywords:

```
if, switch, case, for, do, while
```

but not with `sizeof`, `typeof`, `alignof`, or `__attribute__`. E.g.,

```
s = sizeof(struct file);
```

Do not add spaces around (inside) parenthesized expressions. This example is **bad**:

```
s = sizeof( struct file );
```

When declaring pointer data or a function that returns a pointer type, the preferred use of `*` is adjacent to the data name or function name and not adjacent to the type name. Examples:

```
char *linux_banner;
unsigned long long memparse(char *ptr, char **retptr);
char *match_strdup(substring_t *s);
```

Use one space around (on each side of) most binary and ternary operators, such as any of these:

```
= + - < > * / % | & ^ <= >= == != ? :
```

but no space after unary operators:

```
& * + - ~ ! sizeof typeof alignof __attribute__ defined
```

no space before the postfix increment & decrement unary operators:

```
++ --
```

no space after the prefix increment & decrement unary operators:

```
++ --
```

and no space around the `.` and `->` structure member operators.

Do not leave trailing whitespace at the ends of lines. Some editors with smart indentation will insert whitespace at the beginning of new lines as appropriate, so you can start typing the next line of code right away. However, some such editors do not remove the whitespace if you end up not putting a line of code there, such as if you leave a blank line. As a result, you end up with lines containing trailing whitespace.

Git will warn you about patches that introduce trailing whitespace, and can optionally strip the trailing whitespace for you; however, if applying a series of patches, this may make later patches in the series fail by changing their context lines.

4) Naming

C is a Spartan language, and your naming conventions should follow suit. Unlike Modula-2 and Pascal programmers, C programmers do not use cute names like `ThisVariableIsATemporaryCounter`. A C programmer would call that variable `tmp`, which is much easier to write, and not the least more difficult to understand.

HOWEVER, while mixed-case names are frowned upon, descriptive names for global variables are a must. To call a global function `foo` is a shooting offense.

GLOBAL variables (to be used only if you **really** need them) need to have descriptive names, as do global functions. If you have a function that counts the number of active users, you should call that `count_active_users()` or similar, you should **not** call it `cntusr()`.

Encoding the type of a function into the name (so-called Hungarian notation) is asinine - the compiler knows the types anyway and can check those, and it only confuses the programmer.

LOCAL variable names should be short, and to the point. If you have some random integer loop counter, it should probably be called `i`. Calling it `loop_counter` is non-productive, if there is no chance of it being mis-understood. Similarly, `tmp` can be just about any type of variable that is used to hold a temporary value.

If you are afraid to mix up your local variable names, you have another problem, which is called the function-growth-hormone-imbalance syndrome. See chapter 6 (Functions).

For symbol names and documentation, avoid introducing new usage of 'master / slave' (or 'slave' independent of 'master') and 'blacklist / whitelist'.

Recommended replacements for 'master / slave' are:

```
'{primary,main}' / '{secondary,replica,subordinate}' '{initiator,requester}' / '{target,responder}' '{controller,host}' /
'{device,worker,proxy}' 'leader / follower' 'director / performer'
```

Recommended replacements for 'blacklist/whitelist' are:

```
'denylist' / 'allowlist' 'blocklist' / 'passlist'
```

Exceptions for introducing new usage is to maintain a userspace ABI/API, or when updating code for an existing (as of 2020) hardware or protocol specification that mandates those terms. For new specifications translate specification usage of the terminology to the kernel coding standard where possible.

5) Typedefs

Please don't use things like `vps_t`. It's a **mistake** to use typedef for structures and pointers. When you see a

```
vps_t a;
```

in the source, what does it mean? In contrast, if it says

```
struct virtual_container *a;
```

you can actually tell what `a` is.

Lots of people think that typedefs help readability. Not so. They are useful only for:

- totally opaque objects (where the typedef is actively used to **hide** what the object is).
Example: `pte_t` etc. opaque objects that you can only access using the proper accessor functions.

Note

Opaqueness and accessor functions are not good in themselves. The reason we have them for things like `pte_t` etc. is that there really is absolutely **zero** portably accessible information there.

- Clear integer types, where the abstraction **helps** avoid confusion whether it is `int` or `long`.
`u8/u16/u32` are perfectly fine typedefs, although they fit into category (d) better than here.

