The potential for repowering US wind turbines

Peter Regner[1], Katharina Gruber[1], Johannes Schmidt[1], Claude Klöckl[1]

[1]Institute for Sustainable Economic Development,
University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna

2019-04-12, Vienna, EGU 2019

BOKU

reFUEL

Introduction

- **repowering** = replacing power plants with newer ones, which have a higher rated **capacity** or more **efficiency**

Introduction

- **repowering** = replacing power plants with newer ones, which have a higher rated **capacity** or more **efficiency**
- How much power generation gain can be expected in the US with newer wind turbine models?

Introduction

- **repowering** = replacing power plants with newer ones, which have a higher rated **capacity** or more **efficiency**
- How much power generation gain can be expected in the US with newer wind turbine models?
- How many wind turbines will be installed?

Introduction

- **repowering** = replacing power plants with newer ones, which have a higher rated **capacity** or more **efficiency**
- How much power generation gain can be expected in the US with newer wind turbine models?
- How many wind turbines will be installed?

Data


Latitude [deg]
60
50
40
30
20
−160
−140
−120
−100
−80
Longitude [deg]
Mean wind speed in [m/s]
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1

Data

- **ERA5**: wind speed data, hourly (2001 - 2018), 0.25° spatial resolution

Data

- **ERA5**: wind speed data, hourly (2001 - 2018), 0.25° spatial resolution
- **United States Wind Turbine Database (USWTDB)**: 58,184 turbines including location, model name, rated capacity, rotor diameter, ...

Data

- **ERA5**: wind speed data, hourly (2001 - 2018), 0.25° spatial resolution
- **United States Wind Turbine Database (USWTDB)**: 58,184 turbines including location, model name, rated capacity, rotor diameter, ...
- Time series of **wind power net generation** provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) via the Electricity data browser: time series, monthly total power generation (2001 - 2018)

Data

- **ERA5**: wind speed data, hourly (2001 - 2018), 0.25° spatial resolution
- **United States Wind Turbine Database (USWTDB)**: 58,184 turbines including location, model name, rated capacity, rotor diameter, ...
- Time series of **wind power net generation** provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) via the Electricity data browser: time series, monthly total power generation (2001 - 2018)
- Data sheets for turbine models: **rotor diameter**, **power curve**

Historical development of wind turbine characteristics



Maximum power generation with different turbines

Optimization problem:

Existing turbines are replaced by newer ones at the location of the old turbines, such that:

- objective function: total power generation is maximized
- constraints: distance between turbines is not below a threshold

Minimum distances between turbine locations



Turbine models

Model name	**Rated capacity**	**Rotor diameter**
GE-1.5 77	1.5 MW	77 m
Enercon E-138 EP3	3.5 MW	138 m
Senvion 4.2M140	4.2 MW	140 m
Enercon E-126	7.58MW	127 m

GE-1.5 77 is the most frequent model in the U.S. (14.7% of all turbines).

Power curves



Simulation of power generation



Optimal locations for new wind turbines



Repowering potential: power generation



Repowering potential: number of turbines



Conclusions & Future work

Conclusions:

- repowering half of the existing turbines, roughly doubles the power generation output

Conclusions & Future work

Conclusions:

- repowering half of the existing turbines, roughly doubles the power generation output
- repowering leads to a significant drop of total number of turbines installed

Conclusions & Future work

Conclusions:

- repowering half of the existing turbines, roughly doubles the power generation output
- repowering leads to a significant drop of total number of turbines installed
- capacity and number of turbines are not always the most relevant parameters for high power output

Conclusions & Future work

Conclusions:

- repowering half of the existing turbines, roughly doubles the power generation output
- repowering leads to a significant drop of total number of turbines installed
- capacity and number of turbines are not always the most relevant parameters for high power output
- distance factors are not hard boundaries

Conclusions & Future work

Conclusions:

- repowering half of the existing turbines, roughly doubles the power generation output
- repowering leads to a significant drop of total number of turbines installed
- capacity and number of turbines are not always the most relevant parameters for high power output
- distance factors are not hard boundaries

Future work:

- address economical questions

Conclusions & Future work

Conclusions:

- repowering half of the existing turbines, roughly doubles the power generation output
- repowering leads to a significant drop of total number of turbines installed
- capacity and number of turbines are not always the most relevant parameters for high power output
- distance factors are not hard boundaries

Future work:

- address economical questions
- assess complexer models, e.g. allowing different turbine types

Conclusions & Future work

Conclusions:

- repowering half of the existing turbines, roughly doubles the power generation output
- repowering leads to a significant drop of total number of turbines installed
- capacity and number of turbines are not always the most relevant parameters for high power output
- distance factors are not hard boundaries

Future work:

- address economical questions
- assess complexer models, e.g. allowing different turbine types
- take land use or different locations into account

Thank you!

peter.regner@boku.ac.at
http://bit.ly/wind-repower-us
https://refuel.world/

We gratefully acknowledge support from the European Research Council ("reFUEL" ERC-2017-STG 758149).