

Clustering

Clustering of unlabeled data can be performed with the module `mod:'sklearn.cluster'`.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 7); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "mod".

Each clustering algorithm comes in two variants: a class, that implements the `fit` method to learn the clusters on train data, and a function, that, given train data, returns an array of integer labels corresponding to the different clusters. For the class, the labels over the training data can be found in the `labels_` attribute.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 16)

Unknown directive type "currentmodule".

```
.. currentmodule:: sklearn.cluster
```

Input data

One important thing to note is that the algorithms implemented in this module can take different kinds of matrix as input. All the methods accept standard data matrices of shape `(n_samples, n_features)`. These can be obtained from the classes in the `mod:'sklearn.feature_extraction'` module. For `:class:'AffinityPropagation'`, `:class:'SpectralClustering'` and `:class:'DBSCAN'` one can also input similarity matrices of shape `(n_samples, n_samples)`. These can be obtained from the functions in the `mod:'sklearn.metrics.pairwise'` module.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 20); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "mod".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 20); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 20); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 20); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 20); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "mod".

Overview of clustering methods

A comparison of the clustering algorithms in scikit-learn

Method name	Parameters	Scalability	Usecase	Geometry (metric used)
<pre>.ref`K-Means <k_means>`</pre> <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 50); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	number of clusters	Very large <code>n_samples</code> , medium <code>n_clusters</code> with .ref`MiniBatch code <mini_batch_kmeans>` <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 52); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	General-purpose, even cluster size, flat geometry, not too many clusters, inductive	Distances between points
<pre>.ref`Affinity propagation <affinity_propagation>`</pre> <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 58); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	damping, sample preference	Not scalable with <code>n_samples</code>	Many clusters, uneven cluster size, non-flat geometry, inductive	Graph distance (e.g. nearest-neighbor graph)
<pre>.ref`Mean-shift <mean_shift>`</pre> <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 64); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	bandwidth	Not scalable with <code>n_samples</code>	Many clusters, uneven cluster size, non-flat geometry, inductive	Distances between points

Method name	Parameters	Scalability	Usecase	Geometry (metric used)
.ref: Spectral clustering <spectral_clustering>` <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D: \onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 70); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	number of clusters	Medium <code>n_samples</code> , small <code>n_clusters</code>	Few clusters, even cluster size, non-flat geometry, transductive	Graph distance (e.g. nearest-neighbor graph)
.ref: Ward hierarchical clustering <hierarchical_clustering>` <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D: \onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 76); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	number of clusters or distance threshold	Large <code>n_samples</code> and <code>n_clusters</code>	Many clusters, possibly connectivity constraints, transductive	Distances between points
.ref: Agglomerative clustering <hierarchical_clustering>` <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D: \onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 82); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	number of clusters or distance threshold, linkage type, distance	Large <code>n_samples</code> and <code>n_clusters</code>	Many clusters, possibly connectivity constraints, non Euclidean distances, transductive	Any pairwise distance

Method name	Parameters	Scalability	Usecase	Geometry (metric used)
.ref: DBSCAN <dbscan> <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 89); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	neighborhood size	Very large n_samples, medium n_clusters	Non-flat geometry, uneven cluster sizes, outlier removal, transductive	Distances between nearest points
.ref: OPTICS <optics> <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 96); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	minimum cluster membership	Very large n_samples, large n_clusters	Non-flat geometry, uneven cluster sizes, variable cluster density, outlier removal, transductive	Distances between points
.ref: Gaussian mixtures <mixture> <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 103); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div>	many	Not scalable	Flat geometry, good for density estimation, inductive	Mahalanobis distances to centers

Method name	Parameters	Scalability	Usecase	Geometry (metric used)
<div> <div> :ref: BIRCH <birch>` </div> <div> <div> System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 109); backlink </div> <div> Unknown interpreted text role "ref". </div> </div> </div>	branching factor, threshold, optional global clusterer.	Large <code>n_clusters</code> and <code>n_samples</code>	Large dataset, outlier removal, data reduction, inductive	Euclidean distance between points

Non-flat geometry clustering is useful when the clusters have a specific shape, i.e. a non-flat manifold, and the standard euclidean distance is not the right metric. This case arises in the two top rows of the figure above.

Gaussian mixture models, useful for clustering, are described in [:ref: another chapter of the documentation <mixture>](#) dedicated to mixture models. KMeans can be seen as a special case of Gaussian mixture model with equal covariance per component.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 120); backlink

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

[:term: Transductive <transductive>](#) clustering methods (in contrast to [:term: inductive](#) clustering methods) are not designed to be applied to new, unseen data.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 125); backlink

Unknown interpreted text role "term".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 125); backlink

Unknown interpreted text role "term".

K-means

The [:class: KMeans](#) algorithm clusters data by trying to separate samples in `n` groups of equal variance, minimizing a criterion known as the *inertia* or within-cluster sum-of-squares (see below). This algorithm requires the number of clusters to be specified. It scales well to large number of samples and has been used across a large range of application areas in many different fields.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 134); backlink

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

The k-means algorithm divides a set of N samples X into K disjoint clusters C , each described by the mean μ_j of the samples in the cluster. The means are commonly called the cluster "centroids"; note that they are not, in general, points from X , although they live in the same space.

The K-means algorithm aims to choose centroids that minimise the **inertia**, or **within-cluster sum-of-squares criterion**:

$$\min_{\mu_j \in C} \sum_{i=0}^n \|x_i - \mu_j\|^2$$

Inertia can be recognized as a measure of how internally coherent clusters are. It suffers from various drawbacks:

- Inertia makes the assumption that clusters are convex and isotropic, which is not always the case. It responds poorly to elongated clusters, or manifolds with irregular shapes.
- Inertia is not a normalized metric: we just know that lower values are better and zero is optimal. But in very high-dimensional spaces, Euclidean distances tend to become inflated (this is an instance of the so-called "curse of dimensionality"). Running a dimensionality reduction algorithm such as `ref`PCA`` prior to k-means clustering can alleviate this problem and speed up the computations.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 158); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

K-means is often referred to as Lloyd's algorithm. In basic terms, the algorithm has three steps. The first step chooses the initial centroids, with the most basic method being to choose k samples from the dataset X . After initialization, K-means consists of looping between the two other steps. The first step assigns each sample to its nearest centroid. The second step creates new centroids by taking the mean value of all of the samples assigned to each previous centroid. The difference between the old and the new centroids are computed and the algorithm repeats these last two steps until this value is less than a threshold. In other words, it repeats until the centroids do not move significantly.

K-means is equivalent to the expectation-maximization algorithm with a small, all-equal, diagonal covariance matrix.

The algorithm can also be understood through the concept of [Voronoi diagrams](#). First the Voronoi diagram of the points is calculated using the current centroids. Each segment in the Voronoi diagram becomes a separate cluster. Secondly, the centroids are updated to the mean of each segment. The algorithm then repeats this until a stopping criterion is fulfilled. Usually, the algorithm stops when the relative decrease in the objective function between iterations is less than the given tolerance value. This is not the case in this implementation: iteration stops when centroids move less than the tolerance.

Given enough time, K-means will always converge, however this may be to a local minimum. This is highly dependent on the initialization of the centroids. As a result, the computation is often done several times, with different initializations of the centroids. One method to help address this issue is the k-means++ initialization scheme, which has been implemented in scikit-learn (use the `init='k-means++'` parameter). This initializes the centroids to be (generally) distant from each other, leading to probably better results than random initialization, as shown in the reference.

K-means++ can also be called independently to select seeds for other clustering algorithms, see `func`sklearn.cluster.kmeans_plusplus`` for details and example usage.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 209); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

The algorithm supports sample weights, which can be given by a parameter `sample_weight`. This allows to assign more weight to some samples when computing cluster centers and values of inertia. For example, assigning a weight of 2 to a sample is equivalent to adding a duplicate of that sample to the dataset X .