Note

Again - there needs to be a **reason** for this. If something is `unsigned long`, then there's no reason to do

```
typedef unsigned long myflags_t;
```

but if there is a clear reason for why it under certain circumstances might be an `unsigned int` and under other configurations might be `unsigned long`, then by all means go ahead and use a typedef.

- when you use `sparse` to literally create a **new** type for type-checking.

- d. New types which are identical to standard C99 types, in certain exceptional circumstances.

Although it would only take a short amount of time for the eyes and brain to become accustomed to the standard types like `uint32_t`, some people object to their use anyway.

Therefore, the Linux-specific `u8/u16/u32/u64` types and their signed equivalents which are identical to standard types are permitted -- although they are not mandatory in new code of your own.

When editing existing code which already uses one or the other set of types, you should conform to the existing choices in that code.

- e. Types safe for use in userspace.

In certain structures which are visible to userspace, we cannot require C99 types and cannot use the `u32` form above. Thus, we use `__u32` and similar types in all structures which are shared with userspace.

Maybe there are other cases too, but the rule should basically be to NEVER EVER use a typedef unless you can clearly match one of those rules.

In general, a pointer, or a struct that has elements that can reasonably be directly accessed should **never** be a typedef.

6) Functions

Functions should be short and sweet, and do just one thing. They should fit on one or two screenfuls of text (the ISO/ANSI screen size is 80x24, as we all know), and do one thing and do that well.

The maximum length of a function is inversely proportional to the complexity and indentation level of that function. So, if you have a conceptually simple function that is just one long (but simple) case-statement, where you have to do lots of small things for a lot of different cases, it's OK to have a longer function.

However, if you have a complex function, and you suspect that a less-than-gifted first-year high-school student might not even understand what the function is all about, you should adhere to the maximum limits all the more closely. Use helper functions with descriptive names (you can ask the compiler to in-line them if you think it's performance-critical, and it will probably do a better job of it than you would have done).

Another measure of the function is the number of local variables. They shouldn't exceed 5-10, or you're doing something wrong. Rethink the function, and split it into smaller pieces. A human brain can generally easily keep track of about 7 different things, anything more and it gets confused. You know you're brilliant, but maybe you'd like to understand what you did 2 weeks from now.

In source files, separate functions with one blank line. If the function is exported, the **EXPORT** macro for it should follow immediately after the closing function brace line. E.g.:

```
int system_is_up(void)
{
    return system_state == SYSTEM_RUNNING;
}
EXPORT_SYMBOL(system_is_up);
```

6.1) Function prototypes

In function prototypes, include parameter names with their data types. Although this is not required by the C language, it is preferred in Linux because it is a simple way to add valuable information for the reader.

Do not use the `extern` keyword with function declarations as this makes lines longer and isn't strictly necessary.

When writing function prototypes, please keep the [order of elements regular](#). For example, using this function declaration example:

```
__init void * __must_check action(enum magic value, size_t size, u8 count,
                                   char *fmt, ...) __printf(4, 5) __malloc;
```

The preferred order of elements for a function prototype is:

- storage class (below, `static __always_inline`, noting that `__always_inline` is technically an attribute but is treated like `inline`)
- storage class attributes (here, `__init` -- i.e. section declarations, but also things like `__cold`)
- return type (here, `void *`)
- return type attributes (here, `__must_check`)
- function name (here, `action`)
- function parameters (here, `(enum magic value, size_t size, u8 count, char *fmt, ...)`, noting that parameter names should always be included)
- function parameter attributes (here, `__printf(4, 5)`)
- function behavior attributes (here, `__malloc`)

Note that for a function **definition** (i.e. the actual function body), the compiler does not allow function parameter attributes after the function parameters. In these cases, they should go after the storage class attributes (e.g. note the changed position of `__printf(4, 5)` below, compared to the **declaration** example above):

```
static __always_inline __init __printf(4, 5) void *__must_check action(enum magic value,
                             size_t size, u8 count, char *fmt, ...) __malloc
{
    ...
}
```

7) Centralized exiting of functions

Albeit deprecated by some people, the equivalent of the goto statement is used frequently by compilers in form of the unconditional jump instruction.

The goto statement comes in handy when a function exits from multiple locations and some common work such as cleanup has to be done. If there is no cleanup needed then just return directly.

