# **Graphs, Explained**

Guava's common.graph is a library for modeling graph-structured data, that is, entities and the relationships between them. Examples include webpages and hyperlinks; scientists and the papers that they write; airports and the routes between them; and people and their family ties (family trees). Its purpose is to provide a common and extensible language for working with such data.

### **Definitions**

A graph consists of a set of **nodes** (also called vertices) and a set of **edges** (also called links, or arcs); each edge connects nodes to each other. The nodes incident to an edge are called its **endpoints**.

(While we introduce an interface called <code>Graph</code> below, we will use "graph" (lower case "g") as a general term referring to this type of data structure. When we want to refer to a specific type in this library, we capitalize it.)

An edge is **directed** if it has a defined start (its **source**) and end (its **target**, also called its destination). Otherwise, it is **undirected**. Directed edges are suitable for modeling asymmetric relations ("descended from", "links to", "authored by"), while undirected edges are suitable for modeling symmetric relations ("coauthored a paper with", "distance between", "sibling of").

A graph is directed if each of its edges are directed, and undirected if each of its edges are undirected. ( common.graph does not support graphs that have both directed and undirected edges.)

#### Given this example:

graph.addEdge(nodeU, nodeV, edgeUV);

- nodeU and nodeV are mutually adjacent
- edgeUV is incident to nodeU and to nodeV (and vice versa)

If graph is directed, then:

- nodeU is a **predecessor** of nodeV
- nodeV is a successor of nodeU
- edgeUV is an outgoing edge (or out-edge) of nodeU
- edgeUV is an incoming edge (or in-edge) of nodeV
- nodeU is a source of edgeUV
- nodeV is a target of edgeUV

If graph is undirected, then:

- nodeU is a predecessor and a successor of nodeV
- nodeV is a predecessor and a successor of nodeU
- edgeUV is both an incoming and an outgoing edge of nodeU
- edgeUV is both an incoming and an outgoing edge of nodeV

All of these relationships are with respect to graph .

A **self-loop** is an edge that connects a node to itself; equivalently, it is an edge whose endpoints are the same node. If a self-loop is directed, it is both an outgoing and incoming edge of its incident node, and its incident node is both a source and a target of the self-loop edge.

Two edges are **parallel** if they connect the same nodes in the same order (if any), and **antiparallel** if they connect the same nodes in the opposite order. (Undirected edges cannot be antiparallel.)

Given this example:

```
directedGraph.addEdge(nodeU, nodeV, edgeUV_a);
directedGraph.addEdge(nodeU, nodeV, edgeUV_b);
directedGraph.addEdge(nodeV, nodeU, edgeVU);

undirectedGraph.addEdge(nodeU, nodeV, edgeUV_a);
undirectedGraph.addEdge(nodeU, nodeV, edgeUV_b);
undirectedGraph.addEdge(nodeV, nodeV, edgeUV);
```

In directedGraph, edgeUV a and edgeUV b are mutually parallel, and each is antiparallel with edgeVU.

In undirectedGraph , each of edgeUV a , edgeUV b , and edgeVU is mutually parallel with the other two.

# **Capabilities**

common.graph is focused on providing interfaces and classes to support working with graphs. It does not provide functionality such as I/O or visualization support, and it has a very limited selection of utilities. See the <u>FAQ</u> for more on this topic.

As a whole, common.graph supports graphs of the following varieties:

- · directed graphs
- undirected graphs
- nodes and/or edges with associated values (weights, labels, etc.)
- graphs that do/don't allow self-loops
- graphs that do/don't allow parallel edges (graphs with parallel edges are sometimes called multigraphs)
- graphs whose nodes/edges are insertion-ordered, sorted, or unordered

The kinds of graphs supported by a particular common.graph type are specified in its Javadoc. The kinds of graphs supported by the built-in implementations of each graph type are specified in the Javadoc for its associated Builder type. Specific *implementations* of the types in this library (especially third-party implementations) are not required to support all of these varieties, and may support others in addition.

The library is agnostic as to the choice of underlying data structures: relationships can be stored as matrices, adjacency lists, adjacency maps, etc. depending on what use cases the implementor wants to optimize for.

common.graph does not (at this time) include *explicit* support for the following graph variants, although they can be modeled using the existing types:

- trees, forests
- graphs with elements of the same kind (nodes or edges) that have different types (for example: bipartite/k-partite graphs, multimodal graphs)
- hypergraphs

common.graph does not allow graphs with both directed and undirected edges.