K-means can be used for vector quantization. This is achieved using the transform method of a trained model of `class`KMeans``.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 219); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

Low-level parallelism

`class`KMeans`` benefits from OpenMP based parallelism through Cython. Small chunks of data (256 samples) are processed in parallel, which in addition yields a low memory footprint. For more details on how to control the number of threads, please refer to our `ref`parallelism`` notes.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 225); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 225); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Examples:

- `ref'sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_kmeans_assumptions.py`: Demonstrating when k-means performs intuitively and when it does not

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 232); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref'sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_kmeans_digits.py`: Clustering handwritten digits

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 234); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

References:

- "k-means++: The advantages of careful seeding" Arthur, David, and Sergei Vassilvitskii, *Proceedings of the eighteenth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (2007)

Mini Batch K-Means

The `class:MiniBatchKMeans` is a variant of the `class:KMeans` algorithm which uses mini-batches to reduce the computation time, while still attempting to optimise the same objective function. Mini-batches are subsets of the input data, randomly sampled in each training iteration. These mini-batches drastically reduce the amount of computation required to converge to a local solution. In contrast to other algorithms that reduce the convergence time of k-means, mini-batch k-means produces results that are generally only slightly worse than the standard algorithm.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 249); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 249); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

The algorithm iterates between two major steps, similar to vanilla k-means. In the first step, b samples are drawn randomly from the dataset, to form a mini-batch. These are then assigned to the nearest centroid. In the second step, the centroids are updated. In contrast to k-means, this is done on a per-sample basis. For each sample in the mini-batch, the assigned centroid is updated by taking the streaming average of the sample and all previous samples assigned to that centroid. This has the effect of decreasing the rate of change for a centroid over time. These steps are performed until convergence or a predetermined number of iterations is reached.

`class:MiniBatchKMeans` converges faster than `class:KMeans`, but the quality of the results is reduced. In practice this difference in quality can be quite small, as shown in the example and cited reference.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 268); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 268); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

Examples:

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_mini_batch_kmeans.py``: Comparison of KMeans and MiniBatchKMeans

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 280); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_text_plot_document_clustering.py``: Document clustering using sparse MiniBatchKMeans

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 283); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_dict_face_patches.py``

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 286); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

References:

- ["Web Scale K-Means clustering"](#) D. Sculley, *Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web* (2010)

Affinity Propagation

`class`AffinityPropagation`` creates clusters by sending messages between pairs of samples until convergence. A dataset is then described using a small number of exemplars, which are identified as those most representative of other samples. The messages sent between pairs represent the suitability for one sample to be the exemplar of the other, which is updated in response to the values from other pairs. This updating happens iteratively until convergence, at which point the final exemplars are chosen, and hence the final clustering is given.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 301); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

Affinity Propagation can be interesting as it chooses the number of clusters based on the data provided. For this purpose, the two important parameters are the *preference*, which controls how many exemplars are used, and the *damping factor* which damps the responsibility and availability messages to avoid numerical oscillations when updating these messages.

The main drawback of Affinity Propagation is its complexity. The algorithm has a time complexity of the order $O(N^2T)$, where N is the number of samples and T is the number of iterations until convergence. Further, the memory complexity is of the order $O(N^2)$ if a dense similarity matrix is used, but reducible if a sparse similarity matrix is used. This makes Affinity Propagation most appropriate for small to medium sized datasets.

Examples:

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_affinity_propagation.py``: Affinity Propagation on a synthetic 2D datasets with 3 classes.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 333); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref:sphinx_glr_auto_examples_applications_plot_stock_market.py` Affinity Propagation on Financial time series to find groups of companies

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 336); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Algorithm description: The messages sent between points belong to one of two categories. The first is the responsibility $r(i, k)$, which is the accumulated evidence that sample k should be the exemplar for sample i . The second is the availability $a(i, k)$ which is the accumulated evidence that sample i should choose sample k to be its exemplar, and considers the values for all other samples that k should be an exemplar. In this way, exemplars are chosen by samples if they are (1) similar enough to many samples and (2) chosen by many samples to be representative of themselves.

More formally, the responsibility of a sample k to be the exemplar of sample i is given by:

$$r(i, k) \leftarrow s(i, k) - \max_{k' \neq k} [a(i, k') + s(i, k')] \forall k' \neq k$$

Where $s(i, k)$ is the similarity between samples i and k . The availability of sample k to be the exemplar of sample i is given by:

$$a(i, k) \leftarrow \min[0, r(k, k) + \sum_{i' s.t. i' \notin \{i, k\}} r(i', k)]$$

To begin with, all values for r and a are set to zero, and the calculation of each iterates until convergence. As discussed above, in order to avoid numerical oscillations when updating the messages, the damping factor λ is introduced to iteration process:

$$r_{t+1}(i, k) = \lambda \cdot r_t(i, k) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot r_{t+1}(i, k)$$

$$a_{t+1}(i, k) = \lambda \cdot a_t(i, k) + (1 - \lambda) \cdot a_{t+1}(i, k)$$

where t indicates the iteration times.

Mean Shift

`class: MeanShift` clustering aims to discover *blobs* in a smooth density of samples. It is a centroid based algorithm, which works by updating candidates for centroids to be the mean of the points within a given region. These candidates are then filtered in a post-processing stage to eliminate near-duplicates to form the final set of centroids.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 382); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

Given a candidate centroid x_i for iteration t , the candidate is updated according to the following equation:

$$x_i^{t+1} = m(x_i^t)$$

Where $N(x_i)$ is the neighborhood of samples within a given distance around x_i and m is the *mean shift* vector that is computed for each centroid that points towards a region of the maximum increase in the density of points. This is computed using the following equation, effectively updating a centroid to be the mean of the samples within its neighborhood:

$$m(x_i) = \frac{\sum_{x_j \in N(x_i)} K(x_j - x_i) x_j}{\sum_{x_j \in N(x_i)} K(x_j - x_i)}$$

The algorithm automatically sets the number of clusters, instead of relying on a parameter `bandwidth`, which dictates the size of the region to search through. This parameter can be set manually, but can be estimated using the provided `estimate_bandwidth`

function, which is called if the bandwidth is not set.

The algorithm is not highly scalable, as it requires multiple nearest neighbor searches during the execution of the algorithm. The algorithm is guaranteed to converge, however the algorithm will stop iterating when the change in centroids is small.

Labelling a new sample is performed by finding the nearest centroid for a given sample.



Examples:

- `ref'sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_mean_shift.py`: Mean Shift clustering on a synthetic 2D datasets with 3 classes.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 427); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

References:

- `doi:"Mean shift: A robust approach toward feature space analysis"<10.1109/34.1000236>` D. Comaniciu and P. Meer, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2002)

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 432); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

Spectral clustering

`:class:'SpectralClustering'` performs a low-dimension embedding of the affinity matrix between samples, followed by clustering, e.g., by KMeans, of the components of the eigenvectors in the low dimensional space. It is especially computationally efficient if the affinity matrix is sparse and the *amg* solver is used for the eigenvalue problem (Note, the *amg* solver requires that the [pyamg](#) module is installed.)

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 442); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

The present version of SpectralClustering requires the number of clusters to be specified in advance. It works well for a small number of clusters, but is not advised for many clusters.

For two clusters, SpectralClustering solves a convex relaxation of the [normalised cuts](#) problem on the similarity graph: cutting the graph in two so that the weight of the edges cut is small compared to the weights of the edges inside each cluster. This criteria is especially interesting when working on images, where graph vertices are pixels, and weights of the edges of the similarity graph are computed using a function of a gradient of the image.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 470)

Unknown directive type "centered".

```
.. centered:: |noisy_img| |segmented_img|
```

Warning

Transforming distance to well-behaved similarities

Note that if the values of your similarity matrix are not well distributed, e.g. with negative values or with a distance matrix rather than a similarity, the spectral problem will be singular and the problem not solvable. In which case it is advised to apply a transformation to the entries of the matrix. For instance, in the case of a signed distance matrix, is common to apply a heat kernel:

```
similarity = np.exp(-beta * distance / distance.std())
```

See the examples for such an application.

