Tracking API

• Author: Ilya Elizarov

Link: #18481Status: WIPPlatforms: All

• Complexity: N/A

Introduction and Rationale

The main goal of this proposal is the renewal of the tracking module which has existed in stagnation in opency-contrib for a few years and moving it to the OpenCV main repository.

For now, we have 8 trackers in the "opency_contrib" repository (7 classical CV, 1 DL-based):

- 1. MIL [17]
- 2. Boosting [18]
- 3. MedianFlow [19]
- 4. CSRT [20]
- 5. KCF [21]
- 6. TLD [22]
- 7. MOSSE [23]
- 8. GOTURN [24]

Also, 2 modern DL-based trackers are implemented as Python 3 samples in "opency/samples/dnn":

- 1. DaSiamRPN [26]
- 2. SiamRPN++ [27]

In the future, it is planned to add C++ implementations of these trackers in the tracking API. The main OpenCV repository contains several basic blocks that can be used in custom tracker implementations prepared by users. They include:

- 1. Optical flow algorithms (Sparse or Dense)
- 2. MeanShift
- 3. CamShift

Main steps for the renewal:

- 1. Improving tracking API for more convenient work with classic and DL-based trackers at the same time.
- 2. Moving tracking module from opency-contrib to the main repository (most of the trackers) under the same name or extending the functionality of the existing �video� module (old "tracking" module can be preserved for "opency-contrib" experimental algorithms).

Roadmap after renewal:

- 1. Add C++ implementations of the DaSiamRPN and SiamRPN++.
- 2. Fix issues with multi-object tracking.
- 3. Create a benchmark for multi-object tracking (preferably MOT-based [28] for more convenient comparison of the results).
- 4. For the last half-year, I worked on "opency-contrib" trackers: tried to check accordance with the original papers, writing benchmarks on Python (based on LaSOT [11] and TrackingNet [12] metrics). The main problem was the absence of updates in the module.

The rationale for moving the module to the main repository. In September 2020, we have 24 opened / 21 closed issues and 5 opened / 39 closed PR's related to trackers (time range 5 years ago - present days) [8][9]. And some of them are really old (opened years ago). It shows 2 important things:

- 1. The module still in demand by the community
- 2. The module has low priority for the OpenCV development team

As a result of that, we have no new trackers and we have issue reports with no answers. But tracking is a very popular computer vision task now, and we can see different ways to solve it without tracking module [1][2][3]. If we will try to find some sort of "from the shelf" solution, we can see that OpenCV is a popular solution for tracking, despite all its problems [4] [5]. Important numbers here - number of the views, it is counted by thousands. Also, worth mentioned fact - the community is still creating guides and tutorials for our old trackers [6][7][8].

Choosing trackers for moving to the main repository. We should move GOTURN, MIL, and KCF trackers. For now, GOTURN is the only one DL-based tracker in the module. MIL and KCF trackers are still competitive compared with modern trackers as shown in TrackingNet, LaSOT papers, due to VOT 2017-2020 results [13], and research papers [16]. Their main pros for us - they are working on CPU, while other top-tier trackers working on GPU.

Proposed solution

For now, the benchmark, which uses modern generally accepted LaSOT and TrackingNet metrics are completed (results are in the description of the PR) [25]. It measures precision, normalized precision, and intersection over union for all 8 trackers in opency-contrib and for the DaSiamRPN sample. Also, I and Dmitry Kurtaev(@dkurt) fixed an old memory problem for GOTURN tracker.

Proposed steps:

- 1. Move the tracking module to the main repository
- 2. Add some changes in the API (reinitialization of the trackers, work with classic and DL-based trackers in the same API)

Reinitialization problem: then I tried to reinitialize the tracker after object loss, I saw that we can not put another bounding box in the already existing tracker. A similar problem we can see in one of the old PR's [14]. I suggest changing the "init" method for trackers.

Classic vs DL-based problem: for now, we need both (at least until we have more than 1 DL-based tracker in the module). KCF and MIL trackers are actively used by the community, and showing satisfactory results compared with modern trackers. They should be a good base for a "new" module.

Impact on existing code, compatibility

Tracking API is independent, and changes in it should not affect the rest of the library. Changes in the initializing method should not be redundant - we need only add some functionality for reinitialization. Changes relative to DL-based trackers should facilitate the process of the loading models of the trackers.

Compatibility with previous versions of the trackers will be lost:

- 1. We will remove some of them
- 2. The rest of them we will move into the another repository
- 3. We will change the initialization method
- 4. We will add functionality for DL-based trackers

But more important is that:

- 1. We try to create new, more convenient API for all kinds of trackers
- 2. We want to attract new developers in our community, and it is gonna be easier with these changes
- 3. We will create a platform for adding new modern trackers
- 4. We will save the most valuable trackers

Possible alternatives

As an alternative, we can move only the GOTURN tracker as the DNN High-Level API algorithm.

Pros:

1. Popular in community and for researchers

- 2. Orientation to modern trackers
- 3. No need to change the API for the convenient use of classic and DL-based trackers at the same time.

Cons:

- 1. Risk of losing the rest part of the community many of them using classic trackers
- 2. "Cut off" classic tracker, which can be better in real-life cases, production
- 3. DL-based trackers still show instability compared to classic trackers. For example, GOTURN is not so popular and a robust tracker its LaSOT results are worse than the results of the classic trackers [15].

References

- 1. https://medium.com/milooproject/object-tracking-using-opencv-python-windows-616fb23da720
- 2. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bSeFrPrqZ2A
- 3. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=19vaot75JCY
- 4. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=61QjSz-oLr8&feature=emb_logo
- 5. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1FJWXOO1SRI
- 6. https://www.learnopencv.com/object-tracking-using-opencv-cpp-python/
- 7. https://www.coursera.org/projects/computer-vision-object-tracking-opencv-python
- 8. https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2018/07/30/opencv-object-tracking/
- 9. https://github.com/opencv/opencv_contrib/pulls
- 10. https://github.com/opencv/opencv_contrib/issues
- 11. https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.10794
- 12. https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.03465
- 13. https://prints.vicos.si/publications/groups/vot
- 14. https://github.com/opencv/opencv_contrib/issues/1465
- 15. https://github.com/opencv/opencv_contrib/pull/2516
- 16. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317803149 Evaluation of Visual Tracking Algorithms for Embedded Devices
- 17. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5674053
- 18. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221259753 Real-Time Tracking via On-line Boosting
- 19. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5596017
- 20. https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.08461
- 21. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6909539
- 22. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6104061
- 23. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5539960
- 24. https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.01802
- 25. https://github.com/opencv/opencv_contrib/pull/2516
- 26. https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.06048
- 27. https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.11703
- 28. https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.09003