The **Graphs** class provides some basic utilities (for example, copying and comparing graphs).

# **Graph Types**

There are three top-level graph interfaces, that are distinguished by their representation of edges: Graph , ValueGraph , and Network . These are sibling types, i.e., none is a subtype of any of the others.

Each of these "top-level" interfaces extends <u>SuccessorsFunction</u> and <u>PredecessorsFunction</u>. These interfaces are meant to be used as the type of a parameter to graph algorithms (such as breadth first traversal) that only need a way of accessing the successors/predecessors of a node in a graph. This is especially useful in cases where the owner of a graph already has a representation that works for them and doesn't particularly want to serialize their representation into a <u>common.graph</u> type just to run one graph algorithm.

#### Graph

Graph is the simplest and most fundamental graph type. It defines the low-level operators for dealing with node-to-node relationships, such as <code>successors(node)</code>, <code>adjacentNodes(node)</code>, and <code>inDegree(node)</code>. Its nodes are first-class unique objects; you can think of them as analogous to <code>Map</code> keys into the <code>Graph</code> internal data structures.

The edges of a Graph are completely anonymous; they are defined only in terms of their endpoints.

Example use case: Graph<Airport> , whose edges connect the airports between which one can take a direct flight.

#### ValueGraph

<u>ValueGraph</u> has all the node-related methods that <u>Graph</u> does, but adds a couple of methods that retrieve a value for a specified edge.

The edges of a ValueGraph each have an associated user-specified value. These values need not be unique (as nodes are); the relationship between a ValueGraph and a Graph is analogous to that between a Map and a Set; a Graph 's edges are a set of pairs of endpoints, and a ValueGraph 's edges are a map from pairs of endpoints to values.)

<u>ValueGraph</u> provides an asGraph() method which returns a Graph view of the ValueGraph. This allows methods which operate on Graph instances to function for ValueGraph instances as well.

Example use case: ValueGraph<Airport, Integer>, whose edges values represent the time required to travel between the two Airport s that the edge connects.

### Network

Network has all the node-related methods that <code>Graph</code> does, but adds methods that work with edges and node-to-edge relationships, such as <code>outEdges(node)</code>, <code>incidentNodes(edge)</code>, and <code>edgesConnecting(nodeU, nodeV)</code>.

The edges of a <code>Network</code> are first-class (unique) objects, just as nodes are in all graph types. The uniqueness constraint for edges allows <code>Network</code> to natively support parallel edges, as well as the methods relating to edges and node-to-edge relationships.

<u>Network</u> provides an asGraph() method which returns a Graph view of the Network. This allows methods which operate on Graph instances to function for Network instances as well.

Example use case: Network<Airport, Flight> , in which the edges represent the specific flights that one can take to get from one airport to another.

## Choosing the right graph type

The essential distinction between the three graph types is in their representation of edges.

Graph has edges which are anonymous connections between nodes, with no identity or properties of their own. You should use Graph if each pair of nodes is connected by at most one edge, and you don't need to associate any information with edges.

<u>ValueGraph</u> has edges which have values (e.g., edge weights or labels) that may or may not be unique. You should use <u>ValueGraph</u> if each pair of nodes is connected by at most one edge, and you need to associate information with edges that may be the same for different edges (for example, edge weights).

Network has edges which are first-class unique objects, just as nodes are. You should use Network if your edge objects are unique, and you want to be able to issue queries that reference them. (Note that this uniqueness allows Network to support parallel edges.)

# **Building graph instances**

The implementation classes that <code>common.graph</code> provides are not public, by design. This reduces the number of public types that users need to know about, and makes it easier to navigate the various capabilities that the built-implementations provide, without overwhelming users that just want to create a graph.