Choose label names which say what the goto does or why the goto exists. An example of a good name could be `out_free_buffer`: if the goto frees buffer. Avoid using GW-BASIC names like `err1`: and `err2`:, as you would have to renumber them if you ever add or remove exit paths, and they make correctness difficult to verify anyway.

The rationale for using gotos is:

- unconditional statements are easier to understand and follow
- nesting is reduced
- errors by not updating individual exit points when making modifications are prevented
- saves the compiler work to optimize redundant code away ;)

```
int fun(int a)
{
    int result = 0;
    char *buffer;

    buffer = kmalloc(SIZE, GFP_KERNEL);
    if (!buffer)
        return -ENOMEM;

    if (condition1) {
        while (loop1) {
            ...
        }
        result = 1;
        goto out_free_buffer;
    }
    ...
out_free_buffer:
    kfree(buffer);
    return result;
}
```

A common type of bug to be aware of is one `err` bugs which look like this:

```
err:
    kfree(foo->bar);
    kfree(foo);
    return ret;
```

The bug in this code is that on some exit paths `foo` is NULL. Normally the fix for this is to split it up into two error labels `err_free_bar`: and `err_free_foo`::

```
err_free_bar:
    kfree(foo->bar);
err_free_foo:
    kfree(foo);
    return ret;
```

Ideally you should simulate errors to test all exit paths.

8) Commenting

Comments are good, but there is also a danger of over-commenting. NEVER try to explain HOW your code works in a comment: it's much better to write the code so that the **working** is obvious, and it's a waste of time to explain badly written code.

Generally, you want your comments to tell WHAT your code does, not HOW. Also, try to avoid putting comments inside a function body: if the function is so complex that you need to separately comment parts of it, you should probably go back to chapter 6 for a while. You can make small comments to note or warn about something particularly clever (or ugly), but try to avoid excess. Instead, put the comments at the head of the function, telling people what it does, and possibly WHY it does it.

When commenting the kernel API functions, please use the kernel-doc format. See the files at [:ref: Documentation/doc-guide/<doc_guide>](#) and `scripts/kernel-doc` for details.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\process\[linux-master] [Documentation] [process]coding-style.rst, line 615); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

The preferred style for long (multi-line) comments is:

```
/*
 * This is the preferred style for multi-line
 * comments in the Linux kernel source code.
 * Please use it consistently.
 *
 * Description: A column of asterisks on the left side,
 * with beginning and ending almost-blank lines.
 */
```

For files in `net/` and `drivers/net/` the preferred style for long (multi-line) comments is a little different.

```
/* The preferred comment style for files in net/ and drivers/net
 * looks like this.
 *
 * It is nearly the same as the generally preferred comment style,
 * but there is no initial almost-blank line.
 */
```

It's also important to comment data, whether they are basic types or derived types. To this end, use just one data declaration per line (no commas for multiple data declarations). This leaves you room for a small comment on each item, explaining its use.

9) You've made a mess of it

That's OK, we all do. You've probably been told by your long-time Unix user helper that GNU `emacs` automatically formats the C sources for you, and you've noticed that yes, it does do that, but the defaults it uses are less than desirable (in fact, they are worse than random typing - an infinite number of monkeys typing into GNU `emacs` would never make a good program).

So, you can either get rid of GNU `emacs`, or change it to use saner values. To do the latter, you can stick the following in your `.emacs` file:

System Message: WARNING/2 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\process\[linux-master] [Documentation] [process]coding-style.rst, line 663)