Examples:

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_segmentation_toy.py``: Segmenting objects from a noisy background using spectral clustering.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 487); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_coin_segmentation.py``: Spectral clustering to split the image of coins in regions.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 490); [backlink](#)




Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Different label assignment strategies

Different label assignment strategies can be used, corresponding to the `assign_labels` parameter of `class:`SpectralClustering``. "kmeans" strategy can match finer details, but can be unstable. In particular, unless you control the `random_state`, it may not be reproducible from run-to-run, as it depends on random initialization. The alternative "discretize" strategy is 100% reproducible, but tends to create parcels of fairly even and geometrical shape. The recently added "cluster_qr" option is a deterministic alternative that tends to create the visually best partitioning on the example application below.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 508); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

<code>assign_labels="kmeans"</code>	<code>assign_labels="discretize"</code>	<code>assign_labels="cluster_qr"</code>
		

References:

- ["Multiclass spectral clustering"](#) Stella X. Yu, Jianbo Shi, 2003
- `doi:"Simple, direct, and efficient multi-way spectral clustering"<10.1093/imaia/ia008>`` Anil Damle, Victor Minden, Lexing Ying, 2019

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 531); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

Spectral Clustering Graphs

Spectral Clustering can also be used to partition graphs via their spectral embeddings. In this case, the affinity matrix is the adjacency matrix of the graph, and `SpectralClustering` is initialized with `affinity='precomputed'`:

```
>>> from sklearn.cluster import SpectralClustering
>>> sc = SpectralClustering(3, affinity='precomputed', n_init=100,
...                         assign_labels='discretize')
>>> sc.fit_predict(adjacency_matrix) # doctest: +SKIP
```

References:

- `doi:"A Tutorial on Spectral Clustering"<10.1007/s11222-007-9033-z>`` Ulrike von Luxburg, 2007

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 550); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

- `:doi:"Normalized cuts and image segmentation" <10.1109/34.868688>` Jianbo Shi, Jitendra Malik, 2000

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 554); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

- `"A Random Walks View of Spectral Segmentation"` Marina Meila, Jianbo Shi, 2001
- `"On Spectral Clustering: Analysis and an algorithm"` Andrew Y. Ng, Michael I. Jordan, Yair Weiss, 2001
- `:arxiv:"Preconditioned Spectral Clustering for Stochastic Block Partition Streaming Graph Challenge" <1708.07481>` David Zhuzhunashvili, Andrew Knyazev

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 566); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "arxiv".

Hierarchical clustering

Hierarchical clustering is a general family of clustering algorithms that build nested clusters by merging or splitting them successively. This hierarchy of clusters is represented as a tree (or dendrogram). The root of the tree is the unique cluster that gathers all the samples, the leaves being the clusters with only one sample. See the [Wikipedia page](#) for more details.

The `:class:'AgglomerativeClustering'` object performs a hierarchical clustering using a bottom up approach: each observation starts in its own cluster, and clusters are successively merged together. The linkage criteria determines the metric used for the merge strategy:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 583); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

- **Ward** minimizes the sum of squared differences within all clusters. It is a variance-minimizing approach and in this sense is similar to the k-means objective function but tackled with an agglomerative hierarchical approach.
- **Maximum** or **complete linkage** minimizes the maximum distance between observations of pairs of clusters.
- **Average linkage** minimizes the average of the distances between all observations of pairs of clusters.
- **Single linkage** minimizes the distance between the closest observations of pairs of clusters.

`:class:'AgglomerativeClustering'` can also scale to large number of samples when it is used jointly with a connectivity matrix, but is computationally expensive when no connectivity constraints are added between samples: it considers at each step all the possible merges.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 599); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

`:class:'FeatureAgglomeration'`

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 604); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

The `:class:'FeatureAgglomeration'` uses agglomerative clustering to group together features that look very similar, thus decreasing the number of features. It is a dimensionality reduction tool, see [ref: data_reduction](#).

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 606); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 606); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Different linkage type: Ward, complete, average, and single linkage

`:class:'AgglomerativeClustering'` supports Ward, single, average, and complete linkage strategies.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 614); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

Agglomerative cluster has a "rich get richer" behavior that leads to uneven cluster sizes. In this regard, single linkage is the worst strategy, and Ward gives the most regular sizes. However, the affinity (or distance used in clustering) cannot be varied with Ward, thus for non Euclidean metrics, average linkage is a good alternative. Single linkage, while not robust to noisy data, can be computed very efficiently and can therefore be useful to provide hierarchical clustering of larger datasets. Single linkage can also perform well on non-globular data.

Examples:

- `:ref:'sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_digits_linkage.py'`: exploration of the different linkage strategies in a real dataset.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 632); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Visualization of cluster hierarchy

It's possible to visualize the tree representing the hierarchical merging of clusters as a dendrogram. Visual inspection can often be useful for understanding the structure of the data, though more so in the case of small sample sizes.

Adding connectivity constraints

An interesting aspect of `:class:'AgglomerativeClustering'` is that connectivity constraints can be added to this algorithm (only adjacent clusters can be merged together), through a connectivity matrix that defines for each sample the neighboring samples following a given structure of the data. For instance, in the swiss-roll example below, the connectivity constraints forbid the merging of points that are not adjacent on the swiss roll, and thus avoid forming clusters that extend across overlapping folds of the roll.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 651); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 668)

Unknown directive type "centered".

```
.. centered:: |unstructured| |structured|
```

These constraint are useful to impose a certain local structure, but they also make the algorithm faster, especially when the number of the samples is high.

The connectivity constraints are imposed via an connectivity matrix: a scipy sparse matrix that has elements only at the intersection of a row and a column with indices of the dataset that should be connected. This matrix can be constructed from a-priori information: for instance, you may wish to cluster web pages by only merging pages with a link pointing from one to another. It can also be learned from the data, for instance using `:func:'sklearn.neighbors.kneighbors_graph'` to restrict merging to nearest neighbors as in `:ref:'this example <sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_agglomerative_clustering.py>'`, or using `:func:'sklearn.feature_extraction.image.grid_to_graph'` to enable only merging of neighboring pixels on an image, as in the `:ref:'coin`

<sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_coin_ward_segmentation.py>' example.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 674); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 674); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 674); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 674); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Examples:

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_coin_ward_segmentation.py``: Ward clustering to split the image of coins in regions.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 689); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_ward_structured_vs_unstructured.py``: Example of Ward algorithm on a swiss-roll, comparison of structured approaches versus unstructured approaches.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 692); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_feature_agglomeration_vs_univariate_selection.py``: Example of dimensionality reduction with feature agglomeration based on Ward hierarchical clustering.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 696); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_agglomerative_clustering.py``

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 700); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Warning

Connectivity constraints with single, average and complete linkage

Connectivity constraints and single, complete or average linkage can enhance the 'rich getting richer' aspect of agglomerative clustering, particularly so if they are built with `func`sklearn.neighbors.kneighbors_graph``. In the limit of a small number of clusters, they tend to give a few macroscopically occupied clusters and almost empty ones. (see the discussion in `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_agglomerative_clustering.py``). Single linkage is the most

brittle linkage option with regard to this issue.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 704); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 704); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Varying the metric

Single, average and complete linkage can be used with a variety of distances (or affinities), in particular Euclidean distance (l_2), Manhattan distance (or Cityblock, or l_1), cosine distance, or any precomputed affinity matrix.

- l_1 distance is often good for sparse features, or sparse noise: i.e. many of the features are zero, as in text mining using occurrences of rare words.
- *cosine* distance is interesting because it is invariant to global scalings of the signal.

The guidelines for choosing a metric is to use one that maximizes the distance between samples in different classes, and minimizes that within each class.

Examples:

- `ref'sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_agglomerative_clustering_metrics.py`

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 763); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

DBSCAN

The `:class:'DBSCAN'` algorithm views clusters as areas of high density separated by areas of low density. Due to this rather generic view, clusters found by DBSCAN can be any shape, as opposed to k-means which assumes that clusters are convex shaped. The central component to the DBSCAN is the concept of *core samples*, which are samples that are in areas of high density. A cluster is therefore a set of core samples, each close to each other (measured by some distance measure) and a set of non-core samples that are close to a core sample (but are not themselves core samples). There are two parameters to the algorithm, `min_samples` and `eps`, which define formally what we mean when we say *dense*. Higher `min_samples` or lower `eps` indicate higher density necessary to form a cluster.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 771); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

More formally, we define a core sample as being a sample in the dataset such that there exist `min_samples` other samples within a distance of `eps`, which are defined as *neighbors* of the core sample. This tells us that the core sample is in a dense area of the vector space. A cluster is a set of core samples that can be built by recursively taking a core sample, finding all of its neighbors that are core samples, finding all of *their* neighbors that are core samples, and so on. A cluster also has a set of non-core samples, which are samples that are neighbors of a core sample in the cluster but are not themselves core samples. Intuitively, these samples are on the fringes of a cluster.

