# 1 probit: Probit Regression for Dichotomous Dependent Variables

Use probit regression to model binary dependent variables specified as a function of a set of explanatory variables.

#### 1.0.1 Syntax

```
> z.out <- zelig(Y ~ X1 + X2, model = "probit", data = mydata)
> x.out <- setx(z.out)
> s.out <- sim(z.out, x = x.out, x1 = NULL)</pre>
```

#### 1.0.2 Additional Inputs

In addition to the standard inputs, zelig() takes the following additional options for probit regression:

• robust: defaults to FALSE. If TRUE is selected, zelig() computes robust standard errors via the sandwich package (see [7]). The default type of robust standard error is heteroskedastic and autocorrelation consistent (HAC), and assumes that observations are ordered by time index.

In addition, robust may be a list with the following options:

- method: Choose from
  - \* "vcovHAC": (default if robust = TRUE) HAC standard errors.
  - \* "kernHAC": HAC standard errors using the weights given in [1].
  - \* "weave": HAC standard errors using the weights given in [4].
- order.by: defaults to NULL (the observations are chronologically ordered as in the original data). Optionally, you may specify a vector of weights (either as order.by = z, where z exists outside the data frame; or as order.by = z, where z is a variable in the data frame). The observations are chronologically ordered by the size of z.
- ...: additional options passed to the functions specified in method.
   See the sandwich library and [7] for more options.

### 1.0.3 Examples

Attach the sample turnout dataset:

> data(turnout)

Estimate parameter values for the probit regression:

```
> z.out <- zelig(vote ~ race + educate, model = "probit", data = turnout)
> summary(z.out)
```

Set values for the explanatory variables to their default values.

Simulate quantities of interest from the posterior distribution.

$$>$$
 s.out  $<$ - sim(z.out, x = x.out)

> summary(s.out)

#### 1.0.4 Model

Let  $Y_i$  be the observed binary dependent variable for observation i which takes the value of either 0 or 1.

• The stochastic component is given by

$$Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_i),$$

where  $\pi_i = \Pr(Y_i = 1)$ .

• The systematic component is

$$\pi_i = \Phi(x_i\beta)$$

where  $\Phi(\mu)$  is the cumulative distribution function of the Normal distribution with mean 0 and unit variance.

# 1.0.5 Quantities of Interest

 The expected value (qi\$ev) is a simulation of predicted probability of success

$$E(Y) = \pi_i = \Phi(x_i \beta),$$

given a draw of  $\beta$  from its sampling distribution.

- The predicted value (qi\$pr) is a draw from a Bernoulli distribution with mean  $\pi_i$ .
- The first difference (qi\$fd) in expected values is defined as

$$FD = Pr(Y = 1 \mid x_1) - Pr(Y = 1 \mid x).$$

• The risk ratio (qi\$rr) is defined as

$$RR = Pr(Y = 1 \mid x_1) / Pr(Y = 1 \mid x).$$

• In conditional prediction models, the average expected treatment effect (att.ev) for the treatment group is

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i} \sum_{i:t_i=1}^{n} \left\{ Y_i(t_i=1) - E[Y_i(t_i=0)] \right\},\,$$

where  $t_i$  is a binary explanatory variable defining the treatment  $(t_i = 1)$  and control  $(t_i = 0)$  groups. Variation in the simulations are due to uncertainty in simulating  $E[Y_i(t_i = 0)]$ , the counterfactual expected value of  $Y_i$  for observations in the treatment group, under the assumption that everything stays the same except that the treatment indicator is switched to  $t_i = 0$ .

• In conditional prediction models, the average predicted treatment effect (att.pr) for the treatment group is

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i} \sum_{i:t_i=1}^{n} \left\{ Y_i(t_i=1) - Y_i(\widehat{t_i=0}) \right\},\,$$

where  $t_i$  is a binary explanatory variable defining the treatment  $(t_i = 1)$  and control  $(t_i = 0)$  groups. Variation in the simulations are due to uncertainty in simulating  $Y_i(\widehat{t_i} = 0)$ , the counterfactual predicted value of  $Y_i$  for observations in the treatment group, under the assumption that everything stays the same except that the treatment indicator is switched to  $t_i = 0$ .

