

# Bayesian Sensitivity Analysis for a Missing Data Model

Bart Eggen, Stéphanie van der Pas, Aad van der Vaart

Delft University of Technology, Amsterdam University Medical Centres

1 July 2022

## Co-authors



Aad van der Vaart



Stéphanie van der Pas

# Outline

- ① Sensitivity Analysis
- ② Missing data model
- ③ Results

# What is sensitivity analysis?

Observation	Outcome
1	15
2	3
3	*
4	*
5	5
⋮	⋮

# What is sensitivity analysis?

Observation	Outcome
1	15
2	3
3	*
4	*
5	5
⋮	⋮

## Solutions

- Assume data is **missing completely at random**
- Data imputation

# What is sensitivity analysis?

What if we assume MCAR, but in reality it does not hold?

# What is sensitivity analysis?

What if we assume MCAR, but in reality it does not hold?

## Sensitivity Analysis

How robust are study conclusion to violations of assumptions?

# How?

## Statistics

Assign a parameter to the assumption



# How?

## Statistics

Assign a parameter to the assumption

## Problems

- Sensitivity parameter is often unidentifiable
- Difficult to give intuition for practitioners

# How?

## Statistics

Assign a parameter to the assumption

## Problems

- Sensitivity parameter is often unidentifiable
- Difficult to give intuition for practitioners

## Robustness

- At what values of the sensitivity parameter do study conclusions not hold anymore?
- Size is an indicator of robustness

# Bayesian sensitivity analysis

## Why Bayesian?

- Put all your intuition into the prior for the sensitivity parameter
- The posterior distribution acts as a single summary of your beliefs

# Bayesian sensitivity analysis

## Why Bayesian?

- Put all your intuition into the prior for the sensitivity parameter
- The posterior distribution acts as a single summary of your beliefs

## Problem

- Not a lot of theory available
- What if we are "close" to the truth with our parameter, will our conclusion converge to the truth?

# Missing data model (MCAR)

Observations

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P$$

# Missing data model (MCAR)

Observations

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P$$

Missingness

$$R_1, \dots, R_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$$

# Missing data model (MCAR)

## Observations

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P$$

## Missingness

$$R_1, \dots, R_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$$

## Observations

$$X_i := \begin{cases} Y_i, & R_i = 1 \\ *, & R_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

# Missing data model (MCAR)

Observations

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P$$

Missingness

$$R_1, \dots, R_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$$

Observations

$$X_i := \begin{cases} Y_i, & R_i = 1 \\ *, & R_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Interest

$$\mathbb{E}[g(Y)]$$



# Sensitivity parameter

## Conditional distributions

$$Y \mid R = 1 \sim P_1,$$

$$Y \mid R = 0 \sim P_0$$

# Sensitivity parameter

## Conditional distributions

$$Y \mid R = 1 \sim P_1,$$

$$Y \mid R = 0 \sim P_0$$

## Consequence

$$P = pP_1 + (1 - p)P_0$$

## Sensitivity parameter <sup>1</sup>

$$P_0(A) = \frac{\int_A e^q dP_1}{\int e^q dP_1}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>D. O. Scharfstein, M. J. Daniels, and J. Robins (2003). "Incorporating prior beliefs about selection bias into the analysis of randomized trials with missing outcomes". In: *Biostatistics* 4.4, pp. 495–512

## Sensitivity parameter <sup>1</sup>

$$P_0(A) = \frac{\int_A e^q dP_1}{\int e^q dP_1}$$

### Example

$$q(y) = \alpha \log(y), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>D. O. Scharfstein, M. J. Daniels, and J. Robins (2003). "Incorporating prior beliefs about selection bias into the analysis of randomized trials with missing outcomes". In: *Biostatistics* 4.4, pp. 495–512

## Intuition?

$$\text{logit } \Pr(R = 0 \mid Y) = \eta + q(Y)$$

$$\text{logit } \Pr(R = 0 \mid Y) = \eta + q(Y)$$

When  $q(y) = \alpha \log(y)$

- $\alpha = 0$ : MCAR
- $\alpha > 0$ : Higher outcomes are more likely to not be observed
- $\alpha < 0$ : Lower outcomes are more likely to not be observed

## Prior choices

Parametrization  $p, P_1$

$$p \sim \text{Beta}(\cdot, \cdot)$$

$$P_1 \sim DP(a)$$

## Prior choices

Parametrization  $p, P_1$

$$p \sim \text{Beta}(\cdot, \cdot)$$

$$P_1 \sim DP(a)$$

Parametrization  $\eta, P$

$$\eta \sim \text{Compact}$$

$$P \sim DP(a)$$



# Prior choices

Parametrization  $p, P_1$

$$p \sim \text{Beta}(\cdot, \cdot)$$

$$P_1 \sim DP(a)$$

Parametrization  $\eta, P$

$$\eta \sim \text{Compact}$$

$$P \sim DP(a)$$

We want to show

Bernstein-von Mises theorem for both parametrizations

$$\sqrt{n}(\chi_q(p, P_1) - \chi_q(\hat{p}, \hat{P}_1)) \mid R^{(n)}, X^{(n)}, q \rightsquigarrow? \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Relation between  $P$  and  $P_1$

$$P_1(A) = \frac{\int_A \frac{1}{1+e^{\eta+q(y)}} dP(y)}{\int \frac{1}{1+e^{\eta+q(y)}} dP(y)}$$

Relation between  $P$  and  $P_1$

$$P_1(A) = \frac{\int_A \frac{1}{1+e^{\eta+q(y)}} dP(y)}{\int \frac{1}{1+e^{\eta+q(y)}} dP(y)}$$

Prior

$P \sim DP(a)$  is equivalent to  $P_1 \sim \text{NEGP}(a, b)$

# BvM for normalized extended gamma processes

## Theorem

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \mid P \sim P$  with  $P \sim \text{NEGP}(a, b)$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Donsker-class. Let  $P_0$  be the true distribution of the data. Under assumption later to be specified

$$\sqrt{n}(P - \mathbb{P}_n) \mid X^{(n)} \rightsquigarrow B_{P_0},$$

in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$  almost surely  $[P_0^\infty]$ , where  $B_{P_0}$  is a  $P_0$ -Brownian bridge.

# BvM for normalized extended gamma processes

## Theorem

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \mid P \sim P$  with  $P \sim \text{NEGP}(a, b)$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Donsker-class. Let  $P_0$  be the true distribution of the data. Under assumption later to be specified

$$\sqrt{n}(P - \mathbb{P}_n) \mid X^{(n)} \rightsquigarrow B_{P_0},$$

in  $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$  almost surely  $[P_0^\infty]$ , where  $B_{P_0}$  is a  $P_0$ -Brownian bridge.

## Assumptions

- $b$  is a positive, bounded measurable function
- $a$  is an atomless, finite measure
- $\mathcal{F}$  has envelope function  $F$  with  $\int F da < \infty$
- There exist  $r, q > 0$  with  $1/q + 1/r < 1/2$  such that  $P_0 F^r < \infty$  and  $P_0 b^{-q} < \infty$

# Proof strategy

- The posterior of  $P$  is a mixture (over  $\lambda$ ) of NCRMs
- Show mixing density concentrates on big  $\lambda$ 's
- Show the continuous part of the NCRM vanishes
- Use multiplier central limit theorem

# To do

- Fix assumption on prior for  $\eta$
- Show what happens when we put a prior on  $q(\alpha)$
- Extend model with covariates!
- ...