Any core sample is part of a cluster, by definition. Any sample that is not a core sample, and is at least `eps` in distance from any core

sample, is considered an outlier by the algorithm

While the parameter `min_samples` primarily controls how tolerant the algorithm is towards noise (on noisy and large data sets it may be desirable to increase this parameter), the parameter `eps` is *crucial to choose appropriately* for the data set and distance function and usually cannot be left at the default value. It controls the local neighborhood of the points. When chosen too small, most data will not be clustered at all (and labeled as -1 for "noise"). When chosen too large, it causes close clusters to be merged into one cluster, and eventually the entire data set to be returned as a single cluster. Some heuristics for choosing this parameter have been discussed in the literature, for example based on a knee in the nearest neighbor distances plot (as discussed in the references below).

In the figure below, the color indicates cluster membership, with large circles indicating core samples found by the algorithm. Smaller circles are non-core samples that are still part of a cluster. Moreover, the outliers are indicated by black points below.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 821)

Unknown directive type "centered".

```
.. centered:: |dbscan_results|
```

Examples:

- `ref`sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_dbscan.py``

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 825); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Implementation

The DBSCAN algorithm is deterministic, always generating the same clusters when given the same data in the same order. However, the results can differ when data is provided in a different order. First, even though the core samples will always be assigned to the same clusters, the labels of those clusters will depend on the order in which those samples are encountered in the data. Second and more importantly, the clusters to which non-core samples are assigned can differ depending on the data order. This would happen when a non-core sample has a distance lower than `eps` to two core samples in different clusters. By the triangular inequality, those two core samples must be more distant than `eps` from each other, or they would be in the same cluster. The non-core sample is assigned to whichever cluster is generated first in a pass through the data, and so the results will depend on the data ordering.

The current implementation uses ball trees and kd-trees to determine the neighborhood of points, which avoids calculating the full distance matrix (as was done in scikit-learn versions before 0.14). The possibility to use custom metrics is retained; for details, see `:class:`NearestNeighbors``.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 842); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

Memory consumption for large sample sizes

This implementation is by default not memory efficient because it constructs a full pairwise similarity matrix in the case where kd-trees or ball-trees cannot be used (e.g., with sparse matrices). This matrix will consume n^2 floats. A couple of mechanisms for getting around this are:

- Use `ref:`OPTICS <optics>`` clustering in conjunction with the `extract_dbscan` method. OPTICS clustering also calculates the full pairwise matrix, but only keeps one row in memory at a time (memory complexity n).

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 856); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

- A sparse radius neighborhood graph (where missing entries are presumed to be out of `eps`) can be precomputed in a memory-efficient way and dbscan can be run over this with `metric='precomputed'`. See `meth:`sklearn.neighbors.NearestNeighbors.radius_neighbors_graph``.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 861); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "meth".

- The dataset can be compressed, either by removing exact duplicates if these occur in your data, or by using BIRCH. Then you only have a relatively small number of representatives for a large number of points. You can then provide a `sample_weight` when fitting DBSCAN.

References:

- "A Density-Based Algorithm for Discovering Clusters in Large Spatial Databases with Noise" Ester, M., H. P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu, In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Portland, OR, AAAI Press, pp. 226â€231. 1996
- "DBSCAN revisited, revisited: why and how you should (still) use DBSCAN. Schubert, E., Sander, J., Ester, M., Kriegel, H. P., & Xu, X. (2017). In ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), 42(3), 19.

OPTICS

The `:class:'OPTICS'` algorithm shares many similarities with the `:class:'DBSCAN'` algorithm, and can be considered a generalization of DBSCAN that relaxes the `eps` requirement from a single value to a value range. The key difference between DBSCAN and OPTICS is that the OPTICS algorithm builds a *reachability* graph, which assigns each sample both a `reachability_distance`, and a spot within the cluster `ordering_` attribute; these two attributes are assigned when the model is fitted, and are used to determine cluster membership. If OPTICS is run with the default value of *inf* set for `max_eps`, then DBSCAN style cluster extraction can be performed repeatedly in linear time for any given `eps` value using the `cluster_optics_dbscan` method. Setting `max_eps` to a lower value will result in shorter run times, and can be thought of as the maximum neighborhood radius from each point to find other potential reachable points.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 888); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 888); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "class".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 906)

Unknown directive type "centered".

```
.. centered:: |optics_results|
```

The *reachability* distances generated by OPTICS allow for variable density extraction of clusters within a single data set. As shown in the above plot, combining *reachability* distances and data set `ordering_` produces a *reachability plot*, where point density is represented on the Y-axis, and points are ordered such that nearby points are adjacent. 'Cutting' the reachability plot at a single value produces DBSCAN like results; all points above the 'cut' are classified as noise, and each time that there is a break when reading from left to right signifies a new cluster. The default cluster extraction with OPTICS looks at the steep slopes within the graph to find clusters, and the user can define what counts as a steep slope using the parameter `xi`. There are also other possibilities for analysis on the graph itself, such as generating hierarchical representations of the data through reachability-plot dendrograms, and the hierarchy of clusters detected by the algorithm can be accessed through the `cluster_hierarchy_` parameter. The plot above has been color-coded so that cluster colors in planar space match the linear segment clusters of the reachability plot. Note that the blue and red clusters are adjacent in the reachability plot, and can be hierarchically represented as children of a larger parent cluster.

Examples:

- `ref`sphinx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_optics.py``

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 929); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Comparison with DBSCAN

The results from `OPTICS_cluster_optics_dbscan` method and DBSCAN are very similar, but not always identical; specifically, labeling of periphery and noise points. This is in part because the first samples of each dense area processed by OPTICS have a large reachability value while being close to other points in their area, and will thus sometimes be marked as noise rather than periphery. This affects adjacent points when they are considered as candidates for being marked as either periphery or noise.

Note that for any single value of `eps`, DBSCAN will tend to have a shorter run time than OPTICS; however, for repeated runs at varying `eps` values, a single run of OPTICS may require less cumulative runtime than DBSCAN. It is also important to note that OPTICS' output is close to DBSCAN's only if `eps` and `max_eps` are close.

Computational Complexity

Spatial indexing trees are used to avoid calculating the full distance matrix, and allow for efficient memory usage on large sets of samples. Different distance metrics can be supplied via the `metric` keyword.

For large datasets, similar (but not identical) results can be obtained via [HDBSCAN](#). The HDBSCAN implementation is multithreaded, and has better algorithmic runtime complexity than OPTICS, at the cost of worse memory scaling. For extremely large datasets that exhaust system memory using HDBSCAN, OPTICS will maintain n (as opposed to n^2) memory scaling; however, tuning of the `max_eps` parameter will likely need to be used to give a solution in a reasonable amount of wall time.

References:

- "OPTICS: ordering points to identify the clustering structure." Ankerst, Mihael, Markus M. Breunig, Hans-Peter Kriegel, and Jörg Sander. In ACM Sigmod Record, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 49-60. ACM, 1999.

BIRCH

The `:class:'Birch'` builds a tree called the Clustering Feature Tree (CFT) for the given data. The data is essentially lossy compressed to a set of Clustering Feature nodes (CF Nodes). The CF Nodes have a number of subclusters called Clustering Feature subclusters (CF Subclusters) and these CF Subclusters located in the non-terminal CF Nodes can have CF Nodes as children.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 974); [backlink](#)
Unknown interpreted text role "class".

The CF Subclusters hold the necessary information for clustering which prevents the need to hold the entire input data in memory. This information includes:

- Number of samples in a subcluster.
- Linear Sum - An n -dimensional vector holding the sum of all samples
- Squared Sum - Sum of the squared L2 norm of all samples.
- Centroids - To avoid recalculation linear sum / n_{samples} .
- Squared norm of the centroids.

The BIRCH algorithm has two parameters, the threshold and the branching factor. The branching factor limits the number of subclusters in a node and the threshold limits the distance between the entering sample and the existing subclusters.

This algorithm can be viewed as an instance or data reduction method, since it reduces the input data to a set of subclusters which are obtained directly from the leaves of the CFT. This reduced data can be further processed by feeding it into a global clusterer. This global clusterer can be set by `n_clusters`. If `n_clusters` is set to `None`, the subclusters from the leaves are directly read off; otherwise a global clustering step labels these subclusters into global clusters (labels) and the samples are mapped to the global label of the nearest subcluster.

