## **RCU** and Unloadable Modules

[Originally published in LWN Jan. 14, 2007: http://lwn.net/Articles/217484/]

RCU (read-copy update) is a synchronization mechanism that can be thought of as a replacement for read-writer locking (among other things), but with very low-overhead readers that are immune to deadlock, priority inversion, and unbounded latency. RCU read-side critical sections are delimited by rcu\_read\_lock() and rcu\_read\_unlock(), which, in non-CONFIG\_PREEMPTION kernels, generate no code whatsoever.

This means that RCU writers are unaware of the presence of concurrent readers, so that RCU updates to shared data must be undertaken quite carefully, leaving an old version of the data structure in place until all pre-existing readers have finished. These old versions are needed because such readers might hold a reference to them. RCU updates can therefore be rather expensive, and RCU is thus best suited for read-mostly situations.

How can an RCU writer possibly determine when all readers are finished, given that readers might well leave absolutely no trace of their presence? There is a synchronize\_rcu() primitive that blocks until all pre-existing readers have completed. An updater wishing to delete an element p from a linked list might do the following, while holding an appropriate lock, of course:

```
list_del_rcu(p);
synchronize_rcu();
kfree(p);
```

But the above code cannot be used in IRQ context -- the call\_rcu() primitive must be used instead. This primitive takes a pointer to an rcu\_head struct placed within the RCU-protected data structure and another pointer to a function that may be invoked later to free that structure. Code to delete an element p from the linked list from IRQ context might then be as follows:

```
list_del_rcu(p);
call_rcu(&p->rcu, p_callback);
```

Since call\_rcu() never blocks, this code can safely be used from within IRQ context. The function p\_callback() might be defined as follows:

```
static void p_callback(struct rcu_head *rp)
{
     struct pstruct *p = container_of(rp, struct pstruct, rcu);
     kfree(p);
}
```

# Unloading Modules That Use call\_rcu()

But what if p callback is defined in an unloadable module?

If we unload the module while some RCU callbacks are pending, the CPUs executing these callbacks are going to be severely disappointed when they are later invoked, as fancifully depicted at <a href="http://lwn.net/images/ns/kernel/rcu-drop.jpg">http://lwn.net/images/ns/kernel/rcu-drop.jpg</a>.

We could try placing a synchronize\_rcu() in the module-exit code path, but this is not sufficient. Although synchronize\_rcu() does wait for a grace period to elapse, it does not wait for the callbacks to complete.

One might be tempted to try several back-to-back synchronize\_rcu() calls, but this is still not guaranteed to work. If there is a very heavy RCU-callback load, then some of the callbacks might be deferred in order to allow other processing to proceed. Such deferral is required in realtime kernels in order to avoid excessive scheduling latencies.

## rcu\_barrier()

We instead need the rcu\_barrier() primitive. Rather than waiting for a grace period to elapse, rcu\_barrier() waits for all outstanding RCU callbacks to complete. Please note that rcu\_barrier() does **not** imply synchronize\_rcu(), in particular, if there are no RCU callbacks queued anywhere, rcu\_barrier() is within its rights to return immediately, without waiting for a grace period to elapse.

Pseudo-code using rcu barrier() is as follows:

- 1. Prevent any new RCU callbacks from being posted.
- 2. Execute rcu barrier().
- 3. Allow the module to be unloaded.

There is also an srcu\_barrier() function for SRCU, and you of course must match the flavor of rcu\_barrier() with that of call\_rcu(). If your module uses multiple flavors of call\_rcu(), then it must also use multiple flavors of rcu\_barrier() when unloading that module. For example, if it uses call\_rcu(), call\_srcu() on srcu\_struct\_1, and call\_srcu() on srcu\_struct\_2, then the following three lines of code will be required when unloading:

```
1 rcu_barrier();
2 srcu_barrier(&srcu_struct_1);
```

```
3 srcu barrier(&srcu struct 2);
```

