**Storymap feedback**

**Changes highlighted in green have been made**

**Changes highlighted in yellow have been looked at but not made**

**Ken**

COMMENTS ON TEXT BOXES

Mines that are harder to access typically charge lower prices for their minerals to compensate.

>Rather the other way around

Of the prefectures surveyed, South Kivu …

>Term ‘prefecture’ is not used in DRC. Adminstrative division is ‘Province’-‘territoire’-‘secteur’ or ‘chefferie -‘groupement’-localité- …

South Kivu is a province

… around 75% of which had armed men present from Congo’s security forces or other armed groups.

* Better to say ‘*… around 75% of which had armed men present, either from Congo’s security forces, or from armed groups.*’

The text-box on responsible sourcing is a bit overly optimist. Maybe add something like: Notwithstanding the positive evolutions that have been described above, armed interference in artisanal mining persists. IPIS data shows that responsible sourcing targets accessible sites. Armed interference in mining seems to continue undisturbed in more remote areas.

COMMENTS ON STORY MAP

When I clicked on a pop-up window, I couldn’t close it anymore. – pop up being the panel with extra details about the mine. This appears on the first slide. Would be nice to be able to close this and for it to appear in a position that doesn’t overlap with slide box…

‘Types of minerals’: You explain in text box there are several types of minerals, and you zoom in on an area with some mines of each mineral. But I think for a new visitor to the map (/storymap), the link between your text and the map is not clear. Maybe indicate blue is coltan, yellow gold, etc… Or show the menu/legend in the map indicating that blue is coltan, … - could have a simplified legend, or below option of being able to pull menu out.

The menu is by the way never visible in the storymap. Shouldn’t it be in there? As it is an important tool to navigate through the webmap? – can we have it so this can be pulled out?

When the text boxes on ‘responsible sourcing’ and ‘Social and environmental issues’ appear, they talk about individual mines and the webmap zooms in, but these mines (the nodes) are not visible on the map. – known bug, this needs to be resolved

With Text box on ‘earnings’ there is a certain zoom on the map, that has no particular link with the text box. – this is fine, no mine is named in text

I think in general several of the text boxes should be better linked to the map, and the different tools the map has. E.g.:

* First text box talks about total number of miners and mines. Perfect opportunity to show the ringdiagram that ussually appears in the bottom-left corner of the map
* When you talk about an individual mine, it’s good to zoom in, but it would also be good to open a pop-up window once, or several times
* Armed intergference. The halos around the mines appear, but not clear for new visitors what these halows mean. Maybe show the menu/legend? Also show pop-up window with the tabpage on armed groups.
* All fair points. I think as a minimum we should have legends for each view.

**Thomas**

"Various types of mineral are mined in DRC, including gold, tin and coltan" I believe strictly speaking tin is not a mineral but a chemical element (cassiterite is the mineral)

the graphs about "interference": better to range by number of mines; this would also lower down the confusion regarding the 1% for Tanganyika (the reader may wonder what the 1% means at first because we can barely see the blue, which is normal for 1%.

The % represent the blue I presume, as it is we can believe it represents the grey

Maybe a trick would be in the legend to keep only the blue square as "sites with reported interference", use a lighter grey or just an outline for without fill color for everything that is not blue and perhaps write the value same colour as the filling blue to make clearer that the % are the blue.

Rmarkdown file is here – could make new version of the graph file:///Volumes/Main/1\_Projects/\_%20Projects%20Archive%201999-/2019/Ken%20Matthysen\_IOM\_Mapping%20DRC%202016-2018/Project%20Research/Statistics/R\_output/190327\_iom2018.html

and perhaps define with a few words what "interference" means

the story for "roadblock" covers the donut chart – known issue

"to separate gold from other minerals, releasing hazardous vapour or liquid into the atmosphere, soil and water. This practice is forbidden " perhaps add a ref for "forbidden" by whom? local authorities, international convention?

**Hans**

Title: I would make clear we talk about artisanal and small-scale mining.

Roadbloack: I wouldn't put Congo's security forces on the same level as armed groups. Rather I would write: "Congo's security forces or non-state armed groups".

Responsible sourcing: I would check the conclusion of that report with Ken. I seem to remember it could not determine a causal relation between DD initiatives and security. As DD initiatives were from the start established on sites that already had good security.

I would be careful giving too much credit to ITSCI as it is under a lot of criticism (also by us in the upcoming Apple report Erik is working on).

Social and environmental issues: the text seems to be above the Baru site, so it is not easy to see – reoriented map

Is it possible to show the site pop-up from the webmap with more information and pictures of that site – same issue highlighted before

Last tab: I would write including CAR and Tanzania.

On interference: is it possible to show the legend of the webmap to clarify what is depicted (zones d'ingérence)?

Also for Katimbatimba it could be interesting to depict a bit more information (+ again, I would be careful to suggest the success of ITSCI by just looking at one site)