orphan:

# **Immutability and Read-Only Methods**

Abstract:

Swift programmers can already express the concept of read-only properties and subscripts, and can express their intention to write on a function parameter. However, the model is incomplete, which currently leads to the compiler to accept (and silently drop) mutations made by methods of these read-only entities. This proposal completes the model, and additionally allows the user to declare truly immutable data.

#### The Problem

Consider:

```
class Window {
  var title: String { // title is not writable
    get {
      return somethingComputed()
    }
  }
}
var w = Window()
w.title += " (parenthesized remark)"
```

What do we do with this? Since += has an inout first argument, we detect this situation statically (hopefully one day we'll have a better error message):

Great. Now what about this? [1]

```
w.title.append(" (fool the compiler)")
```

Today, we allow it, but since there's no way to implement the write-back onto w.title, the changes are silently dropped.

# **Unsatisfying Approaches**

We considered three alternatives to the current proposal, none of which were considered satisfactory:

- 1. Ban method calls on read-only properties of value type
- 2. Ban read-only properties of value type
- 3. Status quo: silently drop the effects of some method calls

For rationales explaining why these approaches were rejected, please refer to earlier versions of this document.

# **Proposed Solution**

# Terminology

Classes and generic parameters that conform to a protocol attributed @class\_protocol are called reference types. All other types are value types.

#### **Mutating and Read-Only Methods**

A method attributed with inout is considered mutating. Otherwise, it is considered read-only.

```
struct Number {
  init(x: Int) { name = x.toString() }

func getValue() { // read-only method
  return Int(name)
}

mutating func increment() { // mutating method
  name = (Int(name)+1).toString()
}
```

```
var name: String
}
```

The implicit self parameter of a struct or enum method is semantically an inout parameter if and only if the method is attributed with mutating. Read-only methods do not "write back" onto their target objects.

A program that applies the mutating to a method of a class-or of a protocol attributed with <code>@class\_protocol--is</code> ill-formed. [Note: it is logically consistent to think of all methods of classes as read-only, even though they may in fact modify instance variables, because they never "write back" onto the source reference.]

#### **Mutating Operations**

The following are considered mutating operations on an Ivalue

- 1. Assignment to the lvalue
- Taking its address

Remember that the following operations all take an Ivalue's address implicitly:

• passing it to a mutating method:

• passing it to a function attributed with @assignment:

```
var y = 31
y += 3 // mutating operation
```

• assigning to a subscript or property (including an instance variable) of a value type:

```
x._i = 3 // mutating operation var z: Array<Int> = [1000] z[0] = 2 // mutating operation
```

# **Binding for Rvalues**

Just as var declares a name for an Ivalue, let now gives a name to an rvalue:

```
var clay = 42
let stone = clay + 100 // stone can now be used as an rvalue
```

The grammar rules for let are identical to those for var.

#### **Properties and Subscripts**

A subscript or property access expression is an rvalue if

- the property or subscript has no set clause
- the target of the property or subscript expression is an rvalue of value type

For example, consider this extension to our Number struct:

```
extension Number {
  var readOnlyValue: Int { return getValue() }

var writableValue: Int {
  get {
    return getValue()
  }
  set(x) {
    name = x.toString()
  }
}

subscript(n: Int) -> String { return name }
  subscript(n: String) -> Int {
    get {
      return 42
  }
    set(x) {
      name = x.toString()
    }
}
```

Also imagine we have a class called CNumber defined exactly the same way as Number (except that it's a class). Then, the following table holds:

Declaration: Expression	<pre>var x = Number(42) // this var x = CNumber(42) // or this let x = CNumber(42) // or this</pre>	let x = Number(42)
x.readOnlyValue	rvalue (no set clause)	rvalue (target is an rvalue of value type)
x[3]	Transc (no see chase)	
x.writeableValue	Ivalue (has set clause)	
x["tree"]	ivalue (has set clause)	
x.name	Ivalue (instance variables implicitly have a set clause)	

# The Big Rule

#### Error

A program that applies a mutating operation to an rvalue is ill-formed

### For example:

# Non-inout Function Parameters are RValues

A function that performs a mutating operation on a parameter is ill-formed unless that parameter was marked with inout. A method that performs a mutating operation on self is ill-formed unless the method is attributed with mutating:

#### **Protocols and Constraints**

[1]

When a protocol declares a property or subscript requirement, a { get } or { get set } clause is always required.

```
protocol Bitset {
  var count: Int { get }
  var intValue: Int { get set }
  subscript(bitIndex: Int) -> Bool { get set }
}
```

Where a { get set } clause appears, the corresponding expression on a type that conforms to the protocol must be an Ivalue or the program is ill-formed:

String will acquire an append (other: String) method as part of the formatting plan, but this scenario applies equally to any method of a value type