The reutorture module makes use of reu barrier() in its exit function as follows:

```
1 static void
2 rcu_torture_cleanup(void)
  {
     int i:
5
6
    fullstop = 1;
    if (shuffler_task != NULL) {
7
     VERBOSE PRINTK STRING("Stopping rcu torture shuffle task");
9
     kthread_stop(shuffler_task);
10
11
    shuffler_task = NULL;
12
13
    if (writer task != NULL) {
      VERBOSE PRINTK STRING("Stopping rcu torture writer task");
14
15
     kthread stop(writer task);
16
17
    writer_task = NULL;
18
19
    if (reader_tasks != NULL) {
20
     for (i = 0; i < nrealreaders; i++) {
        if (reader tasks[i] != NULL) {
2.1
22
          VERBOSE PRINTK STRING(
23
            "Stopping rcu_torture_reader task");
24
          kthread stop(reader tasks[i]);
25
       }
26
        reader tasks[i] = NULL;
27
28
      kfree(reader_tasks);
29
      reader tasks = NULL;
30
31
    rcu torture current = NULL;
32
33
    if (fakewriter_tasks != NULL) {
34
     for (i = 0; i < nfakewriters; i++) {
35
        if (fakewriter tasks[i] != NULL) {
36
          VERBOSE PRINTK STRING (
37
            "Stopping rcu_torture_fakewriter task");
38
          kthread stop(fakewriter tasks[i]);
39
40
        fakewriter tasks[i] = NULL;
41
42
      kfree(fakewriter_tasks);
43
      fakewriter_tasks = NULL;
44
45
46
    if (stats task != NULL) {
47
      VERBOSE PRINTK STRING("Stopping rcu torture stats task");
48
      kthread stop(stats task);
49
50 stats_task = NULL;
51
    /* Wait for all RCU callbacks to fire. */
52
53
    rcu barrier();
54
55
    rcu torture stats print(); /* -After- the stats thread is stopped! */
56
if (cur ops->cleanup != NULL)
58
      cur ops->cleanup();
59
    if (atomic_read(&n_rcu_torture_error))
60
     rcu torture print module parms ("End of test: FAILURE");
61
    else
62
       rcu torture print module parms ("End of test: SUCCESS");
```

Line 6 sets a global variable that prevents any RCU callbacks from re-posting themselves. This will not be necessary in most cases, since RCU callbacks rarely include calls to call\_rcu(). However, the rcutorture module is an exception to this rule, and therefore needs to set this global variable.

Lines 7-50 stop all the kernel tasks associated with the reutorture module. Therefore, once execution reaches line 53, no more reutorture RCU callbacks will be posted. The reu\_barrier() call on line 53 waits for any pre-existing callbacks to complete.

Then lines 55-62 print status and do operation-specific cleanup, and then return, permitting the module-unload operation to be completed.

Quick Quiz#1:

Is there any other situation where rcu\_barrier() might be required?

```
System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\RCU\[linux-master] [Documentation] [RCU] rcubarrier.rst, line 190); backlink
```

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Your module might have additional complications. For example, if your module invokes call\_rcu() from timers, you will need to first cancel all the timers, and only then invoke rcu barrier() to wait for any remaining RCU callbacks to complete.

Of course, if you module uses call\_rcu(), you will need to invoke rcu\_barrier() before unloading. Similarly, if your module uses call\_srcu(), you will need to invoke srcu\_barrier() before unloading, and on the same srcu\_struct structure. If your module uses call\_rcu() and call\_srcu(), then you will need to invoke rcu\_barrier() and srcu\_barrier().

### Implementing rcu\_barrier()

Dipankar Sarma's implementation of rcu\_barrier() makes use of the fact that RCU callbacks are never reordered once queued on one of the per-CPU queues. His implementation queues an RCU callback on each of the per-CPU callback queues, and then waits until they have all started executing, at which point, all earlier RCU callbacks are guaranteed to have completed.

The original code for rcu barrier() was as follows:

```
void rcu_barrier(void)

BUG_ON(in_interrupt());

* * Take cpucontrol mutex to protect against CPU hotplug */
mutex_lock(&rcu_barrier_mutex);
init_completion(&rcu_barrier_completion);
atomic_set(&rcu_barrier_cpu_count, 0);
on_each_cpu(rcu_barrier_func, NULL, 0, 1);
wait_for_completion(&rcu_barrier_completion);
mutex_unlock(&rcu_barrier_mutex);

mutex_unlock(&rcu_barrier_mutex);
```

Line 3 verifies that the caller is in process context, and lines 5 and 10 use rcu\_barrier\_mutex to ensure that only one rcu\_barrier() is using the global completion and counters at a time, which are initialized on lines 6 and 7. Line 8 causes each CPU to invoke rcu\_barrier\_func(), which is shown below. Note that the final "1" in on\_each\_cpu()'s argument list ensures that all the calls to rcu\_barrier\_func() will have completed before on\_each\_cpu() returns. Line 9 then waits for the completion.

This code was rewritten in 2008 and several times thereafter, but this still gives the general idea.