Algorithm description:

- A new sample is inserted into the root of the CF Tree which is a CF Node. It is then merged with the subcluster of the root, that has the smallest radius after merging, constrained by the threshold and branching factor conditions. If the subcluster has any child node, then this is done repeatedly till it reaches a leaf. After finding the nearest subcluster in the leaf, the properties of this subcluster and the parent subclusters are recursively updated.
- If the radius of the subcluster obtained by merging the new sample and the nearest subcluster is greater than the square of the threshold and if the number of subclusters is greater than the branching factor, then a space is temporarily allocated to this new sample. The two farthest subclusters are taken and the subclusters are divided into two groups on the basis of the distance between these subclusters.
- If this split node has a parent subcluster and there is room for a new subcluster, then the parent is split into two. If there is no room, then this node is again split into two and the process is continued recursively, till it reaches the root.

BIRCH or MiniBatchKMeans?

- BIRCH does not scale very well to high dimensional data. As a rule of thumb if `n_features` is greater than twenty,

it is generally better to use MiniBatchKMeans.

- If the number of instances of data needs to be reduced, or if one wants a large number of subclusters either as a preprocessing step or otherwise, BIRCH is more useful than MiniBatchKMeans.

How to use `partial_fit`?

To avoid the computation of global clustering, for every call of `partial_fit` the user is advised

1. To set `n_clusters=None` initially
2. Train all data by multiple calls to `partial_fit`.
3. Set `n_clusters` to a required value using `brc.set_params(n_clusters=n_clusters)`.
4. Call `partial_fit` finally with no arguments, i.e. `brc.partial_fit()` which performs the global clustering.

References:

- Tian Zhang, Raghu Ramakrishnan, Maron Livny BIRCH: An efficient data clustering method for large databases.
<https://www.cs.sfu.ca/CourseCentral/459/han/papers/zhang96.pdf>
- Roberto Perdisci JBirch - Java implementation of BIRCH clustering algorithm
<https://code.google.com/archive/p/jbirch>

Clustering performance evaluation

Evaluating the performance of a clustering algorithm is not as trivial as counting the number of errors or the precision and recall of a supervised classification algorithm. In particular any evaluation metric should not take the absolute values of the cluster labels into account but rather if this clustering define separations of the data similar to some ground truth set of classes or satisfying some assumption such that members belong to the same class are more similar than members of different classes according to some similarity metric.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 1073)

Unknown directive type "currentmodule".

```
.. currentmodule:: sklearn.metrics
```

Rand index

Given the knowledge of the ground truth class assignments `labels_true` and our clustering algorithm assignments of the same samples `labels_pred`, the **(adjusted or unadjusted) Rand index** is a function that measures the **similarity** of the two assignments, ignoring permutations:

```
>>> from sklearn import metrics
>>> labels_true = [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1]
>>> labels_pred = [0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2]
>>> metrics.rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.66...
```

The Rand index does not ensure to obtain a value close to 0.0 for a random labelling. The adjusted Rand index **corrects for chance** and will give such a baseline.

```
>>> metrics.adjusted_rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.24...
```

As with all clustering metrics, one can permute 0 and 1 in the predicted labels, rename 2 to 3, and get the same score:

```
>>> labels_pred = [1, 1, 0, 0, 3, 3]
>>> metrics.rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.66...
>>> metrics.adjusted_rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.24...
```

Furthermore, both `func:rand_score` `func:adjusted_rand_score` are **symmetric**: swapping the argument does not change the scores. They can thus be used as **consensus measures**:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 1109); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1109); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

```
>>> metrics.rand_score(labels_pred, labels_true)
0.66...
>>> metrics.adjusted_rand_score(labels_pred, labels_true)
0.24...
```

Perfect labeling is scored 1.0:

```
>>> labels_pred = labels_true[:]
>>> metrics.rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
1.0
>>> metrics.adjusted_rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
1.0
```

Poorly agreeing labels (e.g. independent labelings) have lower scores, and for the adjusted Rand index the score will be negative or close to zero. However, for the unadjusted Rand index the score, while lower, will not necessarily be close to zero.:

```
>>> labels_true = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1]
>>> labels_pred = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6]
>>> metrics.rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.39...
>>> metrics.adjusted_rand_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
-0.07...
```

Advantages

- **Interpretability:** The unadjusted Rand index is proportional to the number of sample pairs whose labels are the same in both *labels_pred* and *labels_true*, or are different in both.
- **Random (uniform) label assignments have an adjusted Rand index score close to 0.0** for any value of *n_clusters* and *n_samples* (which is not the case for the unadjusted Rand index or the V-measure for instance).
- **Bounded range:** Lower values indicate different labelings, similar clusterings have a high (adjusted or unadjusted) Rand index, 1.0 is the perfect match score. The score range is [0, 1] for the unadjusted Rand index and [-1, 1] for the adjusted Rand index.
- **No assumption is made on the cluster structure:** The (adjusted or unadjusted) Rand index can be used to compare all kinds of clustering algorithms, and can be used to compare clustering algorithms such as k-means which assumes isotropic blob shapes with results of spectral clustering algorithms which can find cluster with "folded" shapes.

Drawbacks

- Contrary to inertia, the **(adjusted or unadjusted) Rand index requires knowledge of the ground truth classes** which is almost never available in practice or requires manual assignment by human annotators (as in the supervised learning setting).
However (adjusted or unadjusted) Rand index can also be useful in a purely unsupervised setting as a building block for a Consensus Index that can be used for clustering model selection (TODO).
- The **unadjusted Rand index is often close to 1.0** even if the clusterings themselves differ significantly. This can be understood when interpreting the Rand index as the accuracy of element pair labeling resulting from the clusterings: In practice there often is a majority of element pairs that are assigned the `different` pair label under both the predicted and the ground truth clustering resulting in a high proportion of pair labels that agree, which leads subsequently to a high score.

Examples:

- `ref'sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_adjusted_for_chance_measures.py`: Analysis of the impact of the dataset size on the value of spectral clustering measures for random assignments.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1187); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Mathematical formulation

If *C* is a ground truth class assignment and *K* the clustering, let us define *a* and *b* as:

- *a*, the number of pairs of elements that are in the same set in *C* and in the same set in *K*
- *b*, the number of pairs of elements that are in different sets in *C* and in different sets in *K*

The unadjusted Rand index is then given by:

$$RI = \frac{a + b}{C_{samples}^2}$$

where $C_{samples}^2$ is the total number of possible pairs in the dataset. It does not matter if the calculation is performed on ordered pairs or unordered pairs as long as the calculation is performed consistently.

However, the Rand index does not guarantee that random label assignments will get a value close to zero (esp. if the number of clusters is in the same order of magnitude as the number of samples).

To counter this effect we can discount the expected RI $E[RI]$ of random labelings by defining the adjusted Rand index as follows:

$$ARI = \frac{RI - E[RI]}{\max(RI) - E[RI]}$$

References

- [Comparing Partitions](#) L. Hubert and P. Arabie, Journal of Classification 1985
- [Properties of the Hubert-Arabie adjusted Rand index](#) D. Steinley, Psychological Methods 2004
- [Wikipedia entry for the Rand index](#)
- [Wikipedia entry for the adjusted Rand index](#)

Mutual Information based scores

Given the knowledge of the ground truth class assignments `labels_true` and our clustering algorithm assignments of the same samples `labels_pred`, the **Mutual Information** is a function that measures the **agreement** of the two assignments, ignoring permutations. Two different normalized versions of this measure are available, **Normalized Mutual Information (NMI)** and **Adjusted Mutual Information (AMI)**. NMI is often used in the literature, while AMI was proposed more recently and is **normalized against chance**:

```
>>> from sklearn import metrics
>>> labels_true = [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1]
>>> labels_pred = [0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2]

>>> metrics.adjusted_mutual_info_score(labels_true, labels_pred) # doctest: +SKIP
0.22504...
```

One can permute 0 and 1 in the predicted labels, rename 2 to 3 and get the same score:

```
>>> labels_pred = [1, 1, 0, 0, 3, 3]
>>> metrics.adjusted_mutual_info_score(labels_true, labels_pred) # doctest: +SKIP
0.22504...
```