To create an instance of one of the built-in implementations of a graph type, use the corresponding Builder class: <a href="mailto:graphBuilder">GraphBuilder</a>, <a href="mailto:valueGraphBuilder">ValueGraphBuilder</a>, or <a href="mailto:NetworkBuilder">NetworkBuilder</a>. Examples:

```
// Creating mutable graphs
MutableGraph<Integer> graph = GraphBuilder.undirected().build();
MutableValueGraph<City, Distance> roads = ValueGraphBuilder.directed()
    .incidentEdgeOrder(ElementOrder.stable())
    .build();
MutableNetwork<Webpage, Link> webSnapshot = NetworkBuilder.directed()
    .allowsParallelEdges(true)
    .nodeOrder(ElementOrder.natural())
    .expectedNodeCount(100000)
    .expectedEdgeCount(1000000)
    .build();
// Creating an immutable graph
ImmutableGraph<Country> countryAdjacencyGraph =
   GraphBuilder.undirected()
       .<Country>immutable()
        .putEdge (FRANCE, GERMANY)
        .putEdge (FRANCE, BELGIUM)
        .putEdge (GERMANY, BELGIUM)
        .addNode (ICELAND)
        .build();
```

• You can get an instance of a graph Builder in one of two ways:

- calling the static methods <code>directed()</code> or <code>undirected()</code> . Each Graph instance that the <code>Builder</code> provides will be directed or undirected.
- calling the static method from(), which gives you a Builder based on an existing graph instance.
- After you've created your Builder instance, you can optionally specify other characteristics and capabilities.
- Building mutable graphs
  - You can call build() on the same Builder instance multiple times to build multiple graph instances with the same configuration.
  - You don't need to specify the element type(s) on the Builder; specifying them on the graph type itself is sufficient.
  - The build() method returns a Mutable subtype of the associated graph type, which provides mutation methods; more on this in <u>Mutable and Immutable graphs</u>, below.
- Building immutable graphs
  - You can call immmutable() on the same Builder instance multiple times to create multiple ImmutableGraph.Builder instances with the same configuration.
  - You need to specify the element type(s) on the immutable call.

### **Builder constraints vs. optimization hints**

The Builder types generally provide two types of options: constraints and optimization hints.

Constraints specify behaviors and properties that graphs created by a given <code>Builder</code> instance must satisfy, such as:

- whether the graph is directed
- whether this graph allows self-loops
- whether this graph's edges are sorted

and so forth.

Optimization hints may optionally be used by the implementation class to increase efficiency, for example, to determine the type or initial size of internal data structures. They are not guaranteed to have any effect.

Each graph type provides accessors corresponding to its Builder -specified constraints, but does not provide accessors for optimization hints.

# Mutable and Immutable graphs

## Mutable\* types

Each graph type has a corresponding Mutable\* subtype: MutableGraph, MutableValueGraph, and MutableNetwork. These subtypes define the mutation methods:

- methods for adding and removing nodes:
  - addNode(node) and removeNode(node)
- methods for adding and removing edges:
  - MutableGraph
    - putEdge(nodeU, nodeV)
    - removeEdge (nodeU, nodeV)

- MutableValueGraph
  - putEdgeValue(nodeU, nodeV, value)
  - removeEdge(nodeU, nodeV)
- MutableNetwork
  - addEdge(nodeU, nodeV, edge)
  - removeEdge(edge)

This is a departure from the way that the Java Collections Framework--and Guava's new collection types--have historically worked; each of those types includes signatures for (optional) mutation methods. We chose to break out the mutable methods into subtypes in part to encourage defensive programming: generally speaking, if your code only examines or traverses a graph and does not mutate it, its input should be specified as on <a href="Graph">Graph</a>,

<u>ValueGraph</u>, or <u>Network</u> rather than their mutable subtypes. On the other hand, if your code does need to mutate an object, it's helpful for your code to have to call attention to that fact by working with a type that labels itself "Mutable".

Since <u>Graph</u>, etc. are interfaces, even though they don't include mutation methods, providing an instance of this interface to a caller *does not guarantee* that it will not be mutated by the caller, as (if it is in fact a <u>Mutable\*</u> subtype) the caller could cast it to that subtype. If you want to provide a contractual guarantee that a graph which is a method parameter or return value cannot be modified, you should use the <u>Immutable</u> implementations; more on this below.

# Immutable\* implementations

Each graph type also has a corresponding Immutable implementation. These classes are analogous to Guava's ImmutableSet , ImmutableList , ImmutableMap , etc.: once constructed, they cannot be modified, and they use efficient immutable data structures internally.