The rcu\_barrier\_func() runs on each CPU, where it invokes call\_rcu() to post an RCU callback, as follows:

```
1 static void rcu_barrier_func(void *notused)
2 {
3    int cpu = smp_processor_id();
4    struct rcu_data *rdp = &per_cpu(rcu_data, cpu);
5    struct rcu_head *head;
6
7    head = &rdp->barrier;
8    atomic_inc(&rcu_barrier_cpu_count);
9    call_rcu(head, rcu_barrier_callback);
10 }
```

Lines 3 and 4 locate RCU's internal per-CPU rcu\_data structure, which contains the struct rcu\_head that needed for the later call to call\_rcu(). Line 7 picks up a pointer to this struct rcu\_head, and line 8 increments a global counter. This counter will later be decremented by the callback. Line 9 then registers the rcu\_barrier\_callback() on the current CPU's queue.

The rcu\_barrier\_callback() function simply atomically decrements the rcu\_barrier\_cpu\_count variable and finalizes the completion when it reaches zero, as follows:

```
1 static void rcu_barrier_callback(struct rcu_head *notused)
2 {
3    if (atomic_dec_and_test(&rcu_barrier_cpu_count))
4      complete(&rcu_barrier_completion);
5 }
```

Quick Quiz #2:

What happens if CPU 0's rcu\_barrier\_func() executes immediately (thus incrementing rcu\_barrier\_cpu\_count to the value one), but the other CPU's rcu\_barrier\_func() invocations are delayed for a full grace period? Couldn't this result in rcu\_barrier() returning prematurely?

ref. Answer to Quick Quiz #2 <answer rcubarrier quiz 2>

master\Documentation\RCU\[linux-master][Documentation][RCU]rcubarrier.rst, line 279);
backlink

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

The current rcu\_barrier() implementation is more complex, due to the need to avoid disturbing idle CPUs (especially on battery-powered systems) and the need to minimally disturb non-idle CPUs in real-time systems. However, the code above illustrates the concepts.

#### rcu\_barrier() Summary

The rcu\_barrier() primitive has seen relatively little use, since most code using RCU is in the core kernel rather than in modules. However, if you are using RCU from an unloadable module, you need to use rcu\_barrier() so that your module may be safely unloaded.

#### **Answers to Quick Quizzes**

Quick Quiz#1:

Is there any other situation where rcu barrier() might be required?

Answer: Interestingly enough, rcu barrier() was not originally

implemented for module unloading. Nikita Danilov was using RCU in a filesystem, which resulted in a similar situation at filesystem-unmount time. Dipankar Sarma coded up rcu\_barrier() in response, so that Nikita could invoke it during the filesystem-unmount process.

Much later, yours truly hit the RCU module-unload problem when implementing rcutorture, and found that rcu\_barrier() solves this problem as well.

ref.`Back to Quick Quiz#1 <rcubarrier\_quiz\_1>`

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\RCU\[linux-master] [Documentation] [RCU] rcubarrier.rst, line 316); backlink

Unknown interpreted text role 'ref'.

Quick Quiz #2:

What happens if CPU 0's rcu\_barrier\_func() executes immediately (thus incrementing rcu\_barrier\_cpu\_count to the value one), but the other CPU's rcu\_barrier\_func() invocations are delayed for a full grace period? Couldn't this result in rcu\_barrier() returning prematurely?

Answer: This cannot happen. The reason is that on\_each\_cpu() has its last

argument, the wait flag, set to "1". This flag is passed through to smp\_call\_function() and further to smp\_call\_function\_on\_cpu(), causing this latter to spin until the cross-CPU invocation of rcu\_barrier\_func() has completed. This by itself would prevent a grace period from completing on non-CONFIG\_PREEMPTION kernels, since each CPU must undergo a context switch (or other quiescent state) before the grace period can complete. However, this is of no use in CONFIG\_PREEMPTION kernels.

Therefore, on\_each\_cpu() disables preemption across its call to smp\_call\_function() and also across the local call to rcu\_barrier\_func(). This prevents the local CPU from context switching, again preventing grace periods from completing. This means that all CPUs have executed rcu\_barrier\_func() before the first rcu\_barrier\_callback() can possibly execute, in turn preventing rcu\_barrier\_cpu\_count from prematurely reaching zero.

Currently, -rt implementations of RCU keep but a single global queue for RCU callbacks, and thus do not suffer from this problem. However, when the -rt RCU eventually does have per-CPU callback queues, things will have to change. One simple change is to add an rcu\_read\_lock() before line 8 of rcu\_barrier() and an rcu\_read\_unlock() after line 8 of this same function. If you can think of a better change, please let me know!

ref. Back to Quick Quiz #2 < rcubarrier quiz 2>

 $System\,Message:\,ERROR/3\, (\mbox{D:\noboarding-resources}) ample-onboarding-resources \linux-master\mbox{Documentation}_{RCU}\clinux-master\c$ 

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".