All, `:func:`mutual_info_score``, `:func:`adjusted_mutual_info_score`` and `:func:`normalized_mutual_info_score`` are symmetric: swapping the argument does not change the score. Thus they can be used as a **consensus measure**:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 1266); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 1266); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 1266); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

```
>>> metrics.adjusted_mutual_info_score(labels_pred, labels_true) # doctest: +SKIP
0.22504...
```

Perfect labeling is scored 1.0:

```
>>> labels_pred = labels_true[:]
>>> metrics.adjusted_mutual_info_score(labels_true, labels_pred) # doctest: +SKIP
1.0

>>> metrics.normalized_mutual_info_score(labels_true, labels_pred) # doctest: +SKIP
```

This is not true for `mutual_info_score`, which is therefore harder to judge:

```
>>> metrics.mutual_info_score(labels_true, labels_pred) # doctest: +SKIP
0.69...
```

Bad (e.g. independent labelings) have non-positive scores:

```
>>> labels_true = [0, 1, 2, 0, 3, 4, 5, 1]
>>> labels_pred = [1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2]
>>> metrics.adjusted_mutual_info_score(labels_true, labels_pred) # doctest: +SKIP
-0.10526...
```

Advantages

- **Random (uniform) label assignments have a AMI score close to 0.0** for any value of `n_clusters` and `n_samples` (which is not the case for raw Mutual Information or the V-measure for instance).
- **Upper bound of 1:** Values close to zero indicate two label assignments that are largely independent, while values close to one indicate significant agreement. Further, an AMI of exactly 1 indicates that the two label assignments are equal (with or without permutation).

Drawbacks

- Contrary to inertia, **MI-based measures require the knowledge of the ground truth classes** while almost never available in practice or requires manual assignment by human annotators (as in the supervised learning setting).

However MI-based measures can also be useful in purely unsupervised setting as a building block for a Consensus Index that can be used for clustering model selection.

- NMI and MI are not adjusted against chance.

Examples:

- `ref'sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_adjusted_for_chance_measures.py`: Analysis of the impact of the dataset size on the value of clustering measures for random assignments. This example also includes the Adjusted Rand Index.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1325); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Mathematical formulation

Assume two label assignments (of the same N objects), U and V . Their entropy is the amount of uncertainty for a partition set, defined by:

$$H(U) = - \sum_{i=1}^{|U|} P(i) \log(P(i))$$

where $P(i) = |U_i|/N$ is the probability that an object picked at random from U falls into class U_i . Likewise for V :

$$H(V) = - \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} P'(j) \log(P'(j))$$

With $P'(j) = |V_j|/N$. The mutual information (MI) between U and V is calculated by:

$$MI(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{|U|} \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} P(i, j) \log \frac{P(i, j)}{P(i)P'(j)}$$

where $P(i, j) = |U_i \cap V_j|/N$ is the probability that an object picked at random falls into both classes U_i and V_j .

It also can be expressed in set cardinality formulation:

$$MI(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{|U|} \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} \frac{|U_i \cap V_j|}{N} \log \frac{N |U_i \cap V_j|}{|U_i| |V_j|}$$

The normalized mutual information is defined as

$$\text{NMI}(U, V) = \frac{\text{MI}(U, V)}{\text{mean}(H(U), H(V))}$$

This value of the mutual information and also the normalized variant is not adjusted for chance and will tend to increase as the number of different labels (clusters) increases, regardless of the actual amount of "mutual information" between the label assignments.

The expected value for the mutual information can be calculated using the following equation [VEB2009]. In this equation, $a_i = |U_i|$ (the number of elements in U_i) and $b_j = |V_j|$ (the number of elements in V_j).

$$E[\text{MI}(U, V)] = \sum_{i=1}^{|U|} \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} \frac{\min(a_i, b_j)}{n_{ij}} \log \frac{N \cdot n_{ij}}{a_i b_j} \frac{a_i! b_j! (N - a_i)! (N - b_j)!}{N! n_{ij}! (a_i - n_{ij})! (b_j - n_{ij})! (N - a_i - b_j + n_{ij})!}$$

Using the expected value, the adjusted mutual information can then be calculated using a similar form to that of the adjusted Rand index:

$$\text{AMI} = \frac{\text{MI} - E[\text{MI}]}{\text{mean}(H(U), H(V)) - E[\text{MI}]}$$

For normalized mutual information and adjusted mutual information, the normalizing value is typically some *generalized* mean of the entropies of each clustering. Various generalized means exist, and no firm rules exist for preferring one over the others. The decision is largely a field-by-field basis; for instance, in community detection, the arithmetic mean is most common. Each normalizing method provides "qualitatively similar behaviours" [YAT2016]. In our implementation, this is controlled by the `average_method` parameter.

Vinh et al. (2010) named variants of NMI and AMI by their averaging method [VEB2010]. Their 'sqrt' and 'sum' averages are the geometric and arithmetic means; we use these more broadly common names.

References

- Strehl, Alexander, and Joydeep Ghosh (2002). "Cluster ensembles – a knowledge reuse framework for combining multiple partitions". Journal of Machine Learning Research 3: 583–617. doi:10.1162/153244303321897735.
- Wikipedia entry for the (normalized) Mutual Information
- Wikipedia entry for the Adjusted Mutual Information

[VEB2009] Vinh, Epps, and Bailey, (2009). "Information theoretic measures for clusterings comparison". Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning - ICML '09. doi:10.1145/1553374.1553511. ISBN 9781605585161.

[VEB2010] Vinh, Epps, and Bailey, (2010). "Information Theoretic Measures for Clusterings Comparison: Variants, Properties, Normalization and Correction for Chance". JMLR <http://jmlr.csail.mit.edu/papers/volume11/vinh10a/vinh10a.pdf>

[YAT2016] Yang, Algesheimer, and Tessone, (2016). "A comparative analysis of community detection algorithms on artificial networks". Scientific Reports 6: 30750. doi:10.1038/srep30750.

Homogeneity, completeness and V-measure

Given the knowledge of the ground truth class assignments of the samples, it is possible to define some intuitive metric using conditional entropy analysis.

In particular Rosenberg and Hirschberg (2007) define the following two desirable objectives for any cluster assignment:

- **homogeneity**: each cluster contains only members of a single class.
- **completeness**: all members of a given class are assigned to the same cluster.

We can turn those concept as scores `:func:`homogeneity_score`` and `:func:`completeness_score``. Both are bounded below by 0.0 and above by 1.0 (higher is better):

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 1441); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 1441); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

```
>>> from sklearn import metrics
>>> labels_true = [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1]
>>> labels_pred = [0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2]

>>> metrics.homogeneity_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.66...

>>> metrics.completeness_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.42...
```

Their harmonic mean called **V-measure** is computed by `func: 'v_measure_score'`:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1455); [backlink](#)
Unknown interpreted text role "func".

```
>>> metrics.v_measure_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.51...
```

This function's formula is as follows:

$$v = \frac{(1 + \beta) \times \text{homogeneity} \times \text{completeness}}{(\beta \times \text{homogeneity} + \text{completeness})}$$

beta defaults to a value of 1.0, but for using a value less than 1 for *beta*:

```
>>> metrics.v_measure_score(labels_true, labels_pred, beta=0.6)
0.54...
```

more weight will be attributed to homogeneity, and using a value greater than 1:

```
>>> metrics.v_measure_score(labels_true, labels_pred, beta=1.8)
0.48...
```

more weight will be attributed to completeness.

The V-measure is actually equivalent to the mutual information (NMI) discussed above, with the aggregation function being the arithmetic mean [B2011].

Homogeneity, completeness and V-measure can be computed at once using `func: 'homogeneity_completeness_v_measure'` as follows:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1480); [backlink](#)
Unknown interpreted text role "func".

```
>>> metrics.homogeneity_completeness_v_measure(labels_true, labels_pred)
(0.66..., 0.42..., 0.51...)
```

The following clustering assignment is slightly better, since it is homogeneous but not complete:

```
>>> labels_pred = [0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 2]
>>> metrics.homogeneity_completeness_v_measure(labels_true, labels_pred)
(1.0, 0.68..., 0.81...)
```

Note

`func: 'v_measure_score'` is **symmetric**: it can be used to evaluate the **agreement** of two independent assignments on the same dataset.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1495); [backlink](#)
Unknown interpreted text role "func".