Unlike the other Guava Immutable types, however, these implementations do not have any method signatures for mutation methods, so they don't need to throw UnsupportedOperationException for attempted mutates.

You create an instance of an ImmutableGraph , etc. in one of two ways:

Using GraphBuilder:

```
ImmutableGraph<Country> immutableGraph1 =
    GraphBuilder.undirected()
    .<Country>immutable()
    .putEdge(FRANCE, GERMANY)
    .putEdge(FRANCE, BELGIUM)
    .putEdge(GERMANY, BELGIUM)
    .addNode(ICELAND)
    .build();
```

Using ImmutableGraph.copyOf():

```
ImmutableGraph<Integer> immutableGraph2 = ImmutableGraph.copyOf(otherGraph);
```

Immutable graphs are always guaranteed to provide a stable incident edge order. If the graph is populated using GraphBuilder, then the incident edge order will be insertion order where possible (see

<u>ElementOrder.stable()</u> for more info). When using <code>copyOf</code> , then the incident edge order will be the order in which they are visited during the copy process.

#### Guarantees

Each Immutable\* type makes the following guarantees:

- shallow immutability: elements can never be added, removed or replaced (these classes do not implement
  the Mutable\* interfaces)
- **deterministic iteration**: the iteration orders are always the same as those of the input graph
- thread safety: it is safe to access this graph concurrently from multiple threads
- **integrity**: this type cannot be subclassed outside this package (which would allow these guarantees to be violated)

#### Treat these classes as "interfaces", not implementations

Each of the Immutable\* classes is a type offering meaningful behavioral guarantees -- not merely a specific implementation. You should treat them as interfaces in every important sense of the word.

Fields and method return values that store an <code>Immutable\*</code> instance (such as <code>ImmutableGraph</code>) should be declared to be of the <code>Immutable\*</code> type rather than the corresponding interface type (such as <code>Graph</code>). This communicates to callers all of the semantic guarantees listed above, which is almost always very useful information.

On the other hand, a parameter type of ImmutableGraph is generally a nuisance to callers. Instead, accept Graph .

**Warning**: as noted <u>elsewhere</u>, it is almost always a bad idea to modify an element (in a way that affects its equals() behavior) while it is contained in a collection. Undefined behavior and bugs will result. It's best to avoid using mutable objects as elements of an <u>Immutable\*</u> instance at all, as users may expect your "immutable" object to be deeply immutable.

# **Graph elements (nodes and edges)**

# Elements must be useable as Map keys

The graph elements provided by the user should be thought of as keys into the internal data structures maintained by the graph implementations. Thus, the classes used to represent graph elements must have equals() and hashCode() implementations that have, or induce, the properties listed below.

#### Uniqueness

If A and B satisfy A.equals(B) == true then at most one of the two may be an element of the graph.

# Consistency between hashCode() and equals()

hashCode() must be consistent with equals() as defined by <a>Object.hashCode()</a></a>.

#### Ordering consistency with equals ()

If the nodes are sorted (for example, via GraphBuilder.orderNodes()), the ordering must be consistent with equals(), as defined by <a href="Comparator">Comparator</a> and <a href="Comparator">Comparator</a>

#### Non-recursiveness

hashCode and equals () must not recursively reference other elements, as in this example:

```
// DON'T use a class like this as a graph element (or Map key/Set element)
public final class Node<T> {
   T value;
   Set<Node<T>> successors;

public boolean equals(Object o) {
   Node<T> other = (Node<T>) o;
   return Objects.equals(value, other.value)
        && Objects.equals(successors, other.successors);
}

public int hashCode() {
   return Objects.hash(value, successors);
}
```

Using such a class as a common.graph element type (e.g., Graph<Node<T>> ) has these problems:

- redundancy: the implementations of <code>Graph</code> provided by the <code>common.graph</code> library already store these relationships
- inefficiency: adding/accessing such elements calls equals() (and possibly hashCode()), which require O(n) time
- infeasibility: if there are cycles in the graph, equals() and hashCode() may never terminate

Instead, just use the T value itself as the node type (assuming that the T values are themselves valid Map keys).

