



Algorithms Theory

13 – Bin Packing

Prof. Dr. S. Albers

Bin packing



- 1. Problem definition and general observations
- 2. Approximation algorithms for the online bin packing problem
- 3. Approximation algorithms for the offline bin packing problem

Problem definition



Given:

n items with sizes

$$S_1, \ldots, S_n$$

where $0 < s_i \le 1$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

Goal:

Pack items into a minimum number of unit-capacity bins.

Example:

7 items with sizes 0.2, 0.5, 0.4, 0.7, 0.1, 0.3, 0.8

Problem definition



Online bin packing:

Items arrive one by one. Each item must be assigned immediately to a bin, without knowledge of any future items. Reassignment is not allowed.

Offline bin packing:

All *n* items are known in advance, i.e. before they have to be packed.

Observations



- Bin packing is provably hard.
 (Offline bin packing is NP-hard.
 Decision problem is NP-complete.)
- There exists no online bin packing algorithm that always finds an optimal solution.

Winter term 07/08

Online bin packing




Theorem 1:

There are inputs that force each online bin packing algorithm to use at least 4/3 OPT bins where OPT is the minimum number of bins possible.

Proof:

Assumption: online bin packing algorithm *A* always uses less than 4/3 *OPT* bins



Winter term 07/08

Online bin packing



1st point of time:

```
OPT = m/2 and \#bins(A) = b
by assumption: b < 4/3 \cdot m/2 = 2/3 m
```

Let
$$b = b_1 + b_2$$
, with $b_1 = \# bins$ containing one item $b_2 = \# bins$ containing two items

There is: $b_1 + 2 b_2 = m$, i.e. $b_1 = m - 2b_2$

Hence: $b = b_1 + b_2 = m - b_2$ (*)





2nd point of time:

$$OPT = m$$

$$\# bins(A) \ge b + m - b_1 = m + b_2$$

$$Assumption: m + b_2 \le \# bins(A) < 4/3m$$

$$b_2 < m/3$$

⇒ using (*):
$$b = m - b_2 > 2/3m$$

Online bin packing



Next Fit (NF), First Fit (FF), Best Fit (BF)

Next Fit:

Assign an arriving item to the same bin as the preceding item. If it does not fit, open a new bin and place it there.

Theorem 2:

(a) For all input sequences *I*:

$$NF(I) \leq 2 OPT(I)$$
.

(b) There exist input sequences *I* such that:


$$NF(I) \geq 2 OPT(I) - 2.$$

Next Fit



Proof: (a)

Consider two bins B_{2k-1} , B_{2k} , $2k \le NF(I)$.



Next Fit




Proof: (b)

Consider an input sequence I of length n $(n \equiv 0 \pmod{4})$:

 $0.5, 2/n, 0.5, 2/n, 0.5, \dots, 0.5, 2/n$


Optimal packing:



Next Fit



Next Fit yields:



$$NF(I) =$$

$$OPT(I) =$$



13

First Fit:

Assign an arriving item to the first bin (i.e. that was opened earliest) in which it fits. If there is no such bin, open a new one and place it there.

Observation:

At each point in time there is at most one bin that is less than half full.

$$\rightarrow$$
 FF(I) \leq 20PT(I)



Theorem 3:

(a) For all input sequences *I*:

$$FF(I) \leq \lceil \frac{17}{10} OPT(I) \rceil$$

(b) There exist input sequences *I* such that:

$$FF(I) \geq \frac{17}{10} (OPT(I) - 1)$$

(b') There exist input sequences *I* such that:


$$FF(I) = \frac{10}{6} OPT(I)$$



Proof (b`): Input sequence of length $3 \cdot 6m$:


$$\underbrace{1/7+\varepsilon,\ldots,1/7+\varepsilon}_{6m},\underbrace{1/3+\varepsilon,\ldots,1/3+\varepsilon}_{6m},$$


$$\underbrace{1/2+\varepsilon,\ldots,1/2+\varepsilon}_{6m}$$






First Fit yields:





Winter term 07/08





Best Fit



18

Best Fit:

Assign an arriving item to the bin in which it fits best (i.e. where it leaves the smallest empty space).

Performance of BF and FF is similar.

Running times on input sequences of length n:

NF O(n)
FF O(
$$n^2$$
) \longrightarrow O(n log n)
BF O(n^2) \longrightarrow O(n log n)

Offline bin packing



Prior to the packing, n and $s_1, ..., s_n$ are known in advance.

An optimal packing can be found by exhaustive search.

Approach to an offline approximation algorithm:

Initially sort the items in decreasing order of size and assign the larger items first!

First Fit Decreasing (FFD) resp. FFNI Best Fit Decreasing (BFD)



Lemma 1:

Let I be an input sequence of n objects with sizes

$$s_1 \ge s_2 \ge \dots \ge s_n$$

and let m = OPT(I).

Then, all items placed by FFD into bins

$$B_{m+1}$$
, B_{m+2} , ..., $B_{FFD(I)}$

Are of size at most 1/3.



Lemma 2:

Let I be an input sequence of n objects with sizes

$$s_1 \ge s_2 \ge \dots \ge s_n$$

and let m = OPT(I).

Then the number of items placed by FFD into bins


$$B_{m+1}$$
, B_{m+2} , ..., $B_{FFD(I)}$

is at most m-1.



Proof:

Assumption: FFD places more than m-1 items, say $x_1,...,x_m$, into extra bins.





Theorem:

For all input sequences *I*:

$$FFD(I) \le (4 \ OPT(I) + 1) / 3.$$

Theorem:

1. For all input sequences *I*:

$$FFD(I) \le 11/9 \ OPT(I) + 4.$$

2. There exist input sequences *I* such that:


$$FFD(I) = 11/9 \ OPT(I).$$



Proof (b): Input sequence of length $3 \cdot 6m + 12m$:

$$\underbrace{\frac{1/2+\varepsilon,\ldots,1/2+\varepsilon}_{6m},\underbrace{1/4+2\varepsilon,\ldots,1/4+2\varepsilon}_{6m}}_{1/4+\varepsilon,\ldots,1/4+\varepsilon},\underbrace{1/4-2\varepsilon,\ldots,1/4-2\varepsilon}_{12m}$$


Optimal packing:







First Fit Decreasing yields:



$$OPT(I) = 9m$$

 $FFD(I) = 11m$