This is not the case for `func: 'completeness_score'` and `func: 'homogeneity_score'`: both are bound by the relationship:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc]

[modules]clustering.rst, line 1498); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc]
[modules]clustering.rst, line 1498); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

```
homogeneity_score(a, b) == completeness_score(b, a)
```

Advantages

- **Bounded scores:** 0.0 is as bad as it can be, 1.0 is a perfect score.
- Intuitive interpretation: clustering with bad V-measure can be **qualitatively analyzed in terms of homogeneity and completeness** to better feel what 'kind' of mistakes is done by the assignment.
- **No assumption is made on the cluster structure:** can be used to compare clustering algorithms such as k-means which assumes isotropic blob shapes with results of spectral clustering algorithms which can find cluster with "folded" shapes.

Drawbacks

- The previously introduced metrics are **not normalized with regards to random labeling**: this means that depending on the number of samples, clusters and ground truth classes, a completely random labeling will not always yield the same values for homogeneity, completeness and hence v-measure. In particular **random labeling won't yield zero scores especially when the number of clusters is large**.

This problem can safely be ignored when the number of samples is more than a thousand and the number of clusters is less than 10. **For smaller sample sizes or larger number of clusters it is safer to use an adjusted index such as the Adjusted Rand Index (ARI).**

- These metrics **require the knowledge of the ground truth classes** while almost never available in practice or requires manual assignment by human annotators (as in the supervised learning setting).

Examples:

- `ref'sphinx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_adjusted_for_chance_measures.py`: Analysis of the impact of the dataset size on the value of clustering measures for random assignments.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc]
[modules]clustering.rst, line 1546); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Mathematical formulation

Homogeneity and completeness scores are formally given by:

$$h = 1 - \frac{H(C|K)}{H(C)}$$

$$c = 1 - \frac{H(K|C)}{H(K)}$$

where $H(C|K)$ is the **conditional entropy of the classes given the cluster assignments** and is given by:

$$H(C|K) = - \sum_{c=1}^{|C|} \sum_{k=1}^{|K|} \frac{n_{c,k}}{n} \log \frac{n_{c,k}}{n_k}$$

and $H(C)$ is the **entropy of the classes** and is given by:

$$H(C) = - \sum_{c=1}^{|C|} \frac{n_c}{n} \log \frac{n_c}{n}$$

with n the total number of samples, n_c and n_k the number of samples respectively belonging to class c and cluster k , and finally $n_{c,k}$ the number of samples from class c assigned to cluster k .

The **conditional entropy of clusters given class** $H(K|C)$ and the **entropy of clusters** $H(K)$ are defined in a symmetric manner.

Rosenberg and Hirschberg further define **V-measure** as the **harmonic mean of homogeneity and completeness**:

$$v = 2 \cdot \frac{h \cdot c}{h + c}$$

References

- [V-Measure: A conditional entropy-based external cluster evaluation measure](#) Andrew Rosenberg and Julia Hirschberg, 2007

[B2011] [Identification and Characterization of Events in Social Media](#), Hila Becker, PhD Thesis.

Fowlkes-Mallows scores

The Fowlkes-Mallows index (`func: sklearn.metrics.fowlkes_mallows_score`) can be used when the ground truth class assignments of the samples is known. The Fowlkes-Mallows score FMI is defined as the geometric mean of the pairwise precision and recall:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules] clustering.rst, line 1598); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

$$\text{FMI} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\sqrt{(\text{TP} + \text{FP})(\text{TP} + \text{FN})}}$$

Where TP is the number of **True Positive** (i.e. the number of pair of points that belong to the same clusters in both the true labels and the predicted labels), FP is the number of **False Positive** (i.e. the number of pair of points that belong to the same clusters in the true labels and not in the predicted labels) and FN is the number of **False Negative** (i.e. the number of pair of points that belongs in the same clusters in the predicted labels and not in the true labels).

The score ranges from 0 to 1. A high value indicates a good similarity between two clusters.

```
>>> from sklearn import metrics
>>> labels_true = [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1]
>>> labels_pred = [0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2]

>>> metrics.fowlkes_mallows_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.47140...
```

One can permute 0 and 1 in the predicted labels, rename 2 to 3 and get the same score:

```
>>> labels_pred = [1, 1, 0, 0, 3, 3]

>>> metrics.fowlkes_mallows_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.47140...
```

Perfect labeling is scored 1.0:

```
>>> labels_pred = labels_true[:]
>>> metrics.fowlkes_mallows_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
1.0
```

Bad (e.g. independent labelings) have zero scores:

```
>>> labels_true = [0, 1, 2, 0, 3, 4, 5, 1]
>>> labels_pred = [1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2]
>>> metrics.fowlkes_mallows_score(labels_true, labels_pred)
0.0
```

Advantages

- **Random (uniform) label assignments have a FMI score close to 0.0** for any value of n_{clusters} and n_{samples} (which is not the case for raw Mutual Information or the V-measure for instance).
- **Upper-bounded at 1:** Values close to zero indicate two label assignments that are largely independent, while values close to one indicate significant agreement. Further, values of exactly 0 indicate **purely** independent label assignments and a FMI of exactly 1 indicates that the two label assignments are equal (with or without permutation).
- **No assumption is made on the cluster structure:** can be used to compare clustering algorithms such as k-means which assumes isotropic blob shapes with results of spectral clustering algorithms which can find cluster with "folded" shapes.

Drawbacks

- Contrary to inertia, **FMI-based measures require the knowledge of the ground truth classes** while almost never available in practice or requires manual assignment by human annotators (as in the supervised learning setting).

References

- E. B. Fowkles and C. L. Mallows, 1983. "A method for comparing two hierarchical clusterings". Journal of the American Statistical Association. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01621459.1983.10478008>
- [Wikipedia entry for the Fowlkes-Mallows Index](#)

Silhouette Coefficient

If the ground truth labels are not known, evaluation must be performed using the model itself. The Silhouette Coefficient (`:func:sklearn.metrics.silhouette_score`) is an example of such an evaluation, where a higher Silhouette Coefficient score relates to a model with better defined clusters. The Silhouette Coefficient is defined for each sample and is composed of two scores:

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 1685); [backlink](#)
Unknown interpreted text role "func".

- a:** The mean distance between a sample and all other points in the same class.
- b:** The mean distance between a sample and all other points in the *next nearest cluster*.

The Silhouette Coefficient s for a single sample is then given as:

$$s = \frac{b - a}{\max(a, b)}$$

The Silhouette Coefficient for a set of samples is given as the mean of the Silhouette Coefficient for each sample.

```
>>> from sklearn import metrics
>>> from sklearn.metrics import pairwise_distances
>>> from sklearn import datasets
>>> X, y = datasets.load_iris(return_X_y=True)
```

In normal usage, the Silhouette Coefficient is applied to the results of a cluster analysis.

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
>>> kmeans_model = KMeans(n_clusters=3, random_state=1).fit(X)
>>> labels = kmeans_model.labels_
>>> metrics.silhouette_score(X, labels, metric='euclidean')
0.55...
```

References

- Peter J. Rousseeuw (1987). `:doi:"Silhouettes: a Graphical Aid to the Interpretation and Validation of Cluster Analysis"<10.1016/0377-0427(87)90125-7>` . Computational and Applied Mathematics 20: 53â€”65.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main][doc][modules]clustering.rst, line 1724); [backlink](#)
Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

Advantages

- The score is bounded between -1 for incorrect clustering and +1 for highly dense clustering. Scores around zero indicate overlapping clusters.
- The score is higher when clusters are dense and well separated, which relates to a standard concept of a cluster.

Drawbacks

- The Silhouette Coefficient is generally higher for convex clusters than other concepts of clusters, such as density based clusters like those obtained through DBSCAN.

Examples:

- `:ref:sphx_glr_auto_examples_cluster_plot_kmeans_silhouette_analysis.py` : In this example the silhouette analysis is used to choose an optimal value for $n_clusters$.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1748); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Calinski-Harabasz Index

If the ground truth labels are not known, the Calinski-Harabasz index (`func:'sklearn.metrics.calinski_harabasz_score'`) - also known as the Variance Ratio Criterion - can be used to evaluate the model, where a higher Calinski-Harabasz score relates to a model with better defined clusters.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1758); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

The index is the ratio of the sum of between-clusters dispersion and of within-cluster dispersion for all clusters (where dispersion is defined as the sum of distances squared):

```
>>> from sklearn import metrics
>>> from sklearn.metrics import pairwise_distances
>>> from sklearn import datasets
>>> X, y = datasets.load_iris(return_X_y=True)
```

In normal usage, the Calinski-Harabasz index is applied to the results of a cluster analysis:

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
>>> kmeans_model = KMeans(n_clusters=3, random_state=1).fit(X)
>>> labels = kmeans_model.labels_
>>> metrics.calinski_harabasz_score(X, labels)
561.62...
```

Advantages

- The score is higher when clusters are dense and well separated, which relates to a standard concept of a cluster.
- The score is fast to compute.