Cannot analyze code. No Pygments lexer found for "none".

```
.. code-block:: none

(defun c-lineup-arglist-tabs-only (ignored)
  "Line up argument lists by tabs, not spaces"
  (let* ((anchor (c-langelem-pos c-syntactic-element))
         (column (c-langelem-2nd-pos c-syntactic-element))
         (offset (- (1+ column) anchor))
         (steps (floor offset c-basic-offset)))
    (* (max steps 1)
       c-basic-offset)))

(dir-locals-set-class-variables
 'linux-kernel
 '(c-mode . (
  (c-basic-offset . 8)
  (c-label-minimum-indentation . 0)
  (c-offsets-alist . (
    (arglist-close . c-lineup-arglist-tabs-only)
    (arglist-cont-nonempty .
      (c-lineup-gcc-asm-reg c-lineup-arglist-tabs-only))
    (arglist-intro . +)
    (brace-list-intro . +)
    (c . c-lineup-C-comments)
    (case-label . 0)
    (comment-intro . c-lineup-comment)
    (cpp-define-intro . +)
    (cpp-macro . -1000)
    (cpp-macro-cont . +)
    (defun-block-intro . +)
    (else-clause . 0)
    (func-decl-cont . +)
    (inclass . +)
```



```

(inher-cont . c-lineup-multi-inher)
(knr-argdecl-intro . 0)
(label . -1000)
(statement . 0)
(statement-block-intro . +)
(statement-case-intro . +)
(statement-cont . +)
(substatement . +)
))
(indent-tabs-mode . t)
(show-trailing-whitespace . t)
)))

(dir-locals-set-directory-class
 (expand-file-name "~/src/linux-trees")
 'linux-kernel)

```

This will make emacs go better with the kernel coding style for C files below `~/src/linux-trees`.

But even if you fail in getting emacs to do sane formatting, not everything is lost: use `indent`.

Now, again, GNU indent has the same brain-dead settings that GNU emacs has, which is why you need to give it a few command line options. However, that's not too bad, because even the makers of GNU indent recognize the authority of K&R (the GNU people aren't evil, they are just severely misguided in this matter), so you just give indent the options `-kr -i8` (stands for K&R, 8 character indents), or use `scripts/Lindent`, which indents in the latest style.

`indent` has a lot of options, and especially when it comes to comment re-formatting you may want to take a look at the man page. But remember: `indent` is not a fix for bad programming.

Note that you can also use the `clang-format` tool to help you with these rules, to quickly re-format parts of your code automatically, and to review full files in order to spot coding style mistakes, typos and possible improvements. It is also handy for sorting `#includes`, for aligning variables/macros, for reflowing text and other similar tasks. See the file [ref: Documentation/process/clang-format.rst <clangformat>](#) for more details.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\process\[linux-master] [Documentation] [process]coding-style.rst, line 730); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

10) Kconfig configuration files

For all of the Kconfig* configuration files throughout the source tree, the indentation is somewhat different. Lines under a `config` definition are indented with one tab, while help text is indented an additional two spaces. Example:

```

config AUDIT
    bool "Auditing support"
    depends on NET
    help
        Enable auditing infrastructure that can be used with another
        kernel subsystem, such as SELinux (which requires this for
        logging of avc messages output). Does not do system-call
        auditing without CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL.

```

Seriously dangerous features (such as write support for certain filesystems) should advertise this prominently in their prompt string:

```

config ADFS_FS_RW
    bool "ADFS write support (DANGEROUS)"
    depends on ADFS_FS
    ...

```

For full documentation on the configuration files, see the file `Documentation/kbuild/kconfig-language.rst`.

11) Data structures

Data structures that have visibility outside the single-threaded environment they are created and destroyed in should always have reference counts. In the kernel, garbage collection doesn't exist (and outside the kernel garbage collection is slow and inefficient), which means that you absolutely **have** to reference count all your uses.

Reference counting means that you can avoid locking, and allows multiple users to have access to the data structure in parallel - and not having to worry about the structure suddenly going away from under them just because they slept or did something else for a while.

Note that locking is **not** a replacement for reference counting. Locking is used to keep data structures coherent, while reference

counting is a memory management technique. Usually both are needed, and they are not to be confused with each other.

Many data structures can indeed have two levels of reference counting, when there are users of different classes. The subclass count counts the number of subclass users, and decrements the global count just once when the subclass count goes to zero.

Examples of this kind of multi-level-reference-counting can be found in memory management (struct mm_struct: mm_users and mm_count), and in filesystem code (struct super_block: s_count and s_active).

Remember: if another thread can find your data structure, and you don't have a reference count on it, you almost certainly have a bug.

12) Macros, Enums and RTL

Names of macros defining constants and labels in enums are capitalized.

```
#define CONSTANT 0x12345
```

Enums are preferred when defining several related constants.

CAPITALIZED macro names are appreciated but macros resembling functions may be named in lower case.

Generally, inline functions are preferable to macros resembling functions.