#### **Elements and mutable state**

If graph elements have mutable state:

- the mutable state must not be reflected in the equals () /hashCode () methods (this is discussed in the Map documentation in detail)
- don't construct multiple elements that are equal to each other and expect them to be interchangeable. In
  particular, when adding such elements to a graph, you should create them once and store the reference if
  you will need to refer to those elements more than once during creation (rather than passing new
  MyMutableNode (id) to each add\* () call).

If you need to store mutable per-element state, one option is to use immutable elements and store the mutable state in a separate data structure (e.g. an element-to-state map).

#### Elements must be non-null

The methods that add elements to graphs are contractually required to reject null elements.

# Library contracts and behaviors

This section discusses behaviors of the built-in implementations of the common.graph types.

## Mutation

You can add an edge whose incident nodes have not previously been added to the graph. If they're not already present, they're silently added to the graph:

## Graph equals () and graph equivalence

As of Guava 22, common.graph 's graph types each define equals() in a way that makes sense for the particular type:

- Graph.equals() defines two Graph s to be equal if they have the same node and edge sets (that is, each edge has the same endpoints and same direction in both graphs).
- ValueGraph.equals() defines two ValueGraph s to be equal if they have the same node and edge sets, and equal edges have equal values.
- Network.equals() defines two Network s to be equal if they have the same node and edge sets, and each edge object has connects the same nodes in the same direction (if any).

In addition, for each graph type, two graphs can be equal only if their edges have the same directedness (both graphs are directed or both are undirected).

Of course, hashCode() is defined consistently with equals() for each graph type.

If you want to compare two Network s or two ValueGraph s based only on connectivity, or to compare a Network or a ValueGraph to a Graph, you can use the Graph view that Network and ValueGraph provide:

```
Graph<Integer> graph1, graph2;
ValueGraph<Integer, Double> valueGraph1, valueGraph2;
Network<Integer, MyEdge> network1, network2;

// compare based on nodes and node relationships only
if (graph1.equals(graph2)) { ... }
if (valueGraph1.asGraph().equals(valueGraph2.asGraph())) { ... }
if (network1.asGraph().equals(graph1.asGraph())) { ... }

// compare based on nodes, node relationships, and edge values
if (valueGraph1.equals(valueGraph2)) { ... }

// compare based on nodes, node relationships, and edge identities
if (network1.equals(network2)) { ... }
```

#### **Accessor methods**

Accessors which return collections:

• may return views of the graph; modifications to the graph which affect a view (for example, calling addNode(n) or removeNode(n) while iterating through nodes()) are not supported and may result in throwing ConcurrentModificationException.

• will return empty collections if their inputs are valid but no elements satisfy the request (for example: adjacentNodes (node) will return an empty collection if node has no adjacent nodes).

Accessors will throw IllegalArgumentException if passed an element that is not in the graph.

While some Java Collection Framework methods such as contains () take Object parameters rather than the appropriate generic type specifier, as of Guava 22, the common.graph methods take the generic type specifiers to improve type safety.

#### **Synchronization**

It is up to each graph implementation to determine its own synchronization policy. By default, undefined behavior may result from the invocation of any method on a graph that is being mutated by another thread.

Generally speaking, the built-in mutable implementations provide no synchronization guarantees, but the Immutable\* classes (by virtue of being immutable) are thread-safe.

### **Element objects**

The node, edge, and value objects that you add to your graphs are irrelevant to the built-in implementations; they're just used as keys to internal data structures. This means that nodes/edges may be shared among graph instances.

By default, node and edge objects are insertion-ordered (that is, are visited by the Iterator s for nodes () and edges () in the order in which they were added to the graph, as with LinkedHashSet ).

# **Notes for implementors**

#### Storage models

common.graph supports multiple mechanisms for storing the topology of a graph, including:

- the graph implementation stores the topology (for example, by storing a Map<N, Set<N>> that maps
  nodes onto their adjacent nodes); this implies that the nodes are just keys, and can be shared among
  graphs
- the nodes store the topology (for example, by storing a List<E> of adjacent nodes); this (usually) implies that nodes are graph-specific
- a separate data repository (for example, a database) stores the topology

Note: Multimap s are not sufficient internal data structures for Graph implementations that support isolated nodes (nodes that have no incident edges), due to their restriction that a key either maps to at least one value, or is not present in the Multimap.

