ModuleWithProviders Migration

What does this schematic do?

Some Angular libraries, such as <code>@angular/router</code> and <code>@ngrx/store</code>, implement APIs that return a type called <code>ModuleWithProviders</code> (typically via a method named <code>forRoot()</code>). This type represents an <code>NgModule</code> along with additional providers. Angular version 9 deprecates use of <code>ModuleWithProviders</code> without an explicitly generic type, where the generic type refers to the type of the <code>NgModule</code>.

This schematic will add a generic type to any ModuleWithProviders usages that are missing the generic. In the example below, the type of the NgModule is SomeModule, so the schematic changes the type to be ModuleWithProviders<SomeModule>.

Before

```
@NgModule({...})
export class MyModule {
  static forRoot(config: SomeConfig): ModuleWithProviders {
   return {
      ngModule: SomeModule,
      providers: [
        {provide: SomeConfig, useValue: config}
   };
 }
}
After
@NgModule({...})
export class MyModule {
  static forRoot(config: SomeConfig): ModuleWithProviders<SomeModule> {
   return {
      ngModule: SomeModule,
      providers: [
        {provide: SomeConfig, useValue: config }
      ٦
    };
 }
```

In the rare case that the schematic can't determine the type of ModuleWithProviders, you may see the schematic print a TODO comment to update the code manually.

Why is this migration necessary?

ModuleWithProviders has had the generic type since Angular version 7, but it has been optional. This has compiled because the metadata.json files contained all the metadata. With Ivy, metadata.json files are no longer required, so the framework cannot assume that one with the necessary types has been provided. Instead, Ivy relies on the generic type for ModuleWithProviders to get the correct type information.

For this reason, Angular version 9 deprecates ModuleWithProviders without a generic type. A future version of Angular will remove the default generic type, making an explicit type required.

Should I add the generic type when I add new ModuleWithProviders types to my application?

Yes, any time your code references the ModuleWithProviders type, it should have a generic type that matches the actual NgModule that is returned (for example, ModuleWithProviders<MyModule>).

What should I do if the schematic prints a TODO comment?

The schematic will print a TODO comment in the event that it cannot detect the correct generic for the ModuleWithProviders type. In this case, you'll want to manually add the correct generic to ModuleWithProviders. It should match the type of whichever NgModule is returned in the ModuleWithProviders object.

What does this mean for libraries?

Libraries should add the generic type to any usages of the ModuleWithProviders type.

What about applications using non-migrated libraries?

The Angular compatibility compiler (ngcc) should automatically transform any non-migrated libraries to generate the proper code.