Macros with multiple statements should be enclosed in a do - while block:

```
#define macrofun(a, b, c) \
    do { \
        if (a == 5) \
            do_this(b, c); \
    } while (0)
```

Things to avoid when using macros:

1. macros that affect control flow:

```
#define FOO(x) \
    do { \
        if (blah(x) < 0) \
            return -EBUGGERED; \
    } while (0)
```

is a **very** bad idea. It looks like a function call but exits the calling function; don't break the internal parsers of those who will read the code.

2. macros that depend on having a local variable with a magic name:

```
#define FOO(val) bar(index, val)
```

might look like a good thing, but it's confusing as hell when one reads the code and it's prone to breakage from seemingly innocent changes.

- 3) macros with arguments that are used as l-values: FOO(x) = y; will bite you if somebody e.g. turns FOO into an inline function.

- 4) forgetting about precedence: macros defining constants using expressions must enclose the expression in parentheses. Beware of similar issues with macros using parameters.

```
#define CONSTANT 0x4000
#define CONSTEXP (CONSTANT | 3)
```

- 5) namespace collisions when defining local variables in macros resembling functions:

```
#define FOO(x) \
({ \
    typeof(x) ret; \
    ret = calc_ret(x); \
    (ret); \
})
```

ret is a common name for a local variable - __foo_ret is less likely to collide with an existing variable.

The cpp manual deals with macros exhaustively. The gcc internals manual also covers RTL which is used frequently with assembly language in the kernel.

13) Printing kernel messages

Kernel developers like to be seen as literate. Do mind the spelling of kernel messages to make a good impression. Do not use incorrect contractions like dont; use do not or don't instead. Make the messages concise, clear, and unambiguous.

Kernel messages do not have to be terminated with a period.

Printing numbers in parentheses (%d) adds no value and should be avoided.

There are a number of driver model diagnostic macros in `<linux/dev_printk.h>` which you should use to make sure messages are matched to the right device and driver, and are tagged with the right level: `dev_err()`, `dev_warn()`, `dev_info()`, and so forth. For messages that aren't associated with a particular device, `<linux/printk.h>` defines `pr_notice()`, `pr_info()`, `pr_warn()`, `pr_err()`, etc.

Coming up with good debugging messages can be quite a challenge; and once you have them, they can be a huge help for remote troubleshooting. However debug message printing is handled differently than printing other non-debug messages. While the other `pr_XXX()` functions print unconditionally, `pr_debug()` does not; it is compiled out by default, unless either `DEBUG` is defined or `CONFIG_DYNAMIC_DEBUG` is set. That is true for `dev_dbg()` also, and a related convention uses `VERBOSE_DEBUG` to add `dev_vdbg()` messages to the ones already enabled by `DEBUG`.

Many subsystems have Kconfig debug options to turn on `-DDEBUG` in the corresponding Makefile; in other cases specific files `#define DEBUG`. And when a debug message should be unconditionally printed, such as if it is already inside a debug-related `#ifdef` section, `printk(KERN_DEBUG ...)` can be used.

14) Allocating memory

The kernel provides the following general purpose memory allocators: `kmalloc()`, `kzalloc()`, `kmalloc_array()`, `kcalloc()`, `vmalloc()`, and `vzalloc()`. Please refer to the API documentation for further information about them. [ref: Documentation/core-api/memory-allocation.rst <memory_allocation>](#)

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\process\[linux-master] [Documentation] [process] coding-style.rst, line 919); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

The preferred form for passing a size of a struct is the following:

```
p = kmalloc(sizeof(*p), ...);
```

The alternative form where struct name is spelled out hurts readability and introduces an opportunity for a bug when the pointer variable type is changed but the corresponding `sizeof` that is passed to a memory allocator is not.

Casting the return value which is a void pointer is redundant. The conversion from void pointer to any other pointer type is guaranteed by the C programming language.

The preferred form for allocating an array is the following:

```
p = kmalloc_array(n, sizeof(...), ...);
```

The preferred form for allocating a zeroed array is the following:

```
p = kcalloc(n, sizeof(...), ...);
```

Both forms check for overflow on the allocation size `n * sizeof(...)`, and return `NULL` if that occurred.