#### **Accessor behavior**

For accessors that return a collection, there are several options for the semantics, including:

- 1. Collection is an immutable copy (e.g. ImmutableSet ): attempts to modify the collection in any way will throw an exception, and modifications to the graph will **not** be reflected in the collection.
- Collection is an unmodifiable view (e.g. Collections.unmodifiableSet()): attempts to modify the
  collection in any way will throw an exception, and modifications to the graph will be reflected in the
  collection.
- 3. Collection is a mutable copy: it may be modified, but modifications to the collection will **not** be reflected in the graph, and vice versa.

4. Collection is a modifiable view: it may be modified, and modifications to the collection will be reflected in the graph, and vice versa.

(In theory one could return a collection which passes through writes in one direction but not the other (collection-to-graph or vice-versa), but this is basically never going to be useful or clear, so please don't.:))

- (1) and (2) are generally preferred; as of this writing, the built-in implementations generally use (2).
- (3) is a workable option, but may be confusing to users if they expect that modifications will affect the graph, or that modifications to the graph would be reflected in the set.
- (4) is a hazardous design choice and should be used only with extreme caution, because keeping the internal data structures consistent can be tricky.

#### Abstract\* classes

Each graph type has a corresponding Abstract class: AbstractGraph, etc.

Implementors of the graph interfaces should, if possible, extend the appropriate abstract class rather than implementing the interface directly. The abstract classes provide implementations of several key methods that can be tricky to do correctly, or for which it's helpful to have consistent implementations, such as:

- \*degree()
- toString()
- Graph.edges()
- Network.asGraph()

# **Code examples**

# Is node in the graph?

```
graph.nodes().contains(node);
```

#### Is there an edge between nodes u and v (that are known to be in the graph)?

In the case where the graph is undirected, the ordering of the arguments u and v in the examples below is irrelevant.

```
// This is the preferred syntax since 23.0 for all graph types.
graphs.hasEdgeConnecting(u, v);

// These are equivalent (to each other and to the above expression).
graph.successors(u).contains(v);
graph.predecessors(v).contains(u);

// This is equivalent to the expressions above if the graph is undirected.
graph.adjacentNodes(u).contains(v);

// This works only for Networks.
!network.edgesConnecting(u, v).isEmpty();

// This works only if "network" has at most a single edge connecting u to v.
```

```
network.edgeConnecting(u, v).isPresent();  // Java 8 only
network.edgeConnectingOrNull(u, v) != null;

// These work only for ValueGraphs.
valueGraph.edgeValue(u, v).isPresent();  // Java 8 only
valueGraph.edgeValueOrDefault(u, v, null) != null;
```

#### Basic Graph example

```
ImmutableGraph<Integer> graph =
    GraphBuilder.directed()
        .<Integer>immutable()
        .addNode(1)
        .putEdge(2, 3) // also adds nodes 2 and 3 if not already present
        .putEdge(2, 3) // no effect; Graph does not support parallel edges
        .build();
Set<Integer> successorsOfTwo = graph.successors(2); // returns {3}
```

## Basic ValueGraph example

```
MutableValueGraph<Integer, Double> weightedGraph =
ValueGraphBuilder.directed().build();
weightedGraph.addNode(1);
weightedGraph.putEdgeValue(2, 3, 1.5); // also adds nodes 2 and 3 if not already
present
weightedGraph.putEdgeValue(3, 5, 1.5); // edge values (like Map values) need not be
unique
...
weightedGraph.putEdgeValue(2, 3, 2.0); // updates the value for (2,3) to 2.0
```

# Basic Network example

#### Traversing an undirected graph node-wise

```
// Return all nodes reachable by traversing 2 edges starting from "node"
// (ignoring edge direction and edge weights, if any, and not including "node").
Set<N> getTwoHopNeighbors(Graph<N> graph, N node) {
   Set<N> twoHopNeighbors = new HashSet<>();
   for (N neighbor: graph.adjacentNodes(node)) {
     twoHopNeighbors.addAll(graph.adjacentNodes(neighbor));
  }
  twoHopNeighbors.remove(node);
  return twoHopNeighbors;
}
```