#### 1.0.6 Output Values

The output of each Zelig command contains useful information which you may view. For example, if you run z.out <- zelig(y ~ x, model = "probit", data), then you may examine the available information in z.out by using names(z.out), see the coefficients by using z.out\$coefficients, and a default summary of information through summary(z.out). Other elements available through the \$ operator are listed below.

- From the zelig() output object z.out, you may extract:
  - coefficients: parameter estimates for the explanatory variables.
  - residuals: the working residuals in the final iteration of the IWLS fit.
  - fitted.values: a vector of the in-sample fitted values.
  - linear.predictors: a vector of  $x_i\beta$ .
  - aic: Akaike's Information Criterion (minus twice the maximized loglikelihood plus twice the number of coefficients).
  - df.residual: the residual degrees of freedom.

- df.null: the residual degrees of freedom for the null model.
- data: the name of the input data frame.
- From summary(z.out), you may extract:
  - coefficients: the parameter estimates with their associated standard errors, p-values, and t-statistics.
  - cov.scaled: a  $k \times k$  matrix of scaled covariances.
  - cov.unscaled: a  $k \times k$  matrix of unscaled covariances.
- From the sim() output object s.out, you may extract quantities of interest arranged as matrices indexed by simulation × x-observation (for more than one x-observation). Available quantities are:
  - qi\$ev: the simulated expected values, or predicted probabilities, for the specified values of x.
  - qi\$pr: the simulated predicted values drawn from the distributions defined by the predicted probabilities.
  - qifd: the simulated first differences in the predicted probabilities for the values specified in x and x1.
  - qi\$rr: the simulated risk ratio for the predicted probabilities simulated from x and x1.
  - qi\$att.ev: the simulated average expected treatment effect for the treated from conditional prediction models.
  - qi\$att.pr: the simulated average predicted treatment effect for the treated from conditional prediction models.

# How to Cite the Logit Model

Kosuke Imai, Olivia Lau, and Gary King. probit: Probit Regression for Dichotomous Dependent Variables, 2011

# How to Cite the Zelig Software Package

To cite Zelig as a whole, please reference these two sources:

Kosuke Imai, Gary King, and Olivia Lau. 2007. "Zelig: Everyone's Statistical Software," http://GKing.harvard.edu/zelig.

Imai, Kosuke, Gary King, and Olivia Lau. (2008). "Toward A Common Framework for Statistical Analysis and Development." Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, Vol. 17, No. 4 (December), pp. 892-913.

# See also

The probit model is part of the stats package by (author?) [6]. Advanced users may wish to refer to help(glm) and help(family), as well as [5]. Robust standard errors are implemented via the sandwich package by (author?) [7]. Sample data are from [3].

# References

- [1] Donald W.K. Andrews. Heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix estimation. *Econometrica*, 59(3):817–858, May 1991.
- [2] Kosuke Imai, Olivia Lau, and Gary King. probit: Probit Regression for Dichotomous Dependent Variables, 2011.
- [3] Gary King, Michael Tomz, and Jason Wittenberg. Making the most of statistical analyses: Improving interpretation and presentation. *American Journal of Political Science*, 44(2):341–355, April 2000. http://gking.harvard.edu/files/abs/making-abs.shtml.
- [4] Thomas Lumley and Patrick Heagerty. Weighted empirical adaptive variance estimators for correlated data regression. *jrssb*, 61(2):459–477, 1999.
- [5] Peter McCullagh and James A. Nelder. *Generalized Linear Models*. Number 37 in Monograph on Statistics and Applied Probability. Chapman & Hall, 2nd edition, 1989.
- [6] William N. Venables and Brian D. Ripley. *Modern Applied Statistics with S.* Springer-Verlag, 4th edition, 2002.
- [7] Achim Zeileis. Econometric computing with hc and hac covariance matrix estimators. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 11(10):1–17, 2004.