Drawbacks

- The Calinski-Harabasz index is generally higher for convex clusters than other concepts of clusters, such as density based clusters like those obtained through DBSCAN.

Mathematical formulation

For a set of data E of size n_E which has been clustered into k clusters, the Calinski-Harabasz score s is defined as the ratio of the between-clusters dispersion mean and the within-cluster dispersion:

$$s = \frac{\text{tr}(B_k)}{\text{tr}(W_k)} \times \frac{n_E - k}{k - 1}$$

where $\text{tr}(B_k)$ is trace of the between group dispersion matrix and $\text{tr}(W_k)$ is the trace of the within-cluster dispersion matrix defined by:

$$W_k = \sum_{q=1}^k \sum_{x \in C_q} (x - c_q)(x - c_q)^T$$
$$B_k = \sum_{q=1}^k n_q (c_q - c_E)(c_q - c_E)^T$$

with C_q the set of points in cluster q , c_q the center of cluster q , c_E the center of E , and n_q the number of points in cluster q .

References

- CaliÅski, T., & Harabasz, J. (1974). "A Dendrite Method for Cluster Analysis". `doi:'Communications in Statistics-theory and Methods 3: 1-27 <10.1080/03610927408827101>'`.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1822); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

Davies-Bouldin Index

If the ground truth labels are not known, the Davies-Bouldin index (`func`sklearn.metrics.davies_bouldin_score``) can be used to evaluate the model, where a lower Davies-Bouldin index relates to a model with better separation between the clusters.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1833); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

This index signifies the average 'similarity' between clusters, where the similarity is a measure that compares the distance between clusters with the size of the clusters themselves.

Zero is the lowest possible score. Values closer to zero indicate a better partition.

In normal usage, the Davies-Bouldin index is applied to the results of a cluster analysis as follows:

```
>>> from sklearn import datasets
>>> iris = datasets.load_iris()
>>> X = iris.data
>>> from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
>>> from sklearn.metrics import davies_bouldin_score
>>> kmeans = KMeans(n_clusters=3, random_state=1).fit(X)
>>> labels = kmeans.labels_
>>> davies_bouldin_score(X, labels)
0.6619...
```

Advantages

- The computation of Davies-Bouldin is simpler than that of Silhouette scores.
- The index is solely based on quantities and features inherent to the dataset as its computation only uses point-wise distances.

Drawbacks

- The Davies-Bouldin index is generally higher for convex clusters than other concepts of clusters, such as density based clusters like those obtained from DBSCAN.
- The usage of centroid distance limits the distance metric to Euclidean space.

Mathematical formulation

The index is defined as the average similarity between each cluster C_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and its most similar one C_j . In the context of this index, similarity is defined as a measure R_{ij} that trades off:

- s_i , the average distance between each point of cluster i and the centroid of that cluster -- also known as cluster diameter.
- d_{ij} , the distance between cluster centroids i and j .

A simple choice to construct R_{ij} so that it is nonnegative and symmetric is:

$$R_{ij} = \frac{s_i + s_j}{d_{ij}}$$

Then the Davies-Bouldin index is defined as:

$$DB = \frac{1}{k} \max_{i=1}^k \max_{j \neq i} R_{ij}$$

References

- Davies, David L.; Bouldin, Donald W. (1979). [doi:"A Cluster Separation Measure"](#) <10.1109/TPAMI.1979.4766909> IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence. PAMI-1 (2): 224-227.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-

resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc]
[modules]clustering.rst, line 1899); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

- Halkidi, Maria; Batistakis, Yannis; Vazirgiannis, Michalis (2001). :doi:"On Clustering Validation Techniques" <10.1023/A:1012801612483>' Journal of Intelligent Information Systems, 17(2-3), 107-145.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc]
[modules]clustering.rst, line 1904); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "doi".

- [Wikipedia entry for Davies-Bouldin index.](#)

Contingency Matrix

Contingency matrix (:func:`sklearn.metrics.cluster.contingency_matrix`) reports the intersection cardinality for every true/predicted cluster pair. The contingency matrix provides sufficient statistics for all clustering metrics where the samples are independent and identically distributed and one doesn't need to account for some instances not being clustered.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1917); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

Here is an example:

```
>>> from sklearn.metrics.cluster import contingency_matrix
>>> x = ["a", "a", "a", "b", "b", "b"]
>>> y = [0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2]
>>> contingency_matrix(x, y)
array([[2, 1, 0],
       [0, 1, 2]])
```

The first row of output array indicates that there are three samples whose true cluster is "a". Of them, two are in predicted cluster 0, one is in 1, and none is in 2. And the second row indicates that there are three samples whose true cluster is "b". Of them, none is in predicted cluster 0, one is in 1 and two are in 2.

A :ref:`confusion matrix <confusion_matrix>` for classification is a square contingency matrix where the order of rows and columns correspond to a list of classes.

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1938); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "ref".

Advantages

- Allows to examine the spread of each true cluster across predicted clusters and vice versa.
- The contingency table calculated is typically utilized in the calculation of a similarity statistic (like the others listed in this document) between the two clusterings.

Drawbacks

- Contingency matrix is easy to interpret for a small number of clusters, but becomes very hard to interpret for a large number of clusters.
- It doesn't give a single metric to use as an objective for clustering optimisation.

References

- [Wikipedia entry for contingency matrix](#)

Pair Confusion Matrix

The pair confusion matrix (:func:`sklearn.metrics.cluster.pair_confusion_matrix`) is a 2x2 similarity matrix

System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\scikit-learn-main\doc\modules\[scikit-learn-main] [doc] [modules]clustering.rst, line 1973); [backlink](#)

Unknown interpreted text role "func".

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_{00} & C_{01} \\ C_{10} & C_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

between two clusterings computed by considering all pairs of samples and counting pairs that are assigned into the same or into different clusters under the true and predicted clusterings.

It has the following entries:

C_{00} : number of pairs with both clusterings having the samples not clustered together

C_{10} : number of pairs with the true label clustering having the samples clustered together but the other clustering not having the samples clustered together

C_{01} : number of pairs with the true label clustering not having the samples clustered together but the other clustering having the samples clustered together

C_{11} : number of pairs with both clusterings having the samples clustered together

Considering a pair of samples that is clustered together a positive pair, then as in binary classification the count of true negatives is C_{00} , false negatives is C_{10} , true positives is C_{11} and false positives is C_{01} .

Perfectly matching labelings have all non-zero entries on the diagonal regardless of actual label values:

```
>>> from sklearn.metrics.cluster import pair_confusion_matrix
>>> pair_confusion_matrix([0, 0, 1, 1], [0, 0, 1, 1])
array([[8, 0],
       [0, 4]])

>>> pair_confusion_matrix([0, 0, 1, 1], [1, 1, 0, 0])
array([[8, 0],
       [0, 4]])
```

Labelings that assign all classes members to the same clusters are complete but may not always be pure, hence penalized, and have some off-diagonal non-zero entries:

```
>>> pair_confusion_matrix([0, 0, 1, 2], [0, 0, 1, 1])
array([[8, 2],
       [0, 2]])
```

The matrix is not symmetric:

```
>>> pair_confusion_matrix([0, 0, 1, 1], [0, 0, 1, 2])
array([[8, 0],
       [2, 2]])
```

If classes members are completely split across different clusters, the assignment is totally incomplete, hence the matrix has all zero diagonal entries:

```
>>> pair_confusion_matrix([0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 2, 3])
array([[0, 0],
       [12, 0]])
```

References

- L. Hubert and P. Arabie, Comparing Partitions, Journal of Classification 1985
<<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF01908075>>_