## **Using RCU to Protect Read-Mostly Arrays**

Although RCU is more commonly used to protect linked lists, it can also be used to protect arrays. Three situations are as follows:

1. ref. Hash Tables < hash\_tables > `

```
System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\RCU\[linux-master] [Documentation] [RCU] arrayRCU.rst, line 9); backlink
Unknown interpreted text role "ref".
```

ref. Static Arrays < static arrays > `

```
System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\RCU\[linux-master] [Documentation] [RCU] arrayRCU.rst, line 11); backlink
Unknown interpreted text role "ref".
```

ref. Resizable Arrays < resizable arrays > `

```
System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\RCU\[linux-master][Documentation]
[RCU]arrayRCU.rst, line 13); backlink
Unknown interpreted text role "ref".
```

Each of these three situations involves an RCU-protected pointer to an array that is separately indexed. It might be tempting to consider use of RCU to instead protect the index into an array, however, this use case is **not** supported. The problem with RCU-protected indexes into arrays is that compilers can play way too many optimization games with integers, which means that the rules governing handling of these indexes are far more trouble than they are worth. If RCU-protected indexes into arrays prove to be particularly valuable (which they have not thus far), explicit cooperation from the compiler will be required to permit them to be safely used

That aside, each of the three RCU-protected pointer situations are described in the following sections.

## **Situation 1: Hash Tables**

Hash tables are often implemented as an array, where each array entry has a linked-list hash chain. Each hash chain can be protected by RCU as described in the listRCU.txt document. This approach also applies to other array-of-list situations, such as radix trees.

## Situation 2: Static Arrays

Static arrays, where the data (rather than a pointer to the data) is located in each array element, and where the array is never resized, have not been used with RCU. Rik van Riel recommends using seqlock in this situation, which would also have minimal read-side overhead as long as updates are rare.

Quick Quiz:

Why is it so important that updates be rare when using seqlock?

ref. Answer to Quick Quiz <answer\_quick\_quiz\_seqlock>`

```
System Message: ERROR/3 (D:\onboarding-resources\sample-onboarding-resources\linux-master\Documentation\RCU\[linux-master] [Documentation] [RCU] arrayRCU.rst, line 53); backlink Unknown interpreted text role "ref".
```

## Situation 3: Resizable Arrays

Use of RCU for resizable arrays is demonstrated by the grow\_ary() function formerly used by the System V IPC code. The array is used to map from semaphore, message-queue, and shared-memory IDs to the data structure that represents the corresponding IPC construct. The grow\_ary() function does not acquire any locks; instead its caller must hold the ids->sem semaphore.

The grow\_ary() function, shown below, does some limit checks, allocates a new ipc\_id\_ary, copies the old to the new portion of the new, initializes the remainder of the new, updates the ids->entries pointer to point to the new array, and invokes ipc\_rcu\_putref() to

free up the old array. Note that rcu\_assign\_pointer() is used to update the ids->entries pointer, which includes any memory barriers required on whatever architecture you are running on:

```
static int grow ary(struct ipc ids* ids, int newsize)
        struct ipc id ary* new;
        struct ipc id ary* old;
        int i;
        int size = ids->entries->size;
        if(newsize > IPCMNI)
               newsize = IPCMNI;
        if(newsize <= size)
                return newsize;
        new = ipc rcu alloc(sizeof(struct kern ipc perm *)*newsize +
                            sizeof(struct ipc id ary));
        if(new == NULL)
               return size;
        new->size = newsize;
        memcpy(new->p, ids->entries->p,
               sizeof(struct kern ipc perm *)*size +
        sizeof(struct ipc_id_ary));
for(i=size;i<newsize;i++) {</pre>
               new->p[i] = NULL;
        old = ids->entries;
        * Use rcu assign pointer() to make sure the memcpyed
        * contents of the new array are visible before the new
         * array becomes visible.
        rcu assign pointer(ids->entries, new);
        ipc rcu putref (old);
        return newsize;
```

The ipc\_rcu\_putref() function decrements the array's reference count and then, if the reference count has dropped to zero, uses call rcu() to free the array after a grace period has elapsed.

The array is traversed by the <code>ipc\_lock()</code> function. This function indexes into the array under the protection of <code>rcu\_read\_lock()</code>, using <code>rcu\_dereference()</code> to pick up the pointer to the array so that it may later safely be dereferenced -- memory barriers are required on the Alpha CPU. Since the size of the array is stored with the array itself, there can be no array-size mismatches, so a simple check suffices. The pointer to the structure corresponding to the desired IPC object is placed in "out", with NULL indicating a non-existent entry. After acquiring "out->lock", the "out->deleted" flag indicates whether the IPC object is in the process of being deleted, and, if not, the pointer is returned:

```
struct kern_ipc_perm* ipc_lock(struct ipc_ids* ids, int id)
       struct kern ipc perm* out;
       int lid = id % SEQ MULTIPLIER;
       struct ipc id ary* entries;
       rcu read lock();
       entries = rcu dereference(ids->entries);
       if(lid >= entries->size) {
               rcu read unlock();
               return NULL;
       out = entries->p[lid];
        if(out == NULL) {
              rcu read unlock();
               return NULL;
        spin lock(&out->lock);
        /* ipc_rmid() may have already freed the ID while ipc lock
        * was spinning: here verify that the structure is still valid
        if (out->deleted) {
                spin unlock(&out->lock);
                rcu_read_unlock();
               return NULL;
       return out;
```

Why is it so important that updates be rare when using seqlock?

The reason that it is important that updates be rare when using seqlock is that frequent updates can livelock readers. One way to avoid this problem is to assign a seqlock for each array entry rather than to the entire array.