These generic allocation functions all emit a stack dump on failure when used without `__GFP_NOWARN` so there is no use in emitting an additional failure message when `NULL` is returned.

15) The inline disease

There appears to be a common misperception that `gcc` has a magic "make me faster" speedup option called `inline`. While the use of inlines can be appropriate (for example as a means of replacing macros, see Chapter 12), it very often is not. Abundant use of the `inline` keyword leads to a much bigger kernel, which in turn slows the system as a whole down, due to a bigger icache footprint for the CPU and simply because there is less memory available for the pagecache. Just think about it; a pagecache miss causes a disk seek, which easily takes 5 milliseconds. There are a LOT of cpu cycles that can go into these 5 milliseconds.

A reasonable rule of thumb is to not put `inline` at functions that have more than 3 lines of code in them. An exception to this rule are the cases where a parameter is known to be a compiletime constant, and as a result of this constantness you *know* the compiler will be able to optimize most of your function away at compile time. For a good example of this later case, see the `kmalloc()` inline function.

Often people argue that adding `inline` to functions that are static and used only once is always a win since there is no space tradeoff. While this is technically correct, `gcc` is capable of inlining these automatically without help, and the maintenance issue of removing the `inline` when a second user appears outweighs the potential value of the hint that tells `gcc` to do something it would have done anyway.

16) Function return values and names

Functions can return values of many different kinds, and one of the most common is a value indicating whether the function succeeded or failed. Such a value can be represented as an error-code integer (`-Exxx` = failure, `0` = success) or a `succeeded` boolean (`0` = failure, non-zero = success).

Mixing up these two sorts of representations is a fertile source of difficult-to-find bugs. If the C language included a strong distinction between integers and booleans then the compiler would find these mistakes for us... but it doesn't. To help prevent such bugs, always follow this convention:

```
If the name of a function is an action or an imperative command,
the function should return an error-code integer.  If the name
is a predicate, the function should return a "succeeded" boolean.
```

For example, `add_work` is a command, and the `add_work()` function returns 0 for success or `-EBUSY` for failure. In the same way, `PCI device present` is a predicate, and the `pci_dev_present()` function returns 1 if it succeeds in finding a matching device or 0 if it doesn't.

All EXPORTed functions must respect this convention, and so should all public functions. Private (static) functions need not, but it is recommended that they do.

Functions whose return value is the actual result of a computation, rather than an indication of whether the computation succeeded, are not subject to this rule. Generally they indicate failure by returning some out-of-range result. Typical examples would be functions that return pointers; they use `NULL` or the `ERR_PTR` mechanism to report failure.

17) Using bool

The Linux kernel `bool` type is an alias for the C99 `_Bool` type. `bool` values can only evaluate to 0 or 1, and implicit or explicit conversion to `bool` automatically converts the value to true or false. When using `bool` types the `!!` construction is not needed, which eliminates a class of bugs.

When working with `bool` values the `true` and `false` definitions should be used instead of 1 and 0.

`bool` function return types and stack variables are always fine to use whenever appropriate. Use of `bool` is encouraged to improve readability and is often a better option than `'int'` for storing boolean values.

Do not use `bool` if cache line layout or size of the value matters, as its size and alignment varies based on the compiled architecture. Structures that are optimized for alignment and size should not use `bool`.

If a structure has many true/false values, consider consolidating them into a bitfield with 1 bit members, or using an appropriate fixed width type, such as `u8`.

Similarly for function arguments, many true/false values can be consolidated into a single bitwise 'flags' argument and 'flags' can often be a more readable alternative if the call-sites have naked true/false constants.

Otherwise limited use of `bool` in structures and arguments can improve readability.

18) Don't re-invent the kernel macros

The header file `include/linux/kernel.h` contains a number of macros that you should use, rather than explicitly coding some variant of them yourself. For example, if you need to calculate the length of an array, take advantage of the macro

```
#define ARRAY_SIZE(x) (sizeof(x) / sizeof((x)[0]))
```

Similarly, if you need to calculate the size of some structure member, use

```
#define sizeof_field(t, f) (sizeof(((t*)0)->f))
```

There are also `min()` and `max()` macros that do strict type checking if you need them. Feel free to peruse that header file to see what else is already defined that you shouldn't reproduce in your code.