# Traversing a directed graph edge-wise

```
// Update the shortest-path weighted distances of the successors to "node"
// in a directed Network (inner loop of Dijkstra's algorithm)
// given a known distance for {@code node} stored in a {@code Map<N, Double>},
// and a {@code Function<E, Double>} for retrieving a weight for an edge.
void updateDistancesFrom(Network<N, E> network, N node) {
   double nodeDistance = distances.get(node);
   for (E outEdge : network.outEdges(node)) {
      N target = network.target(outEdge);
      double targetDistance = nodeDistance + edgeWeights.apply(outEdge);
      if (targetDistance < distances.getOrDefault(target, Double.MAX_VALUE)) {
            distances.put(target, targetDistance);
      }
   }
}</pre>
```

# **FAQ**

#### Why did Guava introduce common.graph?

The same arguments apply to graphs as to many other things that Guava does:

- code reuse/interoperability/unification of paradigms: lots of things relate to graph processing
- efficiency: how much code is using inefficient graph representations? too much space (e.g. matrix representations)?
- correctness: how much code is doing graph analysis wrong?
- promotion of use of graph as ADT: how many people would be working with graphs if it were easy?
- simplicity: code which deals with graphs is easier to understand if it's explicitly using that metaphor.

# What kinds of graphs does common.graph support?

This is answered in the "Capabilities" section, above.

#### common.graph doesn't have feature/algorithm X, can you add it?

Maybe. You can email us at guava-discuss@googlegroups.com or open an issue on GitHub.

Our philosophy is that something should only be part of Guava if (a) it fits in with Guava's core mission and (b) there is good reason to expect that it will be reasonably widely used.

common.graph will probably never have capabilities like visualization and I/O; those would be projects unto themselves and don't fit well with Guava's mission.

Capabilities like traversal, filtering, or transformation are better fits, and thus more likely to be included, although ultimately we expect that other graph libraries will provide most capabilities.

#### Does it support very large graphs (i.e., MapReduce scale)?

Not at this time. Graphs in the low millions of nodes should be workable, but you should think of this library as analogous to the Java Collections Framework types (Map, List, Set, and so on).

#### How can I define the order of successors (node) ?

Setting incidentEdgeOrder() to <a href="ElementOrder.stable">ElementOrder.stable()</a> in the graph builder makes sure that successors (node) returns the successors of node in the order that the edges were inserted. This is also true for most other methods that relate to the incident edges of a node, such as (such as incidentEdges (node)).

### Why should I use it instead of something else?

tl;dr: you should use whatever works for you, but please let us know what you need if this library doesn't support it!

The main competitors to this library (for Java) are: JUNG and JGraphT.

JUNG was co-created by Joshua O'Madadhain (the common.graph lead) in 2003, and he still maintains it. JUNG is fairly mature and full-featured and is widely used, but has a lot of cruft and inefficiencies. Now that common.graph has been released externally, he is working on a new version of JUNG which uses common.graph for its data model.

JGraphT is another third-party Java graph library that's been around for a while. We're not as familiar with it, so we can't comment on it in detail, but it has at least some things in common with JUNG. This library also includes a number of <u>adapter classes</u> to adapt <u>common.graph</u> graphs into <u>JGraphT</u> graphs.

Rolling your own solution is sometimes the right answer if you have very specific requirements. But just as you wouldn't normally implement your own hash table in Java (instead of using <code>HashMap</code> or <code>ImmutableMap</code>), you should consider using <code>common.graph</code> (or, if necessary, another existing graph library) for all the reasons listed above.

# **Major Contributors**

common.graph has been a team effort, and we've had help from a number of people both inside and outside Google, but these are the people that have had the greatest impact.

- Omar Darwish did a lot of the early implementations, and set the standard for the test coverage.
- <u>James Sexton</u> has been the single most prolific contributor to the project and has had a significant
  influence on its direction and its designs. He's responsible for some of the key features, and for the
  efficiency of the implementations that we provide.
- <u>Joshua O'Madadhain</u> started the common.graph project after reflecting on the strengths and
  weaknesses of <u>JUNG</u>, which he also helped to create. He leads the project, and has reviewed or written
  virtually every aspect of the design and the code.

uilders. He also has a major influence on the future direction of the project.						

• <u>Jens Nyman</u> contributed many of the more recent additions such as <u>Traverser</u> and immutable graph