

# Dependence structures for longitudinal Bayesian nonparametric models

---

Steve MacEachern  
The Ohio State University  
along with Xinyi Xu, Zhiguang Xu, and Hyoin An

ISBA 2022, Montreal

June 2022

# Longitudinal data and BNP

---

- Huge literature on BNP models
  - much concerned with conditionally IID data

$$F \sim \text{BNP model}$$

$$\beta_i | F \stackrel{IID}{\sim} F$$

$$Y_i | \beta_i \stackrel{IND}{\sim} N(\beta_i, \sigma^2)$$

- for discrete models, “atoms” often reified
- very effective as component for random effects

$$Y_{i,j} | \beta_i, \tau_j \stackrel{IND}{\sim} N(\tau_j + \beta_i, \sigma^2)$$

- Longitudinal data have more complicated dependence structures
  - think hard about properties we wish to have
  - develop strategies to construct models with desired properties

## The basics - a single distribution

---

- Typical construction of a Bayesian nonparametric model
  - a countable collection of independent random variates
  - a rule for turning the variates into a distribution
- Usual example: Dirichlet process via Sethuraman's construction
  - the variates

$$\theta_i \stackrel{IID}{\sim} F_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$v_i \stackrel{IID}{\sim} \text{Beta}(1, M), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

- and the rule for constructing a distribution

$$w_i = v_i \prod_{j < i} (1 - v_j)$$

$$F = \sum_i w_i \delta_{\theta_i}$$

- More - Polya trees, Pitman-Yor processes, quantile pyramids
- Distribution is generally embedded in larger framework

## The scope of these constuctions is bigger than it seems

---

- Expanding the Dirichlet process
  - replace  $\text{Beta}(1, M)$  with zero-enriched  $\text{Beta}(1, M)$ 
    - \* zero enrichment allows  $v_i$  to be 0 with some probability
  - result is still a Dirichlet process
  - replace  $\text{Beta}(1, M)$  with one-enriched  $\text{Beta}(1, M)$ 
    - \* one enrichment produces truncation of mixture
  - result may be a finite mixture model when
$$P(v_i = 1 \text{ for some } i) = 1$$
  - result may be a finite or countable mixture model when
$$0 < P(v_i = 1 \text{ for some } i) < 1$$
  - allow dependence in sequence of variates
    - \* needed to recover conventional priors for finite mixture

## Moving from one distribution to many

---

- Similar construction, replace variate with stochastic process
  - index set is time, space, covariate, or all these

$$\theta_{i,t} \stackrel{IID}{\sim} F_{0,t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$v_{i,t} \stackrel{IID}{\sim} \text{Beta}(1, M_t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

- and the rule for constructing a distribution

$$w_{i,t} = v_{i,t} \prod_{j < i} (1 - v_{j,t})$$

$$F_t = \sum_i w_{i,t} \delta_{\theta_{i,t}}$$

- The only concern is measurability
  - typical constructions use continuous functions of variates
  - also work for variates indexed by  $t$
- And also works for finite set  $t_1, \dots, t_k$

## Creation of the model (DDPs)

---

- Canonical construction

- Gaussian process to uniform (PIT) to desired distn for variate

$$Z_t \sim GP(\mu, K), \quad \text{standard normal marginals}$$

$$\theta_t = F_{0,t}^{-1}(\Phi(Z_t)) \quad \text{or} \quad v_t = B_{1,M_t}^{-1}(\Phi(Z_t))$$

- example of a “copula process”

- Classic distribution theory generates much, much more

- chi-square from GP; gamma from GP; beta from two gammas
- t from normal and gamma
- produces desired distns for variates, dependence differs

- Shared set constructions - tend to lead to discontinuity in  $F_t$

- sliding window captures portion of gamma process; normalize
- increasing window

# Longitudinal data

---

- A few properties
  - ability to refine time to arbitrarily fine scale (process in  $t$ )
  - nonparametric form at a given  $t$
  - large (full) support at  $t_k$  given distn's at  $t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}$
  - continuity of distributions in  $t$
- Connection of observations across  $t$ 
  - a discrete mixture
    - \* old work on growth curves (single p models)
    - \* subject is one of several types, type determines path
    - \* path plus measurement error
  - continuous “movement” through distributions
    - \* time series models
- Both cases rely on pathwise behavior of distns
  - and also on the path through them for each subject

## Continuity of paths

---

- Return to a Cauchy copula process (in  $t$ )
    - GP<sub>1</sub> with standard normal margins, conventional covariance
    - GP<sub>2</sub> with standard normal margins, conventional covariance
- $$T_t = \frac{Z_{1,t}}{\sqrt{Z_{2,t}^2}} = \frac{Z_{1,t}}{|Z_{2,t}|}$$
- $T_t$  follows a Cauchy distn at each  $t$ 
    - \* covariances determine dependence across  $t$
  - For any finite set  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ , the probability of dividing by 0 is 0
- But for an interval  $[t_1, t_2]$ , the probability of dividing by 0 is positive
    - division by 0 corresponds to  $T_t = \pm\infty$
    - the path of  $T_t$  is discontinuous where this happens
  - Care is needed to ensure continuity of paths



## The path of a subject

---

- To ensure that  $Y_{i,t} \sim F_t$ , need a process with specified marginals
  - $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$  marginal (or  $\text{N}(0, 1)$  marginal)
- Simple random subject effect version
  - constant percentile of distn, no variation in  $t$
  - subject effect is  $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$  (or  $\text{N}(0, 1)$ )
- Gaussian process subject effect,  $Z_t \sim \text{GP}(0, K)$ 
  - subject effect follows  $\Phi(Z_t)$  (or  $Z_t$ )
  - stability / instability follows from  $K$
- Other copula process subject effect,  $T_t \sim \text{CP}(\cdot)$ 
  - subject effect follows  $G(T_t)$  (or  $\Phi^{-1}(G(T_t))$ )
  - non-Gaussian copula allows control over dependence structure
- Continuity of distns coupled with continuity of subject effect yields continuity of subject path

## Recap

---

- Build collection of distributions in style of DDP
  - replace variate with path of stochastic process
  - consider non-canonical constructions of processes
  - care with pathwise properties for longitudinal data
  - distns inherit properties from properties of stochastic processes
- Choose version of models
  - mixture version, along lines of finite mixture
  - percentile version, with changing percentiles
  - normal version of latter allows normal set point, reversion toward set point (usual distn theory for normals)
- Supplement model with additional structure
  - covariates, changes in mean, changes in scale
- Similarity of thought to work on GLMMs with Peter Craigmile & Jeff Gory