19) Editor modelines and other craft

Some editors can interpret configuration information embedded in source files, indicated with special markers. For example, `emacs` interprets lines marked like this:

```
 -*- mode: c -*-
```

Or like this:

```
/*
Local Variables:
compile-command: "gcc -DMAGIC_DEBUG_FLAG foo.c"
End:
*/
```

`Vim` interprets markers that look like this:

```
/* vim:set sw=8 noet */
```

Do not include any of these in source files. People have their own personal editor configurations, and your source files should not

override them. This includes markers for indentation and mode configuration. People may use their own custom mode, or may have some other magic method for making indentation work correctly.

20) Inline assembly

In architecture-specific code, you may need to use inline assembly to interface with CPU or platform functionality. Don't hesitate to do so when necessary. However, don't use inline assembly gratuitously when C can do the job. You can and should poke hardware from C when possible.

Consider writing simple helper functions that wrap common bits of inline assembly, rather than repeatedly writing them with slight variations. Remember that inline assembly can use C parameters.

Large, non-trivial assembly functions should go in .S files, with corresponding C prototypes defined in C header files. The C prototypes for assembly functions should use `asm` linkage.

You may need to mark your asm statement as volatile, to prevent GCC from removing it if GCC doesn't notice any side effects. You don't always need to do so, though, and doing so unnecessarily can limit optimization.

When writing a single inline assembly statement containing multiple instructions, put each instruction on a separate line in a separate quoted string, and end each string except the last with `\n\t` to properly indent the next instruction in the assembly output:

```
asm ("magic %reg1, #42\n\t"
    "more_magic %reg2, %reg3"
    : /* outputs */ : /* inputs */ : /* clobbers */);
```

21) Conditional Compilation

Wherever possible, don't use preprocessor conditionals (`#if`, `#ifdef`) in .c files; doing so makes code harder to read and logic harder to follow. Instead, use such conditionals in a header file defining functions for use in those .c files, providing no-op stub versions in the `#else` case, and then call those functions unconditionally from .c files. The compiler will avoid generating any code for the stub calls, producing identical results, but the logic will remain easy to follow.

Prefer to compile out entire functions, rather than portions of functions or portions of expressions. Rather than putting an `ifdef` in an expression, factor out part or all of the expression into a separate helper function and apply the conditional to that function.

If you have a function or variable which may potentially go unused in a particular configuration, and the compiler would warn about its definition going unused, mark the definition as `__maybe_unused` rather than wrapping it in a preprocessor conditional. (However, if a function or variable *always* goes unused, delete it.)

Within code, where possible, use the `IS_ENABLED` macro to convert a Kconfig symbol into a C boolean expression, and use it in a normal C conditional:

```
if (IS_ENABLED(CONFIG_SOMETHING)) {
    ...
}
```

The compiler will constant-fold the conditional away, and include or exclude the block of code just as with an `#ifdef`, so this will not add any runtime overhead. However, this approach still allows the C compiler to see the code inside the block, and check it for correctness (syntax, types, symbol references, etc). Thus, you still have to use an `#ifdef` if the code inside the block references symbols that will not exist if the condition is not met.

At the end of any non-trivial `#if` or `#ifdef` block (more than a few lines), place a comment after the `#endif` on the same line, noting the conditional expression used. For instance:

```
#ifdef CONFIG_SOMETHING
...
#endif /* CONFIG_SOMETHING */
```

Appendix I) References

The C Programming Language, Second Edition by Brian W. Kernighan and Dennis M. Ritchie. Prentice Hall, Inc., 1988. ISBN 0-13-110362-8 (paperback), 0-13-110370-9 (hardback).

The Practice of Programming by Brian W. Kernighan and Rob Pike. Addison-Wesley, Inc., 1999. ISBN 0-201-61586-X.

GNU manuals - where in compliance with K&R and this text - for cpp, gcc, gcc internals and indent, all available from <https://www.gnu.org/manual/>

WG14 is the international standardization working group for the programming language C, URL: <http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG14/>

Kernel `ref` process/coding-style.rst `<codingstyle>`, by greg@kroah.com at OLS 2002: http://www.kroah.com/linux/talks/ols_2002_kernel_codingstyle_talk/html/

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\process\[linux-master] [Documentation] [process]coding-style.rst, **line 1208**); *backlink*

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".